

Commissioner Sharp stated this hotel had served a good function over there and he concurred with Commissioner Fountain that, unless it could be definitely for the purpose of an inter-racial hotel and not be restricted, this application should be denied. He stated, further, he believed the applicant and the people on the Westside should get together and get the matter straightened out. Commissioner Fountain withdrew his motion to deny this application.

Commissioner Sharp moved the application of Karl and Leo Frey (Leroy Corp.) for a Taverna License and license for four slot machines at the Moulin Rouge Hotel, 900 West Bonanza, be held in abeyance.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Elwell and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CASH PAYOUT PIN-BALL MACHINE POLICY

City Manager to Investigate

Commissioner Fountain stated that some weeks ago Mr. Doig from the Showboat Hotel had asked him to come down to the Showboat Lanes and look at the bowling alleys, as he was interested in putting in two Automatic Payoff Pinball Machines. He added he had done so and found the area in which he desired to place these machines was well-lighted and there was a man stationed within ten feet. He added that this gentlemen had asked if he could come in and discuss this matter with the Commission.

Jean Dutton, Supervisor of License and Revenue, stated that since the pinball machines came out, it had been the policy that they not be permitted in places where minors are allowed.

Mr. Doig stated that no official ruling had been made for or against the Automatic Pinball Machine. He added they are a new type machine and should be classified as a slot machine because of the cash payoff; however, they still came under the ruling of a pinball machine and are licensed as a slot machine.

Mr. Kennedy stated according to the policy adopted by the Commission, these machines were treated as a slot machine and were not to be placed where minors were allowed. He suggested this policy could be changed if the Commission so desired. Commissioner Sharp suggested perhaps this policy should be reviewed.

Commissioner Fountain stated, if it was permissible, he would like to have the City Manager check this situation in regard to the Showboat location and also to investigate this policy concerning the Payoff Pinball Machines.

FARM-CITY WEEK PROCLAMATION

Approved

Commissioner Whipple advised that he had been approached by Ferren Bunker of the local Clark County Agricultural Extension Service, requesting the City of Las Vegas to proclaim the week of November 20 through November 26, 1959 as "Farm-City Week" for the purpose of creating better public relations between city and rural areas. He added it had also been requested that the Mayor and Commissioners appear on some of the farms in the surrounding area.

The Commissioners expressed their approval of this program and instructed the City Attorney to prepare an appropriate proclamation.

-00-

There being no further business to come before the Board, at the hour of 10:55 P.M. Commissioner Elwell moved this meeting adjourn.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

APPROVED

Oran K. Gragson
ORAN K. GRAGSON, Mayor

ATTEST:

Marjorie Holtschlag
MARJORIE HOLTSCHLAG, City Clerk

MINUTES

Las Vegas, Nevada
December 2, 1959

A regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, held this 2nd day of December, 1959, was called to order at the hour of 7:30 P.M., with the following members present:

- Mayor
- Commissioner
- Commissioner
- Commissioner
- Commissioner

- Oran K. Gragson
- William H. Elwell
- E. W. Fountain
- Harris P. Sharp
- Reed Whipple

- City Manager
- Adm. Assistant
- City Attorney
- Public Works Director
- Planning Director
- City Clerk

- A. H. Kennedy
- Robert D. Heath
- Calvin M. Cory
- Richard P. Sauer
- Franklin J. Bills
- Marjorie Holtschlag

DOWNTOWN IMPROVE-
MENT DISTRICTVACATION
(VAC-5-59)

Public Hearing

Order Adopted

His Honor Mayor Gragson opened the meeting with the statement that the Commission was not going to take any action on the Downtown Improvement District this evening and that it would be postponed for some time, in order for the plans to be worked out more completely, so property owners concerned could know exactly what the improvements were to be; then they could determine whether or not they would want them.

This being the time heretofore set for public hearing regarding the petition of Charleston Plaza, Inc. for the vacation to clear lot lines and vacate some street right-of-way for the development of a shopping center, vacation to consist of Charleston Village Tract #1, with the exception of Lots 9, 10, 11 and 12, in Block 7, and that portion of Peyton Drive commencing at Burnham and extending 240' westerly. This vacation petition was approved at the regular Commission meeting on October 23, 1959, subject to the following conditions, as recommended by the Planning Commission, and Public Hearing was set for this time:

1. The dedication of utility easements, as requested by the Southern Nevada Power Company.
2. Dedication and improvement of a cul-de-sac, as shown on the westerly end of Peyton Drive.
3. Easements to the Las Vegas Valley Water District for existing water mains.
4. A street drainage design on the westerly edge property, to provide for proper drainage of the Hillside Terrace area, including the dedication and improvements of Peyton Drive across the power company easement; all to be done in a manner satisfactory to the City Engineering Department.
5. Installation of fire hydrants, in accordance with the requirements of the Fire Department.
6. Signing of an agreement, to be drawn up by the City Attorney, whereby Charleston Plaza, Inc. will be fully responsible for drainage in the area and will not hold the City liable for any resulting damage; said agreement to be signed prior to the public hearing.

Paul Lollar, 1820 Peyton Drive, Mrs. Anthony Mazzuca, 1836 Peyton Drive, and Wilma Grigor, 1830 Peyton Drive, were present to protest this vacation. Mr. Lollar advised the Commission that Wendell Norman, 1824 Peyton Drive, who was unable to attend this meeting, also wished to protest. Mr. Lollar stated further that he did not know what this was all about; that he had never received any notice of a hearing, and he was protesting because he did not know what was going to be built around them.

Wilma Grigor stated she had received a notice of a Planning Commission Hearing to be held on October 12, 1959; however, she had been informed this hearing had been postponed, but never received notice of the postponement or of a subsequent meeting to take its place. She added there was such a meeting and it was approved to go ahead with the shopping center parking lot.

Planning Director Bills was of the opinion these people were confused with a hearing conducted in relation to the change of the zoning in this area in which the proposed vacation was located. He continued that this hearing tonight was for the vacation of the streets recorded with the subdivision some six or seven years ago which had never been improved, with the exception of a portion of Peyton Drive, and this had been excluded from this vacation, due to the fact there were four developed properties on this street which were under different ownerships. These properties were also the reason for the cul-de-sac requirement included in the Planning Commission recommendation.

William Peccole, President of Charleston Plaza, Inc., stated they were attempting to develop a regional shopping center, consisting of approximately 35 acres, and located at East Charleston Boulevard and Burnham Avenue. He continued that this matter had been before the Board of Zoning Adjustment, Planning Commission and the Board of City Commissioners, and he did not know of any meetings ever being postponed. He added they were attempting at this time to vacate the streets which have never been put in and were not asking to vacate that street in front of the developed properties; furthermore, they were giving an easement for the cul-de-sac on the westerly end of Peyton Drive.

