

MINUTES

Las Vegas, Nevada
March 17, 1971

A Regular Meeting of the Board of City Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, held this 17th day of March, 1971 was called to order by His Honor, Mayor Oran K. Gragson, at the hour of 10:00 a.m., with the following members present:

Mayor	Oran K. Gragson
Commissioner	Alexander Coblentz, M. D.
Commissioner	Hank Thornley
Commissioner	Wesley G. Howery
Commissioner	James J. Corey

STAFF PRESENT

City Manager	A. R. Trelease
City Attorney	Earl Gripenrog
Administrative Assistant	Kenneth A. Bouton
Director of Public Works	R. P. Sauer
Director of Planning	Donald J. Saylor
Director of License & Revenue	Jean Dutton
Fire Chief	J. D. Miller
Traffic Engineer	Al Bossi
Procurement Officer	J. C. Cathcart
City Clerk	Edwina M. Cole

INVOCATION

The Invocation was given by Rev. Benjamin J. Franzinelli, St. James the Apostle Catholic Church:

"If it behooves St. Patrick and the Good Lord, I would like to pass on a little saying which responds to a great Blessing of God. Perhaps it would be well said by saying first that you and I expect to pass through this life but once and if, therefore, if there be any kindness that I can show, or any good thing I can do for my fellow human beings, let me do it now. Let me not defer it, or neglect it, for I shall not pass this way again.

(Copy presented to His Honor, Mayor Gragson)

"And in memory of St. Patrick - we wouldn't want to neglect that point, there is a Blessing Prayer attributed to St. Patrick, which I would like to read: 'May the road rise to meet you - may the wind be always at your back and may The Lord hold you in the hollow of his hand 'til we meet again; and may the devil not know that you're in Heaven until a half hour after you're there'."

"Happy St. Patrick's Day."

PLEDGE OF
ALLEGIANCE

His Honor, Mayor Gragson, called the meeting to order and the Pledge of Allegiance was given.

ORDINANCE
No. 1498
Abeyance

ORDINANCE No. 1498 - AMENDING LIQUOR ORDINANCE re
WHOLESALERS' FINANCIAL INTEREST IN RETAIL ESTABLISHMENTS
Committee: Commissioners Thornley and Coblentz

Commissioner Thornley: Before we start on the regular agenda, I notice some people here who I think on behalf of the Wholesale Liquor Ordinance. Dr. Coblentz and myself are working on this and we will not be reporting that out today. I might save them some time if we make that known at this time. It looks like it will be some time before we are ready to report that out of Committee and certainly will provide notification prior to it.

AWARD OF
CONTRACTS

BID No. 70.9 - OWENS AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION FROM MAIN STREET TO 25TH STREET - ADDITIVE ALTERNATE AND BID GROUP I - STREET LIGHT ADDITIVE ALTERNATE. (Funding Agencies: Regional Streets & Highways; City of Las Vegas; City of North Las Vegas) - Public Works
(Estimate: \$548,615.05)

BID GROUP I

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the City Manager, the Purchasing Division and using department for award of contract under Bid Group I, BID No. 70.9 to NEVADA ROCK & SAND for the low bid in the amount of \$404,742.11, be APPROVED, and the Purchasing Division authorized to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

BID GROUP II held in ABEYANCE for further evaluation by the Department of Public Works.

BID No. 71.13 - 6-DRAWER CASH REGISTER - REPLACEMENT
Municipal Court
(Estimate: \$2,500.00)

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the City Manager, the Purchasing Division and using department for award of contract under Bid No. 71.13 to NATIONAL CASH REGISTER for the only bid received in the amount of \$3,207.35, be APPROVED, and the Purchasing Division authorized to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

BID No. 71.17 - 8,700 FT. 2-INCH IPS PIPE FOR SIGN ERECTION -
ALTERNATE BID, FOREIGN PIPE - Public Works
(Estimate: \$5,300.00)

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the City Manager, the Purchasing Division and using department for REJECTION of the Bid of L. B. Foster for failure to have a City Business License, and award of contract under Bid No. 71.17 to HOPPER, INC. for the low bid in the amount of \$3,697.50, be APPROVED, and the Purchasing Division authorized to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

PERMISSION TO
RECEIVE BIDS

Approved

Commissioner Howery moved that the request of the City Manager, the Purchasing Division and using departments to advertise for bids on the following services and/or materials, be APPROVED, and the Purchasing Division authorized to proceed:

ASPHALTIC CONCRETE 3/8-inch and 3/4-inch (Public Works)

LOOP DETECTORS, TYPE II - TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAINTENANCE (Public Works)

WASHING OF CITY VEHICLES - 7/1/71 TO 6/30/72 (City Garage)

Motion seconded by Commissioner Coblentz and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

SERVICE AND
MATERIAL
WARRANTS

Approved

Commissioner Coblentz moved that Service and Material Warrants Nos. C-104606, C-201613, C-201615, C-201638 to C-201898 and C-201900, in the amount of \$1,998,938.88, be APPROVED, and the Director of Finance authorized to issue.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

PAYROLL
WARRANTS

Approved

Commissioner Coblentz moved that Payroll Warrants Nos. 78238 thru 79642 for the pay period ending February 27, 1971, in the amount of \$363,488.31 be APPROVED, and the Director of Finance authorized to issue.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

V-43-69
Approved

REQUEST FOR REINSTATEMENT AND EXTENSION OF TIME -
REV. EDA A. ROBERTS

Director of Planning, Donald J. Saylor: The first item is a request for an extension of time by Rev. Roberts. You will recall that she is the person who has the church out on Vegas Drive right adjacent to Parkchester Estates and Stonehaven. This (wall map) is the particular lot in question. She was granted a Variance to continue the operation of the church predicated upon her situation that she is going to try to sell the property. She was granted a six months extension of time last September and we have now received a letter indicating that she has had the property listed for sale for more than a year, and they do have a buyer if the buyer can get the adequate financing. Therefore she is asking for an additional 6-month extension so that if the sale does go through it will give her time to find another place to move to, or if the sale doesn't go through it will give her that much more time to find a buyer. She indicates also that she has been quite ill recently.

Mayor Gragson: Is there anyone present who wants to be heard in opposition to this request?

(No response)

Commissioner Howery: Your Honor, I think we have been more than fair in this situation. I would vote for the 6-months extension with the stipulation that there will be no further extension, whether the property is sold or not.

Commissioner Howery moved that the request of Rev. Eda A. Roberts for a 6-months extension of time under V-43-69, be APPROVED, with the stipulation that no further extension be considered.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

V-4-71
Public Hearing Set

APPEAL FILED BY HENRY AND HELEN HARGROVE

to action by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in denying the request of the applicant for a Variance to allow the garage roof to extend 4 ft. above the roof of the residence where a maximum height of the accessory building is limited to the height of the main building, on property located at 1223 Purple Sage Avenue (on the west side of Purple Sage Avenue between Turquoise Road and Arrow Place) in Land Use Zone R-1.

Commissioner Howery moved that a Public Hearing on the appeal of Henry and Helen Hargrove under V-4-71 be set before the Board of City Commissioners at 10:30 a.m., April 21, 1971, and the Clerk instructed to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Coblentz and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

BONANZA SCHOOL
PLAYGROUND
Report only

Mr. Saylor: This is a brief report on the Bonanza School Playground. I believe you received a letter recently from Mr. Bennett, who is the President of the Bonanza Civic & Home-owners Assn. and that letter requested that the City help in improving the remaining property on the school grounds that is unimproved at the present time. This School is included in the application we now have before HUD, and we expect to receive approval of that application within the very near future. So we can't give it any higher priority than unless you wanted to go just with the . . .

Commissioner Howery: How much in funds?

Mr. Saylor: I don't know what the amount is . . . just off the top of my head, I think something like \$25,000.00 involved, but this is one of those that is slated for joint action with the City, the School District and Federal funding.

Commissioner Howery: Have you notified Mr. Bennett of this?

Mr. Saylor: Yes.

Mayor Gragson: I have also notified him.

APPLICATION FOR
LEAA FUNDS
Approved

Mr. Saylor: The next item is an application by the Police Department for LEAA funds to purchase some visual aid equipment consisting of a Transparency Maker with related supportive equipment. This equipment will be used for instruction purposes.

Total Project cost	\$844.00
Federal funds	\$500.00
Local share	\$344.00 - to be provided with in-kind services

Mr. Saylor: This means there would be no cash-out-of-pocket.

Commissioner Thornley moved that the request of the Police Department to make application for LEAA funds for the purchase of Visual Aid Equipment be APPROVED and Staff authorized to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Coblenz and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblenz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

V-5-71
Public Hearing Set

APPEAL FILED BY RON RUDIN REALTY

to action by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in approving the request of CRAGIN INDUSTRIES for a Variance to allow the reduction of off-street parking spaces for the Red Rock Theatre from 243 to 207 on property located at 5201 West Charleston Blvd. (on the south side of W. Charleston between Montclair and Wilshire) in Land Use Zone C-1.

Commissioner Howery moved that a Public Hearing on the appeal of Ron Rudin Realty under V-5-71, be set before the Board of City Commissioners at 10:30 a.m., April 21, 1971, and the Clerk instructed to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Z-10-71

Approved subject
to conditions

ZONE CHANGE Z-10-71 - WILLIAM PECCOLE

Mr. Saylor: This is the application of Peccole for change in zoning from R-1 to R-3 for property located on the east side of 10th Street, about half way in the block, between Bonneville and Garces. The request for the change in zoning is to permit the construction of a tri-plex. The property to the east is zoned R-3 already; to the north is R-4; to the south, R-4 and Commercial. This is part of this (wall map) pocket of single family development, which has been slowly transitioning. There are some non-conforming rental units in the area already . . . and the Planning Commission has recommended approval of the application. There were no protests.

