

City of Las Vegas

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING
CITY HALL, 400 STEWART AVENUE
CITY MANAGER'S CONFERENCE ROOM
CITY OF LAS VEGAS INTERNET ADDRESS: <http://www.ci.las-vegas.nv.us>
MONDAY, MAY 15, 2000
4:00 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER:

ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW

THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE WILL RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT ON EACH ITEM OF LEGISLATION BEING CONSIDERED. THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MAY, THEREAFTER, CONTINUE THE HEARING TO A FUTURE DATE OR FORMULATE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR PASSAGE, REJECTION OR AMENDMENT OF THE PROPOSED BILL.

THE FOLLOWING BILLS MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THE 6/7/2000 CITY COUNCIL MEETING.

1. Bill No. 2000-36 – Updates the list of divisions within the Department of Information Technologies. Proposed by: Joseph Marcella, Director of Information Technologies
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack
2. Bill No. 2000-37 – Prohibits the continued delivery of unsolicited materials to residential premises after notice to discontinue has been provided. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack
3. Bill No. 2000-38 – Requires the submittal of an impact statement regarding projects of significant impact, as described in State law. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

ITEMS RAISED UNDER THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA CANNOT BE DELIBERATED OR ACTED UPON UNTIL THE NOTICE PROVISIONS OF THE OPEN MEETING LAW HAVE BEEN MET. IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK ON A MATTER NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CLEARLY STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS. IN CONSIDERATION OF OTHERS, AVOID REPETITION, AND LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO NO MORE THAN THREE (3) MINUTES. TO ENSURE ALL PERSONS EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, EACH SUBJECT MATTER WILL BE LIMITED TO TEN (10) MINUTES.

ALL INTERESTED PERSONS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND: A tape recording of all the proceedings will be kept on file in the Office of the City Clerk until final disposition is made. Copies of the above Bills may be obtained through the Office of the City Clerk, Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Facilities are provided throughout City Hall for the convenience of disabled persons. Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically handicapped persons. If you need an accommodation to attend and participate in this meeting, please call the City Clerk's office at 229-6311 and advise of your need at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting.

THIS MEETING HAS BEEN PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Office Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza
City Hall Plaza, Special Outside Posting Bulletin Board

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING


(Mailing required under the provisions of NRS Chapter 241)

STATE OF NEVADA)

) ss

COUNTY OF CLARK)

Eva Cotton, an employee of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on the **9th** day of **May, 2000**, a copy of NOTICE, the attached of which is a true and correct copy of the Public Hearing - re: **A Recommending Committee Meeting** to be held on the **15th** day of **May, 2000** was deposited in the United States Mail, Postage prepaid, First Class Mail, to each person and/or organization whose name appears on the list maintained in the Office of the City Clerk.



SIGNATURE

City Clerk

DEPARTMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

9th day of May, 2000


NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State



STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss
COUNTY OF CLARK)

1. On the City Clerk's Bulletin Board at the Downtown Transportation Center;
2. In the Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road; (Faxed)
3. Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway; (Faxed)
4. On the Public Bulletin Board at the Plaza Level of City Hall, 400 E. Stewart Avenue (near the entrance to the Court Clerk's Office);
5. On the Special Bulletin Board at the Plaza Level of City Hall, 400 E. Stewart Avenue (in the walkway area between Metro and Municipal Court); and

Beverly K. Bragan
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State



RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
CITY HALL, 400 EAST STEWART AVENUE
8TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
MONDAY, MAY 15, 2000
4:00 P.M.

ATTENDANCE:

Councilman Lawrence Weekly
Councilman Michael Mack

ALSO PRESENT:

Doug Selby, Deputy City Manager
Val Steed, Chief Deputy of Governmental Affairs
Joe Marcella, Director of Information Technologies
Tim Chow, Director of Planning & Development

CALL TO ORDER:

Councilman Weekly called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE:

Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Office Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza
City Hall Plaza, Special Outside Posting Bulletin Board

1. **Bill No. 2000-36** – Updates the list of divisions within the Department of Information Technologies. Proposed by: Joseph Marcella, Director of Information Technologies
Committee: Councilmen Lawrence Weekly and Michael Mack

Joe Marcella, Director of Information Technologies, explained that the Department is trying to align the organization functionally in accordance with the City Strategic Plan. Creating the four divisions in addition to the administrative division reduces redundancy, improves overall throughput within the organization and provides an opportunity to leverage technical people in areas where they have unique expertise. Councilman Weekly confirmed that this is a housekeeping measure.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

Councilman Mack recommended Bill No. 2000-36 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. Councilman Weekly said that would be the order.

