City Hall, 495 S. Main St.
Human Resources 1st Floor
Training Rooms 4 & 5

January 8 2020
3:30 PM

Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program Minutes

1. **Call to Order**

Minutes:
CHAIR RICHARD called the meeting to order at 3:38 p.m.

PRESENT: CHAIR RICHARD and MEMBERS CERVACIO (excused at 9:07 p.m.), SKOUSON, WILLIAMS (excused until 3:42 p.m.), BONAVENTURA, FAJARDO (excused until 3:39 p.m.), SIERRA, YTURRALDE, REYES, STONEBARGER, RAMIREZ, RODRIGUEZ (excused until 3:53 p.m. and excused at 9:20 p.m.), CERVACIO (excused at 9:07 p.m.), WILDER, MURRAY and WHIPPLE

EXCUSED: MEMBER BELL

ALSO PRESENT: JEFF DOROCAK, Deputy City Attorney, CANDACE BORING, Youth Development Specialist, and GABRIELA PORTILLO-BRENNER, Deputy City Clerk

2. **Announcement Regarding: Compliance with Open Meeting Law**

Minutes:
ANNOUNCEMENT MADE: This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations: City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor; Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway; Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue; City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive.

3. **Public Comment:** Comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters on the agenda for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:
ARNOLD PARKER, police officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, said he was present to observe and appreciated being allowed to do so.

4. Report on Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program Applications submitted by Robert Lunt Elementary: Team Lions - Community Wall Musical Garden Beautification Project; Wild ‘N Out Wednesday’s Advisory Council - Safe Space Stage: A Continuance for Our Mental Marathon; Motivation Music Education Organization - Motivation Music Education Organization; Caring Crayons - Caring Crayons; Creative Caring - Creative Caring; Project Chompers - Project Chompers; The Blessing Project - Noodles & Oodles; Mahay Medical Group - Doctor For A Day; Nasir’s National Asthma Day - Nasir’s National Asthma Day; Gifted Goalies - Goals on the Green; Sophisticated Unique Girls w/ Aspirations & Respect Girls Mentoring Program - S.U.G.A.R. & E.L.I.T.E. Family Empowerment Conference and Showcase; Valley High School Batteries Included - “YAY” Youth Assisting Youth; and Cheyenne High School Batteries Included/GEMS - Teddy Bears and Teas

Minutes:
CANDACE BORING, Youth Development Specialist, introduced herself and BRANDON ERVIN, Community and Youth Development Officer, who is MS. BORING’S supervisor and works with her on this program.

MS. BORING announced that none of the applicants scheduled for 3:40 p.m. had arrived but two of the groups scheduled for 4:00 p.m. were present.

As each applicant came forward for their presentation, CHAIR RICHARD advised them they would have five minutes to present and the Board would have five minutes to ask questions. At the conclusion of their presentation, the Chair also advised them that the Board would discuss the funding recommendations later during the meeting and present the final recommendations to the City Council on February 5, 2020 for their consideration and final approval. MS. BORING would be notifying the groups about the next steps in the process.

The Blessing Project – Noodles & Oodles: CINDY IBARRA, ZARI KIETA and GENESIS TEDESCO presented this project and said they are 7th Grade students at Mike O'Callaghan Middle School. They created the Noodles & Oodles project to help the more than 7,000 individuals experiencing homelessness in Southern Nevada, including approximately 800 homeless veterans and 1,400 homeless students. They would like to hand out care packages to the homeless in the area of Las Vegas Boulevard and Owens Avenue. The packages will contain granola bars, applesauce, a water bottle, Ramen Noodles, which can be warmed at gasoline stations, and socks, which can also be used to warm the ears.

They requested $1,000 to be used to make homeless individuals' days brighter by receiving the care packages. If approved, they intend to promote the project during morning school announcements and try to recruit volunteers. They will also follow up with putting together a project video after completion.

MEMBER SKOUSON commended the group on their presentation and discussed with them that friends, two classmates and volunteers recruited by their moms will help with the project. MEMBER WILDER verified that they would put together approximately 250 packages. For their safety, MEMBER WILLIAMS suggested that if they intend to hand out packages in the area of Foremaster Lane, they should schedule it for the second Saturday of each month, when other volunteer groups go into the area. CINDY, ZARI and GENESIS appreciated his recommendation.

MEMBER SIERRA praised the group on a good project and on making a good presentation, which she did not feel is easy to do at such a young age.

Caring Crayons – Caring Crayons: MELANIE McNAIR and CHELSEA ROSE were present and made a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record. MS. McNAIR stated that this is the second year the group has requested funding. Last year, they requested funding for their Children of the World project, for which family and a friend spoke about the first wheelchair swing in a park. For that project, they partnered with COUNCILWOMAN FIORE and received almost $1,000 in grant funding. After the project was completed, they were inspired to write a book, a copy of which was submitted for the record.

This year, they decided on the Caring Crayons project, which was inspired by MS. McNAIR'S mother, who is a special education teacher and always spends a lot of time getting supplies for special-needs students. With this in mind, they decided the project would include baskets with school supplies for special education teachers and with materials easier to hold by the students, such as an egg-shaped crayon, samples of which she distributed to the members for examination. They intend to have friends and family help with making the crayons and with putting together and distributing the baskets. She emphasized that this board should fund their project to enable them to help the teachers help children with special needs.

MEMBERS BONAVENTURA, REYES, YTURRALDE and WILLIAMS praised them for their work and project. MEMBER YTURRALDE said they are very creative, and MEMBER WILLIAMS, who handled the egg-shaped crayon, said it works very well; he loved the concept.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA acknowledged that COUNCILWOMAN FIORE'S office (Ward 6) worked with the group on the wheelchair swing last year, and it should be installed by the end of the year. He offered contact information for Ward 6 to coordinate a ribbon-cutting ceremony. He asked how many volunteers they think will help with the project, and MS. McNAIR replied that she expects about 50 people will help.

MEMBER REYES discussed with MS. McNAIR that they chose to start with providing baskets to her mother's school because it is the high school that first requested them.

MEMBER RODRIGUEZ asked for the cost of each basket, to which MS. McNAIR replied that each basket will cost about $3 and the crayon molds are $8, adding that they have about 300 crayons.

MS. McNAIR indicated to MEMBER SIERRA that they will make as many baskets as they have supplies for.

Project Chompers – Project Chompers: RHEALYN and LAILA MANNING presented this project, and they described it by going over a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record. They would like to change and improve the lives of people by promoting good oral hygiene, which is important to the prevention of cavities, gum and heart disease, cancer and even diabetes. The budget includes the following costs: $350 for videotape of project, $600 for materials and $50 for transportation, which totals $1,000. They anticipate the total project to cost $1,000 to $1,300 and will raise any additional needed funding through sponsors. They hope to have everything ready by March 21, 2020.

CHAIR RICHARD and MEMBER REYES commended them on their presentation.

MEMBER REYES questioned their concern for good oral hygiene, and MS. RHEALYN replied that she intends to become a dentist and feels that promoting healthy oral care is better for people, especially for those who do not have access to it.

MEMBER SKOUSON asked if they would promote their project through a specific event or have ongoing education, and MS. RHEALYN answered that they would have a video to provide ongoing education at booths at several locations, such as the school. ZAVIA NORMAN, one of the moms, interjected that as Girl Scouts, they team up with other groups, such as with Foster Kinship, and they are allowed to set up booths at their events.

MEMBER REYES asked if they had given any thought to having their event through a dental office and giving out dental care kits, and MS. RHEALYN indicated that she works with DR. MANNING, who has been her dentist for a long time.

To MEMBER CERVACIO, MS. RHEALYN explained that the video content would include information about keeping teeth healthy by eating the proper foods and brushing properly, which will be demonstrated as well.

MEMBER RAMIREZ asked about the YouTube Channel and whether they will have several videos, to which MS. RHEALYN replied that they will have one video that will be featured on the website.

Creative Caring – Community Baby Shower: DESHA COLLINS, NIA VIRGIL and NIYAE VIRGIL were present for this project, and they commented that they received a grant from this Board last year to help them host events at a girls' group home and help them. During their spa day event, they met a pregnant young lady who was their age and learned that newborns cannot be taken out of the hospitals without the parents having certain necessities. Therefore, they would like to help those in need who have babies by using the grant funding to provide them with a car seat, blankets, baby clothes, diapers and formula. This will help the community by reducing stress for parents in need. They were very excited about the possibility of being able to help parents, and they were grateful for being allowed to make their presentation with a PowerPoint document, a copy of which was submitted for the record.