Mayor Gragson: Is there anyone present who wants to be heard in opposition to this application?

(No response)

Commissioner Corey moved that the recommendation of the Planning Commission under Z-10-71, be APPROVED, subject to the following conditions:

1. Resolution of Intent to be restricted to a 12-month time limit.
2. Conformance to the Plot Plan on file in the Planning Department.
3. Dedication of necessary rights-of-way and signing an agreement and posting a bond for the installation of off-site improvements, as required by the Department of Public Works.
4. Landscaping as required by the Planning Department.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Z-7-71

Denied

ZONE CHANGE Z-7-71 - JOHN PAPPAS

Mr. Saylor: The next item is the application of John Pappas for a change in zoning from C-2 to C-2 for property generally located on the east side of Tonopah Highway, just south of Washington Avenue. The proposed use is a Used Car Lot. The Planning Commission has recommended denial. There was a substantial protest factor from the tenants of the Harry Levy Gardens, the Senior Citizens development, which is located here (wall map). This is Washington Avenue - Tonopah Highway - the Housing Development here - Thriftmart. The rest of this is pretty much developed as commercial. However, it is C-1 (neighborhood commercial) and the proposed use for the Used Car Lot - I believe there was also a protest from the Housing Authority itself. You will remember that some two years ago the owner of the property right at the corner of Rancho and Bonanza Road applied for a rezoning to permit a Used Car Lot and that action was denied. I think it's the intent of the Planning

Commission not to introduce the Used Car Lot operation along in this commercial area.

Mayor Gragson: Is the applicant here and want to be heard?

Walter J. Richards: May I be heard in behalf of the applicant - the location is substantially the same as has been pointed out. It is just south of Washington on the Tonopah Highway. Most of the objections that were brought out at the Planning Commission meeting were by residents of the Harry Levy Gardens. I read one of their petitions - they were on typewritten sheets, which said "We request that a permit for a used car lot be denied. It would be extremely detrimental to our beautiful Gardens. It would also open the area surrounding to similar types of obnoxious business." I think we should point out at this time . . . everyone has rights, of course, but the residents of Levy Gardens are not property owners. They do not own property in that area to my knowledge. There we have all types of businesses. For example, adjoining this property is a service station and that service station services automobiles - drains oil and has cars out in back and the usual operations of a service station. To the north across Washington, there is a self-service car wash that makes, as you know, considerable noise and has water pouring around. South on Tonopah Highway at approximately Bonanza, is another car wash, but that car wash is a man-operated car wash with approximately six men working there . . . there is a hotdog stand, a chicken place, a pizza place and right next door, a do-nut stand. Across the street on Washington within 150 or 200 ft. from this property, is another service station. When we break the thing down it seems like this to me: They say it is an obnoxious business. When they say that, they are really objecting to automobiles. We submitted a plan on which we showed how many cars we were going to put on that lot. We showed the landscaping in front. There will be no repairing of cars. There will be no "junkers" and if you came up the street and you didn't look and see a sign that said "Used Cars" you wouldn't think it was anything but exactly the same as the cars parked across the street at the K-Mart or at the Shopping Center north of Washington. There's cars all over the place - cars are parked everywhere. Mr. Pappas, I feel, has a right to use his property and I feel that he is not proposing to do anything that will be objectionable. There is a building there. The men will be in the building. The building will be painted. It is blacktopped now and it will be conducted in an orderly manner, and what is the difference between somebody selling a car and somebody selling a do-nut or having their car serviced at the service station which is within 50 ft. of this property? I ask you to consider these things. I think they are important. I would like to place this stipulation if it's possible - that if at any time the business is operated so as to be a detriment or so as to be obnoxious, so to speak, that this permit be revoked. All they want to do now is to put some cars in there, which will be clean cars. They will have, I suppose, some flags or something like that, but that is all. There will be landscaping in front. I ask you, please, to consider Mr. Pappas in this matter because there is a discrimination here and there could be a taking of property, because he does not have the right to use his property for the purpose he wants. I would ask you to consider all of these factors. I would ask you to consider the fact that automobiles are all over and that, in effect, this is no different than a parking lot. I would also like to have you consider the fact that the people who objected do not own property. They have rights, but they are

not property owners. I submit the matter to you and I ask you please to consider it and I would humbly request that you grant Mr. Pappas application for this rezoning. Thank you.

Mayor Gragson: Does anyone want to be heard in opposition?

Bud Weeks: I am assistant to Mr. Arthur D. Sartini, the Executive Director of the Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas. I beg your indulgence for his absence because he is tied up in another meeting. The Housing Authority objected to this request for rezoning, as well as the tenants of Levy Gardens. The site for the Harry Levy Gardens was picked because of the orderly development in that part of the community, which included the limited commercial facilities which would serve that neighborhood and the neighborhood surrounding it, and I think that the introduction of Harry Levy Gardens more than improved the whole community, and further believe that this would open the door to Pandora's Box and subtract from the planned orderly development of the neighborhood. The tenants of Harry Levy Gardens don't own Harry Levy Gardens per se, but "every man's home is his castle" and there are 150 small beautiful castles in that large big and beautiful castle.

Commissioner Corey: Mr. Weeks, do you specifically object to a used car lot, or commercial, or what is it specifically that you object to?

Mr. Weeks: Specifically, we objected to dropping from the C-1 to C-2.

Commissioner Corey: Not per se the car lot, but the zone change -

Mr. Weeks: We don't believe that the used car lot would adequately serve any particular needs of that community. At the Planning Commission meeting there were other people who opposed this. I believe there is an organization of the Bonanza Village residents, and that was represented last Thursday night. We haven't heard from them today.

Commissioner Coblenz: What's so bad about a used car lot? The traffic in and out is less than it is from a hamburger joint.

Mr. Weeks: I believe the basis for the protest by the Housing Authority is more related to the dropping of the level of zoning.

Mayor Gragson: Is there anything in our ordinance that would permit this under a Use Permit?

Mr. Saylor: No. Our ordinance recognizes a used car lot as something other than the uses permitted in the C-1 Zone and, in fact, if you have a piece of property that is already zoned C-2, you can't put a used car lot in as a matter of right. You have to get a Use Permit even in a C-2 Zone.

Commissioner Howery: Then it requires a C-2 Zone and a Use Permit?

Mr. Saylor: Right. This came about, if you will recall several years ago . . . right at the corner of 5th Street and Fremont . . . somebody put a used car lot in there. It was felt that was not a proper location and that's when we amended the ordinance and put that further stipulation in it.

Mayor Gragson: Then, if the zoning was granted for C-2, any C-2 use could be automatically put in there -

Mr. Saylor: You could, if you wanted to, approve the C-2 just for the used car lot. You could restrict it that way.

Commissioner Howery: And any change in the use would require that it come back before this Board?

Mr. Saylor: Right. But if you do that then you have established a pattern of C-2 uses in that particular area.

Commissioner Corey: Are there any hours of operation specified?

Mr. Saylor: Not that I know of.

Mayor Gragson: Are there any further comments to be made before this Board?

(No response)

Commissioner Thornley moved that the recommendation of the Planning Commission under Z-7-71 (for denial) be APPROVED.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none. Commissioner Corey passed his vote.

V-52-70
Appeal
Denied

10:30 A.M. - PUBLIC HEARING

Mayor Gragson announced this to be the hour, date and place set for Public Hearing on

APPEAL FILED BY JAMES LAKE

to action by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in denying the applicant's request for a Variance to allow the construction of a 7 ft. high masonry wall around the entire property, where a 6 ft. wall maximum height is allowed in the rear yard, and a 4 ft. maximum height is allowed in the front yard, on property located at 2725 Burton Avenue (on the SE corner of Burton and Cahlan Drive) in Land Use Zone R-1

and declared the Public Hearing open.

Mr. Saylor: This is an appeal action to a denial by the Board of Zoning Adjustment to allow a 7 ft. high masonry wall around a residential property located at the southeast corner of Burton and Cahlan Drive. This (wall map) is the particular lot in question. At the Board of Zoning Adjustment meeting there were protests to this as represented by the black dots on the map. This plot plan indicates the applicant's property - this being Burton and this being Cahlan. The proposal is, as submitted by the applicant, to put a 7 ft. high wall around the entire property. There are portions of wall existing at the present time. There is a wall along this line and along here and along here, I believe. The applicant's position is that he wants to enclose the property to enjoy a certain amount of privacy. This elevation shows the wall that he intends to build if the Variance is approved. As you can see, it's a very well designed wall with insets for the various types of plantings. The Board of Zoning Adjustment, at the time

they took the action of recommending denial, requested of the Planning Commission an evaluation of the ordinance requirements relative to the maximum height of walls. We are talking in terms of two different sets of circumstances. In other words, our ordinance presently allows a 6 ft. high wall around rear and side yards, but in the front it permits only a 4 ft. high wall, with 50% open. The Planning Commission did not get into the question of whether or not the ordinance should be amended relative to the height of fences in the front yard, but only the 6 ft. rear yard and side yard areas. We did some research on this and established that more cities restricted the height to 6 ft. than did allow a height greater than 6 ft., although cities allow more than a 6 ft. high wall. We were not able to establish where the 6 ft. came from originally. As long as I can remember being in the Planning field, it has been used as somewhat of a standard and I think it's just an historical type of thing that has been perpetuated. We attempted to establish whether or not people are, in fact, growing taller these days . . . we did establish that they are. The average height of the American male is increasing but it's a relatively slow process - it's about one inch in every thirty years. We did establish that the average height of the American male, I think in 1970, was 5 ft. 10-1/2 inches, which means that if that is the average height, quite a few of them must be over 6 ft. tall. So there is a question there of whether or not the 6 ft. limitation does provide the visual privacy that it did thirty or sixty years ago. The Planning Commission after evaluating this information, took a position I think pretty much that they felt there was no demanding reason to change the ordinance. They did not necessarily indicate that they had any strong position that it shouldn't be changed, but in the absence of some good reason to change it, they have recommended that the ordinance not be changed. Our ordinance specifies that you can go 6 ft. above the finish grade. This pool is above ground. In other words, it sets up four or five feet above the ground grade. This does not mean to say that he could build a wall 6 ft. above the height of this pool under the existing ordinance. However, he could build an accessory building along this line and this line which could go up as high as this building, and the effect would be the same - of a blank wall here and a blank wall there (wall map). So the height of an accessory structure could go substantially above the pool, but no higher than the house. As I mentioned earlier, the Board of Zoning Adjustment has recommended denial of the Variance. The Planning Commission has taken the position that the ordinance should not be changed and I have pointed out to you the locations of the protestants. There is some slight difference in grade between lots - this lot is a little higher than this lot, but not substantially, and I think these are a little higher than this one.