(4:00 - 4:02)

2. **Bill No. 2000-37** – Prohibits the continued delivery of unsolicited materials to residential premises after notice to discontinue has been provided. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack
-
- Committee: Councilmen Lawrence Weekly and Michael Mack

Deputy City Attorney Val Steed advised that the bill is in order.

John Davison appeared on behalf of the owner of Sammy Shaw Apartments who strongly agree with the bill. Their request is that the second paragraph referring to any residential premises be expanded upon to clearly include apartments, condominiums, mobile home parks and multi-family complexes. Councilman Mack questioned whether this would be tougher with a rental unit. Deputy City Attorney Steed discussed the suggestion residential include rental and owned property. He could not conceive of an argument. The language could be upon any premises upon which a person resides. That simply restates the definition of residential. The concern is that by identifying some of the types of residential property, it leaves the impression that some other type of residential use was not included. Other than a comprehensive list of various types of residential uses, there is no way to more inclusively express residing than by using residential. There is no problem with making sure that the concept is clear to Metro, as the only enforcement agency for this code. It is doubtful that Metro will question the term residential.

Al Gallego, citizen of Las Vegas, questioned who would be notified to stop the delivery. Deputy City Attorney Steed responded that documented notification would be made to the best possible source for the publication. If a publisher is identified, for example. Then upon a subsequent delivery, that documentation can be shown to the officer or to the court. Mr. Gallego has requested identification from those delivering them, but they are just people hired off the street. Even after notifying the 20 sources, Metro's response will be they have better things to do than to enforce this. He would still like to get rid of the day laborers off of Bonanza Road. Things have been so peaceful during the construction on Bonanza.

Councilman Mack expressed a desire to leave the language broad for greater enforcement and pointed out that two letters have been provided in support of the bill. Monitoring the situation will be a chore for Metro, but this is a problem for the entire community. Unfortunately, it will require notifying a number of different people. Councilman Weekly added that nothing could be more inclusive than any residential premises.

There was no further discussion.

Councilman Mack recommended Bill No. 2000-37 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. Councilman Weekly said that would be the order.

3. **Bill No. 2000-38** – Requires the submittal of an impact statement regarding projects of significant impact, as described in State law. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman
Committee: Councilmen Lawrence Weekly and Michael Mack

Tim Chow, Director of Planning & Development, indicated that his Department supports the bill but would recommend some changes. Lines 21 through 23 on Page 2 should be deleted. This language involves the subdivision chapter which is currently under revision. A second correction would be Line 1 have the word substantially added between “to” and “reduce”. To do so is in keeping with the spirit of the statute. Deputy City Attorney Steed indicated that the changes were within the discretion of the Council and could be forwarded to the Full Council by way of a first amendment.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

Councilman Mack recommended Bill No. 2000-38 be forwarded to the Full Council as a First Amendment with a “Do Pass” recommendation. Councilman Weekly said that would be the order.

(4:10 - 4:13)

1-318

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

None.

(4:13)

1-387

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting adjourned at 4:13 p.m. **(1-397)**

/ac

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: MAY 15, 2000

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2000-36 – Updates the list of divisions within the Department of Information Technologies. Proposed by: Joseph Marcella, Director of Information Technologies

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Department of Information Technologies has been reorganized along functional lines. The new Divisions are to be known as Development, Systems, Support, and Projects. This bill reflects the changes.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2000-36

1 **Bill No. 2000-36**

2 **Ordinance No. _____**

3 **AN ORDINANCE TO UPDATE THE LIST OF DIVISIONS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF**
4 **INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.**

5 Proposed by: Joseph Marcella,
Director of Information Technologies

Summary: Updates the list of divisions within
the Department of Information Technologies.

6
7 **THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN**
8 **AS FOLLOWS:**

9 **SECTION 1:** Title 2, Chapter 9, Section 160, of the Municipal Code of the City of
10 Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

11 **2.09.160:** The Department of Information Technologies shall consist of the following divisions:

12 (A) [Geographic information systems;] Development;

13 (B) [Programming and analysis;] Systems;

14 (C) [Operations.] Support;

15 (D) Projects.

16 **SECTION 2:** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
17 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid
18 or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
19 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of
20 the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection,
21 subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or
22 more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared
23 unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

24 **SECTION 3:** All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
25 ...
26 ...
27 ...
28 ...

1 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
2 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

3 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this ____ day of _____, 2000.