MEMBER FAJARDO was very impressed with this idea. She asked if they would be partnering on procuring the car seats, which are very expensive. MS. COLLINS commented that they intend to raise money and get help for the car seats from people in the community.

MEMBER SIERRA thought it was a very creative project and asked who would be helping. MS. COLLINS answered that they will get assistance from parents, their coach and anyone else who wants to help put the packages together.

MEMBER WILLIAMS liked the project, and he indicated that young ladies at Shade Tree could also use some of the items included in the package.

Mahay Medical Group – Doctor for a Day: AHUBHAU MAHAY, SAMEER SINGH, ANU MAHAY and MOHIT SINGH were present. They put on a skit to explain their Doctor for a Day project, which will be used to educate youth about the specialty of each doctor and how they can help people. They role-played an eye doctor, a neurologist, a cardiologist and a pediatrician. They said that the community should know the expertise of different doctors, and they will use any funding received to educate kids so they can consider these professions for their future, which they believe will help improve the community. They intend to put on an event and play games, such as one to check brain activity and have an eye chart available to check people's vision.

They thanked the people who helped them put together the skit, and explained that the event will help people learn about different medical fields, which would be a good use for the grant.

MEMBER WHIPPLE commented that it was a unique idea that can help provide the basics on how to stay healthy. He asked how many people would be helping, and they said they would ensure a good team to help out. MEMBER BONAVENTURA discussed with them that they intend to post flyers and promote the event through social media as well.

MEMBERS SIERRA, YTURRALDE and WILLIAMS also liked this creative idea and skit. MEMBER YTURRALDE added that it is a great way to feature professional fields to youth. MEMBER WILLIAMS suggested they take their skit on the road to get kids interested in the medical professions.

MEMBER SIERRA asked if a certain number of people and helpers are expected, and they answered that they will have a good amount of people to help set up, and they will also have doctors present to speak and answers questions.

Nasir's National Asthma Day – Nasir's National Asthma Day: A video was shown of NASIR THOMPSON, who was not feeling well, sending an apology for his absence and asking for the requested funding to help people understand what he goes through in dealing with asthma.

JUSTIN BOUMAH, who was four-years-old, requested funding for the project. LYNNAE and LAKENZIE JETTON were also present. REAH WATSON explained that her nephew, JUSTIN, and the young ladies just started helping because NASIR could not be present due to his asthma.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA asked how many people are expected at the event, noting that he saw a lot of donations listed on the grant application. MS. WATSON replied that the teachers from the Academy of Excellence, where NASIR goes to school, will be participating and have committed their assistance.

MEMBER WILLIAMS appreciated the video message from NASIR, adding that it was nice for him to have representatives attend on his behalf.

MEMBER SKOUSON asked for a description of the event, and MS. WATSON indicated that it will be set up in clinic form, and the Lung and Heart Associations will be present to speak about what asthma sufferers go through and to hand out items such as popsicles and cough drops, both of which help manage asthma episodes. MEMBER SIERRA liked the concept of the event and commended MS. WATSON for mentoring the groups, which are comprised of a diverse group of students who are in underrepresented areas.

Gifted Goalies – Goals on the Green: SHEMAIAH FAASA and MARIAH McNEIL presented this project, and they said that it would be wonderful to have sports equipment for the children to use and get some exercise at Rose Warren Elementary School during recess. The equipment can also be used during Physical Education (P.E.) classes and will enable them to work in teams instead of being on their cell phones. They appreciated the Board's consideration. They showed before renderings depicting the students being inactive on the bleachers, as well as after renderings of the children being active and getting exercise in the fields.

MEMBER CERVACIO discussed with MR. FAASA that the equipment will include volleyball and soccer balls, etc., or any type of sports equipment to help keep the students active.

MEMBER SKOUSON commended them for making a good presentation and asked who would be responsible for the equipment during recess, and MR. FAASA replied that the P.E. teacher would be responsible, and added that one of the reasons they are trying to get equipment is because their P.E. teacher has none.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA asked if the five volunteers would be working a total of 16 hours, and ELAINE MANILL, the project advisor, indicated that it would depend on how long the equipment is out, as the students will help maintain and store the equipment; the 16 hours is really an average.

Motivation Music Education Organization – Motivation Music Education Organization (M.M.E.O.): REHIZA OWENS, JAMARIAH DAVIS and SEMAJ BATO presented this project. A video was played of a radio commercial promoting the organization and stating their mission of providing education and motivation through music and using it to teach kids to be an asset rather than a liability. They provided some informational websites. They also showed a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, and restated their mission, adding that they intend to create art to reach cultures.

Reading from PowerPoint slides, they took turns in explaining the goals of the group by defining what the initials represent: M – to motivate youth to be successful; M – to use music to create art to reach all cultures; E – to educate youth and unite in order to build a future together; O – to organize together to build in humanity and love.

Their goal is to encourage youth through motivational programs by holding three major yearly events and two free events. A slide depicting a graph outlined their funding goal of $1,000, some of which will help pay for food, drinks, paper plates and prizes.

MEMBER SKOUSON verified that their goal is to hold a carnival and two free events, and then asked if they would charge for the carnival only. They replied that the events would be free, including some candy. The carnival will have dancing, socializing and games to play, and all attendees will be able to enjoy sweets. They will also put on a talent show. They indicated to MEMBER SKOUSON that they will have different event stations.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA asked how many attendees are anticipated, and they answered they expect about 50 people, and they are trying to bring the community together and make it grow so they can keep it active. MEMBER BONAVENTURA suggested contacting the Ward representative about waiving the park fee, and he asked for the minimum amount they could do with and still put on the event. KELLY WALLACE, group advisor, interjected that they enjoy doing the events, so they will do it even without a grant from this Board.

MEMBER SIERRA commented on what a good job the group did in putting together their presentation. She then verified that they have done events in the past and how they intend to make them bigger and better, and they replied that they plan to attract more people by disseminating more flyers.

RON BATO, CEO of M.M.E.O., interjected that they present the programs at various schools so they can gain a larger audience and get more youth interested in learning about the music. They also promote their program during Safe Summer Nights venues as well.

Valley High School Batteries Included – "YAY" Youth Assisting Youth: GUADALUPE FONSECA, TAWAN THAYANAN, KYLE COPLAY, ZITA JIMENEZ and GIOVANNI CERVONI were present. The group used a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record. Slide 3 showed photos of their Spreading Love project in 2017, for which they received $838, and their Covering Care project in 2018, for which they received $978. They used the monies to provide care packages and blankets to youth at children's hospitals. This year, they would like to use the $1,000 requested funding to host an event at the East Las Vegas Community Center to provide food and care packages to help homeless youth. The goal is to put together backpacks filled with hygiene products (for male/female), undergarments, blankets and clothing (through a winter clothing drive) for youth in need. These actions will help youth in Las Vegas receive emotional support, encouragement and hope, and will show them the community cares, as well as provide them with an opportunity to socialize with students at the East Las Vegas Community Center to share ideas. The new, modern clothing will help them feel included.

MEMBER SIERRA thought they did a great job on their presentation and observed that the group members dressed sharply for it. He asked if they were willing to modify their project by adding clothing for the summer because the winter season was coming to an end. The group indicated they would like to buy clothing for both winter and summer in order to have a selection available.

MEMBER WILDER verified that they would like to make 35-40 care packages.

After making the observation that the application indicated a pledge of 300 hours by 30 volunteers, MEMBER WILLIAMS questioned the functions of the volunteers because it seemed like a lot of hours per volunteer. The group explained that the 300 hours account for the volunteers' time, such as for prepping, meeting for ideas and handing out packages. MEMBER WILLIAMS insisted that it was still too many hours per person and also thought that the value of the clothing was underestimated, especially for modern-day clothing. KYLE stated that they trust young students will donate clothing items they do not use after they emphasize a message of altruism and giving up what is not needed in order to help others that need it more and make a difference.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA sought further clarification regarding the hours and asked if it would be 300 hours total for 30 people, and MR. COPLAY indicated that it would break down to a total of 10 hours per person (30 individuals).

MEMBER STONEBARGER felt the group had great organizational skills and asked how they intend to get the word out to the homeless youth who would prefer to keep their situation to themselves. MR. THAYANAN commented that anything extra will be donated to the Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth (NPHY).

