

City of Las Vegas

S.✓

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING
CITY HALL, 400 STEWART AVENUE
CITY MANAGER'S CONFERENCE ROOM
CITY OF LAS VEGAS INTERNET ADDRESS: <http://www.ci.las-vegas.nv.us>
MONDAY, AUGUST 14, 2000
4:00 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER:

ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW

THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE WILL RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT ON EACH ITEM OF LEGISLATION BEING CONSIDERED. THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MAY, THEREAFTER, CONTINUE THE HEARING TO A FUTURE DATE OR FORMULATE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR PASSAGE, REJECTION OR AMENDMENT OF THE PROPOSED BILL.

THE FOLLOWING BILLS MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THE 9/6/2000 CITY COUNCIL MEETING.

- 1 Bill No. 2000-60 — Repeals the provision of the Municipal Code that prohibits certain businesses from tipping taxicab drivers for the delivery of passengers. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack
- 2 Bill No. 2000-61 — Amends Ordinance No. 5165, relating to the annexation of property located on the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Centennial Parkway, to correct a portion of a legal description contained therein. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack
3. Bill No. 2000-62 — Adopts the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Willard Tim Chow, Director of Planning and Development
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

ITEMS RAISED UNDER THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA CANNOT BE DELIBERATED OR ACTED UPON UNTIL THE NOTICE PROVISIONS OF THE OPEN MEETING LAW HAVE BEEN MET. IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK ON A MATTER NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CLEARLY STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS. IN CONSIDERATION OF OTHERS, AVOID REPETITION, AND LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO NO MORE THAN THREE (3) MINUTES. TO ENSURE ALL PERSONS EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, EACH SUBJECT MATTER WILL BE LIMITED TO TEN (10) MINUTES.

ALL INTERESTED PERSONS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND: A tape recording of all the proceedings will be kept on file in the Office of the City Clerk until final disposition is made. Copies of the above Bills may be obtained through the Office of the City Clerk, Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Facilities are provided throughout City Hall for the convenience of disabled persons. Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically handicapped persons. If you need an accommodation to attend and participate in this meeting, please call the City Clerk's office at 229-6311 and advise of your need at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting.

THIS MEETING HAS BEEN PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Office Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza
City Hall Plaza, Special Outside Posting Bulletin Board

19✓

(Mailing required under the provisions of NRS Chapter 241)

Eva Cotton, an employee of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the **8th** day of **August, 2000**, a copy of NOTICE, the attached of which is a true and correct copy of the Public Hearing - re: **Recommending Committee Meeting** to be held on the **14th** day of **August, 2000** was deposited in the United States Mail, Postage prepaid, First Class Mail, to each person and/or organization whose name appears on the list maintained in the Office of the City Clerk.


 Notary Public - State of Nevada
 COUNTY OF CLARK
 BEVERLY K BRIDGES
 No. 93-4081-1 My Appointment Expires July 25, 2001



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 14, 2000

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2000-60 – Repeals the provision of the Municipal Code that prohibits certain businesses from tipping taxicab drivers for the delivery of passengers. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will repeal the provision of the Municipal Code that prohibits certain businesses from tipping taxicab drivers for the delivery of passengers. The provision has not been enforced successfully. It is believed that other means of addressing the issue will be more effective, including NRS 598.992, which prohibits the payment of money by certain types of adult businesses for the diversion of passengers.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2000-60

BILL NO. 2000-60

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL THE PROVISION OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE THAT PROHIBITS CERTAIN BUSINESSES FROM TIPPING TAXICAB DRIVERS FOR THE DELIVERY OF PASSENGERS.

Sponsored by:
Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Summary: Repeals the provision of the Municipal Code that prohibits certain businesses from tipping taxicab drivers for the delivery of passengers.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Title 6, Chapter 6, Section 195, of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby repealed in its entirety.

SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

1 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
2 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

3 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2000.