4 APPROVED:

5
6 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

7 ATTEST:

8
9 _____
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

10 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

11 Val Steed 4-19-2000
Date

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 _____, 2000, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11 APPROVED:

12
13 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

14 ATTEST:

15 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: MAY 15, 2000

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2000-37 – Prohibits the continued delivery of unsolicited materials to residential premises after notice to discontinue has been provided. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Some City residents have experienced a problem with the continued delivery of unsolicited newspapers and circulars, even after there has been a request to discontinue the delivery. When residents are out of town or otherwise unable to retrieve these materials, the materials collect on the premises, giving the appearance that the premises are unoccupied and inviting criminal activity or mischief. This bill will prohibit the continued delivery of unsolicited materials to residential premises after notice to discontinue has been provided.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2000-37

1 **Bill No. 2000-37**

2 **Ordinance No. _____**

3 **AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 6.42 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING THE**
4 **DELIVERY OF UNSOLICITED MATERIALS TO RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES, AND TO**
5 **PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.**

5 Sponsored by:
6 Councilman Michael Mack

Summary: Prohibits the continued delivery of
unsolicited materials to residential premises
after notice to discontinue has been provided.

7 **THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN**
8 **AS FOLLOWS:**

9 **SECTION 1:** Title 6, Chapter 42, Section 30, of the Municipal Code of the City of
10 Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

11 **6.42.030:** The provisions of this Chapter shall not [be deemed to] apply to [the] :

12 (A) The distribution of mail by the United States [, nor to newspapers]; or

13 (B) Except as otherwise provided in Section 6.42.135, newspapers as defined in this
14 Chapter.

15 **SECTION 2:** Title 6, Chapter 42, of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas,
16 Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section, designated as Section 135,
17 reading as follows:

18 **6.42.135:** It is unlawful for any person to deliver any unsolicited newspaper, circular, periodical,
19 flier or other commercial or noncommercial material to or upon any residential premises if the owner
20 or occupant has provided reasonable notice to discontinue the delivery.

21 **SECTION 3:** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
22 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional; or invalid
23 or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
24 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
25 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
26 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
27 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
28 invalid or ineffective.

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 _____, 2000, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY" _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11 APPROVED:

12
13 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

14 ATTEST:

15 _____
16 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

May 4, 2000

TO: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
CITY CLERK
ATTN: VICKI DARLING

I would like to go on record, on behalf of the Bavington Court Homeowners Association, and the residents of the Bavington Court Condominiums, as being in support of a proposed City of Las Vegas Ordinance, that would help control proliferation of advertising flyers in neighborhoods. Our Ward 6 neighborhood has had a problem of too many advertising flyers being left in front of homes, for many years. This ordinance will be a very important step in alleviating this problem. I would like to thank the council for their insight in proposing this ordinance, and urge them to vote it into effect. Thank you very much for your time and effort.

Sincerely,



Nick G. Tortarolo
2132-D Petersham Ct.
Las Vegas, NV 89108-3453
(702)646-1015

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2000 MAY - 8 A 10:50

Support

2000-37

City Council briefs

JUNK MAIL

Fines proposed for violations

Tired of receiving unsolicited circulars in your mailbox even after you requested delivery to stop?

A bill introduced by Las Vegas City Councilman Michael Mack on Wednesday would make such deliveries a misdemeanor.

Violators would face fines of up to \$1,000 and six months in jail.

The proposal will be heard by the council's Recommending Committee at 4 p.m. May 15 and will come back before the full council in about a month.

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

2000 MAY 11 P 4:01

Send Note
Bene give this
copy to
Stance.
11/11/00

5-7-00

Michael Mack -

Congratulations on what you're proposing. Please make certain it includes those delivery services that stick ads on your door with marking tape (same enclosed), those that throw them on your driveway, those that rubber-band them to your garage door handle, and, those that rubber-band them to your front door knob/handle.

We are gone frequently. These unsolicited pieces tell everyone "no one is home now!" The Post Office is the least of your concern. We can call them and simply say "Hold our mail." Keep pushing for this!

2-11-00 - Myron Macy, PO Box 35649, Las Vegas NV 89133

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: MAY 15, 2000

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2000-38 – Requires the submittal of an impact statement regarding projects of significant impact, as described in State law. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

During the 1999 Session, the Nevada Legislature adopted a bill to require an impact statement to be filed in connection with a development application for any "project of significant impact." The term includes any residential development of 500 units or more, any tourist accommodation of 300 units or more, any commercial or industrial facility generating more than 3,000 average daily vehicle trips, and any nonresidential development encompassing more than 160 acres. This bill will incorporate the statutory requirement into the City's Zoning Code.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2000-38