Wilma Grigor asked what sort of structures were to be built around their homes and it was determined they were to be surrounded by the parking areas. Mr. Lollar stated he vigorously protested this. Mr. Peccole stated notices were sent out for the hearing in regard to the rezoning of this property to C-1 and, inasmuch as there were no protests to the rezoning, he did not know what else they could expect in a C-1 zone and they should be willing to accept businesses that would be developed. Mrs. Grigor stated she did not intend to approve the C-1 zoning, but did not attend that hearing, as no notice was received of the hearing after the first postponement.

Mr. Bills stated he could recall no postponement and, at the time of the rezoning hearing, there were three property owners who wished to protest; however, after an explanation of the proposal, they took the position if this particular plot plan was followed they would have no further objection to it. He added this rezoning had been accomplished and this property was now zoned C-1; the matter presently before the Board was whether or not to approve the vacation of these streets.

Commissioner Whipple reiterated that the zoning which permitted the parking lot had already been accomplished. Mr. Lollar stated he received no notice of that hearing. Mr. Bills stated he was in no position to swear these notices were received, but he could testify a notice was sent to Ruth Lollar at 1820 Peyton Drive; furthermore, these notices were mailed on October 30, 1959, setting forth the hour of 7:30 P.M., November 12, 1959, for the Planning Commission Hearing, and said hearing was legally advertised in a local newspaper for this time. Mr. Bills continued that the Planning Commission minutes indicated that action was taken at that time to forward the rezoning to the Board of City Commissioners, with the recommendation for approval. He did not comprehend what was meant by a postponement, as there was nothing in their records in that regard.

His Honor Mayor Gragson stated that since the rezoning had been granted and the vacation of these streets was not going to further affect their properties in any way, he felt that the City Commission should approve the vacation, as recommended by the Planning Department and the Planning Commission. Commissioner Whipple stated he felt there had been a misunderstanding by these property owners in regard to the rezoning; however, the vacation was the matter presently before them, and he asked if they were protesting the vacation of these streets, inasmuch as the rezoning had been accomplished.

Mrs. Grigor said she understood the vacation of the streets, but did not know how it would affect the value of their properties and living conditions, and she further mentioned the debris they had had to clean up in the past few weeks. Mr. Peccole advised her that they had set up a parking agreement in leases to their tenants whereby the landlord would be responsible for the lighting, landscaping and marking of the parking stalls, as well as for the cleaning of the parking areas. Mrs. Grigor stated she was referring to the debris they had picked up so far. Mr. Peccole said he would speak to the contractors, in an effort to curtail this as much as possible, and he felt confident when the buildings were completed they would find this to be a very orderly project. Commissioner Fountain was of the opinion that the C-1 zoning would put these property owners in a much better financial position.

Planning Director Bills stated everyone on the Planning Commission and in the Planning Department had been aware of these four properties from the start of this shopping center and, if this discussion had come up at their previous hearing, these problems would have been given very careful consideration. He believed Mrs. Grigor had something mixed up somewhere as no notices of a public hearing on October 12, 1959, were sent out. He added there were 75 to 100 notices sent out for the hearing on November 12, 1959, and that they had never been required to send them out by Registered Mail; furthermore, out of this number sent there were only four returned, none of which were the ones sent to these property owners.

Commissioner Fountain moved Vacation VAC-5-59 be approved, subject to the six conditions as recommended by the Planning Commission, and the following Order of Vacation be adopted and copy of order filed with the County Recorder of Clark County:

ORDER OF VACATION

A Petition, dated the 4th day of September, 1959, signed by the officers of Charleston Plaza, Inc., having been filed with the Clerk of this Board, petitioning for the vacation of a portion of Charleston Village Tract #1, hereinafter described, and said petition having been by order of this Board referred to the City Planning Commission having filed its report, dated October 8, 1959, and with this Board approving and recommending such vacation;

And this Board, by an order made at its regular meeting held on the 23 day of October, 1959, set the 2nd day of December, 1959, at the hour of 7:30 P.M. in the Council Chambers of the City Hall, 400 Stewart Street, in the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, as the time and place for a public hearing on said petition and recommendation, and ordered the City Clerk to cause the aforesaid property proposed to be vacated to be posted with a notice setting forth the time and place of the public hearing and the extent of the proposed vacation:

And it appearing from the affidavit of Fred H. Callihan filed with the Clerk of this Board, that the notice provided for in said order, a copy of which notice is attached to said affidavit, was posted on the 30th day of October, 1959, in the manner prescribed by said order:

And this being the time fixed for the hearing on said petition for vacation and the recommendation of the City Planning Commission, thereof, and this Board having heard evidence in support and against said petition and recommendation:

And it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that the portion of said Charleston Village Tract #1 proposed to be vacated is no longer required for the public use and convenience, and that said vacation will enure to the benefit of the City of Las Vegas, and that neither the public nor any person will be materially injured thereby:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following described tract or parcel of land lying and being situate in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and being a portion of the North-west One-quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the North-east One-quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 2, Township 21 South, Range 61 East, M.D.B. & M., being a portion of Tract #1, Charleston Village, as shown by map thereof in Book 3 of Plats, Page 90, in the office of the County Recorder, Clark County, Nevada, and being more particularly described as follows, to wit:

All the Lots, Blocks, the unnumbered Lots and Blocks, Streets and Utility Easements as shown by map of Tract #1, Charleston Village in Book 3 of Plats, Page 90, in the office of the County Recorder, Clark County, Nevada, SAVE AND EXCEPT AND TO BE EXCLUDED THEREFROM

the following described parcels, to wit:

Parcel 1. The northerly 9.02 feet of Lot 7, Block 4, as shown on said Plat, for utility purposes.

Parcel 2. All of Lots 9, 10, 11, and 12, Block 7 of said subdivision.

Parcel 3. The northerly 5 feet of Lots 5, 6, 7, and 8, Block 7, as shown on said Plat, marked "Public Utility Easement."

Parcel 4. The southerly 5 feet of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 8, designated as "Public Utility Easement."

ments" and the easterly prolongation of said 5 feet, being the southerly 5 feet of Bruce Street as shown on said subdivision.

Parcel 5. The southerly 5 feet of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 9, as shown on said Plat, marked "Public Utility Easement."