Cheyenne High School Batteries Included/GEMS – Teddy Bears and Teas: AMARIS DAVIS, VALERY NARARRO, NAYELI VASQUEZ, TANSHA SWINSON, JONATHAN WASHINGTON and TYLER WILSON showed a poster board, pictures and a sign, copies of which were not submitted for the record, and the group explained that they want to inspire, motivate and educate youth by using new ways to teach how to brush teeth, tie shoes and wash hands, as well as to buy books to teach how to read and write, greet people and set a table. They are asking for $800 to help with the teddy bears, art supplies, paper, soap, toothbrushes and other needs to learn what they will be showing the youth. They want to show the youth that bullying and fighting is not good and promote the importance of preparing for the future. They intend to seek donations from other organizations should they not receive funding from this board. They expressed their gratitude for being allowed to make the presentation and for any support they may receive.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA commented that what the group is doing is great and discussed with them that they have a total of about 80 people in the group and each will volunteer about 50 hours because they will be attending meetings and other activities.

MEMBER SKOUSON regarded this idea as unique and liked that the group is using their knowledge to try to improve others' lives in the age group of five- to seven-years-old. The group indicated to her that they intend to use flyers to reach out to the youth.

Sophisticated Unique Girls w/ Aspirations & Respect Girls Mentoring Program – S.U.G.A.R. & E.L.I.T.E. Family Empowerment Conference and Showcase: FAITH CRUTCHER, NYANNA BOSTIC, JLLASMIN BOSTIC, YERUSHALAYIM BOSTIC, JAQUARI ESTELL, AUNDRE STREET and MALCOLM CARLLEL spoke about their career ambitions and listed some of those careers; special intelligence agent, defensive lawyer and pilot.

The group of students shared in going over a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, to describe the projects for S.U.G.A.R. (Sophisticated Unique Girls with Aspirations and Respect) and E.L.I.T.E. (Educated Leaders in Total Excellence).

S.U.G.A.R. is a girls' mentoring program designed to help girls feel empowered and loved. E.L.I.T.E. is a boys mentoring program that helps teach young boys to be great men. They are both afterschool programs that meet on Wednesdays at Democracy Prep, with members from Kindergarten to 12th grade. They seek to help those in broken families heal. They would like to hold a Family Empowerment Conference to bring families together to bond broken families and hold classes on nutrition, featuring healthy eating, and communication, focusing on love, listening and standing up for oneself. The conference will be free and will offer a dance talent showcase, games and lots of fun.

They feel the children need the fun, and they want to do it at no cost because most of the families are low-income. They intend to hold field trips and drives to get necessities for the homeless. They also want to recruit professionals to speak to the youth/families about how to have brighter futures. These events will help create stronger bonds with peers.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA observed that the group received a lot of donated materials, which he commended them for; however, he wondered who made the donations, and they responded that they got assistance from Savers and from some families made donations.

MEMBER SKOUSON liked the emphasis on keeping families strong because they are the core of society, and then verified that their focus will be to help the entire family. They indicated to MEMBER SKOUSON that they will promote the project event through social media and community outreach.

MS. BORING announced that Robert Lunt Elementary would not present and Wild 'N Out Wednesday's Advisory Council did not show; therefore, the Board could move forward with deliberations. She wondered if the Board needed to take a vote on it; however, MEMBER BONAVENTURA suggested they take a dinner break before deliberating and allow them time to show; if not, they could move forward. JEFF DOROCAK, Deputy City Attorney, agreed and said taking a recess for dinner would allow more time. Afterward, they could start deliberations.

See Item 5 for related discussion.

CHAIR RICHARD recessed the meeting from 5:59 p.m. to 6:32 p.m.

5. Discussion for possible action regarding the scoring and recommended funding of the Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program for Fiscal Year 2019-20

Minutes:
CANDACE BORING, Youth Development Specialist, announced that Wild 'N Out Wednesday's Advisory Council did not show after all.

JEFF DOROCAK, Deputy City Attorney, asked that any members needing to abstain or disclose do so. CHAIR RICHARDS disclosed her need to abstain for Mother Hubbard's Cupboard Food Pantry and MEMBER WILDER for Lexie's Little Gestures, as noted.

MR. DOROCAK announced that the discretion to grant funding was expanded, and MAYOR GOODMAN and the Councilmembers asked that the Board grant available funding by tiers. To make things a little easier, he put together an Excel spreadsheet of the applicants, which he displayed on the monitor. He disseminated pieces of paper, which were not returned for the record, and asked the members to rate the top three applicant's projects in order for them to receive points accordingly. This will ensure ranking by tiers, with the first tier receiving three points, second tier receiving two points and third tier receiving one point, and then the Member could decide on the funding amount for each group.

MS. BORING indicated that a few groups were not clear in their applications as to project locations: Mustang Creators, who wants funding for art, murals and painting around their campus, and Gifted Goalies, who wants to purchase sports equipment for the playground area. Additionally, Clark VEX Robotics and Steel Phoenix both represent Clark High School, of which she was not aware, and they are all high school students. She explained to MEMBER SKOUSON that the Mayor did not want the same groups receiving funding. BRANDON ERVIN, Community Youth Development Officer, further explained that the goal is to ensure that multiple programs are not done at the same site, and MS. BORING interjected that Master Mindsets was awarded four grants last year for four projects under the same group name and at same site. MR. ERVIN clarified that the key is not having a program at the same site. As an example, MS. BORING commented that Project 150 cannot receive two grants to do two projects at the same site, and it is definitely a consideration for ranking and funding.

In the instance of the groups Clark VEX Robotics and Steel phoenix, MEMBER WILLIAMS asked if they needed to eliminate one. MS. BORING reiterated that they are two different robotics teams made up of different students at the same school, and the same applies to Mustang Creators and Gifted Goalies. MR. ERVIN candidly explained that they were informed of these qualifications after the applications were submitted and approved for consideration by this body, and they were left in a dilemma.

MEMBER REYES observed that they had more than enough funds, and they should just wait and see how the recommendations play out, adding that they may have projects for the same location or school, but they are different. Regarding the robotics teams, she is aware that they have different competitive events, and she does not believe that they share equipment, which may require clarification. MS. BORING recalled that last year she called the applicants to obtain clarification before making funding decisions.

MEMBER CERVACIO commented that the money does not go to the schools; the money goes to the clubs for their projects, so they are helping the children. CHAIR RICHARD agreed that they are separate clubs asking for separate funding to do different projects, and she insisted that they be given the same opportunity as every other applicant. MS. BORING said she deduced from her outreach that the groups were not aware of other groups who applied, even from the same school.

MR. DOROCAK asked the members to put the applicants in ranking order of 1-3, with one being the best, and while the members were annotating their rankings from 1-3, MS. BORING asked them to also think about their feedback to pass along to the groups.

Being that he did not attend the first meeting and left early on the second meeting day, MEMBER WILLIAMS commented that he would only be able to vote for the applicants he saw make their presentations, unless he referred to the applications prepared by the group advisors. He would have liked to see all the presentations; however, he indicated that he trusts the other members to make good choices. MR. DOROCAK advised him that they would go through the projects, so he could consider some of the feedback.

The members announced their rankings and discussed why they ranked them as they did:

1 - Steel Phoenix, 2 - Arbor View Key Club and 3 - Caring Crayons: MEMBER BONAVENTURA explained that he chose Steel Phoenix as number one because of significant involvement in educating students and the amount of money going toward the workshop to teach kids STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) technology through activities. He believes the experience the kids will receive will outweigh the monetary costs. He strongly felt they should be approved for more than the $1,000 requested. Secondly, he chose Arbor View Key Club because they helped Ward 6 raise significant money for the wheelchair swing, and they are passionate about helping the homeless. Thirdly, Caring Crayons made the extra effort to make a more manageable crayon for handicapped individuals; plus, they made an extra effort to speak about the swing. He feels they do a very good job of investing their grant money in the community and will do a good job with this year's project. MEMBER WILLIAMS commented that all of his choices are for Ward 6, and MEMBER BONAVENTURA pointed out that Steel Phoenix is not.

1 - Kid Mayor Trey Young-Winfrey, 2 - Durango High School Philanthropy Club and 3 - Nazir's National Asthma Day: MEMBER CERVACIO stated that the Kid Mayor applicant made a very informational presentation. Durango High School seemed very passionate about their project and provided a very informational presentation as well. Thirdly, the Nazir's Day applicant showed great responsibility in arranging representatives to present in his

absence, and he had a videotape made to present to the Board. This shows a lot of determination to get his issue out.

1 - Creative Caring, 2 - Elaine Wynn Elementary School and 3 - Steel Phoenix: MEMBER FAJARDO felt that Creative Caring passionately displayed their ultimate goal to help the community. The Elaine Wynn High School applicant was very precise on what they want and need and did a good job of documenting that. Steel Phoenix is third because the work they do in robotics is very important in the community and they stressed that in their presentation.