Commissioner Corey: Is there an alley behind this house?

Mr. Saylor: No - it abuts to a residence here and a residence here.

Commissioner Corey: There's a gate back there by the pool area, and then there's a gate up front?

Mr. Saylor: That is the proposal. The existing wall is set back in here -

Mayor Gragson: Is the applicant here and want to be heard?

(No response)

Mayor Gragson: Is there anyone here wishing to be heard in opposition?

(No response)

Written protests from the following were reviewed by the Commission:

Cathcart, Mr. and Mrs. Joe	2718 Burton Ave.
Harley, Philip C., B.S., E.E., M.A.	2713 Burton Ave.
Haven, Ralph W. and Mary S.	1300 Cahlan Drive
Horton, Mrs. Charles L.	2804 Burton Ave.
Jacobson, R. R.	2801 Bryant Ave.
Lawrence, Clifford J.	2707 Burton Ave.
Trelease, Carolyn	2728 Bryant Ave.
Ripps, Denise	2718 Bryant Ave.
Ross, R. Ian	2905 Burton Ave.
Underhill, Georgena C.	2712 Burton Ave.

Commissioner Thornley moved that the action of the Board of Zoning Adjustment denying a Variance under V-52-70, be APPROVED.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Coblentz and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Z-27-68

Approved subject
to conditions

PLOT PLAN REVIEW - Z-27-68 - ASHCRAFT & RISHLING

Mr. Saylor: This is a plot plan review under the application of Ashcraft & Rishling. You will remember that about a year and a half ago this property, which is located along the Freeway south of Sahara - an application was made for some R-4 zoning and R-T. They were going to put in a transient mobile home occupancy. This portion of the property (wall map) was zoned R-T for that purpose. A piece of this was zoned R-4 for apartments. All of this to the north is already R-4. The mobile home development has not taken place. The person who has purchased the R-4 piece and wants to build apartments on it, has also purchased a part of the property zoned R-T, which does allow a density of R-3. However, in that it was approved for R-T purposes originally, it has to come back for a plot plan review, plus there was a further condition that as part of the trailer park development that a wall be put along this line. If you allow him to go ahead with this development, that would put the wall right about to the middle of his development. The purpose of the wall was merely, you might say, a buffer of the R-T development. He is requesting that the wall condition be waived and that he be allowed to develop the R-4 piece and a piece of the R-T with R-3 density on it, for an apartment house complex as shown on here. This is Wyandotte Street - these are the proposed apartments and the parking area here. It is set north from the single family homes . . . there's a driveway and swimming pool before the apartments start, plus there was a stipulation on the original zoning that the first building of the apartments be but one story. The Planning Commission has recommended that this change be allowed but that a 6 ft. wall be put in.

Page 11
Minutes
Regular Meeting
March 17, 1971

Mayor Gragson: Were the people in this area notified of this ?

Mr. Saylor: No.

Mayor Gragson: And the R-T use won't go in there now?

Mr. Saylor: No. The reason we didn't think it was necessary to notify these people is because he already has permission to build the apartments on this piece. All he is asking for is an additional piece to the east of it which was a part of the original R-T and we felt it would be a much better use put into the apartment house development.

Mayor Gragson: Is there anyone present who wants to be heard?

(No response)

Commissioner Corey moved that the recommendation of the Planning Commission on the Plot Plan Review under Z-27-68, be APPROVED, subject to the following conditions:

1. Conformance to the Revised Plot Plan on file in the Planning Department.
2. A 6 ft. block wall to be constructed along the south line of the development abutting the single family residential property.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Z-11-71
Approved

ZONE CHANGE Z-11-71 - STAN BALDWIN

Mr. Saylor: This relates to the application of Stan Baldwin for change in zoning from R-1 to C-1 for property located at the southwest corner of Poplar and 25th Street. This is the lot in question (wall map). Immediately to the north is a Winchell Do-Nut Shop, I believe. Immediately to the south is the Pondrosa Appliance Store. Over here is a Kentucky Chicken and here is where the veterinarian was recently approved. This is in accord with the Master Plan of transitioning to commercial along 25th Street. The proposed use is a drive-in cleaning establishment. This shows the plot plan with the building along this portion of the property with parking in here and across the front of it here. The Planning Commission has recommended approval with certain stipulations. There were no protests at the Planning Commission meeting.

Commissioner Howery: I will ask the applicant - I believe there was some slight change he wanted on the plot plan - is that right?

Mr. Baldwin: Yes, but I would like to leave that up to Mr. Saylor and his staff.

Mayor Gragson: Is there anyone who wants to be heard on this matter?

(No response)

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the Planning Commission under Z-11-71, be APPROVED, subject to the following conditions:

1. Resolution of Intent to be restricted to a 12-month time limit.
2. Conformance to the Plot Plan on file in the Planning Department. (Minor changes to be approved by Planning Staff)
3. Dedication of necessary rights-of-way and signing an agreement and posting a bond for the installation of off-site improvements, as required by the Department of Public Works.
4. Conformance to the requirements of the Fire and Building Departments.
5. Landscaping as required by the Planning Department.
6. A 6 ft. block wall to be constructed along the west property line, transitioning to meet the front yard requirements.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CHARITABLE
SOLICITATIONS
PERMITS
Approved

APPROVED BY THE SOLICITATIONS REVIEW BOARD

Commissioner Thornley moved that the following applications for Charitable Solicitations Permits, be APPROVED, and the Director of License & Revenue authorized to issue:

FRATERNAL ORDER OF EAGLES - Tickets to an Outdoor Bar-B-Q; Raffle Tickets and a 30-hour Cocktail Party

WALTER BRACKEN P.T.A. - School Carnival

CHILDRENS SERVICE GUILD OF SO. NEVADA - Tickets to a Luncheon

RAINBOW GIRLS ASSEMBLY No. 9 - Rummage Sale

CIRCULO CUBANO DE LAS VEGAS - Raffle Tickets and Benefit Dinner

MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY ASSN. - St. Patrick's Day mailing - "A Shamrock for Dystrophy"

PHILOTOCHOS SOCIETY, PANAGIA CHAPTER - St. John's Greek Orthodox Church - Tickets to Annual Greek Easter Dinner/Dance

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

GAMING - ADDITIONAL
Approved

Commissioner Howery moved that the following applications for additional games under existing Gaming Licenses, be APPROVED, and the Director of License & Revenue authorized to issue:

GOLDEN NUGGETT, INC. 129 Fremont Street 7 SLOTS	Golden Nugget, Inc.
ERNIE'S BAR 1901 Tonopah Highway 2 SLOTS	Alstate Enterprises, Inc.
BOBBY GREENE'S COW PALACE 1511 Nellis Blvd. 1 SLOT	Alstate Coin Mach. Co.
BOBBY GREENE'S COW PALACE 1511 Nellis Blvd. 4 SLOTS	Bally Distributing Co.
49'ER SALOON/CASINO 25th & Owens 2 SLOTS	Silver Slots, Inc.
49'ER SALOON/CASINO 1556 N. 25th Street 1 SLOT	J. J. Parker Co.
49'ER SALOON/CASINO 1556 N. 25th Street 1 SLOT	Standard Games
TRAP HOUSE 6119 Clarice 1 SLOT	Alstate Coin Mach. Co.
DUCK-IN BAR 2839 W. Sahara Ave. 1 SLOT	J & H Vending
GOLDEN NUGGET 129 Fremont Street 1 SLOT	Quarter Horse
COIN CASTLE CASINO 15 Fremont Street 2 SLOTS	Standard Games
THE CAROUSEL 32 Fremont Street 1 SLOT	Acme Novelty
GOLDEN HOTEL 200 South 1st Street 1 SLOT	J. J. Parker Co.

COIN CASTLE CASINO
15 Fremont Street
25 SLOTS

Money Tree, Inc.

THE KITTY
1701 S. Main Street
1 SLOT

Nev. Acceptance Corp.

JERRY'S LIQUOR/BEER BAR
1604 W. Oakey Blvd.
1 SLOT

J & H Vending

CHARLESTON HEIGHTS LIQUOR
465 S. Decatur Blvd.
1 SLOT

Automatic Amusements

HONEST JOHN'S LIQUORS
2444 Las Vegas Blvd., So.
2 SLOTS

Standard Games, Inc.