Parcel 6. The easterly 240 feet of Peyton Drive together with that portion of said Drive lying within the following described cul-de-sac, to wit:

Beginning at the Southwest corner of Lot 4, Block 4, of the aforementioned subdivision, thence along a curve to the left tangent to a course which bears North $42^{\circ} 29' 37''$ West having a radius of 45 feet subtending a central angle of $276^{\circ} 22' 46''$ an arc length of 217.07 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 12, Block 7 of said subdivision, thence North $0^{\circ} 41' 00''$ West a distance of 60 feet to the point of beginning.

be, and the same is hereby vacated, subject to the following conditions:

1. The dedication of utility easements as requested by the Southern Nevada Power Company.
2. Dedication and improvement of a cul-de-sac as shown on the westerly end of Peyton Drive.
3. Easements to the Las Vegas Valley Water District for existing water mains.
4. A street drainage design on the westerly edge of the property to provide for proper drainage of the Hillside Terrace area including the dedication and improvement of Peyton Drive across the Power Company easement; all to be done in a manner satisfactory to the City Engineering Department.
5. Installation of fire hydrants in accordance with the requirements of the Fire Department.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 2nd day of December, 1959.

APPROVED:

/s/ Oran K. Gragson
ORAN K. GRAGSON, Mayor

ATTEST:

/s/ Marjorie Holtschlag
MARJORIE HOLTSCHLAG, City Clerk

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

BID OPENING 59.62
Modification of
Electric System;
Police Department
Building

Referred to Public
Works

This being the time heretofore set for Bid Opening No. 59.62 for the Modification of Electric System at the Police Department Building, bids were received as follows:

Acme Electric Company	Alt. #1	\$16,995.00
308 Wall Street	Item #2	1,322.00
Las Vegas, Nevada	Alt. #2	1,292.00
Shock Electric Company	Alt. #1	14,424.97
2125 Industrial Road	Item #2	1,503.00
Las Vegas, Nevada	Alt. #2	1,537.00

Commissioner Sharp moved the foregoing bids be referred to the City Manager and Director of Public Works for recommendation.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioner Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none. Commissioner Fountain asked Director of Public Works Sauer if he was positive this equipment was heavy enough and Mr. Sauer advised him it was. He further stated the Engineer's estimate for this work was \$17,399.

PAYROLL WARRANTS

Approved

Commissioner Whipple moved Regular Payroll Warrant Nos. 43118 - 43681 in the net amount of \$84,842.08, and Overtime Payroll Warrant Nos. 43682 - 43747 in the net amount of \$794.26, for the pay period November 16 - November 30, 1959, be approved.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Elwell and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

SERVICE & MATERIAL
WARRANTS

Approved

Commissioner Sharp moved Service & Material Warrant Nos. 3544 - 3716 in the amount of \$66,744.83 be approved.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

TRANSFER OF CREDIT
UNION WITHHOLDING

Approved

Commissioner Sharp moved the transfer of Credit Union Withholding in the amount of \$18,702.83 be approved and the Director of Finance and City Treasurer authorized to issue the warrant.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

MINUTES
November 18, 1959

Approved

Commissioner Sharp moved the minutes of November 18, 1959 be approved by reference and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CHARITABLE SOLICITATION PERMITS

Approved

Commissioner Elwell moved the following Charitable Solicitation Permit as approved by the Solicitations Review Board be approved and the Supervisor of License and Revenue authorized to issue the permit:

GIRL SCOUTS, FRONTIER COUNCIL - "Fantasy in Rhythm" Ticket Sale.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

GAMING LICENSE
New
K & K Store

Approved

Commissioner Sharp moved the application of Kost and Kell for license for three slot machines at the K & K Store, 225 N. 3rd, be approved on the basis of favorable police report, and the Supervisor of License and Revenue authorized to issue the license.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

GAMING LICENSE
Additional

Approved

Commissioner Elwell moved the following applications for additional gaming licenses be approved and the Supervisor of License and Revenue authorized to issue the licenses:

SHOWBOAT LANES	2800 Fremont	Super Scope Coin	2 Slots
JOE JULIAN'S	2444 L. V. Blvd.	S. Super Scope Coin	1 Cash Pin
LIQUOR STORE			

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

LIQUOR LICENSE
New Owner

Coney Island Cafe

Approved

Commissioner Elwell moved the application of James Pages for a Restaurant Beverage License at the Coney Island Cafe, 417 S. 2nd, former owner, Edward DeBard, be approved on the basis of favorable police report and the Supervisor of License and Revenue authorized to issue the license.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

CIGARETTE LICENSES

Additional Locations

Approved

Commissioner Fountain moved the following applications for Cigarette License for additional locations be approved and the Supervisor of License and Revenue authorized to issue the licenses.

2nd Street Barber Shop	117 S. 2nd St.	Ralph T. Warne Vending
Nevada School of Dealing	131 N. 3rd St.	Ralph T. Warne Vending
Huntridge Barber Shop	1210 E. Christn.	Ralph T. Warne Vending
Town House Beauty Salon	1104 S. 3rd St.	Ralph T. Warne Vending
Coney Island	412 S. 2nd St.	Ralph T. Warne Vending
Safari Motel	2000 Fremont	Ralph T. Warne Vending
Yellow Cab Co.	115 S. Main	Ralph T. Warne Vending
Nevada Parking	2nd & Ogden Streets	Ralph T. Warne Vending

Motion seconded by Commissioner Elwell and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

USE PERMIT
U-27-59

Mrs. Vern Howard

Denied

The Commission again considered the application of Mrs. Vern Howard for a use permit to allow Frederika M. Singer to conduct a private music school for piano, accordion and guitar at 242 Boston Avenue, between Industrial Road and Fairfield, legally described as Lots 18 and 19, Block 13, Meadows Addition in an R-4 zone. This application was referred back to the Board of Zoning Adjustment by the City Commission on November 4, 1959.

Commissioner Fountain moved the application of Mrs. Vern Howard for a use permit be denied, as recommended by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, inasmuch as the applicant had sold the property and the new owner had indicated that he did not want a music school permit.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

USE PERMIT
U-28-59

Myrill Rabb

Denied

The Commission considered the application of Myrill Rabb for a use permit to conduct a commercial child nursery for 15 children less than one year old and 15 children no more than two years old at 630 S. 3rd Street, generally located at the northwest corner of 3rd Street and Garces Avenue, legally described as Lots 17 and 18, Block 24, Clark's Las Vegas Townsite, in an R-4 zone.

Approval was recommended by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, providing no more than 24 children be allowed and subject to the following conditions: (There were 42 protests from surrounding property owners at the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing.)