1 - Creative Caring, 2 - Mahay Medical Group and 3 - Mastering Mindsets Las Vegas: MEMBER MURRAY explained Creative Caring had a very unique presentation compared to others, and he likes that their focus is to help pregnant girls. The Mahay Group also has a very unique concept in that they will have doctors talk to youth to hopefully get them interested in medical fields. Mastering Mindsets ranked for him because STEM-based programs are attracting a lot of minorities.

1 - Mastering Mindsets, 2 - Caring Crayons and 3 - Gifted Goalies: MEMBER RAMIREZ explained that he chose these three because Mastering Mindset is focusing on a younger group of kids and emphasizing STEM to them at a young age; Caring Crayons came up with a very different project that focuses on special needs; Gifted Goalies is doing something for kids to do outdoor activities.

1 - Kid Mayor Trey Young-Winfrey, 2 - Durango High School Philanthropy Club and 3 - Nazir's National Asthma Day: CHAIR RICHARD explained that Kid Mayor Trey Young-Winfrey presented well, was thorough about the project and did well for his age. Durango High School is near to the applicant's heart and they gained long-term relationships from the project. Thirdly, Nazir's National Asthma Day impressed her because of the initiative taken by the applicant to make a video and to have representatives attend on his behalf to go over the project.

1 - Creative Caring, 2 - Mahay Medical Group and 3 - FAAYT for Lunch and Luggage: MEMBER RODRIQUEZ felt that Creative Caring is a good project that will help a lot of people, especially with baby items being so expensive. Mahay Medical Group came prepared and their project will be useful to open the minds of many youth to new career fields. Lastly, the Lunch and Luggage presenters were very passionate about their project, and they are known for their cause.

1 - Palo Verde National Honor Society, 2 - Project LIT DPAC and 3 - Mahay Medical Group: MEMBER SIERRA stated that Palo Verde came first because hygiene kits are important for schools of low-income children and teaching the children how to properly brush their teeth will prevent cavities. Project LIT does important work for low-income students, and they work with the principal and teachers to make sure the students are improving. Lastly, he feels it is important to expose students to medical fields in order to give them ideas about their future career options. However, after MEMBER YTURRALDE ranked her choices, MEMBER SIERRA indicated a selection choice to rank Little Leaders as his second choice.

1 - Mahay Medical Group, 2 - Durango High School Philanthropy Club and 3 - Palo Verde National Honor Society: MEMBER SKOUSON explained that Mahay Medical Group came in first for her because they did very well in presenting their overall project package, including a skit, dressing up and displaying passion. It was very unique and will be an opportunity for older students to possibly find a passion. Durango High School Philanthropy Club had an ongoing project and extra money will only make it better, and they will be able to make more connections and help make more people feel valued. Palo Verde National Honor Society had a very polished presentation. Even though the project is the same every year, they try to improve it and promote the importance of dental health, which is very important to self-esteem.

1 - Mahay Medical Group, 2 - The Superfriends and 3 - Mastering Mindsets: MEMBER STONEBARGER explained that she chose Mahay Medical Group first because they were super dynamic and will engage kids well in getting them interested in medicine, noting that she was not aware that a person cannot sneeze with their eyes open. The Superfriends was next for her because they had a unique idea. This is their second year applying, but they came up with a different project, and they chose a specific rescue group to minimize barriers for pet adoptions. They also have a reasonable budget. Mastering Mindsets came in third because she likes the idea of youth teaching youth, which is the best way to get kids engaged. Additionally, the items chosen for purchase are reasonable to be able to teach kids.

1 - Durango High School Philanthropy Club, 2 - Mastering Mindsets and 3 - Palo Verde National Honor Society: MEMBER WHIPPLE ranked Durango High School number one because they really stood out with a project to help kids and they were very enthusiastic about it. Mastering Mindsets had a very good slide show of

a cool robot, and he felt they have a very helpful STEM project. Thirdly, he thought Palo Verde's dental hygiene idea was neat, as well as their goal to help kids while entertaining them.

1 - Palo Verde National Honor Society, 2 - The Superfriends and 3 - Mahay Medical Group: MEMBER WILDER explained that she ranked her groups in this order because the first group is teaching in an interactive way; group two's project involves animals, which can be very expensive, and came from the heart, and the third group had a creative presentation.

1 - Kid Mayor Trey Young-Winfrey, 2 - The Superfriends and 3 - Mahay Medical Group: MEMBER WILLIAMS said he was torn between two groups. However, he decided on his first group because the presentation was thorough and professional. His second choice had a very creative project and he is aware that owning a pet is very expensive, so it will help low-income families get started with pet ownership. The third group did a fantastic job in their presentation.

1 - Little Leaders, 2 - The Superfriends and 3 - Mahay Medical Group for Doctors for a Day: MEMBER YTURRALDE explained her rankings. She was very impressed with her first choice because the young ladies really want to make an impact on low-level readers. The act of students helping other students is very impactful, and she believes that education is the doorway. Additionally, they will work with students that look like them. Her second choice is very creative and is a different project that stems from personal experience. The group also noticed how expensive it is to own a pet. Her third choice offered a good experience for students to mingle with doctors and gain a little understanding about medical careers.

MR. DOROCAK explained that the process would be to give three points for first place, two points for second place and one point for third place. The applicants who did not receive any points would be considered later, and he suggested they could possibly be considered based on meeting the minimum qualifications, etc. After he counted, he commented that 16 of the 49 groups received points and 33 received zero points. He then asked the members how they would like to fund the groups that received points.

MEMBER SKOUSON acknowledged that things have changed to the point where things could be thrown off a bit and even though they have the option of awarding more than requested, she does not believe the applicants expect more than $1,000. She recommended granting $1,000 to the applicants that ranked the best, and then dividing the money among the rest. She was uncomfortable giving some applicants more than $1,000 because this may leave nothing for other groups. In her opinion, every group that presented should receive an award for simply making the effort, because it is not easy to stand before a group and make a presentation. Moreover, part of the goal is to train the youth in civic engagement, and giving them nothing would be very discouraging for them. MEMBER BONAVENTURA observed that the only group who asked for more funding was Steel Phoenix of Ward 1 because they had to combine their presentation. Since they have to get supplies, he recommended giving them more than requested but not doubling the amount, perhaps they could be awarded $1,500. MS. BORING added that Master Mindsets also combined and explained that they had an entrepreneur workshop and robotics projects. MEMBER STONEBARGER stated that if they awarded $1,000 to every group that received points, it would total $39,100. MR. DOROCAK clarified with MEMBER STONEBARGER that every applicant with points would receive $1,000.

MEMBER CERVACIO commented that the majority of the groups requested $1,000, but she felt the Board should consider giving more even to those who requested less money, such as the group Little Leaders, who requested $750.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA felt that the groups ranked should receive funding in the amount requested and no more than that, because they already made their budget, noting it is unfortunate that they were not aware they could request more money when they submitted their applications. Those applicants who were chosen should be given the full amount because they ranked in the top, and then they can discuss the remainder of the applicants and how much they should be awarded. MS. BORING pointed out that although the applicant Cheyenne High School Batteries Included/GEMS indicated on their application that they wanted $1,000, they requested $800 during their presentation. CHAIR RICHARD agreed that the applicants should receive what they requested, and then the Board can discuss how to distribute the remainder of the funds.

Based on the list and the tiers, MEMBER WILLIAMS thought applicants who received 14-7 ranking points should be funded at the full $1,000; applicants with 5-1 ranking points funded at $800 or $900, and then they could discuss distribution of the remaining funds. MEMBER BONAVENTURA disagreed and said that the applicants chosen deserve the top tier score, noting that there were even applicants who did not rank and deserve the full amount. MEMBER WILLIAMS asserted that he was basing his suggestion on the scores. MEMBER CERVACIO explained that her recommendation was not to give more than requested but to at least give all groups the requested amount.

MEMBER SKOUSON commented that the Board has never awarded all the requested funding, yet the applicants have found ways to make their projects work. They find ways to go forward with less money and cut where necessary to make it work.

As the person who makes all the purchases for the groups, MS. BORING stated that rarely do any of the groups not purchase everything they need with the funding they receive, adding that the purchases are tax exempt and often the food is cheaper than anticipated. Some groups have bigger budgets than they can spend, and then they are left scrambling trying to use up the money. Based on this, MEMBER SKOUSON suggested identifying groups that should receive the full funding requested, and then figure out how to distribute the remainder. Going by every applicant who received from one to three points, assuming tier one receives $1,000 and tier two receives the requested amount of approximately $700, they would still be at a total amount of less than $40,000 as MEMBER STONEBARGER explained.