RANCHO MARKET
111 Las Vegas Blvd., No.
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

FOOD FAIR
22 E. Oakey Blvd.
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

BOTTLE HOUSE LIQUOR
827 Las Vegas Blvd., So.
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

THE PUB
1000 Torrey Pines
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

PIONEER CLUB
25 Fremont Street
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

FOXY DOG No. 2
2425 Las Vegas Blvd., So.
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

DAN & RAY'S BAR
18 Garces Avenue
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

PINKY'S LIQUORS
1232 Las Vegas Blvd., So.
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

JAY'S LIQUOR
1111 S. Decatur Blvd.
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

PANORAMA MARKET
4101 W. Charleston Blvd.
1 SLOT

Standard Games, Inc.

LUCKY'S
2466 Las Vegas Blvd., So.
1 SLOT
Standard Games, Inc.

DUCK-IN BAR
2839 W. Sahara Ave.
1 SLOT
Standard Games, Inc.

GOLDEN HOTEL
200 South 1st Street
1 SLOT
Standard Games, Inc.

VINCE'S TAVERN
4213 W. Sahara Ave.
1 SLOT
Standard Games, Inc.

LOVELAND'S COUNTRY FAIR
2421 E. Bonanza Road
1 SLOT
Standard Games, Inc.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

RETAIL TOBACCO -
ADDITIONAL
Approved

Commissioner Thornley moved that the following applications for additional outlets under existing Retail Tobacco Licenses, be APPROVED, and the Director of License & Revenue authorized to proceed:

HUNGRY HERMIT
412 North 25th Street
Meranto's Snack Vending

JOHNNY'S ITALIAN BEEF, LTD.
505 Fremont Street
W & W Vending

DILLER'S RESTAURANT
1100 E. Sahara Ave.
W & W Vending

APACHE MOTEL
407 South Main St.
Terry's Vending

DAN & RAY'S BAR
18 Garces Ave.
Terry's Vending

ROULETTE MOTEL
2019 E. Fremont St.
Terry's Vending

SULINDA MOTEL
2035 Las Vegas Blvd., So.
Terry's Vending

FRANK'S SARATOGA RACE BOOK
114 South 1st Street
American Vending

NEVADA STATE BANK
4th & Carson
American Vending

ORANGE JULIUS 103 Las Vegas Blvd., So.	American Vending
BRATHENDLE 504 S. Decatur Blvd.	American Vending
SOUTH STRIP SHELL 1619 Las Vegas Blvd., So.	American Vending
49'ER SALOON/CASINO 1556 North 25th Street	S. Smith Vending
JAY'S LIQUOR 1111 S. Decatur Blvd.	S. Smith Vending
PINKY'S LIQUOR 1232 Las Vegas Blvd., So.	S. Smith Vending
THE ANNEX 121-1/2 S. Casino Center Blvd.	S. Smith Vending

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

LIQUOR, GAMING
& RETAIL TOBACCO
Approved

ADDITIONAL CORPORATE OFFICER

Commissioner Howery moved that the following application for an additional corporate office under existing Liquor, Gaming and Retail Tobacco Licenses, be APPROVED and the Director of License & Revenue authorized to proceed:

SHOWBOAT, INC.

Additional Corporate
Officer:

Louis M. Dixon,
Secy/Director

Joseph H. Kelly,
Pres. et al

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

GAMING - NEW
Approved

Commissioner Thornley moved that the following application for a new Gaming License, be APPROVED, and the Director of License & Revenue authorized to issue:

FREDDIE'S COFFEE SHOP
320 E. Carson Avenue
3 SLOTS

A.R.T., Inc. - Maroon
J. Tarsha, Pres.,
Sole Officer/
Stockholder 100%

Motion seconded by Commissioner Corey and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

LIQUOR
Approved

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF CLOSURE

Commissioner Corey moved that the following applications for extension of closure under existing Liquor Licenses, be APPROVED, and the Director of License & Revenue authorized to proceed:

COVE HOTEL

Sam Mintz

"D" & Jackson Streets
TAVERN

(Closed 8/4/70. Requests an additional 60 days - 4/1/71 thru 5/30/71)

ELKHORN LIQUORS

R & H, Inc.

132 Las Vegas Blvd., So.

Abe Fox, Pres.

PACKAGE LIQUOR/BEER BAR

(Closed 8/4/70. Requests an additional 60 days - 4/1/71 thru 5/30/71)

NEW CINNABAR

William T. Papagna

111 Ogden Avenue

TAVERN

(Closed 6/1/70. Requests an additional 60 days - 3/28/71 thru 5/26/71. Must be open by midnight 6/1/71)

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

SECONDHAND
DIAMOND LICENSE
Special License
Extended for
Six months

SPECIAL RESOLUTION ADOPTED APRIL 1, 1971 - ADDITIONAL TRIAL PERIOD UP AS OF APRIL 1, 1971

"WHEREAS, Title VI, Chapter 8, Section 4, prohibits Class III specialty shops along Fremont Street in Las Vegas, Nevada; and

"WHEREAS, ALBERT F. SANFORD, 228 Fremont Street has requested the City Commission to grant him a license for the purpose of buying diamonds from individuals; and

"WHEREAS, the City Commission is amenable to permitting the purchase of second-hand diamonds on Fremont Street on a six-month trial basis without outdoor sign advertising; and

"WHEREAS, the City Commission is desirous if the trial period proves to be in the best interests of the City, to amend Ordinance No. 584, Class III, to permit diamond purchasing specialty second-half shops on Fremont Street.

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, at a regular meeting thereof held on the 1st day of April, 1970, that ALBERT J. SANFORD, JEWELER, 223 Fremont Street, be permitted to purchase diamonds from individuals for a period of six (6) months, providing he only utilizes 8"x10" window sign advertising.

"PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 1st day of April, 1970."

Commissioner Howery: This Resolution covered two 6-month trial periods and, insofar as I know, there have been no problems.

Commissioner Corey: Is Mr. Sanford here?

Answer: No.

Commissioner Corey: Have you had any problems, Mr. Dutton?

Director of License & Revenue, Jean Dutton: No sir -

Commissioner Howery: This request means that he wishes to continue to operate is that right?

Mr. Dutton: Inasmuch as this is a little deviation from the Ordinance and we have had this one down there for the two 6-months periods, perhaps the ordinance should be amended.

Mayor Gragson; I will appoint Commissioners Corey and Howery on that Committee.

Commissioner Howery: Let the City Attorney draft the proposed change.

AMBULANCE
SERVICE

Referred
(C & T)

INCREASE IN RATES

MERCY MEDICAL SUPPLY/MERCY AMBULANCE SERVICE
1710 W. Charleston Blvd.

Attorney Tom Bell: This is a request by Mercy Ambulance to increase the base rate from what we have been operating under - \$30.00 - to \$35.00. I think actually the schedule of rates you people have on file in the City was \$25.00. I believe that was an old rate schedule that the old Mercy Ambulance had on file with the City, so the actual request at this time is to increase to \$35.00 as the base rate. You will note that some charges are being deleted now that the Company was charging for stairways and different levels of pick-up. Those are all deleted now and we request to go to the base rate of \$35.00 and \$1.00 from point of pick-up to the point of destination; \$5.00 for oxygen and \$5.00 additional for a night call from 6:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. Under your ordinance we are required to get the approval of this Commission before we can make any changes and that is the purpose of my appearance here at this time.

Commissioner Howery: How long have you been operating under the old rates?

Mr. Bell: Ever since the inception which was about two and a half years ago - under the old rate.

Commissioner Howery: And you aren't making any money?

Mr. Bell: No - and that rate, incidently, is about the National average . . . maybe slightly below the National average.

Commissioner Thornley: Mr. Bell, is this right, that the biggest item in your schedule of general overhead is bad debts?

Mr. Bell: That's correct. I might point out to you and we may well submit to you at a later date an actual complete breakdown of the number of calls and number of bad debts in the City. I

do have a breakdown now - I think I gave it to Mr. Dutton - and what apparently is happening . . . in the City the Ambulance responds to the call and may pick up a lot of people who give bad addresses. Some are nothing but drunks who have fallen down - they are taken to the hospital - the ambulance driver attempts to get some identification of residence from them and they don't even have a wallet or a billfold. But the Company is obligated to respond to these calls and pick the people up. You will notice that for a 6-month period, in the City, there were 522 such calls, where the Ambulance Company was obligated to pick up such a person for which there was no pay. We have given to Mr. Dutton the procedure that is used by Mercy in order to collect. We think it's a good one . . . maybe it should be tried a little more . . . but it seems to be more than any other company would do. Many of these people give bad addresses and some don't have any identification so it's almost impossible to collect. If it is determined that the ambulance driver can't collect, it is turned over to a Collection Agency to make a further attempt. We think that the request is reasonable - is justified under the circumstances - and would request your favorable approval. Incidentally, alluding to the service rendered by the Ambulance Company, I would like to high-light three or four incidents during 1970. I am sure all of you know there were about four events in Clark County that occurred . . . The Valley of Fire school bus accident. Six vehicles were dispatched to that accident. The call was received at 1:08 p.m. and all the children - 28 of them - were safely in the hospital by 2:46 p.m. Actually they were all removed from the scene of the accident out there before the Nellis helicopter arrived. The Stardust fire was another incident. There were six vehicles there standing by for more than four hours. All of these vehicles are fully equipped . . . they were administering oxygen from time to time to anyone that needed it. The Sahara Hotel explosion was another incident - 40 patients were taken to Sunrise Hospital and 28 to Southern Nevada Memorial. Six vehicles were used there and another incident is the Stateline accident in California. Four units were dispatched to that accident. It was a very bad one and adequate service was rendered. I merely point to these to demonstrate that Mercy Ambulance is a professional company. All vehicles are fully equipped and all drivers and attendants are fully trained as required by this City and in line with National standards.