1. Compliance with all provisions of the Child Welfare Ordinance.
2. Recommendations of the Fire and Building Departments.
3. That the property be enclosed with a suitable fence; said fence being impervious to children.

4. Agree to enter assessment district for street lights when one is formed.
5. That no more than 24 children be permitted.

Planning Director Bills advised the Commission that subsequent to the Board of Zoning Adjustment Hearing, the Child Welfare Nurse had submitted a memo to the Planning Department, stating the opinion that the Child Welfare Board would not approve this application for any more than 12 children, if the use permit was granted, because of conflict with State Regulations.

The applicant, Mrs. Rabb, stated there were two houses on the property and she believed the Child Welfare Nurse meant she would be allowed 12 children in each house. Mr. Bills stated this would not be correct, as the City Attorney had advised that this was defined as only one property and only one nursery operation could be allowed there. Mrs. Rabb said she was told at the time she bought the property she would be able to operate two nurseries.

Mr. Charles Garner, attorney representing the protestants to this application, stated their main objection was due to the piecemeal changing of the zoning and that at the present this area was R-4 and developed for R-4 uses. Another objection, he added, was the noise and parking problems which would be created by allowing this permit for a non-conforming use. (At this time 12 people stood up to be counted as protesting this matter.)

His Honor Mayor Gragson asked the Planning Director if he was in agreement with the recommendation of the Board of Zoning Adjustment, and Mr. Bills replied this use was not permitted as a matter of right in an R-4 zone and he believed caution should be exercised in granting any additional rights where it would not be in the best interest of property owners concerned.

Mr. Vance Geigley, 605 South 3rd., spoke in objection, stating that he did not know how Mrs. Rabb obtained a permit to operate a nursery for 9 children at this location in the first place, inasmuch as she did not live there. He was of the opinion this was strictly a commercial deal for making money.

Mr. H. R. Pope, 626 South 3rd., stated he lived next door to this property in question and he did not protest the nursery for the nine children, as he found out from the Planning Department that such an operation was permissible in an R-4 zone; however, he found the crying of the children did disturb his rest. He concluded that they were protesting this use permit as a whole and not because of the number of children to be allowed.

Mrs. Rabb stated with the limitation of 9 children she was unable to make out financially and had to turn down additional children because she had her quota. She added the need was very great for this type of nursery and the people she employed, as well as herself, were willing to work long hours for little pay. She continued that the operation had gone beyond the mercenary stage and her main interest was in caring for these children; therefore, she was asking permission to enlarge her nursery. Mrs. Rabb stated she now had nine infants under the age of two, all of whom were kept in the house, and felt there should be no complaint in regard to unnecessary noise. She added she could have had some of her supporters present to speak, had she realized the necessity. Mrs. Rabb concluded with the statement that her request was for 12 infants in one house and 12 toddlers in the other house, and that the Child Welfare Board had said there was ample room.

Vance Geigley stated that Mrs. Rabb had admitted her sole purpose was to make the dollar. Commissioner Sharp asked him if he had any rentals on his property and if he realized a profit on them. Mr. Geigley replied he had two rentals but did not make anything on them; furthermore, he bought his home to live in, not for the rentals.

Elizabeth R. Metcalf, 617 South 3rd, spoke in protest, stating that she had lived at this location for 15 years and had abided by the R-4 zoning regulations. She recommended that this use permit be denied for any more than 9 children in a 24-hour period.

-00-

At the hour of 8:45 P.M. this meeting was recessed and reconvened at the hour of 9:00 P.M., with all members present as of the opening session.

-00-

Commissioner Fountain stated he would like to commend Mrs. Rabb, whom he had known many years, on her nursery; however, in this particular location, regardless of whether or not the Child Welfare Ordinance would allow this operation to be enlarged, he felt the area was too congested and moved the application for this use permit be denied.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple who stated he admired Mrs. Rabb for her fairness and he was sure she did not apply for this use permit with the idea of injuring the other property owners; however, in view of the City Attorney's opinion and the overwhelming number in opposition, he felt this application should be denied. He continued that he felt very sorry for the situation in which this placed Mrs. Rabb, because he felt she could be of fine service to the community, and he hoped she could find another location.

Motion carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none. Commissioner Elwell stated Mrs. Rabb was a dedicated person whom he had known for a long time and that she was doing a fine job in this field, but he would have to go along with the Commission in recommending denial.

USE PERMIT U-29-59

Louis Miranti

Approved

Planning Director Bills presented the application of Louis Miranti for a use permit to construct a water tank at the southwest corner of Washington Avenue and Minnesota Street, legally described as Lots 1 and 2, Block 1, Las Vegas Square, in an R-1 zone. Mr. Bills stated this proposed water tank was a part of the water system for Brentwood and Golfridge Terrace, which was originally proposed to be located in Golfridge, and, engineering-wise, either location would serve. The proposed location was adjacent to a future fire station site.

Commissioner Sharp asked if there would be sufficient water to service other areas and asked Mr. Miranti, if the need arose, if he would do so, and Mr. Miranti stated he would if he had the water available.

Commissioner Fountain moved the application of Louis Miranti for a use permit to construct a water tank at the southwest corner of Washington Avenue and Minnesota Street be approved, as recommended by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, subject to the following conditions:

1. Conformance to the plot plan as submitted.
2. Signing of an improvement agreement and putting up a bond sufficient in amount to cover the cost of the offsite improvements, as recommended by the Engineering Department.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elvell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

USE PERMIT U-30-59
Twin Lakes Shopping
Center

Approved

Planning Director Bills presented the application of Twin Lakes Shopping Center, Inc., for a use permit to construct a filling station at 720 Tonopah Highway, legally described as a portion of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 29, T20S, R61E, generally located on the east side of Tonopah Highway between Bonanza Road and Washington Avenue in a C-1 zone.

Mr. Bills stated that because of this location and the proximity to this intersection, this application was referred to the Traffic and Parking Commission and recommendation was deferred by that Board, pending investigation by the Traffic and Parking Engineer, to determine if the filling station curb cuts would be in accord with the proposed island designed for this location; subsequently, this engineer had given his opinion there would be no conflict with this right-of-way nor with the public safety and convenience.

Commissioner Sharp moved the application of Twin Lakes Shopping Center, Inc., for a use permit to construct a filling station at 720 Tonopah Highway, be approved as recommended by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, subject to confirmation by the Traffic and Parking Commission of the Traffic Engineer's recommendation and subject to the following conditions:

1. Conformance to the plot plan as submitted.
2. Signing of an improvement agreement and filing of a bond sufficient in amount to cover the cost of offsite improvements, as recommended by the Engineering Department.
3. Conformance with the requirements of the Fire Department.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elvell, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; Commissioner Fountain asked if the Traffic and Parking Commission would be making the final decision on this application and Mr. Bills replied they would merely take action at their next meeting to confirm the findings of the Traffic Engineer. Commissioner Fountain voted no. His Honor Mayor Gragson commended the Planning Department on the fine drawing of this proposed development, which enabled them to see the exact situation.