MS. BORING suggested pondering on some of the ideas for a bit, and discussing some of the groups the Board feels should not receive full funding. MEMBER BONAVENTURA liked this idea, and then suggested perhaps using the top numbers to award full funding. MEMBER SIERRA agreed with MEMBER BONAVENTURA that the number system was designed for a reason, and the applicants who were ranked should at least receive full funding. Those who did not make ranking, should still receive some funding after consideration of their budgets.

MR. DOROCAK suggested they decide and vote on what applicants in Tier One should receive, whether that is $1,000 or more.

MEMBER REYES thought the tier system has inherent flaws, especially for long-time Board Members who feel none of the programs should receive zero points. Over the years, the Board has established standards for those who met the criteria. If there is an inherent unfairness to providing more than what was requested, the Board should establish the baseline, and she motioned to award $1,000 or the full requested amount to those applicants in the top tier ranking. This will leave plenty of funding for the remainder of the applicants. MS. BORING agreed that the tier system is not perfect, and she would like to receive input from the members on how it can be improved. MR. DOROCAK restated the motion to be that the top tier encompasses any applicant receiving points to receive $1,000, or the amount requested. MEMBER WILLIAMS supported MEMBER REYES' motion.

MEMBER SKOUSON pointed out that an even divide of the $45,000 available funding among the 49 viable applicants would amount to $918 for each applicant. MR. ERVIN interjected that unfortunately, it is exactly what the City Council wants to avoid, because the groups that make the extra effort should be awarded accordingly. He understood how arduous this could make the decision-making process; however, it is something the membership should keep in mind going forward. MEMBER WILLIAMS countered that unlike the Neighborhood Partners Fund Board (NPFB) grant, which deals with adults, this grant deals with young adults and children who are learning in the process. Although he supported the motion, MEMBER WILLIAMS said in the future, he would prefer to go beyond one tier and possibly fund 100 percent and then scale down to 90 percent, 80 percent, etc., instead of funding more than what has been indicated and promoted on the informational grant documentation, noting that the tier process should be better thought out.

As a long-time member of this Board, MEMBER REYES commented that she took full responsibility for creating over the years what she considers to be a fair and equitable process in an effort to deal with available funding. Although she believes there is some wisdom to a tier process, there is some difficulty with the change.

While he believes in being fair, MEMBER BONAVENTURA persisted that those applicants who go above and beyond should be rewarded with the full amount requested. For those groups that do not receive the full amount requested, it should be considered as a learning experience, and they should use it as an incentive to try harder in order to receive more funding the next grant year.

GABRIELA PORTILLO-BRENNER, Deputy City Clerk, requested clarification on the motion, and MR.DOROCAK restated it as follows: to grant $1,000 or the amount requested, if less, to the 16 groups that scored points as part of the tier ranking process. MEMBER BONAVENTURA made a point of order that CHAIR RICHARD had to abstain on her project, and MR. DOROCAK explained that CHAIR RICHARD would just be voting on the other applicants.

Although he agreed that this is a learning process for the youth, MR. ERVIN was reminded in conversations that some children need to learn to take no for an answer and to be critiqued. He considers it as teaching moments.

In terms of splitting the money, MEMBER MURRAY observed that a lot of the groups that requested $1,000 are doing care packages. He suggested considering given some of those applicants less funding and redirecting the remaining balance toward applicants that want to do more for the community. MEMBER BONAVENTURA did not think it is as important to fund based on similarity of projects as it is to fund on the merits of the projects, and whether they followed directions and performed well.

Moving along, MR. DOROCAK mentioned that if the remaining funds were to be divided among the remaining 33 applicants, it would amount to approximately $886 per applicant. So he suggested considering those applicants the membership feels should receive less funding because they were deficient.

MEMBER SKOUSON asked that the Board go through applicants that did not make the top tier, which consisted only of the top three, but still did well and characterized them as being in the top five. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said it would be easier to go through the list one by one and decide on funding. MEMBER SKOUSON threw out the idea of voting on the next tier of three. MEMBER WILLIAMS recommended making the process quicker by doing a second tier of the next two top groups, giving them one point each and awarding each group $800. MEMBER CERVACIO understood that the Board was given new guidelines and having to identify tiers. However, she reminded the membership that a lot of the applicants include younger children in elementary school who are afraid of public speaking. They may do well while practicing, but when it comes to presenting, their nerves betray them, and it is not fair to not give them full consideration. Given that this is a starting point and they can only improve, CHAIR RICHARD suggested ranking two more groups, keeping in mind that the members can pick the applicants for this tier. MEMBER STONEBARGER clarified that for this round of ranking, they needed to choose applicants who were not in the first tier.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA insisted that it would be easier to go through the list, rate each applicant and justify their recommended award amount, regardless of the time it may take. MEMBER WILLIAMS said he could not support going down that path because, as he previously mentioned, he was absent for one meeting and for most of another meeting. MEMBER SKOUSON said it would be more constructive for the members to provide general feedback, and she asked how much guidance the applicants receive from MS. BORING, who explained that she starts holding workshops early to provide instruction on how to make presentations, what to expect, and how to prepare PowerPoint presentations. She also has a four-week class for applicants wishing to improve their public-speaking skills. To MEMBER YTURRALDE, MS. BORING indicated that about 14 groups participated.

MEMBER SKOUSON asked that in the future, MS. BORING stress certain things to the applicants, like dressing professionally and not reading from the PowerPoint, given that the Mayor and staff members are trying to raise the bar for this grant and make the applicants more accountable. And they will receive the necessary tools, but they need to do the work as well. She suggested, as she did last year, to start holding these meetings in the Council Chambers so that the applicants can have the benefit of watching other presenters and learning from them. It would also be a good idea to have videotape of the proceedings available on the website in the future to learn from. She agreed that the end goal is to teach the children to become responsible adults without discouraging them.

MS. BORING commented that the intent of the higher standard is not to deny funding to any group, unless they did not show. She then suggested considering the groups who the members did not think appropriately used the funds. MEMBER BONAVENTURA noted that the group LACE stood out because they had their own book and want to promote reading. He wondered if they intend to sell the book for profit and use the money to purchase their own product. If so, they could reduce the budget by eliminating the amount indicated for purchasing books. The other applicant that stood out is the one for Make a Movie, and he would like to condition any recommended funding contingent upon the applicant not charging the children an entry fee. MS. BORING indicated that the applicant for the Make a Movie project is a repeat applicant, and she indicated to MEMBER BONAVENTURA that he could question use of funds.

MEMBER CERVACIO thought the applicant LACE is trying obtain kits to help kids make books. MEMBER STONEBARGER pointed out that in their presentation, LACE spoke about kits, but the budget submitted reflects an entry for purchasing books. MEMBER SKOUSON thought they would get journals for the kids to write in. MEMBER RAMIREZ commented that the application does not reflect anything about building a kit. After deducing that it was just about the group's two books, MEMBER BONAVENTURA recommended not funding them because it would be an unethical use of taxpayer dollars. MS. BORING suggested any funding granted to LACE include a stipulation that they cannot purchase their own books and add suggestions for other books that could be useful, noting to MEMBER BONAVENTURA that she would be making all purchases for the applicants who receive funding to ensure proper use of funding. MEMBER BONAVENTURA felt uncomfortable telling applicants what to do on their projects. He had no problem with denying funding and having them learn from the experience so that they can regroup and do better for next year's process. MEMBER STONEBARGER agreed that funding should not be awarded if the money is being used inappropriately. MEMBER SIERRA said this should be made a policy, and MS. BORING explained that this has never happened before. As part of the checks and balances process, the applicants submit their purchase requests, which she reviews and forwards to her supervisor for additional review and approval. However, they could add it to the policies and procedures to prevent this type of incident. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said perhaps they could stipulate that no applicant can buy their own product. MEMBER REYES did not understand why the applicant would not just distribute a book they wrote for free. CHAIR RICHARD did not think LACE should be denied any funding, and suggested that without telling them how to do their project, they should be given some guidance and let them learn from their mistakes.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA said this body is just a review board and cannot tell any applicant what to buy with the funding, but he recommended funding of $300 with the stipulation that the money be used for materials for literacy kits. MEMBER WILLIAMS did not think that was enough funding and could not support the recommendation, and since he was not in attendance when they made their presentation, he would have to abstain.