4 APPROVED:

5
6 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

7 ATTEST:

8

9 _____
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

10 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

11 _____
12 *Val Steed* 7-21-2000
Date

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 _____, 2000, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11 APPROVED:

12 By _____
13 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

14 ATTEST:

15
16 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 14, 2000

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2000-61 — Amends Ordinance No. 5165, relating to the annexation of property located on the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Centennial Parkway, to correct a portion of a legal description contained therein. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In September 1999 the Council adopted Ordinance No. 5165, which annexed property located at the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Centennial Parkway. Although the legal description in the ordinance was correct as to the annexation itself, it was incorrect and incomplete as to the zoning designations assigned to the property. This bill will conform the descriptions of the zoning designations to the designations that were placed on the "record" when the Council considered and approved Ordinance No. 5165.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2000-61

1 Bill No. 2000-61

2 Ordinance No. _____

3 AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 5165 (REGARDING THE EXTENSION OF
4 THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY) TO CORRECT THE DESCRIPTION OF A PORTION
5 OF THE ANNEXED TERRITORY WITH REFERENCE TO ITS ZONING CLASSIFICATIONS,
6 AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS. (pertaining to Annexation A-10-98(A))

6 Sponsored by:
7 Councilman Larry Brown

Summary: Amends Ordinance No. 5165, relating
to the annexation of property located on the
southwest corner of Durango Drive and
Centennial Parkway, to correct a portion of a
legal description contained therein.

9 THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
10 AS FOLLOWS:

11 SECTION 1: Ordinance No. 5165 of the City of Las Vegas is hereby amended by
12 amending Section 7 thereof to read as follows (with double underlining representing new matter):

13 SECTION 7: The said described territory, which heretofore has been zoned
14 R-E (ROI to C-2) (County of Clark classification), carries an equivalent City classification of U (ROI
15 to C-1 and C-2). In accordance with previous action taken by the County of Clark and as a condition
16 of annexation, the property is hereby classified as U (TC), as follows:

17 PROPERTY

CITY CLASSIFICATION

18 The [northeast] northwest corner of the
19 property, described as follows: Commencing
20 at the Northeast Section corner of Section 29,
21 Township 19 South, Range 60 East, M.D.B. &
22 M., thence South [89°42'50" West 596.62 feet
23 along the centerline of Centennial Parkway, to
24 the true point of beginning; thence South 00°13'27"
25 West 506.37 feet, thence North 89°46'33" West 403.64 feet, North 00°39'01"
26 East 506.39 feet, thence North 89°42'50" East
27 400.00] 89°30'25" West 996.66 feet along the
28 centerline of Centennial Parkway, to the true
point of beginning; thence South 00°26'05"
West 538.44 feet; thence North 89°57'09" East
76.00 feet; thence South 89°33'55" East 264.97
feet; thence North 00°26'29" East 250.34 feet;
thence South 89°33'55" East 57.53 feet; thence
South 89°23'57" East 6.20 feet; thence North
00°13'50" West 294.00 feet; thence South
89°30'21" West 401.37 feet, to the true point of
beginning.

U (TC), with [an ROI] a General Plan
designation of GC-TC for general commercial
uses

1 PROPERTY (continued)

2 The balance of the property

CITY CLASSIFICATION (continued)

U (TC), with [an ROI] a General Plan
designation of SC-TC for service commercial
uses

4 SECTION 2: All other provisions of Ordinance No. 5165, including the effective date
5 thereof, shall remain in full force and effect.

6 SECTION 3: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
7 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid
8 or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
9 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
10 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
11 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
12 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
13 invalid or ineffective.

14 SECTION 4: All ordinances or parts of ordinances, sections, subsections, phrases,
15 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
16 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

17 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this ____ day of _____, 2000.

