

BILL NO. 2003-96

ORDINANCE NO. 5645

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 5569, PERTAINING TO ANNEXATION CASE A-0038-02(A), TO CORRECT THE LISTING OF THE CITY ZONING DESIGNATIONS FOR TWO DEVELOPMENT PARCELS, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Summary: Amends Ordinance No. 5569, pertaining to Annexation Case A-0038-02(A), to correct the listing of the City zoning designations for two development parcels.

**THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1: Ordinance No. 5569 is amended by amending Section 7 thereof to read as follows:

Section 7: The described territory previously has been zoned in accordance with certain County of Clark classifications, and is hereby classified with certain City of Las Vegas classifications, which are deemed be the equivalents of the County classifications. The respective County and City classifications are set forth in the table below, listed by the parcel numbers used in the legal description. Parcels not specifically listed in the table are right-of-way parcels that are not expected to require a zoning designation. In the event a zoning designation is required, the Director of Planning and Development shall have the discretion to give them a designation consistent with the designation of adjacent development parcels.

COUNTY ZONING CLASSIFICATION	CITY EQUIVALENT	PARCELS
R-E	U (TC)	Parcels 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 39, 40 and 41
		Those portions of Parcels 27 and 28 with a County designation of R-E
R-U	U (PCD)	Parcels 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56 and 57
R-E	U (PCD)	Parcels 6, 7 and 62
		That portion of Parcel 3 with a County designation of R-E
R-A	U (PCD)	Parcels 2 and 4

COUNTY ZONING CLASSIFICATION	CITY EQUIVALENT	PARCELS
R-E	U (R)	Parcels 12, 14, 18, 29, 33, 37, 42, 43, 44 and 68
R-E	U (DR)	Parcels 5, 30, 31, 32, 36 and 61
R-E	U (ML)	Parcels 63, 66, 67 and 69
R-E	U (L-TC)	Parcels 9, 10 and 11
R-U	U (PF)	Parcel 58
P-F	U (PF)	Parcels 59, 60 and 65
R-E	U (L)	Parcel 15
R-E	U (PR)	Parcel 1
R-E	U (PF-TC)	That portion of Parcel 8 that is within APN 125-17-801-003 and that has a County designation of R-E
R-E	U (O)	That portion of Parcel 64 not subject to a County Resolution of Intent to C-P
[H-2 and] R-E	U (SC-TC)	[Those portions of Parcel 8 consisting of APNs 125-17-802-003 and 125-17-802-007] <u>That portion of Parcel 8 that is within APN 125-17-802-007 and that has a County designation of R-E</u>
H-2	T-C	<u>Those portions of Parcel 8 consisting of:</u> <u>1) APN 125-17-802-003; and</u> <u>2) That portion of APN 125-17-802-007 that has a County designation of H-2</u>
R-E (ROI to C-P)	U (O) (ROI to PR)	That portion of Parcel 64 subject to a County Resolution of Intent to C-P
H-2	C-1	Those portions of Parcels 3, 27 and 28 with a County designation of H-2
		That portion of Parcel 8 that is within APN 125-17-801-003 and that has a County designation of H-2


SECTION 2: The amendments represented in Section 1 of this Ordinance are intended to correct errors. Therefore, it is the intent of the City Council that, to the extent legally permissible, the amendments be effective as of the 14th day of February, 2003—the effective date of Ordinance No. 5569, and it is so declared. If it is subsequently determined that it is not legally permissible to make the amendments effective as of the 14th day of February, 2003, they shall be effective as of the date this Ordinance is recorded.

1 SECTION 3: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
2 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or
3 ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
4 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
5 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
6 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
7 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
8 invalid or ineffective.


9 SECTION 4: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
10 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
11 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

12 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 19 day of November, 2003.

13 APPROVED:

14
15 By 
16 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

17 ATTEST:

18 
19 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

20 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

21 Val Steed 10-22-03
22 Date

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 5th day of November, 2003, and referred to a committee for recommendation; thereafter the
3 committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 19th day of November, 2003, which was a
4 regular meeting of said Council; that at said regular meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by
5 title to the City Council as first read and adopted by the following vote:

6 VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman, Councilmembers Reese, Brown, L. B. McDonald,
Weekly, Mack and Moncrief

7 VOTING "NAY": None

8 EXCUSED: None

9 ABSTAINED: None

10 APPROVED:

11 
12 _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

13 ATTEST:

14 
15 _____
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

2003 NOV 18 A 10:18

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK)

SS:

Donna Stark, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says:

That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK
3056908

2296311LV

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review Journal and/or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 11/08/2003 to 11/08/2003, on the following days: NOV. 8, 2003

Signed: _____

Donna Stark

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS THE _____

13

day of November 2003

Mary B. Sheffield

Notary Public


BILL NO. 2003-96

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 5569, PERTAINING TO ANNEXATION CASE A-0038-02(A), TO CORRECT THE LISTING OF THE CITY ZONING DESIGNATIONS FOR TWO DEVELOPMENT PARCELS, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development
Summary: Amends Ordinance No. 5569, pertaining to Annexation Case A-0038-02(A), to correct the listing of the City zoning designations for two development parcels.

At the Special City Council meeting of NOVEMBER 5, 2003 BILL NO. 2003-96 WAS READ BY TITLE AND REFERRED TO A RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE.

COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA. PUB: November 8, 2003 LV Review-Journal



RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

2003 DEC -2 P 4: 50

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK)

SS:

Donna Stark, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says:

That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK
3079802

2296311LV

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review Journal and/or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 11/22/2003 to 11/22/2003, on the following days: NOV. 22, 2003

BILL NO. 2003-96
ORDINANCE NO. 5645

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 5568, PERTAINING TO ANNEXATION CASE A-0038-02(A), TO CORRECT THE LISTING OF THE CITY ZONING DESIGNATIONS FOR TWO DEVELOPMENT PARCELS, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development
Summary: Amends Ordinance No. 5568, pertaining to Annexation Case A-0038-02(A), to correct the listing of the City zoning designations for two development parcels.

The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the 5th day of November, 2003, and referred to a committee for recommendation; thereafter the committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 19th day of November, 2003, which was a regular meeting of said City Council; and that at said regular meeting the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as amended and adopted by the following vote:

VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman and Councilmembers Reese, L. Brown, L.B. McDonald, Weekly, Mack, and Moncrief
VOTING "NAY": NONE
EXCUSED: NONE

COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.
PUB: Nov. 22, 2003
LV Review-Journal

Signed: _____

Donna Stark

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS THE _____

26

day of *November* 2003.

Mary B. Sheffield

Notary Public