USE PERMIT U-16-59

Wilbur Clark

Held in Abeyance

The Commission again considered the application of Wilbur Clark for a use permit to construct a gasoline filling station at 1550 Tonopah Highway, generally located at the southeast corner of Vegas Drive and Tonopah Highway (U. S. Highway 95), legally described as Lot 1, and a portion of Lots 19 and 20, Block 21, Twin Lakes Village Unit #5, in a C-1 zone.

Planning Director Bills advised that the rezoning of this property to C-1 was approved on the basis that this parcel could not be properly used for a single-family home and at that time it was understood an application for a use permit to allow the filling station would have to be approved by the Board of Zoning Adjustment and the City Commission. Such an application was subsequently submitted to the Board of Zoning Adjustment, property owners were notified, public hearing held, and there was on file the protests to this application.

Mr. Bills stated further that the Board of Zoning Adjustment could not arrive at a decision and the application was referred to this Board of City Commissioners on November 4, 1959 without recommendation. At that time this Board referred the application to the Planning Commission and a sub-committee was appointed to study this matter. As a result, the Planning Commission recommended this application be denied. Mr. Bills advised there were some people present who wished to be heard on this matter.

Robert Smith, 3037 Vegas Drive, stated his home was directly alongside of this property and he had lived there four years. He added a filling station was not needed at this location, and was of the opinion this property could be put to a better use for the community; therefore, he was opposed to this application 100%. He added the applicant had said he would erect a 6' concrete wall between his home and the station; however, he felt that would be like living in a prison.

Max N. Harden, 1305 Arrowhead Avenue, spoke in protest, stating that at the time this rezoning came up before the Planning Commission it was stated by the real estate gentleman present that the reason for the zoning change was so they could construct a gas station, and at that time the Planning Commission unanimously turned it down and so did the City Commission. He continued that the second time

it came up before the Planning Commission he did not think too many of them objected to the C-1 zoning, as they realized that this lot would have to be rezoned for some commercial use. Mr. Harden added that Mr. Garth of the Planning Commission stated emphatically that in changing the zoning to C-1, it would allow the applicant to place some kind of business on this corner and it was stated then that it would not be a gas station. At one time, Mr. Harden explained, construction of a house was started on this lot and then it was subsequently torn down and they had been told a little City Park was to be developed there. He concluded there were others who were in protest but were not present as he himself had not been aware this application was to be considered tonight until he had read it in the evening newspaper, and he urged that this permit be denied for a gas station and this property be developed into some other commercial use.

Mrs. Max Harden, representing her neighbor, Thelma Pike, whose property was adjacent to this location, stated she definitely did not want a gas station constructed there because of the fumes, traffic and general cluttering up of the area.

Julius Gabriele, 1301 Arrowhead, objected to this use permit for a gas station as he owned a \$65,000 home and such a use would lower the value of his property.

Herbert Jones, Attorney representing the applicant, stated this made the 6th or 8th appearance he had made in regard to this matter and he believed the Commission was very familiar with the problem before them. As to the possibility of another type of building, this small triangular lot would not allow for sufficient parking required by City ordinance. Mr. Jones believed it was the Commission's duty and desire to see that land in the community was put to beneficial use and not have it remain undeveloped. He mentioned the fact that the service station owner across the street had had the audacity to protest; furthermore, some of the objectors had little reason to protest and he believed that ultimately a service station would be put in there as there would be inadequate parking for any other type of commercial development.

Commissioner Sharp stated this was a very difficult decision to make and he was in sympathy with the property owners; however, the service station across the street, which he believed was constructed before the houses were built, represented an identical situation.

Commissioner Fountain stated the subdivider should never have allowed this triangular piece of property to remain there and, inasmuch as it was the policy of the City Commission to meet with the Planning Commission when they did not concur with their recommendation, he moved the application of Wilbur Clark for a use permit to construct a gasoline filling station at 1550 Tonopah Highway be held in abeyance, and a meeting between the Planning Commission and City Commission for discussion on this matter be held at 7:00 P.M., December 8, 1959, in the Office of the Mayor at the City Hall.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; none, none.

VARIANCE V-19-59

Levis & Katie
Abrahams

Referred to Planning
Commission

Planning Director Bills presented the application of Lewis J. and Katie Abrahams for a variance to construct 9 motel units and a utility room at 1919 Sunrise Street, between 18th and 19th Streets, legally described as Lots 19, 20 and 21, Block 3, Church Addition, in an R-3 zone. He added this property was a continuation of property fronting on Fremont, presently used for a motel, and the applicants proposed to extend this use over all of their property.

Mr. Bills stated that sometime ago the applicants present a request to the Planning Commission to rezone this property and property owners on Sunrise Avenue, to the north, protested because they did not want a motel across from their homes, due to the congestion of traffic. He continued that public hearing was held and subcommittee appointed to study that application, with resulting recommendation that it be denied. Then the Planning Commission advised the applicants to apply for a variance permit and in that way some particular control could be kept on the rear access.

Approval of the variance permit was recommended by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, subject to the following conditions: (There was one protest at the Board of Zoning Adjustment hearing.)

1. Conformance to the plot plan as submitted. (Plot Plan shows a 6' concrete fence along Sunrise Avenue.)
2. Signing of an improvement agreement and filing of bond sufficient in amount to cover cost of offsite improvements, as recommended by the Engineering Department.
3. That the storage of garbage cans be prohibited along the Sunrise Avenue frontage and that no signs or other forms of advertising be permitted along Sunrise Avenue.

Mr. Bills advised that the Fire Department specifically recommended that access be provided at the rear on Sunrise Avenue, for firefighting purposes, and it appeared to him the only solution would be for the City Commission to deny or approve this application, subject to the conditions as recommended by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, or subject to assess requested by the Fire Department. Mr. Bills stated a Vacation Hearing (VAC-8-59) on this alley was being held by the City Commission on January 6, 1960, and it might be desired to defer action on this matter until after this hearing.

