

1 **BILL NO. 2007-72**

2 **ORDINANCE NO. 5956**

3 AN ORDINANCE TO UPDATE CERTAIN MUNICIPAL CODE PROVISIONS PERTAINING TO
4 THE MUNICIPAL COURT, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

5 Proposed by: James P. Carmany, Municipal
6 Court Administrator

Summary: Updates certain Municipal Code
provisions pertaining to the Municipal Court.

7 THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
8 AS FOLLOWS:

9 SECTION 1: Title 2, Chapter 26, Section 10, of the Municipal Code of the City of
10 Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

11 **2.26.010:** (A) There shall be a Municipal Court of the City consisting of six departments. The
12 departments shall be numbered 1 through 6, and a duly elected and qualified Judge shall preside over
13 each department.

14 (B) The Municipal Court shall be held in the facilities [provided at Las Vegas City
15 Hall and at such other facilities as may be] designated by the Court Administrator.

16 (C) The Municipal Court shall be a court of record effective December 1, 1995.

17 SECTION 2: Title 2, Chapter 26, Section 20, of the Municipal Code of the City of
18 Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

19 **2.26.020:** The term of office of Municipal Court Judges shall be for [four years; the Judges for
20 Department 1, Department 4 and Department 6 will be elected at the primary and general elections
21 of May and June, 1991, and the Judges for Department 2, Department 3 and Department 5 having been
22 elected at the primary and general elections of May and June, 1989.] six years, except as otherwise
23 provided by the City Council pursuant to Section 4.010 of the City Charter.

24 SECTION 3: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
25 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or
26 ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
27 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
28 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,

095894




1 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
2 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
3 invalid or ineffective.

4 SECTION 4: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
5 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
6 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

7 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 19th day of December, 2007.

8 APPROVED:

9
10 By 
11 ~~OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor~~
12 **Gary Reese, Mayor Pro-Tem**

11 ATTEST:

12 
13 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, CMC
14 City Clerk

15 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

16 Val Steed 11-6-07
17 Date


18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the 21st day of November, 2007, and referred to a committee for recommendation; thereafter the committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 19th day of December, 2007, which as a regular meeting of said Council; that at said regular meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman
Councilmembers: Reese, Brown, Weekly, Wolfson, Tarkanian and Ross
VOTING "NAY": None
EXCUSED: None
ABSTAINED: None

APPROVED:


OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

Gary Reese, Mayor Pro-Tem

ATTEST:


BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, CMC City Clerk

**BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT
BILL NO. 2007-72**

(Updates certain Municipal Code provisions pertaining to the Municipal Court.)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2007-72, that would update certain Municipal Code provisions pertaining to the Municipal Court.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Not applicable

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None

Beneficial effects:

None

Direct effects:

None

Indirect effects:

None

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Not applicable

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Not applicable

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: November 6, 2007

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

2007 DEC 28 A 10:45


STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

STACEY M. LEWIS, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,
LV CITY CLERK 2296311LV 3351632

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 12/22/2007 to 12/22/2007, on the following days:

12/22/2007

BILL NO. 2007-72
ORDINANCE NO. 5956
AN ORDINANCE TO UPDATE CERTAIN MUNICIPAL CODE PROVISIONS PERTAINING TO THE MUNICIPAL COURT, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.
Proposed by: James P. Carmay, Municipal Court Administrator
Summary: Updates certain Municipal Code provisions pertaining to the Municipal Court.
The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the 21st day of November 2007 and referred to a committee for recommendation; thereafter the committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 19th day of December 2007, which was a regular meeting of said City Council; and that at said regular meeting the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as introduced and was adopted by the following vote:
VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman and Councilmembers Reese, Brown, Wolfson, Tarkanian, Ross, and Barlow
VOTING "NAY": NONE
EXCUSED: NONE
COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.
PUB: December 22, 2007
LV Review-Journal

 JANET EILEEN STANEK
Notary Public State of Nevada
No. 06-107924-1
My appt. exp. July 28, 2010

Signed:

Stacey M. Lewis

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS, THE

24 day of *December*, 2007.

Janet Eileen Stanek
Notary Public