Discussion ensued among the membership as to whether there was any recollection by the members of any line item in the budget or narrative for LACE regarding kits, and MS. STONEBARGER said the narrative indicates the books will be used as the kit. From the group's presentation, MEMBER REYES recalled that they intend to build up children to have an interest in writing their own biography, and the kits would provide prompts to use as a guide of how to write a book. The youth will act as mentors to future writers of their own stories. MEMBER SKOUSON said that $300 would be sufficient to purchase materials for the kits, such as journals, which MEMBER CERVACIO recalled. MEMBER BONAVENTURA restated his recommendation in the form of a motion. MEMBER RAMIREZ ascertained that MS. BORING would obtain the approved list of items, and MS. BORING added that the applicant would be required to sign a contract with those stipulations after the City Council's approval of the funding. If they do not agree, the money will be pulled and returned to the General Fund.

MEMBER RODRIGUEZ recalled that last year the Board obtained permission to waive park fees so the applicants would not have to spend grant funding from the City on fees. MEMBER BONAVENTURA also recalled waiving park fees. MS. BORING pointed out that some park fees have not been waived in the past.

The Board members then went through and each provided the names of their next two top applicants so that they could discuss funding for them as follows: MEMBER BONAVENTURA - Clark VEX Robotics and Project LIT DPAC; MEMBER CERVACIO - Sophisticated Unique Girls w/ Aspirations & Respect Girls Mentoring Program and AKATEENS; MEMBER FAJARDO - Emma's House Inc. and Mustang Creators; MEMBER MURRAY - Emma's House Inc. and Kay Carl Student Council; MEMBER RAMIREZ - Kay Carl Student Council and VMCC Youth Council; MEMBER REYES – VMCC Youth Council and Squires Community Partners for Shade Tree Summer Backpacks; CHAIR RICHARD – (inaudible) and VMCC Youth Council; MEMBER RODRIGUEZ - Alliance for Academic Quiz Bowl and Emma's House Inc.; MEMBER SIERRA - Alliance for Academic Quiz Bowl and Emma's House Inc.; MEMBER SKOUSON - Emma's House Inc. and Clark VEX Robotics; MEMBER STONEBARGER - Emma's House Inc. and Clark VEX Robotics; MEMBER WHIPPLE - AKATEENS and Emma's House Inc.; MEMBER WILDER - Alliance for Academic Quiz Bowl and Kay Carl Student Council; MEMBER WILLIAMS - Emma's House Inc. and National Junior Honor Society; and MEMBER YTURRALDE - Squires Community Partners for Shade Tree Summer Backpacks and Andre Agassi Boys and Girls Club Virtues.

MEMBER SKOUSON regarded the aforementioned choices as top tier groups, and MEMBER CERVACIO agreed, adding that they should be funded in the amount of $800. MEMBER STONEBARGER pointed out that the second tier was recommended for an award of $700, and MS. BORING clarified that it was just a discretionary amount. MEMBER RODRIGUEZ believed the applicants in this tier should receive $900, and MEMBER BONAVENTURA supported this amount, adding that the groups did meet the qualifications.

MS. BORING encouraged the members to not be held back by the applicants being part of the next tier; they should feel free to grant $1,000 should they wish, even if for the same amount, as long as they could justify their recommendations. She even suggested creating a fifth tier.

Funding deliberations continued for these two groups of applicants, and MEMBER SKOUSON commented that these applicants did a great job, and she would have ranked them at the top if given the option to choose more than three applicants. MS. BORING interjected that the Mayor just does not want the money distributed equally.

MEMBER WILLIAMS did not think awarding $1,000 could be justified and reiterated his suggestion of $900, so that they could give less money to those who asked for less than the maximum. MEMBER CERVACIO asked how many groups were in the latter tier of applicants, and MR. DOROCAK indicated that there were 12 applicants. CHAIR RICHARD suggested lining up the numbers to see who receives the most points. MEMBER BONAVENTURA preferred MEMBER SKOUSON'S suggestion because, for example, Emma's House was chosen by many members because they went above and beyond and awarding them full funding would be justifiable. So they can go down the list and score the groups.

MS. BORING asked if the latter two groups would have been included in that selection of groups had the Board been given the option to select five groups. MEMBER CERVACIO asked if there was any reason why they should not award this group of 12 applicants an average of $850, which she regarded as a reasonable amount. So she made this into a motion. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said he could not support that motion because he felt it would be unfair to grant certain very well qualified applicants less than the full amount. CHAIR RICHARD said they should deliberate further on the applicants the Members feel could have been selected in the first tier if they would have had the option. MR. DOROCAK called for a vote on the motion to award $850 to the 12 groups that received points in this tier.

MR. ERVIN emphasized that the membership needs to understand that things have changed as far as how to fund the applicants, and they need to stop thinking in terms of tiers. MEMBER REYES agreed and thanked MS. BORING for her clues, which is that they have to justify their actions, which she believes the Members accomplished in their selection of the second group of what she categorized as A applicants, and to award them the full amount as requested, and she made this a motion. As a matter of information, MR. ERVIN remarked that adding this tier of 12 would still leave funding in the amount of $17,000 to allocate among other worthy groups. MS. BORING asserted that MEMBER REYES made a motion, and MR. DOROCAK described this tier as the silver group, which would receive $1,000 or the requested funding amount.

After some members said they should have just made it tier five, CHAIR RICHARD said this was a learning process to grow from.

MEMBERS SKOUSON and YTURRALDE felt they should discuss the applicants with projects that are not as favorable, and MEMBER STONEBARGER added that she also made a list of applicants that may not spend all the money. MEMBER WILLIAMS said the Zeta Archonettes, Theta Omicron Zeta Chapter, Zeta Phi Beta Sorority Inc., the T.U.L.I.P.S and the Legacy Project Youth Council included budget line items of up to $300 just for T-shirts, which he could not support. Any funding awarded to these groups, he would like to stipulate that none of the funding be used toward the purchase of T-shirts, and MEMBERS BONAVENTURA and FAJARDO agreed that the T-shirts are not essential to the projects. After reviewing the budget for these groups, MEMBER WILLIAMS pointed out that they, respectively, had budget line items for funding T-shirts in the amounts of $500, $300 and $300. Consequently, MEMBER BONAVENTURA motioned for an allocation of $500 to Zeta Archonettes; MEMBER WILLIAMS motioned for $700 to the Legacy Project, as well as a motion to award $700 to the T.U.L.I.P.S, all with the stipulation that none of the funding will be used toward T-shirts.

MEMBER FAJARDO asked that the Board take action on the groups that requested less than $1,000, such as a funding allocation of $925 for Lexie's Little Gestures, and she made the motion. MEMBER RAMIREZ made the reminder that MEMBER WILDER should abstain, given that it is her project.

After MEMBER CERVACIO verified with MR. DOROCAK that they had 16 remaining applicants, the members discussed some of the other applicants they felt should receive less funding. MEMBERS YTURRALDE and BONAVENTURA agreed that although the project concept for Door Beautification Project is a good one, they did not feel they did well in their presentation.

Regarding the applicant Kligman Baseball, MEMBER WILDER sought clarification on the project, because she did not understand why they would want to use funding to give gift baskets to their coaches for taking time off from their families. Additionally, she felt the $200 registration fee to join at Cimarron High School is excessive, and the money could be better used elsewhere toward the project. MEMBER SKOUSON thought that Kligman is a non-profit league that is not affiliated with Cimarron, and they just practice at that location. The purpose is to provide gift baskets to the coaches who volunteer their time. MEMBER WILDER appreciated the explanation. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said that many of the youth who join are not in the best of circumstances, and they work together, so it really benefits the community, and he also knows that they reuse the equipment. MS. BORING added that grant rules do allow applicants to use the funding toward giving gifts to teachers or parents, or in this case, coaches, and it has happened in previous years.

MEMBER CERVACIO suggested dividing the majority of the remaining funding among the approximately 14 applicants, excluding a few applicants the membership might want to consider individually because they feel they should not receive as much of the funding. MEMBER WILLIAMS agreed. However, to be consistent with the stipulation to not use funding toward the purchase of T-shirts, he brought up the applicants Girlfriendz of Color - Literacy Night and Rise Up NV, who included a T-shirt budget line item of $100. MS. BORING pointed out that Girlfriendz of Color was eliminated. MEMBER SKOUSON said Rise Up NV was also one of the groups on her list to receive less funding because she felt the kids did not know much about their project and the adults made most of their presentation. MEMBER STONEBARGER asked that Girls for Community Gardens for the project Mom and Me Garden Tea be given less funding because it does not cost money for the activity they would like, to have tea with family at the park. MEMBER BONAVENTURA agreed, noting that their presentation was good, and he felt they could do with $700 if they eliminated the cost for food and drinks but not the $200 requested for T-shirts, which he opined are important to having a community garden. MEMBER YTURRALDE countered that having food and drinks for some projects is important because she considers it a reward for them after volunteering together. MEMBER SKOUSON had no issue with funding the food either, so she suggested reducing the funding to $750 and not adding a stipulation regarding or food, and MEMBER BONAVENTURA made this a motion. MEMBER WILLIAMS then motioned to award Rise Up NV the amount of $700.