18 APPROVED:

19
20 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

21 ATTEST:

22
23 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

24
25 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

26 Val Steed 7-21-2000
27 Date

The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the ____ day of _____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of _____ and _____ for recommendation; thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of _____, 2000, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

VOTING "AYE": _____

VOTING "NAY": _____

ABSENT: _____

APPROVED:

OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

ATTEST:

BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 14, 2000

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2000-62 – Adopts the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Willard Tim Chow, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. The Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan represents an update of major elements of the City's General Plan adopted in 1992, and is the product of a unique cooperative effort of a broad range of participants. Because the 2020 Master Plan does not include every element necessary to replace the 1992 General Plan, the latter will be retained in force for the time being. To the extent of any conflict or inconsistency, the 2020 Master Plan will govern.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2000-62

BILL NO. 2000-62

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO ADOPT THE "LAS VEGAS 2020 MASTER PLAN," AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Willard Tim Chow, Director
Planning and Development

Summary: Adopts the Las Vegas 2020
Master Plan.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: That certain document entitled the "Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan," including its appendices, is hereby adopted and incorporated herein by this reference. The material provisions of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan were approved by the Planning Commission on the 15th day of June, 2000. Copies of the Plan shall be maintained on file in the office of the City Clerk and in the Planning and Development Department.

SECTION 2: The City's General Plan, as adopted in 1992 by Ordinance No. 3636 and as amended, shall continue in effect in order to address elements and issues that are not contained or addressed in the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Where the provisions of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan conflict or are inconsistent with provisions of the City's 1992 General Plan, as amended, the provisions of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan shall govern to the extent of any conflict or inconsistency.

SECTION 3: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

SECTION 4: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,

1 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
2 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

3 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2000.

4 APPROVED:

5
6 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

7 ATTEST:

8
9 _____
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

10 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

11 Val Steed 7-21-2000
12 _____
Date

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 _____, 2000, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11 APPROVED:

12 By _____
13 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

14 ATTEST:

15 _____
16 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
CITY HALL, 400 EAST STEWART AVENUE
8TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
MONDAY, AUGUST 14, 2000
4:00 P.M.

ATTENDANCE:

Councilman Lawrence Weekly
Councilman Michael Mack

ALSO PRESENT:

Doug Selby, Deputy City Manager
Val Steed, Chief Deputy of Governmental Affairs

CALL TO ORDER:

Councilman Weekly called the meeting to order at 4:01 p.m

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE:

Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Office Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza
City Hall Plaza, Special Outside Posting Bulletin Board

1. **Bill No. 2000-60** – Repeals the provision of the Municipal Code that prohibits certain businesses from tipping taxicab drivers for the delivery of passengers. Sponsored by Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack

Deputy City Attorney Val Steed explained that the privileged license chapter of the licensing code contains a provision that prohibits those businesses listed from paying taxicab drivers for the delivery of passengers. There is a similar provision in the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), as it relates to erotic dance establishments, and in the Nevada Administrative Code, as it applies to all taxicabs. Therefore, Mayor Goodman asked that the City's provision be repealed, given that it has not been enforced and existing State provisions adequately address the problem.

As both a businessman and a public official, Councilman Mack opined that there is no way to police this practice. The current City provision came about because some adult-oriented businesses tip taxicabs. He supported the Bill because it should be enforced if it is going to be on the books.

Councilman Mack asked if Clark County has a similar ordinance and whether NRS contains similar language. Deputy City Attorney Steed replied that he looked into the County's ordinance when this Bill was originally proposed, however, he does not recall for certain whether it does have a similar ordinance. NRS addresses erotic dance establishments, adult cabarets, and adult nightclubs, and it does not prohibit the payment of delivery, but it prohibits payment for diversion of passengers who want to go to a different place. The Nevada Administrative Code, which contains licensing provisions for

taxicab drivers, states that taxicab drivers shall not directly or indirectly accept a gratuity or any form of compensation from any person, except his/her employer or a passenger. It is not known to what extent the Taxicab Authority enforces that provision, but it is addressed.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, advised that he read an article in a local newspaper that morning that the Aladdin Hotel and Casino was offering free meals to taxicab drivers. Obviously, the County is not enforcing its ordinance, if it has a similar ordinance.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that if such a provision is to exist, it should be fair and enforced.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

Councilman Mack recommended Bill No. 2000-60 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. Councilman Weekly said that would be the order.

