

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BILL NO. 2010-35

ORDINANCE NO. 6108

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO BUSINESS LICENSING REGULATIONS; REPEALING CERTAIN OUTDOOR ADVERTISING RESTRICTIONS CONCERNING HOTELS, MOTELS, AND OTHER LODGING ESTABLISHMENTS; REPEALING SIMILAR RESTRICTIONS CONCERNING WEDDING CHAPELS; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney Summary: Repeals certain outdoor advertising restrictions concerning hotels, motels, and other lodging establishments, and repeals similar restrictions concerning wedding chapels.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Title 6, Chapter 46, Sections 110 and 120, of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, are hereby repealed in their entirety.

SECTION 2: Title 6, Chapter 84, Sections 30 and 40, of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, are hereby repealed in their entirety.

SECTION 3: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

...
...
...
...
...
...

1 SECTION 4: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
2 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
3 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

4 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this 1st day of September, 2010.

5 APPROVED:

6 By 
7 ~~OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor~~

8 Gary Reese, Mayor Pro-Tem

9 ATTEST:


10 
11 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
12 City Clerk


13 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

14 Val Steed 7.21.10
15 Date

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council
2 on the 4th day of August, 2010, and referred to a committee for recommendation;
3 thereafter the committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 1st day of September,
4 2010, which as a regular meeting of said Council; that at said regular meeting, the
5 proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by
6 the following vote:

- 7 VOTING "AYE": Mayor Pro Tem Reese and Councilmembers Wolfson, Tarkanian
Barlow, Ross and Anthony
8 VOTING "NAY": None
9 EXCUSED: Mayor Goodman
10 ABSTAINED: None

11
12 APPROVED:
13 
14 OSCAR B. GODMAN, Mayor
Gay Reese, Mayor Pro-Tem

15 ATTEST:
16 
17 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC City Clerk

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT
BILL NO. 2010-35**

(Repeals certain outdoor advertising restrictions concerning hotels, motels, and other lodging establishments, and repeals similar restrictions concerning wedding chapels)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2010-35, that would repeal certain outdoor advertising restrictions concerning hotels, motels, and other lodging establishments, and also repeal similar restrictions concerning wedding chapels.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Not applicable

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None

Beneficial effects:

Eliminate the possibility of enforcement action against these types of businesses

Direct effects:

Eliminate the possibility of enforcement action against these types of businesses

Indirect effects:

Eliminate the possibility of enforcement action against these types of businesses

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Not applicable

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Not applicable

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: July 21, 2010

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

STACEY M. LEWIS, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK 2296311LV 6571861

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 08/20/2010 to 08/20/2010, on the following days:

08/20/2010

BILL NO. 2010-35

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO BUSINESS LICENSING REGULATIONS; REPEALING CERTAIN OUTDOOR ADVERTISING RESTRICTIONS CONCERNING HOTELS, MOTELS, AND OTHER LODGING ESTABLISHMENTS; REPEALING SIMILAR RESTRICTIONS CONCERNING WEDDING CHAPELS; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Summary: Repeals certain outdoor advertising restrictions concerning hotels, motels, and other lodging establishments, and repeals similar restrictions concerning wedding chapels.

At the City Council meeting of August 4, 2010

BILL NO. 2010-35 WAS READ BY TITLE AND REFERRED TO A RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE


COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

PUB: August 20, 2010
LV Review-Journal

Signed: Stacey M. Lewis

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS, THE 23rd day of Aug., 2010.

Emily Gonzalez
Notary Public



RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

AFFP DISTRICT COURT
Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

2010 SEP 16 A 11:02

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

STACEY M. LEWIS, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

LV CITY CLERK

2296311LV

6612623

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 1 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 09/04/2010 to 09/04/2010, on the following days:

09/04/2010

BILL NO. 2010-35
ORDINANCE NO. 6108

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO BUSINESS LICENSING REGULATIONS; REPEALING CERTAIN OUTDOOR ADVERTISING RESTRICTIONS CONCERNING HOTELS, MOTELS, AND OTHER LODGING ESTABLISHMENTS; REPEALING SIMILAR RESTRICTIONS CONCERNING WEDDING CHAPELS; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Summary: Repeals certain outdoor advertising restrictions concerning hotels, motels, and other lodging establishments, and repeals similar restrictions concerning wedding chapels.

The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the 4th day of August 2010 and referred to a committee for recommendation; thereafter the committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the 1st day of September 2010, which was a regular meeting of said City Council; and that at said regular meeting the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council as first introduced and was adopted by the following vote:

VOTING "AYE": Mayor Pro Tem Reese B. and Councilmembers Wolfson, Tarkanian, Ross, Barlow, and Anthony

VOTING "NAY": NONE
EXCUSED: Mayor Goodman

COPIES OF THE COMPLETE ORDINANCE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 1ST FLOOR, 400 STEWART AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.

PUB: September 4, 2010
LV Review-Journal

Signed: _____

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS, THE

16th day of September, 2010.

Linda Espinoza
Notary Public

