

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BILL NO. 2010-45

ORDINANCE NO. 6119

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL IN ITS ENTIRETY CHAPTER 2.56 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO CITIZEN COMPLAINTS ABOUT CITY EMPLOYEES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Summary: Repeals provisions of the Municipal Code relating to citizen complaints about City employees.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Title 2, Chapter 56, of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby repealed in its entirety.

SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases, sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 3rd day of November, 2010.


APPROVED:

By 
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

ATTEST:


BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

 9-21-10
Date

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the 6th day of October, 2010, and referred to a committee for recommendation; thereafter the committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 3rd day of November, 2010, which as a regular meeting of said Council; that at said regular meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

- VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman and Councilmembers Reese, Wolfson, Tarkanian, Ross, Barlow and Anthony
- VOTING "NAY": None
- EXCUSED: None
- ABSTAINED: None
- DID NOT VOTE: None

APPROVED:



OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

ATTEST:



BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC City Clerk

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL NO. 2010-45

(Repeals provisions of the Municipal Code relating to citizen complaints about City employees)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2010-45, that would repeal provisions of the Municipal Code relating to citizen complaints about City employees.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Not applicable

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None identified

Beneficial effects:

None identified

Direct effects:

None identified

Indirect effects:

None identified

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Not applicable

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Not applicable

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: September 22, 2010

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

2010 NOV -1 P 1:34

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

Stacey M. Lewis, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK 2296311LV 6730376

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 10/22/2010 to 10/22/2010, on the following days:

10/22/2010

BILL NO. 2010-45

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL IN ITS ENTIRETY CHAPTER 2.56 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO CITIZEN COMPLAINTS ABOUT CITY EMPLOYEES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney.
Summary: Repeals provisions of the Municipal Code relating to citizen complaints about City employees.

At the City Council meeting of OCTOBER 6, 2010
BILL NO. 2010-45 WAS READ BY TITLE AND REFERRED TO A RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE

COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA


PUB: October 22, 2010
LV Review-Journal

Signed: Stacey M. Lewis

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS, THE

2nd day of October, 2010.

Linda Espinoza
Notary Public



AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

2010 NOV 18 A 11:06

Stacey M. Lewis, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK 2296311LV 6769606

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 11/06/2010 to 11/06/2010, on the following days:

11/06/2010

BILL NO. 2010-45
ORDINANCE NO. 6119

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL IN ITS ENTIRETY CHAPTER 2.56 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO CITIZEN COMPLAINTS ABOUT CITY EMPLOYEES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney
Summary: Repeals provisions of the Municipal Code relating to citizen complaints about City employees.

The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the 6th day of October 2010 and referred to a committee for recommendation; thereafter the committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 3rd day of November 2010, which was a regular meeting of said City Council; and that at said regular meeting the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as first introduced and was adopted by the following vote:

VOTING "AYE": Mayor Goodman and Councilmembers Reese, Wolfson, Tarkanian, Ross, Barlow and Anthony
VOTING "NAY": NONE
EXCUSED: NONE

COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
PUB: November 6, 2010
LV Review-Journal

Signed: Stacey M. Lewis

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS, THE 6th day of Nov, 2010.

Emily Gonzalez
Notary Public

