

Carlton B. Stoddard, 4625 Alpine Place: The gentleman compared Charleston Blvd and Fifth Street. Such a comparison cannot be made. This is entirely a residential area. The factor of density of population cannot be denied - this would increase the crowded condition of the new school just completed and occupied this past September. The people who would like in the apartments would not pay taxes for their children to attend school. Another problem would be the high increase in traffic with children walking to and from school. The factor of undesirable elements is also to be considered. On the basis of these conditions, it would be my vote this petition be denied.

The property owner at 1140 Melville also spoke in protest of this application, stating it was against the Master Plan of the City. We do not need high density units in that area because single family homes will sell.

Mrs. Daryl E. Hahn, 1140 Melville Drive: As a 15-year resident of this City I resent being put on the defensive by a real estate broker from Orange County. This has been going on for two years - how can you keep people coming to the City Hall. The County assured us apartments would not be put on the land. I would like to know why we have to have apartments.

Commissioner Fountain: Due to the fact the Planning Commission has recommended denial and I feel it is the wrong location for multiple units, I move the application of E. F. Leid for a zone change (Z-21-60) be DENIED.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Elwell and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Fountain, Elwell and Mayor Pro Tem Whipple, voting aye; noes, none.

PUBLIC HEARING

ASSESSMENT DIST. No. 100-49

Mayor Pro Tem Whipple announced this was the date and hour set for the Public Hearing on the Assessment Roll - Assessment District No. 100-49 (Industrial Road) and declared the meeting open for said Hearing.

There being no response to the Mayor's call for comments, for or against the Assessment Roll for Assessment District No. 100-49, Mayor Pro Tem Whipple declared the Public Hearing closed.

The following Resolution by City Attorney Whitmore:

"RESOLUTION: WHEREAS, that portion of the City of Las Vegas hereinafter described as been and still is without adequate curbs, gutters street paving and street lighting; and

"WHEREAS, numerous requests have been made that the following street be improved by curbing, guttering, paving and street lighting the same;

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas at a regular meeting thereof held on the 19th day of October, 1960, that the City Engineer be and he is hereby directed to prepare and file with the City Clerk, plats, diagrams, plans of the work, the locality to be improved, together with the estimates of cost for the installation of curbs, gutters, paving and street lighting on the following street and part of street: West Charleston Blvd. from the center line of Campbell Drive westerly to the easterly line of the Hyde Park Subdivision Tract No. 1, save and except that portion abutting Westleigh Tract 1 and Tract 5."

Commissioner Fountain moved the foregoing Resolution (No. CC-47) be ADOPTED.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Elwell and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Fountain, Elwell and Mayor Pro Tem Whipple voting aye; noes, none.