Commissioner Sharp asked if a motel was a permitted use in an R-3 zone, which was the present zoning of this property, and Mr. Bills replied a motel was allowed as a regular use in a commercial zoning; however, in an R-4 zoning a motel was a permitted use only when the property abuts a major highway and in a C-1 zone a motel was allowable with certain restrictions. Commissioner Sharp was of the opinion this property should be rezoned from R-3 to C-1, as the present

zoning was inconsistent with good zoning practices, and in that way everyone would be satisfied. He believed this was a problem for the Planning Commission. Mr. Bills was of the opinion this might be logical, due to the fact that there was little likelihood this property could be developed as anything except an extension of these motel units.

Commissioner Whipple moved the application of Lewis J. and Katie Abrahams for a variance to construct 9 motel units and a utility room at 1919 Sunrise Avenue, between 18th and 19th Streets, be referred to the Planning Commission to consider the rezoning of this property from R-3 to C-1.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Elwell and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

REZONING Z-29-59

Leo Frey
(Leroy Investment
Co.)

Approved

Commissioner Fountain moved the amended application of the Leroy Investment Company and Leo Frey for the reclassification of property legally described as a portion of the S $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 28, T20S, R61E, MDBM, generally described as only that property upon which the Moulin Rouge Hotel was presently located, from R-1 to C-2 be approved and the City Attorney be instructed to prepare the necessary ordinance amendment.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Elwell and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

NO PARKING ZONE

Alley between 1st
& 2nd, Fremont to
Carson

Approved

Commissioner Whipple moved the request of Mr. G. T. Reed, American Business, for a No Parking Zone along the west side of the north-south alley located between 1st and 2nd Streets, from Fremont to Carson, be approved as recommended by the Traffic and Parking Commission.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

NO PARKING ZONE

San Francisco
between L. V. Blvd. S.
& Paradise Road
Approved

Commissioner Whipple moved the request of Mr. Ted Glenn for a No Parking Zone along the north side of San Francisco Avenue, between Las Vegas Boulevard South and Paradise Road, be approved as recommended by the Traffic and Parking Commission.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Mr. Bills advised that a request had been sent to the Clark County Commissioners for a similar No Parking Zone along the south side of San Francisco, between Las Vegas Boulevard South and Paradise Road.

NO PARKING ZONE

Main Street,
between Stewart
and Carson

Held in Abeyance

The Commission considered the recommendation by the Traffic and Parking Commission for a No Parking Zone along the west curb line of Main Street, beginning at a point 175' south of Stewart and extending south to a point 150' south of Carson.

This proposal would make it possible to make a third south-bound traffic lane for this portion of Main Street, which would allow the use of the inside lane strictly for left-hand turning movements into Ogden, Fremont and Carson, and still leave two lanes for through traffic. This proposal had been discussed in considerable detail with representatives of the James Cashman Company, The Union Pacific Railroad Company, and the Greyhound Bus Lines. The Cashman Company and the Bus Lines were in accord with the recommendation, provided arrangements could be made to provide them additional access to their properties through property which is now owned by the Railroad Company.

The Traffic and Parking Commission voted to recommend approval of this program and also recommended that City funds be provided to construct the curb cuts and paving, as shown on the plot plan submitted by the Traffic Engineer, with the provision that the Railroad Company dedicate to the City such property as might be needed for this construction.

Commissioner Sharp moved this matter be held in abeyance for further study and investigation.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

URBAN RENOVATION

Workable Program
Progress Report

Approved

Coordinator of Urban Renewal, Franklin Bills, advised the Commission that in order for the City to remain eligible to receive Federal assistance in various phases of the City's Urban Renewal Program, including redevelopment project loans and grants and special F.H.A. insurance provisions, it would be necessary for the City to have in effect a current certified Workable Program to combat slums and blight. The present certification of the program was to expire January 1, 1960.

To justify a request for re-certification, it was necessary to submit a report to the Housing and Home Finance Agency, listing progress made in each of the seven elements of the program during the past year, and a statement of goals to be sought during the coming year. This report had been prepared by the various department and division heads directly affected and put together by the Coordinator of Urban Renewal and it was requested that the City Commission approve this report so that it might be forwarded to the Federal Agency without delay. In reply to Commissioner Whipple's question, Mr. Bills advised this would put the City under no obligation whatsoever:

Commissioner Elwell moved the Workable Program Progress Report be approved and forwarded to the Housing and Home Finance Agency, as requested by the Coordinator of Urban Renewal.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

LIQUOR & GAMING LICENSES

Moulin Rouge Hotel

Approved

Commissioner Fountain moved the application of Karl and Leo Frey for a Tavern License and license for four slot machines at the Moulin Rouge Hotel, 900 West Bonanza, be approved on the basis of the favorable police report and the Supervisor of License and Revenue authorized to issue the licenses.

BID NO. 59.53
Paving Bracken Avenue; 6th to 7th Streets

Final Payment and Release of Bonds

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Commissioner Sharp moved final payment in the amount of \$351.37 be made to Wells Cargo, Inc., for work which had been completed under Contract #111H.68, Bid No. 59.53, for the paving of Bracken Avenue, between 6th and 7th Streets, and the Traveler's Indemnity Company Performance and Payment Bonds be released.

BID NO. 59.10
Piping; Golf Course Reservoir

Final Payment and Release of Bonds

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Commissioner Fountain moved final payment in the amount of \$1,160.60 be made to the Longley Construction Company for work completed under Contract #661H.44, Bid No. 59.10, for Piping at the Golf Course Reservoir, and the Great American Insurance Performance and Payment Bonds be released.

PAVING; WASHINGTON AVE. BETWEEN TWIN LAKES DRIVE & TONOPAH HIGHWAY

Approved to Receive Informal Bids

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

Commissioner Sharp moved the request of the Public Works Department to receive informal bids to be opened on January 5, 1960, for the paving of Washington Avenue, between Twin Lakes Drive and Tonopah Highway; Engineer's estimate \$3,500, be approved.

INTERCEPTOR SEWERS; CEDAR AVE. & SAN FRANCISCO

Approved to go to Bid

Director of Public Works Sauer presented a request to advertise for bids for Interceptor Sewers on Cedar Avenue and San Francisco Avenue, which had been designed by John Carollo, Consulting Engineer. He advised the plans called for a 12" line on Cedar and included the removal of the present lift station and a 24" line on San Francisco, which possibly would not quite reach Rancho Road. Mr. Sauer further stated the Government would pay for 30% of this installation and Mr. Kennedy informed the Commission that funds had been set aside for this work.

