

SECOND AMENDMENT

BILL NO. 2000-50

ORDINANCE NO. 5234

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE REGARDING THE MINIMUM 400-FOOT SEPARATION BETWEEN LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENTS (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) AND CERTAIN RETAIL USES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Sponsored by:
Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Summary: Allows certain retail uses a waiver or exemption from the minimum 400-foot separation requirement pertaining to liquor establishments (off-premise consumption).

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS
FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Section 19A.04.050(B) of the Zoning Code of the City of Las Vegas is hereby amended so that the subdivision entitled "Liquor Establishment (Off-Premise Consumption)" reads as follows, with the brackets included in the heading indicating the brackets normally found in the Zoning Code, and the brackets found in the text of the amendment representing matter deleted by this amendment:

LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) [C-1, C-2, C-M, M]

Pursuant to its general authority to regulate the sale of alcoholic beverages, the City Council declares that the public health, safety and general welfare of the City are best promoted and protected by requiring that:

* 1. No beer/wine/cooler on-sale, beer/wine/cooler off-sale, beer/wine/cooler on-off-sale, package, wholesale general use shall be located within 400 feet of any church, synagogue, school, child care facility licensed for more than twelve children or City park.

* 2. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 3 below, the distances referred to in Paragraph 1 shall be determined with reference to the shortest distance between two property lines, one being the property line of the proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) which is closest to the existing use to which the measurement pertains, and the other being the property line of that existing use which is closest to the proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption).

The distance shall be measured in a straight line without regard to intervening obstacles. For

1 purposes of measurement, the term "property line" refers to property lines of fee interest parcels and
2 does not include the property line of:

- 3 a. Any leasehold parcel; or
- 4 b. Any parcel which lacks access to a public street or has no area for on-site
5 parking and which has been created so as to avoid the distance limitation described in Paragraph 1.

6 * 3. In the case of a liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) proposed to be
7 located on a parcel of at least eighty acres in size, the minimum distances referred to in Paragraph
8 1 shall be measured in a straight line:

9 a. From the nearest property line of the existing use to the nearest portion of the
10 structure in which the liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) will be located, without
11 regard to intervening obstacles; or

12 b. In the case of a proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption)
13 which will be located within a shopping center or other multiple-tenant structure, from the nearest
14 property line of the existing use to the nearest property line of a leasehold or occupancy parcel in
15 which the liquor establishment will be located, without regard to intervening obstacles. This
16 Ordinance shall not apply to any special use permit application which was properly filed with the
17 Planning and Development Department on or before December 15, 1999.

18 4. When considering a Special Use Permit application for a liquor establishment for off-
19 premise consumption which also requires a waiver of the distance limitation in Paragraph 1, the
20 Planning Commission shall take into consideration the distance policy and shall, as part of its
21 recommendation to the City Council, state whether the distance requirement should be waived and
22 the reasons in support of the decision.

23 5. The minimum distance [requirement] requirements in Paragraph 1 [does] do not apply to:

24 a. [an] An establishment which has a non-restricted gaming license in connection
25 with a hotel having 200 or more guest rooms on or before July 1, 1992 or in connection with a resort
26 hotel having in excess of 200 guest rooms after July 1, 1992[.] ; or

27 b. A proposed retail establishment having more than 50,000 square feet of retail
28 floor space.

1 *6. All businesses which sell alcoholic beverages shall conform to the provisions of
2 Chapter 6.50 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.

3 7. The minimum distance requirements set forth in Paragraph 1, which are otherwise
4 nonwaivable under the provisions of this subdivision, may be waived:

5 a. In accordance with the provisions of Subsection 19A.04.050(A)(4) for any
6 liquor establishment for off-premise consumption which is proposed to be located on a parcel with
7 frontage along Fremont Street between Main Street and Las Vegas Boulevard;

8 b. In accordance with the applicable provisions of the "Town Center
9 Development Standards Manual" for any liquor establishment for off-premise consumption which
10 is proposed to be located within the T-C (Town Center) Zoning District and which is designated MS-
11 TC (Main Street Mixed Use) in the Town Center Land Use Plan[.] ; or

12 c. In connection with a proposed retail establishment having between 20,000
13 square feet and 50,000 square feet of retail floor space, if no more than 10% of the retail floor space
14 is regularly devoted to the display or merchandising of alcoholic beverages.