MEMBER CERVACIO recommended reduced funding for the Door Beautification Project because although they have to purchase materials, she felt they could accomplish their project with $600. MEMBER STONEBARGER agreed, and MEMBER CERVACIO made the motion.

Regarding Youth United w/Purpose (TBE Ent) – MAKE A MOVIE, MEMBER REYES asked for discussion on their project because she wondered if they would be profiting from it, and they did not have broad community outreach. MS. BORING explained that last year the same applicant was awarded over $900 to purchase a drum set, with the intent to teach people within their group how to plug in, operate and manage sound on a stage. The year previous to that, they were awarded approximately $700, which they used to purchase laptops and accompanying software in order to compose and create music. They have said in the past that these projects would be used for workshops to teach youth in the community how to operate the equipment. However, she has yet to see proof that they carried out their project. During a visit last year on the day of their event, no one was there, and she made contact to request the new date, but she was never updated on that date. Since then, she has not received any proof or information on how successful they were. MEMBER CERVACIO added that they were going to purchase a camera to record how to set up equipment, and she did not feel they needed $1,000 to purchase a camera; they could purchase a quality camera for less money, even if they have to buy it used. She recommended $600 in funding. MEMBER REYES added that they should include a stipulation to provide MS. BORING proof they are following through on what they are claiming their project to be. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said it is disconcerting for people to request money they are not using as represented, and he also asked to include a stipulation requiring applicants to return funding if they do not follow through on their projects, which are supposed to benefit the community. MEMBER WILLIAMS asked MS. BORING if she ever saw a drum set, because they could have purchased it and taken it home. He asserted that this applicant is a perfect example of justifiably being denied funding.

After pulling the applicant's reports from 2019, MS. BORING reported that they allowed the minimum hours for volunteer hours for the months for which she requested information. They indicated they completed their project, but did not provide a rescheduled date or any proof in the form of pictures. As a grant supervisor, MR. ERVIN said this group raises a red flag for him, and the materials being asked to fund for the project are questionable in comparison to other projects. In an abundance of caution, MEMBER BONAVENTURA motioned to deny their funding request and provide them with an explanation as to why their project was questioned and what they needed to do to convince the Board that they are deserving of funding.

Being that MS. BORING oversees the projects, CHAIR RICHARD asked her how she feels about denying Youth United w/Purpose (TBE Ent) any funding. MS. BORING replied that they did make an effort to be present and they attended the public speaking workshop, so this tells her they care. If the Board is concerned about the camera, which is their major piece of equipment, she suggested they should use the money for movie props given that they had a camera when they made their presentation. MEMBER WILLIAMS thought the camera they brought was donated. MEMBER BONAVENTURA asked if receipts are required from the applicants for donated materials or equipment, and MS. BORING replied that she does not require receipts; however, she does require that the applicants fill out a log to list donations and their dollar value. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said that would not stop the applicants from jotting an arbitrary amount. He persisted with his motion for denial of funding. After hearing some recommended stipulations from MS. BORING, he modified his motion to award $250 stipulating that they provide proof of purchases for their projects for the last two years to MS. BORING. That motion failed, and MEMBER YTURRALDE made the motion for denial of funding, indicating that their donations will make the project doable. MEMBER SKOUSON said she typically feels any applicant that makes a presentation deserves some funding. However, in this case, she was too suspicious because there were too many outstanding questions to expend the taxpayer dollars. MEMBER MURRAY suggested asking them to purchase a more affordable camera. MR. DOROCAK asked for a vote. MEMBER SIERRA explained that he supported denial of funding because they already demonstrated with the materials and equipment available to them that they could complete a filming project.

MR. DOROCAK announced that they had 12 groups and $12,000 remaining.

MEMBER RAMIREZ did not think Democracy Prep should receive full funding because they could not provide enough information about their project; they left too many unanswered questions. They had a great idea and good intentions, but they definitely need to be more structured. MEMBER STONEBARGER had the same concern, and said she put the applicant in a category to award up to $250. After reviewing their budget, MEMBERS BONAVENTURA and WILLIAMS recommended an award of $700 with the stipulation of no T-shirts; however, MEMBER YTURRALDE countered with a recommendation for $500.

As a side note, after MEMBER BONAVENTURA pointed out that the Board did not have to use all the available funding, MS. BORING explained that any money not allocated would go back into the General Fund; however, that could put the amount of the grant in danger as it could be cut, deeming that it is not necessary. MEMBER REYES suggested using some of the money to augment by up to $200 the allocations to some of the applicants in the first tier, with the guidance of staff. MS. BORING said it could be done and recalled MEMBER BONAVENTURA being in favor of offering a certain group more money. MEMBER BONAVENTURA then motioned for a funding allocation of $500 to Democracy Prep, with the stipulation that funding cannot be used for T-shirts, given that their presentation did not fully comply with the marks established, understanding that this was their first year of applying.

MEMBER WILLIAMS made the observation that since the inception of this Board, the amount of the grant has increased every year. He commented that they should use all available funding, noting that the projects have improved and the number of applications submitted has increased. MEMBER BONAVENTURA countered that they should not use all the money just because they have it. If the applicants do not meet the standards, they should be given less even if it means not using all the money. And it is better to have money left over for good reasons than to be short of funding. MEMBER YTURRALDE interjected that she would be willing to advocate to the Mayor about receiving the same amount of funding and about giving the Board more discretion on how to use those funds without fear of reducing the grant amount. She added that the applicants should see it as a learning experience if they receive less funding and make it a point to reflect and try to do better to receive a higher amount.

MEMBER SIERRA commented that this is the first year of implementing the tier system, noting that in the future, they can ask the applicants how much more funding they could use should there be any funding left over for the grant year. MS. BORING stated that next fiscal year they will allow applicants to apply for more funding, but it was too late in the process for this grant year because the change came mid-cycle; therefore, she could not advertise for more than $1,000. MEMBER BONAVENTURA suggested adding a question for the applicants as to the minimum amount they would need to complete their project. MEMBER SKOUSON did not think the applicants are prepared to start answering that question, and regarded it as being counterproductive because they could just say, for example, that they could make fewer packages for the homeless. She conceded that they asked that question in past years, but it was due to not having enough funding and too many applicants.

Moving along, MEMBER BONAVENTURA commented that he liked what Girl Scout Troop 16 proposed, but they were not deserving of the full amount requested because they lacked in their presentation. He suggested funding in the amount of $750 instead of the $1,000 requested amount. He subsequently, made the motion for $750.

Regarding Project Chompers, MEMBER STONEBARGER thought that the $150 YouTube Subscription to make one video was excessive, and commented that it would be more helpful to present in person and improve speaking skills. She motioned to remove $144 of funding with a stipulation that funding cannot be used for a YouTube subscription. MEMBERS SKOUSON and SIERRA also thought it was strange to be asking for money for business cards. MEMBER BONAVENTURA suggested awarding $750, and MEMBER STONEBARGER modified her motion for the $750 amount, with the same stipulation.

For Motivation Music Education Organization, MEMBER SKOUSON said she was confused with how they wanted to use the money. MS. BORING stated that she attended their event last year, and she witnessed the culmination of mentors working with mentees showing youth groups how to share their talents on stage. They gather professionals in their fields and pair them up with youth interested in their field to receive mentorship. The carnival component is designed to bring multiple families from the community to watch the talent show. They offered free food for everybody who came, and she really enjoyed the event. She assured MEMBER SKOUSON that the presentation did not do the event any justice, and added that they share resources with the community. MEMBER BONAVENTURA agreed that their presentation was lacking; however, with MS. BORING'S report, he suggested a reduced allocation of $800, docking them somewhat for the presentation. He wondered if they could waive the park fee, and MS. BORING said that they tried last year and could not get it approved. MEMBER BONAVENTURA made the motion to award as suggested.