Commissioner Howery: I would say that we have had less problems in the last year than ever before in the history of ambulance service in Las Vegas.

Commissioner Thornley: That is one reason I wanted to point out the bad debt aspect - because the obligation has been recognized without determining before they are picked up and treatment administered whether or not they are financially responsible. That comes after, and that, I think, is unfortunate that it accrues to a bad debt in an amount this great, but it is still the type of service we have to have and eliminates a lot of complaints.

Page 20
Minutes
Regular Meeting
March 17, 1971

Earl Gripenrog, City Attorney: Mr. Bell, we do have one problem. The Fire Department - I think it is primarily with Mercy Ambulance The Fire Department responds to a call. The ambulance is also called. The ambulance driver determines that the man is dead and he says - well, we are not going to take him in. The Fire Department, not being under the medical profession, cannot

decide that the man, in fact, is dead. They continue with their resuscitation operations, etc. and they are required to hold their units there for some time. If, when the Fire Department arrives and the ambulance driver who, I don't believe, should make the determination that the man is, in fact, dead, if he would at least take the man to the hospital we could resolve that problem. That is the only problem that has come to my attention.

Mr. Bell: What I think we can do there is to get the manager of the Company together with the Fire Chief and resolve this one. If it is a problem, I'm sure we can resolve it. The Company has strived for 100% perfection - we hope to attain it - we can't always do that, but if the Fire Department has a problem I will direct the Manager of the Company to get together with the Chief and see if this problem that has come to your attention can be resolved.

City Attorney: I don't think it would occur more than six times a year.

Commissioner Corey: Your Honor, I would recommend that you appoint a Committee to sit down with Mr. Bell and go over these figures . . . and another complaint here from the Police Department . . . and the Fire Department . . . straighten out all the matters and come back with a recommendation.

Mayor Gragson: The request for the increase in the cost of the service, in light of increases by the hospitals, etc., isn't at all out of line. I am prepared to act on it now and then appoint a Committee to get with the Ambulance service and check out and correct these areas where there are any problems -

Commissioner Corey: I haven't had a chance to look at the financial statements, or anything else - we just got these this morning. I'd rather have a little knowledge, or have somebody on the Commission look at it, before I would vote on it.

Mr. Bell: Commissioner Corey, I can assure you of this - the increase is certainly equal, or below, the National average for this type of service. It is a reasonable sum, we think, to be charged. If it is determined by any Committee that that is not the case, after examination of the financial statements, we will be willing to come back before the Board for re-consideration of the rate increase, if it's an unreasonable amount we are requesting.

Commissioner Corey: I haven't had a chance to look at the statements and I refuse to vote on anything that is not recommended by a Committee or that I have not had a chance to look at.

Mayor Gragson: I will appoint Commissioners Corey and Thornley to review this request with the instruction to report back at our next Regular Meeting.

Attorney Bell: I might point out here that it might well be determined that when you go through these reports and examining the number of calls and number of bad debts, that the sum presently received from the City may well be inadequate - the \$1,500.00 . . .

CHARITABLE
SOLICITATIONS
PERMITS
Approved

APPROVED BY THE SOLICITATIONS REVIEW BOARD

Commissioner Corey moved that the following applica-
tions for Charitable Solicitations Permits be APPROVED
and the Director of License & Revenue authorized to issue:

WESTLAND MALL SHOPPING CENTER - Garage Sale, March 27th

NATIONAL ASSN. OF POWER ENGINEERS, AUXILIARY -
Participation in Westland Mall Garage Sale

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by
the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley,
Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

LIQUOR
Approved

CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP

Commissioner Howery moved that the following appli-
cation for a change of ownership under an existing
Liquor License, be APPROVED, and the Director of
License & Revenue authorized to make the appropriate
change:

WEST HILLS RESTAURANT/LOUNGE
4457 W. Charleston Blvd.
TAVERN

From:
William Peccole
To:
Macayo Vegas, Inc.
Edmon Haddad 49%
Woodrow V. and
Victoria R.
Johnson 51%

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried
by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley,
Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

FIREARMS PERMIT -
NEW
Approved

Commissioner Corey moved that the following application
for a new Firearms Permit, be APPROVED, and the Director
of License & Revenue authorized to issue:

TOP GUN
2506 E. Fremont Street

Sherril L. Ware

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried
by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley,
Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

SUBDIVISION
AGREEMENTS
Abeyance

EXTENSION OF TIME

(First Western Savings & Loan Assn. has requested a 6-month
extension of time for Agreements on the following Subdivisions
to cover installation of offsite improvements, due to delays of
home marketing problems. The extension of time would be
conditioned upon the renewal of bond to cover said improve-
ments, with new completion date to be August 1, 1971:

Page 22
Minutes
Regular Meeting
March 17, 1971

<u>Subdivision</u>	<u>Extension</u>	<u>% complete</u>
CHARLESTON GARDENS No. 1	12th	60
COLLEGE HEIGHTS No. 3-A	12th	60)

Director of Public Works, Richard P. Sauer: The extension of time on these two subdivisions has come before you before. We have just received just this morning the report from the Power Company on what it would cost to remove the present facilities. We have not had a chance to go over these figures in any detail so I would like this held until the next regular meeting of the Commission.

RELEASE OF
SUBDIVISION
BONDS
Approved

(Commissioner Thornleft left Commission Chambers temporarily)

Mr. Sauer: All offsite improvements on the following subdivisions, except 1/2-inch open-grade surfacing on Alta Drive and Antelope Way, have been completed in accordance with Agreements and City standards. All work has been inspected by the Public Works, Fire, Electrical and Sanitation Departments and it is recommended that the improvements be accepted for

CHARLESTON-RAINBOW No. 9-A

(Note: A bond in the amount of \$2,500.00 has been posted to cover the open-grade surfacing)

Mr. Sauer: All sidewalk improvements have been completed in accordance with City standards, inspected by Public Works, and it is recommended that these improvements be accepted for

CHARLESTON HEIGHTS No. 20-B.

Commissioner Coblentz moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works for release of subdivision bonds on Charleston-Rainbow No. 9-A and Charleston Heights No. 20-B, be APPROVED, and the Clerk authorized to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CONSTRUCTION
CHANGE ORDER
Approved

BID No. 70.89 - CONTRACT CLV 789 - ALTA/ALGIERS DRAINAGE CHANNEL

Mr. Sauer: The contractor, Rico Paving & Grading Co., has requested a 10-day extension of time to complete the required contract work. Justifiable delays have been encountered by the Contractor due to inclement weather and a contract modification. The 10-day extension of time is recommended for approval.

Commissioner Corey moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works for a 10-day extension of time under Bid No. 70.89, be APPROVED, and the City Manager and Director of Finance authorized to proceed with the Change Order.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Coblentz and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Page 23
Minutes
Regular Meeting
March 17, 1971

(Commissioner Thornley returned to the Commission Chambers)

RIGHT-OF-WAY
ITEMS
Approved

Commissioner Coblentz moved that the following right-of-way items be APPROVED, and the Department of Public Works authorized to proceed:

GRANT DEED

From: Elbert and Ruth Lyles
To: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
For: Portion of Lot 11, Block 3, Biltmore Addition
BONANZA ROAD

GRANT DEED

From: Willard R. and Mary Virginia Jones
To: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
For: Portion of SW 1/4, Sec. 33, T19S, R60E
DURANGO AND WASHBURN

GRANT DEED

From: A. M. Investment Co.
To: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
For: Portion of NE 1/4, Sec. 34, T20S, R60E
SEWER EASEMENT

QUIT CLAIM DEED

From: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
To: Sproul Homes
For: Relinquishment of easement within Charleston
Rainbow, Unit No. 6-D

EASEMENT

From: Union Pacific RR Co.
To: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
For: Portion of Sec. 27, 33 and 34, T20S, R61E
RAILROAD'S INDUSTRIAL PARK AREA

RIGHT-OF-WAY GRANT

From: J. E. Kenny, Jr.
To: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
For: Portion of NW 1/4, Sec. 31, T20S, R62E
SEWER EASEMENT - VICINITY OF STEWART
AND LAMB

GRANT DEED

From: Frank J. and Pauline Vlach
To: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
For: Portion of NE 1/4, Sec. 31, T20S, R62E
STEWART AVENUE

QUIT CLAIM DEED

From: Housing Authority of City of Las Vegas
To: CITY OF LAS VEGAS
For: Portion of NE 1/4, Sec. 36, T20S, R60E
BRUSH STREET

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

AGREEMENT BETWEEN UNITED BROTHERHOOD OF CARPENTERS &
JOINERS & CITY OF LAS VEGAS re DRAINAGE EASEMENT ADJACENT
TO THEIR DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE NW 1/4, SEC. 31, T20S,
R62E

Mr. Sauer: The City Attorney has the Agreement and this is in order.

Commissioner Corey: Is it a standard agreement?

Mr. Sauer: This is an agreement for an easement through the Carpenters property for the construction of the drainage channel.

Commissioner Corey: Who's accept it - we are or they are?

Mr. Sauer: We will have to.

Commissioner Corey: And it benefits their property?