Commissioner Whipple moved the request of the Public Works Department to advertise for bids for construction of the following Interceptor Sewers be approved:

1. Cedar Avenue - on Mojave Road and Pecos Street; estimated cost \$15,000.
2. San Francisco Avenue - between Las Vegas Boulevard South and Rancho Road; estimated cost \$65,000.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

SEWER LINE; BETWEEN ISABELLE AND SUNRISE AVENUE

Approved to Receive Informal Bids

Commissioner Sharp moved the request of the Public Works Department to receive informal bids to be opened December 15, 1959, for the construction of a sewer line between Isabelle and Sunrise Avenues, be approved.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

TYPE II GRAVEL

Approved to Receive Informal Bids

Commissioner Whipple moved the request of the Public Works Department to receive informal bids, to be opened December 15, 1959, for Type II Gravel for delivery west of the Union Pacific Railroad, at an estimated cost of \$12,000 for 10,000 cubic yards, be approved.

Motion Seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

RANCHO VISTA SUBDIVISION

Extension of Time

Approved

Director of Public Works presented a request from the subdivider for an extension of time for the completion of sidewalks under the Subdivision Agreement for the Rancho Vista Subdivision. Mr. Sauer advised the curbs and gutters and all other improvements have been installed; however, due to the development of the site on a custom type of house, locations of driveways could not be determined. It was expected that all construction could be completed by June 1, 1960, and approval was recommended by the Engineering Department, subject to the extension of the bond.

Commissioner Fountain moved an extension of time to June 1, 1960 be granted for the completion of the sidewalks under the Subdivision Agreement for the Rancho Vista Subdivision, subject to the extension of the bond.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

QUITCLAIM DEED

Tidewater Realty
CompanyResolution of
Acceptance Adopted

Commissioner Fountain moved the following Resolution of Acceptance be adopted for Quitclaim Deed to the City of Las Vegas from the Tidewater Realty Company for the dedication of 40' of additional right-of-way for the west one-half of Decatur Boulevard from Evergreen Avenue northerly for a distance of approximately 120':

RESOLUTION OF ACCEPTANCE OF QUITCLAIM DEED

On motion of Commissioner E. W. Fountain, duly seconded by Commissioner Harris P. Sharp, and carried unanimously, the following Resolution is adopted, and order made:

BE IT RESOLVED, this 2nd day of December, 1959, by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, that the deed, dated the 10th day of November, 1959, executed by

Tide Water Realty Company, a Delaware Corporation

to the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the real property described therein, and is hereby accepted, for the purposes of street; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution be attached to said deed, and that the same be recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, and this resolution be filed in the records of this Board.

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

I, Marjorie Holschlag City Clerk, of the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the Resolution accepting the deed attached hereto duly adopted at a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners held on the 2nd day of December, 1959.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal this 3rd day of December, 1959.

/s/ Marjorie Holschlag
Clerk of the City of Las Vegas

By _____ Deputy

FOR: Decatur Boulevard

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

QUITCLAIM DEED

Greater Faith
Baptist ChurchResolution of
Acceptance Adopted

Commissioner Sharp moved the following Resolution of Acceptance be adopted for Quitclaim Deed to the City of Las Vegas from the Greater Faith Baptist Church for the dedication of 15' of additional right-of-way for the east one-half of Highland Drive, approximately 188' southerly of Jefferson Avenue intersection:

RESOLUTION OF ACCEPTANCE OF QUITCLAIM DEED

On motion of Commissioner Harris P. Sharp, duly seconded by Commissioner E. W. Fountain, and carried unanimously, the following Resolution is adopted, and order made:

BE IF RESOLVED, this 2nd day of December, 1959, by the Board of Commissioners of the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, that the deed, dated the 12th day of November, 1958, executed by

Greater Faith Baptist Church of Las Vegas, Nevada

to the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the real property described therein be, and is hereby accepted, for the purposes of street; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution be attached to said deed, and that the same be recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, and this resolution be filed in the records of this Board.

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

I, Marjorie Holschlag City Clerk, of the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the Resolution accepting the deed attached hereto duly adopted at a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners held on the 2nd day of December, 1959.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal this 3rd day of December, 1959.

/s/ Marjorie Holschlag
Clerk of the City of Las Vegas

By _____ Deputy

FOR: Highland Drive

Motion seconded by Commissioner Fountain and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

HOUSE MOVING

Murray Sanders
Withdrawn

Director of Public Works Sauer asked that the request from Mr. & Mrs. Murray Sanders to move a frame and stucco single dwelling from 1413 North "D" Street to 1225 West Van Buren Street be withdrawn from the agenda pending receipt of further information.

SALARY INCREASES

December 1, 1959

Commissioner Sharp moved the following salary increases for December 1, 1959, as approved by the Board of Civil Service Trustees, be approved:

NAME	DEPARTMENT	STEP	SCHEDULE	DOLLARS
Opolka, Christine	Finance	1-2	21	308 - 323
Bordwell, Liale	Police	3-4	34	620 - 650
Dietz, Robert	Fire	4-5	29	513 - 537
Knock, Harold G.	Fire	4-5	29	513 - 537
Jenkins, William	Park	1-2	18	269 - 282
Patterson, Mary F.	P.W. Eng.	4-5	24	407 - 427
Barr, Larry L.	P.W. Sev. Disp.	4-5	22	370 - 388
Norton, Donald	P.W. St. Light.	4-5	26	447 - 468
Antuna, Jess J.	Recreation	1-2	18	269 - 282

Approved

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

"OPERATION SANTA CLAUS"
TOY DRIVE

Approved

Commissioner Elwell moved the request from the Loyal Order of Moose for permission to use a sound truck on the City streets, December 5, 1959, from 10:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M., to publicize their "Operation Santa Claus" Toy Drive, be approved.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

GENERAL FUND
BALANCE

City Manager Kennedy advised the General Fund Balance as of November 30, 1959, was \$1,199,612.26; Gross Payroll was an estimated \$115,000; Service & Material Warrants were \$86,744.83.

CLARK COUNTY WATER
CONSERVATION AND
FLOOD CONTROL
DISTRICTExpenditure
Approved

City Manager Kennedy advised the Commission that the City had been requested to approve an expenditure of \$1,500 for their participation in the Clark County Water Conservation and Flood Control District along with the County of Clark, City of North Las Vegas, City of Henderson and the Unincorporated Town of Sunrise Manor. The purpose of these funds was to make reports and studies in preparing legislation and Mr. Kennedy stated it might be necessary to approve additional funds at a later date.

Commissioner Fountain moved an expenditure of \$1,500 be approved for the City's participation in the Clark County Water Conservation and Flood Control District program, and the Director of Finance and City Treasurer authorized to issue the warrant.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Whipple and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

GIRL SCOUTS OF AMERICA

Frontier Council

City Manager Kennedy stated some years ago the Frontier Girl Scout Council was leased a plot of ground in Squires Park adjacent to the State Building and they now had the necessary financing to go ahead with the construction of a building there. Mr. Kennedy added he had discussed with them the possibility of locating their headquarters on another parcel of City land, as this present location was going to be one of the busiest corners in Las Vegas.