(4:01 – 4:06)

1-7

2. **Bill No. 2000-61** – Amends Ordinance No. 5165, relating to the annexation of property located on the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Centennial Parkway, to correct a portion of a legal description contained therein. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack

Deputy City Attorney Val Steed indicated that the subject Bill is a housekeeping matter. Last year the City Council annexed certain property with the correct legal description but with an incorrect zoning designation. Approval of this Bill would correct that error and update the zoning designation. When the subject annexation was considered, there was confusion as to where general commercial and limited commercial would be located on the site. Therefore, the transcripts were reviewed and this change is consistent with the understanding of the property owner and developer and complies with the transcripts regarding the zoning designation

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

Councilman Mack recommended Bill No. 2000-61 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. Councilman Weekly said that would be the order.

(4:06 - 4:08)

1-153

3. **Bill No. 2000-62** – Adopts the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Willard Tim Chow, Director of Planning and Development
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack
-

Tim Chow, Director, Planning and Development Department, presented the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan for the Recommending Committee's consideration and he recommended approval.

Deputy City Attorney Val Steed stated that since the initial draft, the City Attorney's office requested some amendments that were agreed to by Planning and Development staff. Those changes are very minor, do not change the contents, and are not significant enough to take back to the Planning Commission for consideration. They simply strengthen the legal standing of the plan using different terminology. He recommended the changes be incorporated as a First Amendment.

Daniel Ross, 424 South 7th Street, asked if the clause about the Las Vegas High School Historic District was amended as requested by the Las Vegas High School neighborhood. Tambri Heyden, Planning and Development Department, indicated that the change is reflected on Page 45 and it directs the preservation of Las Vegas.

Father Dave Castellagio mentioned that he served on the Steering Committee and it was quite a refreshing experience in the sense that there was a lot of public participation. There were many opinions that were thoroughly heard and considered, which led to the production of a good plan. He enjoyed serving.

Councilman Weekly thanked Father Castellagio and all the other members who served for their patience and time. Father Castellagio interjected that the committee members were able to perform their job because of the help they received from staff.

Councilman Weekly noted that now that the plan is in place, it is going to take the involvement of the community to ensure that the ideas and visions incorporated in the plan are followed through.

Mr. Ross indicated that at the Special Planning Commission meeting he submitted a petition with signatures from some people that are in favor of retaining the residential status of the Las Vegas High School neighborhood, others in favor of eventually extending the City Core into the neighborhood, and others that have no preference. He wondered if a notation could be made somewhere to keep the maps open for further exploration of extending the City Core into the Las Vegas High School neighborhood. Mr. Chow explained that the Las Vegas 2020 Plan is a long-term overall plan that does not address specific land use changes. Land use changes will be addressed in Phase II.

Councilman Weekly suggested that Mr. Ross put his comments on the record at the City Council meeting and that he submit a copy of the petition he submitted at the Special Planning Commission meeting. Councilman Mack noted that this Plan is broader and

focuses on the City of Las Vegas as a whole. But even though he represents the Northwest area of town, he and the other members of the Council have shared concerns about this area and they will pay attention to the neighborhood

Councilman Mack commended both Mr. Chow and Ms. Heyden for their efforts on this accomplishment, especially regarding the Northwest area and Kyle Canyon.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

Councilman Mack recommended Bill No. 2000-62 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation with a First Amendment. Councilman Weekly said that would be the order.

(4.08 - 4:20)

1-201

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

None.

(4:20)

1-586

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting adjourned at 4:20 p.m

/gpb