Mr. Sauer: Yes and no. It was part of the original agreement at the time they came in for their Building Permit - they were required to give the easement. We asked that the channel be built but they appealed to the Commission at that time (several years ago) and asked for relief inasmuch as they did not need the channel and were going to have to give their property so it was granted that the City would build the channel when it became necessary to build it - but they would have to give the land.

Mayor Gragson: The drainage will go through this property and it will have to continue on. Ordinarily you might have to purchase the right-of-way, but this was requested and this approval was granted at the time they received zoning in the area to build the Union complex.

Commissioner Corey: If I understand it correctly, they were granted the right to build the Union complex provided they would grant the easement and pave it.

Mayor Gragson: No -

Mr. Sauer: No - originally they were granted the zoning on the basis that they would grant the easement . . . they appealed to the Commission at that time for relief from the paving. It was the recommendation of the Department of Public Works but the Commission allowed them relief -

Commissioner Corey: We are putting a street in - that's what your're saying -

Mr. Sauer: It's the extension of Cedar Street . . . it is a drainage channel - not very deep - and runs all the way from 25th Street right down to the Vegas Wash . . . there will be some bridges required in there.

Commissioner Corey: How much money are you talking about?

Mr. Sauer: I don't have an estimate right off-hand. It is a continuing project - we add a little bit as each development is made in the area. We have not constructed this . . . due to lack

of funds, but it will have to be done in this area. In any event, this action here is for the acceptance of the easement.

Mayor Gragson: In the other areas, other than this particular property, who is going to construct the drainage ditch?

Mr. Sauer: The property owners.

Mayor Gragson: In all the other areas but this?

Mr. Sauer: The two that have come in are mobile home developments and they are constructing theirs.

Mayor Gragson: Only the part that serves their area -

Mr. Sauer: That's right. It goes through their developments . . . it is going to protect their property by putting these channels in.

Commissioner Corey: Is it a channel or a street?

Mr. Sauer: It serves . . . it's a lined channel, is what it is.

Commissioner Corey: Will cars be driving on it?

Mr. Sauer: No. It will be an extension of what would be Cedar Street, but Cedar Street ceases to exist.

Commissioner Howery: This is not an approval to do the work . . . it is an agreement for the easement.

Mr. Sauer: This will be for acceptance of the drainage easement by the City.

Commissioner Corey: Does it specify in the agreement that the City is going to do the paving, etc.?

Mr. Sauer: That's right - eventually we will - there's no question about it - not at this particular time.

Commissioner Howery: Should we sign the agreement then?

Mr. Sauer: We have to accept the easement because we need the area to delineate the channel so that the water can drain down through it. It doesn't have to be paved at this time but we do have to delineate it and see that the space is cleared so that the water can flow . . . before it just sheet-flowed across but the mobile home sites have delineated their channels and it will now go down through this section (wall map).

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works for Drainage Easement adjacent to Carpenters Local No. 1780, be APPROVED, and the Mayor and Clerk authorized to sign the Agreement.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Thornley, Howery and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, Commissioners Coblentz and Corey.

NEW CITY
HALL COMPLEX

Mr. Sauer: I have a couple of items which do not appear on your agenda. Number one is just a matter of information. The bid opening for the City Hall will be on the 1st of April at 1:30 p.m.

Policy on
major
construction
projects

Commissioner Howery: In connection with this, I think this Board should establish a policy that any time a firm date bid has been set on opening, that there can be no change in, or extension of, that time without the approval of this Board. I'll tell you why I make this statement. We, at the Convention Center, are going into an expansion program at about the same time at about the same amount of money. The contractors are working one of us against the other - but getting us to change these dates so that they can bid here - or not bid here - or see if they got this before they would know what they want to do on the other - whether they do or whether they don't. I have no objection as to whenever that opening is set, but any change in it should be with the approval of the majority of this Board. And I would so move - that we adopt that as a policy. Anything in the future . . . any change in the bid opening date has to be approved by this Board . . . we are talking about major projects. I realize there are bid opening dates on services and materials that Procurement has. I'm not talking about those . . . I am talking about when you get into construction that involves millions of dollars. This Board should have the right to say - yes, we will extend it or will not extend it. I get calls - they say, why in hell did you extend it? And I didn't even know we did. He said - what do you mean you didn't know - you're a Commissioner aren't you? Sometimes I wonder! If this Board has to take the responsibility of a date change, then they certainly should know that there is a date change.

J. C. Cathcart, Procurement Officer: Could this be by a poll of the Commission?

Commissioner Howery: It doesn't have to be a regular or special meeting - just that the Commission is given an opportunity to we do or don't want to. Take it to the Mayor and let him determine whether to call a meeting of the Board.

Commissioner Howery moved that in the future the City of Las Vegas shall abide by the policy that any change in the original advertisement for bids on "Major City Projects" to be only with the APPROVAL OF THE BOARD OF CITY COMMISSIONERS and that those project considered "major" to be so determined by the Mayor.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Coblenz and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblenz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

MASTER AGENCY -
WATER & SEWER
PROJECTS

Mr. Sauer: This proposal has been submitted to you and I'm sure you have reviewed it. We would like your approval, or disapproval, of the proposal as outlined here (organizational chart on wall). It is quite important that we get your thinking today.

Commissioner Coblenz: It seems to me you brought that up about a year ago, before Mr. Head quit the Water District. I feel that we cannot give you an opinion in five minutes on something that has been laying around for a year and not even brought up for discussion.

Page 27
Minutes
Regular Meeting
March 17, 1971

Commissioner Howery: This would be the make-up of the proposed Board?

Mr. Sauer: That is correct.

Mayor Gragson: I believe it would be well to explain the alternative - what is being considered at the present time.

Mr. Sauer: I went to Carson City yesterday morning. As you know, this has been kicked around a number of times. It developed a year ago and has been given to you a couple of times. It has never been formally brought before this Board but now - we were under the impression we would have time to go into this with a little better light with the Legislature. We were somewhat surprised to learn when we were up there yesterday (the County and myself) - and we represented some 300,000 people in the Valley - that we had not been notified but there is still in the mill by three agencies to pass legislation which will give, not only this, but our sewer system as well, to the Water District. This is the general consensus now by the Senate, the Assembly and the State Engineer. I left after that because there has to be some action taken if we are going to forestall this. The reason for that is that the Legislature appointed a committee, or asked the Colorado River Commission, to solve - or attempt to take action to solve - the Wash Pollution problem and nothing was done. Now they are going to put it into some other authority to solve that pollution problem and it is getting late in the Legislative sessions so they are going to . . . they have been kicking it around for the past three weeks . . . a plan to put someone in charge of this solving of this problem and they want one agency to be in charge of the water and sewer situation so that they can then be responsible for curing this pollution problem. In our estimation this (organizational chart) is the agency that should be put in responsible charge of curing the pollution problem, if that is the case, because this takes care of the water and sewerage as a whole. To go a little further with this, we don't believe it's possible, after talking with some of the Legislators and the State Engineer, to come up with complicated legislation to go into this. It is not necessary. All that is necessary, we believe, is that the Board of County Commissioners, by a slight change in the Water District Act, could replace - could become ex-officio Directors of the Las Vegas Valley Water District . . . not dissolving the Water District but dissolving the Board that rules it, and putting in its place the Board of County Commissioners. The Board of County Commissioners then would be the one agency, theoretically, in charge of this whole package . . . similar to the Regional Street & Highway Commission . . . this one agency could accomplish what they are proposing, through legislation, to have the Water District entertain - take over you might say - take over our plants.

Commissioner Coblenz: When we discussed this some time ago, I suggested that the membership of that Board should consist of half City and half County and I believe the best approach would be for this agency, or group of commissioners, take over the Water District and make it a part of the City-owned projects.

Mr. Sauer: The only thing . . . and I'm not an attorney . . . but in talking to the City Attorney, we have bond covenants and a number of situations in there that would be impossible to resolve under that kind of an arrangement. But by just making the Board

of County Commissioners, who have the faith and security of the County, this could be accomplished - by making them ex-officio Directors of the Water District. Your plan, I am sure, would work out wonderfully but in view of these other situations, I don't know that it would be possible. If the Commission approves this, in this form, it would then be making the County Board of Commissioners the single agency responsible for the water and sewer, as recommended in the Boyle Report. In other words, the Master Agency (as they say in the Boyle Report). Then it would be my thought - my recommendation - that we go before the Board of County Commissioners - lay this out before them at their earliest meeting, which is March 22nd, and ask them, by official action, if the City backs this proposal, and they back it, this outline would then be formed following the designation by the Legislature of the County Commissioners as the Master Agency - making sure that this will take place. If they agree, and I'm sure they will because they are aware of the success of the Regional Street & Highway Commission - then to get the County Commissioners, as well as the City Commissioners, to go to Carson City - first, to lay this out before the Governor. We had an appointment with the Governor yesterday afternoon but I left because there was no sense in a peon being up there to outlaw a multi-million dollar proposal - lay this out to the Governor - let him see what's cooking, so to speak, and then go to the Clark County delegation of the Legislature and point out the 300,000 people represented in the move that is then before them and get this approved. Otherwise the Colorado River Commission - their representatives - as well as the Water District - are there most every day and this is going to be passed giving them full authority. The first meeting this afternoon at 2:30 will be for reviewing the proposal. I don't know how all-encompassing this proposal is - no one does until it is reviewed this afternoon. The County people are up there and some of ours too, but we don't know how all-encompassing it is, but from what we gather, it takes the whole "schmeer". This could mean . . . all our drainage projects now come out of sewer funds because that is under the normal process. We would have to come up out of the General Fund to take care of our drainage projects if the revenue from the sewer goes down the drain . . .