BILL NO. 2000-38

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE TO REQUIRE THE SUBMITTAL OF AN IMPACT STATEMENT REGARDING PROJECTS OF SIGNIFICANT IMPACT, AS DESCRIBED IN STATE LAW, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Summary: Requires the submittal of an impact statement regarding projects of significant impact, as described in State law.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Subchapter 19A.18.010 of the Zoning Code of the City of Las Vegas is hereby amended by adding thereto a new Section (E), reading as follows:

E. DEVELOPMENT IMPACT NOTICE AND ASSESSMENT (DINA)

1. Background

Pursuant to 1999 Statutes of Nevada, Chapter 481 ("Chapter 481"), a person who proposes to develop a project of significant impact is generally required to submit an impact statement to the local zoning authority before specified actions can be taken regarding the project. This Section implements the requirements associated with Chapter 481. The impact statement to be required by the City is identified as a Development Impact Notice and Assessment (DINA), and requires the information described in Chapter 481. The required information includes information regarding vehicle trips, student enrollment, sewage generation, water demand, storm water runoff, distance from public safety facilities, existing and planned capacities of services required for the project, and other anticipated effects of the project.

For purposes of this Section, a project is deemed to be a "project of significant impact" if it would create:

- a. Final maps or planned unit developments of 500 units or more;
- b. Tourist accommodations of 300 units or more;

1 c. A commercial or industrial facility generating more than 3,000 average daily vehicle
2 trips; or

3 d. A nonresidential development encompassing more than 160 acres.

4 **2. Applicability**

5 This Subchapter applies to all development within the City, except for any project:

6 a. Located on property which was the subject of a development agreement with a local
7 government, if the agreement became effective before June 8, 1999; or

8 b. Which was approved before June 8, 1999.

9
10 **3. Requirements Prior to and in Connection with Submittal of An Application**

11 Before scheduling a pre-application conference in accordance with Section 19A.18.010(B),
12 a person proposing a development of significant impact in connection with an application for
13 rezoning, for site development plan review, or for a special use permit must meet with agencies and
14 service providers from which the information required for a DINA report must be obtained. At the
15 pre-application conference, the applicant must present to Planning and Development staff, on forms
16 provided by the Department, the agency and provider responses that have been obtained by the
17 applicant. A completed DINA report must be submitted no later than at the time of making an
18 application under this Chapter. The Department is authorized to withhold the processing of an
19 application until a completed DINA report has been submitted. In any event, pursuant to Chapter 481,
20 a completed DINA report must be submitted at least 15 days before final action may be taken on a
21 final subdivision map, in the case of a residential subdivision, or , otherwise, before final action on
22 the project may be taken. }

23
24 **4. Review**

25 Action by the City Council concerning a project of significant impact shall be in accordance
26 with Chapter 481. Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 481, the City Council may approve a project
27 with respect to which the capacities of roads, sources of water supply or facilities for wastewater and
28 flood control will not be sufficient to support the project if the Council requires the person who

1 proposes to develop the project to carry out appropriate measures of mitigation to reduce the impact
2 of the project on those elements of infrastructure.

3 SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase in
4 this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid or
5 ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
6 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
7 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
8 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
9 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
10 invalid or ineffective.

12 SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
13 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
14 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

15 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2000.

17 APPROVED:

18 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

19 ATTEST:

21 _____
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

22 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

23 Val Steed 4-19-2006
24 _____
Date

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 _____, 2000, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8
9 VOTING "AYE": _____

10 VOTING "NAY": _____

11 ABSENT: _____

12 APPROVED:

13
14 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

15 ATTEST:

16
17 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BILL 2000-37

City Council briefs

JUNK MAIL

Fines proposed for violations

Tired of receiving unsolicited circulars in your mailbox even after you requested delivery to stop?

A bill introduced by Las Vegas City Councilman Michael Mack on Wednesday would make such deliveries a misdemeanor.

Violators would face fines of up to \$1,000 and six months in jail.

The proposal will be heard by the council's Recommending Committee at 4 p.m. May 15 and will come back before the full council in about a month.

2000 MAY 11 P 4:01

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

Send Note
Brook give this
copy to
Stance.
- 11-10-00

5-7-00

Michael Mack -

Congratulations on what you're proposing. Please make certain it includes those delivery services that stick ads on your door with marking tape (same enclosed), those that throw them on your driveway, those that rubber-band them to your garage door handle, and, those that rubber-band them to your front door knob/handle.

We are gone frequently. These unsolicited pieces tell everyone "no one is home now!" The Post Office is the least of our concern. We can call them and simply say "Hold our mail." Keep pushing for this!

Myron Macy, PO Box 35649, Las Vegas NV 89133