Commissioner Fountain stated that the Fair and Recreation Board had approved the Girl Scouts' use of one of the rooms in the Convention Hall for a nominal fee, and he believed this arrangement would take care of their immediate needs; therefore, he suggested this matter be held in abeyance and in the meantime the possibility of another site should be investigated. Commissioner Sharp recommended that a meeting be arranged between the City Commissioners and representatives of this group to discuss this matter.

SEWAGE SYSTEM
HOOKUP RATESOutside Sewer
ConnectionsPayment Formula
Approved

City Manager Kennedy advised the Commission at the present time the City had been accepting sewer connections to property outside but adjacent to the City Limits and there had been a request from a property owner out on West Charleston to connect into the City Sewer System; however, he was unable to get the release from the County Commissioners to allow the recovery of their funds when they came into the City.

At the regular meeting of the Board of City Commissioners, held November 4, 1959, Director of Public Works Sauer presented the request of Mr. M. A. Schneider, owner of the shopping center at the corner of Arville and Charleston, which was in the County and not connected into the City Sewer, wherein he would like to have the Board of City Commissioners consider a refund for the difference in the rates charged within the City and outside the City, if and when this property should become annexed to the City. At that time the Commission did not approve the return of the moneys, and moved this matter be held in abeyance for further study.

Mr. Kennedy continued that this was a problem of some note, as the connection fee was rather high, but fair, and that a formula had been worked out on the scale presently being charged whereby, if the connection fee was over \$1,000, payment would be allowed in five yearly installments. If, in the meantime, the property became annexed to the City, the payments would cease; in other words, if it became a part of the City within three years, only 3/5ths of the payments would be required and, if not, the whole amount would be paid over the five year period and the owner would not be entitled to any refund.

Commissioner Fountain moved the formula heretofore outlined by the City Manager be approved.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp and carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none.

**NUISANCE ABATEMENT
PETITION**

Berkley Square
Housing Assoc.

Report

City Manager Kennedy advised the Commission a petition had been received and would be filed in the City Clerk's Office, requesting the abatement of nuisances at the Juleart Trailer Park at Owens and "B" Streets, and at the property located at 1628 "G" Street. This petition, filed by the Berkley Square Housing Association, complained of debris and unsanitary conditions at these locations.

Mr. Kennedy stated a representative of the Planning Department had inspected these properties and some effort was being made to improve the conditions of these properties by the owner and lessee. He stated further that a check will be kept on the progress to eliminate these conditions.

**CASH PAYOUT
PINBALL MACHINE**

Showboat Lanes
& Las Vegas F

Report

City Manager Kennedy advised the Commission that at the last meeting of the Board of City Commissioners, held November 18, 1959, he had been requested to look into the matter of cash payout pinball machines at the Showboat Lanes and the Las Vegas Bowl, and that he and Mr. Dutton had looked over the situation at these two places, as had a representative of the Police Department, with the conclusion that the clerk at the Showboat Lanes had other duties to attend to and would not be in a position to continually watch any cash pinball machines that might be placed there.

As to the Las Vegas Bowl, there was presently a cash pinball machine out in the open and one had been requested for the Billiard Room, in which anyone under the age of 21 years would not be allowed, and Mr. Kennedy suggested, as long as a cash pinball machine had been requested for the Billiard Room, the one presently located out in the open should be moved in there. He further stated the owner had been notified this present machine would have to be removed by the end of the Federal Tax period, which would be June 30, 1960.

Commissioner Fountain asked how many pinball machines were presently located at Las Vegas Bowl and Mr. Kennedy advised there were 5 or 6 but only one of them was a cash payout pinball machine.

**MUNICIPAL COURT
CLERK**

Salary Increase
Held in Abeyance

**SOUTHERN NEVADA
MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
FEE**

Police Dept. Cases
Increased

City Manager Kennedy presented a letter from Municipal Judge Richards, requesting an increase in the salary of the Court Clerk.

Mr. Kennedy recommended that this request be held for further study and the Commissioners agreed with his recommendation.

Commissioner Whipple stated they had been considering the amount of money the City had been paying the Southern Nevada Memorial Hospital per month for cases sent there by the Police Department and that from the figures given to them it had proved to him that the City should increase the present rate of \$200, and moved that it be increased to \$600 per month, effective January 1, 1960.

Motion seconded by Commissioner Sharp, who stated he believed \$600 still was not an equitable amount; however, it was necessary to work under the present budget, and he had requested the Hospital Board to give them a list of charges each month, so this information could be considered when the next budget was formulated.

Motion carried by the following vote: Commissioners Elwell, Fountain, Sharp, Whipple and His Honor Mayor Gragson voting aye; noes, none. Commissioner Fountain requested the Chief of Police to keep these hospital cases from the City Jail at a minimum.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Child Welfare Ord.
Continued

Additional time was requested for the proposed Child Welfare Ordinance which was introduced and read by title at the regular meeting of the Board of City Commissioners held July 1, 1959, and referred to Commissioners Fountain and Elwell, committee for recommendation.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Liquor Control Ord.
Continued

Additional time was requested for the proposed Liquor Control Ordinance, which was introduced and read by title at the regular meeting of the Board of City Commissioners held September 16, 1959, and referred to Commissioners Sharp and Whipple, committee for recommendation.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Animal & Fowl Ord.
Amendment
Continued

Additional time was requested for the proposed Animal and Fowl Ordinance Amendment, which was introduced and read by title at the regular meeting of the Board of City Commissioners held November 18, 1959, and referred to Commissioners Fountain and Sharp, committee for recommendation.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Plumbing Code
Continued

Additional time was requested for the proposed Plumbing Code Ordinance, which was introduced and read by title at the regular meeting of the Board of City Commissioners held November 18, 1959, and referred to Commissioners Fountain and Sharp, committee for recommendation.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Rezoning Z-28, Z-34,
Z-36-59
Referred to Committee

An ordinance entitled: AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION IV OF ORDINANCE NO. 378, REFERRED TO AS SECTION 10, CHAPTER 24, CODE OF LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, 1949, BY AMENDING THE LAND USE PLAN MAP ADOPTED BY THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, AND CHANGING THE ZONING DESIGNATION OF CERTAIN AREAS OF THE SAID MAP, was read by title and referred to Commissioners Elwell and Whipple, committee for recommendation.