Commissioner Coblenz: This is another take-over by the State to deprive the City and County of money. That's exactly what this is - nobody has paid any attention . . . the Legislators we sent up there from Clark County haven't done a thing about it -

Mr. Sauer: Not only that, but the revenue . . .

Commissioner Howery: What you are asking us to do today is to accept your proposal and follow through with the County Commission?

Mr. Sauer: That is correct.

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works to present to the State of Nevada Legislature a request to create a City/County MASTER AGENCY re water and sewer projects; said proposal to be presented to the Board of County Commissioners at its next regular meeting on March 22, 1971.

Commissioner Corey: The only objection I have to the whole proposal is the one-man, one-vote split on the thing. I think we're being short-changed.

Mr. Sauer: The City and County each have two members -

Commissioner Corey: I think we're being short-changed -

Mr. Sauer: I know there's a question there because we do have more people. This has been brought up with the Regional Street & Highway Commission and is open to controversy -

Mayor Gragson: If my information is correct . . . at one time it was proposed that the Colorado River Commission, which is not elected by the people - which represents people state-wide, not even totally from Clark County, was to be the Master Agency, and now it seems that it has changed, with the blessings of the Colorado River Commission, to the Las Vegas Valley Water District. It is proposed that it be one way or the other and we are going to be charged with the responsibility of raising the funds necessary to complete this job, and have absolutely no authority. To me, it is absolutely fundamental that the elected officials of Las Vegas and Clark County and the other areas, who are responsible people, be responsible for this operation. I don't know what the thinking of the Legislature is - maybe we won't be - but if we don't take some action, it is going the other way.

Mr. Sauer: One thing I overlooked - the Colorado River Commission is still in contention for this, you might say but, generally, the feeling is that the Water District has the upper hand. And I would like to ask that if this Commission approves this proposal, when the Clark County Commission meets on March 22nd, that as many of you as possible be there to support this proposal to them.

Commissioner Howery: See that we get notification -

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

COMPUTER TAPE
STORAGE UNIT
Approved

Mr. Sauer: I have one more minor item for your consideration. Through the Highway Safety System, the Government will come up with \$50,615.00 to improve our computer. In other words, to add a computer tape storage on it. It does not have it now and we will have to have it eventually. We would have to come up with \$5,705.00 and the government would come up with the \$50,615.00. We believe this is a good deal and would recommend your approval.

Commissioner Corey moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works to make application for the Federal Government to partially fund a computer tape storage unit, be APPROVED, and the Department of Public Works authorized to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

TRAFFIC AND
PARKING ITEMS

Approved

RECOMMENDED BY THE TRAFFIC AND PARKING COMMISSION

Commissioner Corey moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works on the following traffic and parking items, be APPROVED, and the Traffic Engineer authorized to proceed:

REQUEST OF FIRST CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH, 1200 North 25th Street for a passenger loading zone on Demetrius.

REQUEST OF HERBST OIL COMPANY, 333 South Main Street, for a variance of the Curb Cut Ordinance.

REQUEST OF THE DAVIS MOTEL, 224 North 9th Street, for a 3-minute loading zone.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

REQUEST OF THE TRAFFIC ENGINEER for the removal of parking on the West side of Main Street, between Carson and Bonneville.

Al Bossi, Traffic Engineer: I have before you a sketch. From Carson north toward Bonanza we do now have the four lanes . . . south of Carson . . . with the completion of this Hotel, this portion between Carson and Bridger where the Grayhound Bus operation will be established, you can see we will have this island in this area - the busses will have to come up and turn into either here or . . . the State Highway Department has indicated to us that they are going to over-lay this section between Carson south, this Spring. While they were doing this, we felt it would be the opportune time to extend this two-way turn lane all the way through to Bonneville - where we still have an island section - it is a natural spot to stop the two-way at this time. We notified all the parties concerned and no one turned up to object at the meeting. We did have some turn up in favor of the proposal. With your approval, then, we will extend this section (wall map) all the way to Bonneville and remove parking all along Bonneville on the west side of Main Street . . .

Commissioner Corey: There aren't many businesses along there anyway, are there?

Mr. Bossi: There are only two operating businesses - one is Goodyear and Clark County Wholesale - they have been apprised of what's coming up and they have no objection.

Commissioner Corey moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works for removal of parking on the west side of Main Street, between Carson and Bonneville, be APPROVED, and the Traffic Engineer authorized to proceed.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

ORDINANCE
No. 1504
Abeyance

ORDINANCE No. 1504 - AMENDING SIGN AND BILLBOARD
ORDINANCE

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE IV, CHAPTER 6, SECTION 6 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, ADOPTING AND ESTABLISHING VALUATION SCHEDULE FOR BOTH NON-ELECTRIFIED AND ELECTRIFIED SIGNS AND BILLBOARDS; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION HEREOF AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH" was read by title by the City Attorney who recommended that it be referred to Committee. (1st reading)

Commissioner Howery: May I ask where this came from?

City Manager, A. R. Trelease: It originated in the Building Department and relates to fees.

Commissioner Howery: We've been through three changes on this Sign Ordinance since I've been here. Unless it absolutely has to be, I certainly am against going through another change. Every time you wind up in a big fight with everybody. Let's find out what it is specifically all about before you appoint a Committee.

Mayor Gragson: We will hold it in abeyance until the next meeting.

ORDINANCE
No. 1505
Referred
(T and AC)

ORDINANCE No. 1505 - AMENDING SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE
re DESIGN PLAND AND STANDARDS

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE XI, CHAPTER 2, SECTION 13, SUBSECTION (C) OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, TO CHANGE CERTAIN LOT SIZES AND SETBACK REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION HEREOF AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH" was read by title by the City Attorney, who recommended that it be referred to Committee. (1st reading)

Mayor Gragson appointed Commissioners Thornley and Coblentz as the Committee for Recommendation on Ordinance No. 1505.

ORDINANCE
No. 1506
Referred
(T and AC)

ORDINANCE No. 1506 - ADOPTING 1970 UNIFORM PLUMBING
CODE

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE IV OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, BY REPEALING CHAPTER 2 THEREOF; BY ADDING THERETO A NEW CHAPTER TO BE DESIGNED 'CHAPTER 2'; BY ADOPTING THE 1970 UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE AS PART 1 AND ADOPTING AS PART 2 A SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT PROVIDING AMENDMENTS, CHANGES AND DELETIONS TO THE UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE, 1970 EDITION, ALL BEING ADOPTED BY REFERENCE, AND PROVIDING FOR PERMIT FEES; RE-ADOPTING A SCHEDULE FOR SEWER CONNECTION FEES; PROVIDING FOR JURISDICTION BY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO GOVERN AND ADMINISTER A SEWER REFUNDING AGREEMENT; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION HEREOF; PROVIDING FOR OTHER

PROPERLY RELATING THERETO AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH" was read by title by the City Attorney, who recommended that it be referred to Committee. (1st reading)

Mayor Gragson appointed Commissioners Thornley and Coblentz as the Recommending Committee on Ordinance No. 1506.

DEPARTMENT
OF HIGHWAYS -
REVOCABLE
PERMIT

Approved

Mr. Gripentrog: The next item pertains to a Revocable Encroachment Permit granted to the City by the State of Nevada, Department of Highways. It is a standard agreement and is recommended for approval.

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works and the City Attorney for execution of a Revocable Encroachment Permit to the City of Las Vegas by the State Department of Highways to install an 8-inch sewer line within highway right-of-way for U. S. Highway 95 (Tonopah Highway) south of Smoke Ranch Road, be APPROVED, and the Mayor and Clerk authorized to sign.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

UNION PACIFIC
RAILROAD - GRANT
OF EASEMENT

Approved

Mr. Gripentrog: This item involves a grant of easement by the Union Pacific Railroad Company to the City of Las Vegas in order to build roads across railroad property. The grant contemplates that when the City ceases to need the property for roads, it will revert back to the Grantor. It is the normal agreement for this type of use.

Commissioner Coblentz: May I have a copy of that easement Agreement? I would like to review it myself.

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the Department of Public Works and the City Attorney for execution of Grant of Easement from the Union Pacific Railroad Company to the City of Las Vegas for roadways constructed within the UPRR's Industrial Park, between Bonanza Road and Charleston Blvd., from Main Street to Highland, be APPROVED, and the Mayor and Clerk authorized to sign.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CLAIMS AGAINST
THE CITY

Denied

CLAIM Nos. 613, 614, 615, 616 AND 617 - CHRISTIAN & RASH

City Attorney: This involves the filing of Notice of Claim filed by the mother and guardian of Cecilia Ann Christian and claims for the pain and suffering and wrongful death which occurred on the 8th day of September, 1970 at the intersection of Washington Avenue and Nellis Blvd. in Las Vegas; that the City failed to install, maintain and erect street signs . . . and therefore was a proximate cause of the automobile accident. The Adjuster has reviewed this claim, and the City Attorney's office concurs, that this claim should be denied.

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation

of the City's insurance adjuster and the City Attorney for denial of Claims Nos. 613, 614, 615, 616 and 617 filed against the City, be APPROVED, and the Clerk authorized to so notify claimants.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CLAIM AGAINST
THE CITY
Denied

CLAIM No. 618 - KATY OSLEY

City Attorney: This claim is in the amount of \$29.00. Damage caused by having her car towed from "H" Street to the Las Vegas Police Department. The Adjuster and City Attorney recommend denial.

Commissioner Howery: She has some recourse here, does she not?

City Attorney: She could civilly sue the tow car operator . . .