15 SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
16 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid
17 or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
18 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of
19 the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection,
20 subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or
21 more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared
22 unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

23 SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
24 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...


1 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

2 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 21ST day of June, 2000.

3 APPROVED:

4 By 
5 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

6 ATTEST:

7 
8 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

9 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

10 Val Steed 6-21-00
11 Date


1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on
2 the 7TH day of June, 2000 and referred to the following committee composed of the
3 Councilmen Weekly and Mack for recommendation; thereafter the said committee reported
4 favorably on said ordinance on the 21st day of June, 2000 which was a regular meeting of said
5 Council; that at said regular meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City
6 Council as amended and adopted by the following vote:

7 VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman and Councilmembers M. McDonald, Reese, Brown,
8 L. B. McDonald, Weekly and Mack


9 VOTING "NAY": NONE

10 EXCUSED: NONE

11 APPROVED:

12
13 
14 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

15 ATTEST:

16 
17 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

Barbara Linford, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says:

That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK
1277247

2296311LV


was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review Journal and/or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 06/09/00 to 06/09/2000, on the following days: JUNE 9, 2000

Signed: Barbara Linford

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS THE 9

day of June 2000

Mary B. Sheffield
Notary Public



BILL NO. 2000-50
AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE REGARDING THE MINIMUM 400-FOOT SEPARATION BETWEEN LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENTS (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) AND CERTAIN RETAIL USES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Sponsored by: Councilman Michael J. McDonald
Summary: Allows certain retail uses a waiver or exemption from the minimum 400-foot separation requirement pertaining to liquor establishments (off-premise consumption).

At a City Council meeting June 7, 2000
BILL NO. 2000-50 WAS READ BY TITLE AND REFERRED TO RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: Councilmen Weekly and Mack

COPIES OF THE COMPLETE BILL ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, CITY HALL, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.
PUB: June 9, 2000
Las Vegas Review-Journal

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK
2000 JUN 19 P 2:20

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

Barbara Linford, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says:

That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK
1297018

2296311LV

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review Journal and/or Las Vegas Sun in 1
edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 06/23/00 to 06/23/2000, on
the following days: JUNE 23, 2000

Signed: Barbara Linford

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS THE 23

day of June 2000

Mary B. Sheffield
Notary Public

SECOND AMENDMENT
BILL NO. 2000-50
ORDINANCE NO. 5234


AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE REGARDING THE MINIMUM 400-FOOT SEPARATION BETWEEN LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENTS (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) AND CERTAIN RETAIL USES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Sponsored by: Councilman Michael J. McDonald
Summary: Allows certain retail uses a waiver or exemption from the minimum 400-foot separation requirement pertaining to liquor establishments (off-premise consumption).

The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the 7TH day of June, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of Councilmen Weekly and Mack for recommendation; thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 21st day of June, 2000, which was a regular meeting of said City Council; and that at said regular meeting the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman and Councilmembers M. McDonald, Reese, Brown, L.B. McDonald, Weekly and Mack
VOTING "NAY": NONE
EXCUSED: NONE

COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.
PUB: June 23, 2000
Las Vegas Review-Journal



RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

2000 JUL -3 P 2:10

FIRST AMENDMENT

BILL NO. 2000-50

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE REGARDING THE MINIMUM 400-FOOT SEPARATION BETWEEN LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENTS (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) AND CERTAIN RETAIL USES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Sponsored by:
Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Summary: Allows certain retail uses a waiver or exemption from the minimum 400-foot separation requirement pertaining to liquor establishments (off-premise consumption).

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS
FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Section 19A.04.050(B) of the Zoning Code of the City of Las Vegas is hereby amended so that the subdivision entitled "Liquor Establishment (Off-Premise Consumption)" reads as follows, with the brackets included in the heading indicating the brackets normally found in the Zoning Code, and the brackets found in the text of the amendment representing matter deleted by this amendment:

LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) [C-1, C-2, C-M, M]

Pursuant to its general authority to regulate the sale of alcoholic beverages, the City Council declares that the public health, safety and general welfare of the City are best promoted and protected by requiring that:

* 1. No beer/wine/cooler on-sale, beer/wine/cooler off-sale, beer/wine/cooler on-off-sale, package, wholesale general use shall be located within 400 feet of any church, synagogue, school, child care facility licensed for more than twelve children or City park.