Commissioner Howery moved that the recommendation of the City's Insurance Adjuster and the City Attorney for denial of Claim No. 618, be APPROVED, and the Clerk authorized to so inform the claimant.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Corey and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CLAIM AGAINST
THE CITY
Denied

CLAIM No. 619 - MINNIE LEE ROBY

City Attorney: The amount of this claim is \$25,000.00 for injuries caused by rough handling at 2027 North "H" Street pursuant to her arrest. The Adjuster recommends denial and the City Attorney's office concurs.

Commissioner Corey moved that the recommendation of the City's insurance adjuster and the City Attorney for denial of Claim No. 619, be APPROVED, and the Clerk authorized to so notify the claimant.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

ORDINANCE
No. 1503
Referred
(T and AC)

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE III, CHAPTER 1, SECTIONS 2 AND 3 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, BY ADDING CHAPTER 12 THERETO, TO BRING THE ORDINANCE IN LINE WITH THE PRESENT ORGANIZATIONAL CHART OF THE LAS VEGAS FIRE DEPARTMENT; PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH" was read by title by the City Attorney, who recommended that it be referred to Committee. (1st reading)

WORK CARDS OR
PERMITS

Adopted
Resolution

Mr. Gripentrog: I have several additional items. One is a request by the Police Department for the City Commission to adopt a resolution concerning the introduction of a Bill into the Nevada State Legislature on the work permit ordinances. The proposed Bill includes provisions for prohibiting the examination of work cards of persons examined by Police routinely . . .

Commissioner Corey: Our Police Department wants to come out against this proposed Bill?

City Attorney: Yes.

Commissioner Corey moved that the following Resolution be ADOPTED and copies furnished to each member of the Nevada Assembly and Senate:

"WHEREAS, there has been introduced into the Legislature of the State of Nevada, a Bill known as AB 677, which calls for all Work Permit Ordinances to include provisions prohibiting the examination of such a Card or Permit without probable cause to believe that the person examined does not have such a Card or Permit, or that it has expired; and

"WHEREAS, the Ordinances of the City of Las Vegas do not include such a provision; and

"WHEREAS, said Ordinances concerning Work Permits in the City of Las Vegas are proper and necessary for the protection of the citizens and property of the City of Las Vegas, and the innumerable tourists who visit the City of Las Vegas each year, in that the enforcement of these Work Permit Ordinances have substantially contributed to keeping out and regulating the undesirable and criminal element; and

"WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas has determined that the City of Las Vegas will be adversely affected if said AB 677 becomes a law in the State of Nevada.

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, at a regular meeting thereof, held in the Council Chambers of REED WHIPPLE CENTER, 821 Las Vegas Blvd., North, Las Vegas, Nevada, on March 17, 1971, at 11:00 a.m., that said Board does hereby declare and goes on record as being adamantly opposed to the passage of and the principles contained in AB 677 presently pending before the Legislature of the State of Nevada.

"PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 17th day of March, 1971."

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

ORDINANCE
No. 1507
Referred
(H and C)

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE XI, CHAPTER 1, SECTION 17.A, SUBPARAGRAPHS (A) AND (B) OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, PROVIDING STANDARDS FOR QUALIFICATIONS AS AN OFFICE, BY REPEALING THE PRESENT PROVISIONS IN SUBPARAGRAPHS (A) AND (B), AND ADOPTING THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS: PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO; PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION HEREOF AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH" was read by title by the City Attorney, who recommended that it be referred to Committee: (1st reading)

Mayor Gragson appointed Commissioners Howery and Corey as the Committee for Recommendation on Ordinance No. 1507.

SHOW CAUSE
HEARINGS
Set date

BARBARA B. DIAL d/b/a/ SHELL BAR and
RICHARD W. WOLF d/b/a/ WOLF'S DEN LIQUORS

City Attorney: As the final additional item, I believe the Police Department has submitted to each Commission and the Mayor the results of its investigation on the Shell Bar and Wolf's Den Liquors. It is requested at this time that the Commission set a date for Show Cause Hearings to determine whether or not their licenses should be either temporarily or permanently revoked . . . any time subsequent to next Monday. From the Ordinances and investigation it is felt there is not sufficient cause at this time to summarily close them down at this time, but that we should bring them in and to have a Show Cause Hearing. A five-day notice is required and we will serve the Notices today.

Commissioner Howery: The first open date that I would have would be Friday, March 26th - I would suggest 2:00 p.m. on Friday. In making the motion for these Show Cause Hearings I believe it is necessary that the language be correct, based on past experience . . . and that we have reviewed the reports of the Police Department and in the interest of the health, welfare and safety and public nuisance -

Commissioner Howery moved that Barbara B. Dial d/b/a/ SHELL BAR and Richard W. Wolf d/b/a/ WOLF'S DEN LIQUORS, be noticed to appear before the Board of City Commissioners on Friday, March 26, 1971 at the hour of 2:00 p.m. to Show Cause why their licenses should not be revoked; said Notice to relate the findings of the Police Department and concern for the health, welfare, safety and nuisance of the general public.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CITIZENS
COMMITTEE FOR
COMMUNITY
IMPROVEMENT

Member
Appointed

Commissioner Howery moved that Allen Sanders of 1314 North "D" Street be appointed to serve as a member of the Citizens Committee for Community Improvement, to replace Barney McVey whose term of office expired February 3, 1971.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

ORDINANCE
No. 1499
(2nd Amendment)
Adopted

ORDINANCE No. 1499 - SECOND AMENDMENT - CITY OFFICE
FOR REHABILITATION OF ALCOHOLICS

Committee: Commissioners Thornley and Coblentz

Ordinance No. 1499 (second amendment) voted out of Committee favorably.

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE I, CHAPTER 10, OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, BY ADDING SECTION 3 THERETO; TO PROVIDE FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN OFFICE DESIGNATED AS 'ALCOHOLISM SPECIALIST'; TO ESTABLISH MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR OFFICE OF ALCOHOLISM SPECIALIST; TO PROMOTE AND OPERATE PROGRAMS FOR THE REHABILITATION OF ALCOHOLICS; TO ASSIST ORGANIZATIONS AND GROUPS DIRECTLY CONCERNED WITH THE REHABILITATION OF ALCOHOLICS AND PREVENTION OF ALCOHOLISM; TO PROMOTE AND ESTABLISH COOPERATIVE RELATIONS BETWEEN SAID OFFICE AND THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF THE CITY TO ASSIST IN THE REHABILITATION OF ALCOHOLICS AND PREVENTION OF ALCOHOLISM; TO COUNSEL PERSONS ARRESTED FOR INTOXICATION; TO ACT AS LIAISON BETWEEN COURT, POLICE AND REHABILITATION AGENCIES; TO EDUCATE THE GENERAL PUBLIC, POLICE AND AGENCY MEMBERS ABOUT ALCOHOLISM; TO ESTABLISH AN ALCOHOLIC ADVISORY BOARD; PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH" was read by title by the City Attorney. (2nd reading)

Commissioner Thornley moved that Ordinance No. 1499 be ADOPTED and the Clerk instructed to proceed with the required publications.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Howery and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley, Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

ORDINANCE
No. 1500
Adopted

ORDINANCE No. 1500 - IMPLEMENTING PROGRAM FOR
REHABILITATION OF ALCOHOLICS

Committee: Commissioners Thornley and Coblentz

Ordinance No. 1500 reported out of Committee favorably.

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE VI OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, BY ADDING CHAPTER 12 THERETO, TO PROVIDE THAT MUNICIPAL JUDGE MAY SUSPEND SENTENCES, GRANT PROBATION, GIVE CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED AND DISMISS CASES, TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM FOR REHABILITATION OF ALCOHOLICS AND PREVENTION OF ALCOHOLISM; PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO AND REPEALING

ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT
HEREWITH" was read by title by the City Attorney. (2nd reading)

Commissioner Thornley moved that Ordinance No. 1500
be ADOPTED and the Clerk instructed to proceed with
the required publications.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Coblentz and carried
by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley,
Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

ORDINANCE
No. 934-185
Adopted

ORDINANCE No. 934-185 - REZONING ORDINANCE - Z-3-71
Committee: Commissioners Thornley and Coblentz

Ordinance No. 934-185 reported out of Committee favorably.

An ordinance entitled: "AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE XI,
CHAPTER 1, SECTION 3 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE OF THE CITY
OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1960 EDITION, BY AMENDING THE
LAND USE PLAN MAP ADOPTED BY THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS
AND CHANGING THE ZONING DESIGNATION OF SAID MAP" was
read by title by the City Attorney. (2nd reading)

Commissioner Howery moved that Ordinance No.
934-185 be ADOPTED and the Clerk instructed to
proceed with the required publication.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Thornley and carried
by the following vote: Commissioners Coblentz, Thornley,
Howery, Corey and Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

NAVAL TRAINING
CENTER - LEASE
Abeyance

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER - APPROVAL OF LEASE
Committee: Commissioners Howery and Corey

City Manager, A. R. Trelease: This lease has now been
prepared by the City Attorney's office and is ready for your
consideration.

Commissioner Corey: I would ask that the lease be submitted
to the Committee and we can report it out at our next regular
meeting.

There being no further business to come before the Board, at the
hour of 11:55 a.m. Mayor Gragson declared the meeting
ADJOURNED.

APPROVED


ORAN K. GRAGSON, MAYOR

ATTEST:


CITY CLERK

Page 38
Minutes
Regular Meeting
March 17, 1971

Approved BY REFERENCE at a regular meeting of the Board of
City Commissioners held on the 31st day of July, 1971.