* 2. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 3 below, the distances referred to in Paragraph 1 shall be determined with reference to the shortest distance between two property lines, one being the property line of the proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) which is closest to the existing use to which the measurement pertains, and the other being the property line of that existing use which is closest to the proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption).

The distance shall be measured in a straight line without regard to intervening obstacles. For

1 purposes of measurement, the term "property line" refers to property lines of fee interest parcels and
2 does not include the property line of:

3 a. Any leasehold parcel; or

4 b. Any parcel which lacks access to a public street or has no area for on-site
5 parking and which has been created so as to avoid the distance limitation described in Paragraph 1.

6 * 3. In the case of a liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) proposed to be
7 located on a parcel of at least eighty acres in size, the minimum distances referred to in Paragraph
8 1 shall be measured in a straight line:

9 a. From the nearest property line of the existing use to the nearest portion of the
10 structure in which the liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) will be located, without
11 regard to intervening obstacles; or

12 b. In the case of a proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption)
13 which will be located within a shopping center or other multiple-tenant structure, from the nearest
14 property line of the existing use to the nearest property line of a leasehold or occupancy parcel in
15 which the liquor establishment will be located, without regard to intervening obstacles. This
16 Ordinance shall not apply to any special use permit application which was properly filed with the
17 Planning and Development Department on or before December 15, 1999.

18 4. When considering a Special Use Permit application for a liquor establishment for off-
19 premise consumption which also requires a waiver of the distance limitation in Paragraph 1, the
20 Planning Commission shall take into consideration the distance policy and shall, as part of its
21 recommendation to the City Council, state whether the distance requirement should be waived and
22 the reasons in support of the decision.

23 5. The minimum distance [requirement] requirements in Paragraph 1 [does] do not apply to:

24 a. [an] An establishment which has a non-restricted gaming license in connection
25 with a hotel having 200 or more guest rooms on or before July 1, 1992 or in connection with a resort
26 hotel having in excess of 200 guest rooms after July 1, 1992[.] ; or

27 b. A proposed retail establishment having more than 50,000 square feet of retail
28 floor space.

1 *6. All businesses which sell alcoholic beverages shall conform to the provisions of
2 Chapter 6.50 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.

3 7. The minimum distance requirements set forth in Paragraph 1, which are otherwise
4 nonwaivable under the provisions of this subdivision, may be waived:

5 a. In accordance with the provisions of Subsection 19A.04.050(A)(4) for any
6 liquor establishment for off-premise consumption which is proposed to be located on a parcel with
7 frontage along Fremont Street between Main Street and Las Vegas Boulevard;

8 b. In accordance with the applicable provisions of the "Town Center
9 Development Standards Manual" for any liquor establishment for off-premise consumption which
10 is proposed to be located within the T-C (Town Center) Zoning District and which is designated MS-
11 TC (Main Street Mixed Use) in the Town Center Land Use Plan[.] ; or

12 c. In connection with a proposed retail establishment having between 15,000
13 square feet and 50,000 square feet of retail floor space, if no more than 10% of the retail floor space
14 is regularly devoted to the display or merchandising of alcoholic beverages.

15 SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
16 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid
17 or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
18 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of
19 the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection,
20 subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or
21 more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared
22 unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

23 SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
24 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

1 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

2 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2000.

3 APPROVED:

4
5 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

6 ATTEST:

7
8 _____
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

9 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

10 Val Steed 6-20-2000
11 _____
Date

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of ____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 ____ and ____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 ____, 2000, which was a ____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 ____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as amended and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11 APPROVED:

12
13 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

14 ATTEST:

15 _____
16 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BILL NO. 2000-50

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE ZONING CODE REGARDING THE MINIMUM 400-FOOT SEPARATION BETWEEN LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENTS (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) AND CERTAIN RETAIL USES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Sponsored by:
Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Summary: Allows certain retail uses a waiver or exemption from the minimum 400-foot separation requirement pertaining to liquor establishments (off-premise consumption).

**THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1: Section 19A.04.050(B) of the Zoning Code of the City of Las Vegas is hereby amended so that the subdivision entitled "Liquor Establishment (Off-Premise Consumption)" reads as follows, with the brackets included in the heading indicating the brackets normally found in the Zoning Code, and the brackets found in the text of the amendment representing matter deleted by this amendment:

LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) [C-1, C-2, C-M, M]

Pursuant to its general authority to regulate the sale of alcoholic beverages, the City Council declares that the public health, safety and general welfare of the City are best promoted and protected by requiring that:

* 1. No beer/wine/cooler on-sale, beer/wine/cooler off-sale, beer/wine/cooler on-off-sale, package, wholesale general use shall be located within 400 feet of any church, synagogue, school, child care facility licensed for more than twelve children or City park.

* 2. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 3 below, the distances referred to in Paragraph 1 shall be determined with reference to the shortest distance between two property lines, one being the property line of the proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) which is closest to the existing use to which the measurement pertains, and the other being the property line of that existing use which is closest to the proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption). The distance shall be measured in a straight line without regard to intervening obstacles. For purposes of measurement, the term "property line" refers to property lines of fee interest parcels and

1 does not include the property line of:

2 a. Any leasehold parcel; or

3 b. Any parcel which lacks access to a public street or has no area for on-site
4 parking and which has been created so as to avoid the distance limitation described in Paragraph 1.

5 * 3. In the case of a liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) proposed to be
6 located on a parcel of at least eighty acres in size, the minimum distances referred to in Paragraph
7 1 shall be measured in a straight line:

8 a. From the nearest property line of the existing use to the nearest portion of the
9 structure in which the liquor establishment (off-premise consumption) will be located, without
10 regard to intervening obstacles; or

11 b. In the case of a proposed liquor establishment (off-premise consumption)
12 which will be located within a shopping center or other multiple-tenant structure, from the nearest
13 property line of the existing use to the nearest property line of a leasehold or occupancy parcel in
14 which the liquor establishment will be located, without regard to intervening obstacles. This
15 Ordinance shall not apply to any special use permit application which was properly filed with the
16 Planning and Development Department on or before December 15, 1999.

17 4. When considering a Special Use Permit application for a liquor establishment for off-
18 premise consumption which also requires a waiver of the distance limitation in Paragraph 1, the
19 Planning Commission shall take into consideration the distance policy and shall, as part of its
20 recommendation to the City Council, state whether the distance requirement should be waived and
21 the reasons in support of the decision.

22 5. The minimum distance [requirement] requirements in Paragraph 1 [does] do not apply to:

23 a. [an] An establishment which has a non-restricted gaming license in connection
24 with a hotel having 200 or more guest rooms on or before July 1, 1992 or in connection with a resort
25 hotel having in excess of 200 guest rooms after July 1, 1992[.] ; or

26 b. A proposed retail establishment having more than 50,000 square feet of retail
27 floor space.

28 *6. All businesses which sell alcoholic beverages shall conform to the provisions of

1 Chapter 6.50 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.

2 7. The minimum distance requirements set forth in Paragraph 1, which are otherwise
3 nonwaivable under the provisions of this subdivision, may be waived:

4 a. In accordance with the provisions of Subsection 19A.04.050(A)(4) for any
5 liquor establishment for off-premise consumption which is proposed to be located on a parcel with
6 frontage along Fremont Street between Main Street and Las Vegas Boulevard;

7 b. In accordance with the applicable provisions of the "Town Center
8 Development Standards Manual" for any liquor establishment for off-premise consumption which
9 is proposed to be located within the T-C (Town Center) Zoning District and which is designated MS-
10 TC (Main Street Mixed Use) in the Town Center Land Use Plan[.] ; or

11 c. In connection with a proposed retail establishment having between 10,000
12 square feet and 50,000 square feet of retail floor space, if no more than 10% of the retail floor space
13 is regularly devoted to the display or merchandising of alcoholic beverages.

14 SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
15 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid
16 or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
17 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of
18 the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection,
19 subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or
20 more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared
21 unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

22 SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
23 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,

24 ...

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...

1 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

2 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2000.

3 APPROVED:

4
5 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

6 ATTEST:

7
8 _____
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

9 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

10 *Valteed* 5-26-06
11 _____
Date

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2000, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 _____, 2000, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11 APPROVED:

12
13 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

14 ATTEST:

15 _____
16 BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28