As far as funding for Kligman Baseball, MEMBER BONAVENTURA said they deserved the full amount because they come every year and use the money in the community. MS. BORING reported that their project was well done, although it took longer than anticipated because of difficulties, but they kept her apprised along the process. The backstop has made the field safer. MEMBER WILLIAMS questioned why they would be improving Cimarron High School's field, and MS. BORING replied that they use the field. MEMBER SKOUSN interjected that Cimarron High School does not have the budget to improve the fields. MEMBER WILLIAMS said he has witnessed the kids working to improve the fields already during off-season. MEMBER YTURRALDE agreed with that observation; however, she said the youth want to make it even better, so they felt it was important enough to ask for money. She just did not like that the presentation was made solely from reading PowerPoint slides. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said he could tell the applicant is not good at public speaking, but he knowledgeably answered questions, as pointed out by MEMBER SKOUSON. MEMBER YTURRALDE said she did not necessarily want to drastically cut their allocation, but she would like to ask that repeat applicants try to encourage other group members to present so that they can gain experience in public speaking. MEMBER SKOUSON did not feel they should be deducted for reading from a PowerPoint presentation because many applicants already approved for funding did the same, and the Board made no deduction for it. MEMBER WILLLIAMS answered for MEMBER BONAVENTURA that he does not have a problem with funding the full amount requested for certain programs in the community, but he does have a problem when it comes to funding improvement of fields. MEMBER MURRAY thought the applicant should receive less because of a poor presentation, because a lot of applicants that were not good at presenting still presented in person instead of through videotape. MEMBER BONAVENTURA made the motion for an $800 allocation, with a note to MS. BORING to advise the applicant to make the presentation in person in the future. MEMBER WILLIAMS abstained because the decision was based on presentation, for which he was not present.

MEMBER YTURRALDE brought up Mustang Creator, and MEMBER STONEBARGER pointed out that they had already been allocated funding. MEMBER WILLIAMS said if some applicants in the first two tiers were undeserving of full funding, the Board should reconsider, but MEMBER WILDER said they should have just considered each applicant individually instead of by tiers.

MS. BORING reported on some of the remaining applicants. For Wendell P. Williams Soaring Eagles, MS. BORING reported that in the past they have used funding to reduce absenteeism in the low-income school, with mentorship by an AmeriCorps volunteer to help youth find ways to encourage other students to use the S.O.A.R. method, which is to make smart choices, to own their behavior and to have a good attitude and respect. She opined that they are making changes and rewarding students for good behavior. She said the students have more positive interaction with the use of this system. Also, they always make good reports and communicate well. She said Valley High School Batteries Included has been doing their program for many years, and they not only donate care packages, but also visit the people they support. Regarding Ronnow Student Council and Leadership, they had two projects last year, and they combined for this year. The school has very high participation and had over 1,000 attendees at their recent event. Their youth are very involved. For National Honor Society CSNHS West, she reported that they have the same project every year, but they always follow through and provide pictures and reports, and the same applies to College of Southern Nevada High School West Charleston. Lastly, she did not have input for Cheyenne High School Batteries Included/GEMS because this was her first year overseeing this applicant.

Being that these are the last groups and they did a good job, although they missed some marks, whether it is for presentation or lack of knowledge, MEMBER BONAVENTURA suggested $700 in allocation for each. MEMBER WILLIAMS disagreed and pointed out that MS. BORING reported that Ronnow Student Council and Leadership does a wonderful job, so he did not think they should be included in this funding recommendation and receive less money. MR. DOROCAK advised that for the sake of consistency, the Board had been allocating $750 to those applicants they felt missed some marks. MEMBER WILLIAMS recommended funding in the amount of $900. At the request of MEMBER SKOUSON, MS. BORING reiterated some of her comments for the aforementioned six applicants, adding that she only had unfavorable remarks for National Honors Society CSNHS West and College of Southern Nevada High School West Charleston because they always do the same project. MEMBER WILLIAMS then recommended giving National Honors Society CSNHS West and College of Southern Nevada High School West Charleston only $800 and the others $1,000.

From working at a nonprofit, MEMBER STONEBARGER commented that just because a project does not evolve, funding is still needed to do certain things. So if their projects are good and sustainable but perhaps need some advertising, they should not be docked. MEMBER SKOUSON asked MS. BORING to point that out to the applicants in the future, and MS. BORING assured her she would note it and advise them to improve upon their future projects, should they receive $1,000 this year. MEMBER YTURRALDE said that could be a question on the application, asking how they intend to improve the project if it is a repeat project. MEMBER BONAVENTURA did not feel they deserved funding of $1,000 because the reason they made it to this point is because their presentation did not stand out. He supported allocating $850 or $900. MEMBER WILLIAMS motioned to allocate funding in the amount of $900 to the remaining applicants, and MR. DOROCAK listed those remaining: Wendell P. Williams Soaring Eagles, Valley High School Batteries, Ronnow Student Council and Leadership, National Honor Society CSNHS West, College of Southern Nevada High School West Charleston and Cheyenne High School Batteries Included/GEMS.

For next year, MEMBER BONAVENTURA said he would prefer to consider the applicants individually and not have any presentations scheduled for that day in the interest of time. MR. DOROCAK agreed because they quickly acted on 28 applicants and it still took a long time. MR. ERVIN suggested making notes during presentations so that they know what stood out at the time of deliberating on funding allocations. MEMBER SKOUSON volunteered to be a part of a brainstorming team to help make revisions and make the process more efficient. MEMBER WILLIAMS suggested using a grading sheet with columns headers including names, presentation and application, and MS. BORING said that was done in past years, but it was not used.

MEMBER YTURRALDE thought they could make an additional allocation of $1,000 to an outstanding applicant, and MS. BORING said it was not too late if that was what the Board wanted to do. MEMBER REYES said that even though neither the applicants nor the members had the expectation of giving more than requested, this is her first year on the Board where they have had the opportunity to allocate more than $1,000. MS. BORING reminded them that the sheet provided included, as titled, Discretionary Tiers of Funding, with the top tier to fund from $1,000 to $2,000 if the applicant went beyond the minimum qualifications and their presentation is unique, well thought out and done well, or designed to increase community impact.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA said that the groups were not aware of that, so it would not be appropriate to give them more than $1,000, and he certainly does not want to give more than what they budgeted for because he cannot expect them to spend it correctly. Their budget allows for tracking to know how the money will be spent. MS. BORING said that the applicants have to sign a contract, and they have to spend it within the scope of the project in mind in order to implement it. MEMBER SKOUSON said if the Board gives more money than requested, they would not know how they might spend it; they could buy more T-shirts, more flags, etc. MS. BORING interjected that the Board can impose stipulations for how the money can be used. MEMBER WILLIAMS felt that all of this should have been discussed in the beginning. If possible, he would like to award the surplus so the applicants could reward their volunteers by having a celebratory party, like a pizza party after completion of their projects. MS. BORING asked if the suggestion was to put the money in a certain fund to reward the volunteers after their service, and MEMBER WILLIAMS replied affirmatively. However, MEMBER BONAVENTURA did not think having a separate fund is under the scope of the Board. MEMBER WILLIAMS noted that many applicants already use some of their funding to reward volunteers. If a separate fund were created, the applicants could use all their funding for their project. He added that Ward 5 buys them pizza or rewards recruited volunteers for events they hold, and it comes mostly from the Council's budget. He did not think they would be breaking any rules. MEMBER BONAVENTURA insisted that they need to make sure they are responsible with the grant money. MEMBER SKOUSON interjected that MAYOR GOODMAN is going to want feedback, and when they tell her they really spent a lot of time deliberating, she will understand that this has been a learning experience for everyone, including the Board members.

MS. BORING read her list of funding recommendations for the applicants and their projects, with stipulations: AKATEENS for Project AKATEENS - We Care, $998; Alliance for Academic Quiz Bowl for STEM Bowl for Elementary School, $1,000; Andre Agassi Boys and Girls Club Virtues for Overcome and Love, $1,000; Arbor View Key Club for Keep the Homeless Warm, $1,000; Caring Crayons for Caring Crayons, $1,000; Cheyenne High School Batteries Included/GEMS for Teddy Bears and Teas, $900; Clark VEX Robotics for Clark VEX Robotics Grant, $1,000; College of Southern Nevada High School West Charleston for CSNHS Stuco YNAPP Project, $900; Creative Caring for Creative Caring, $1,000; Democracy Prep for Y.E.S. (Youth Empowering Seniors), $500 (with the stipulation that no funding will be used toward T-shirts); Door Beautification Project for Door Beautification Project, $600; Durango High School Philanthropy Club for DHS Philanthropy Club, $1,000; Elaine Wynn Elementary School for Personal Wellness Packs, $999.08; Emma's House Inc. for Beauty from Ashes, $1,000; FAAYT for Lunch & Luggage, $1,000; Gifted Goalies for Goals on the Green, $1,000; Girl Scout Troop 16 for Care Packages for Kids in the Hospital, $750; Girls for Community Gardens for Mom and Me Garden Tea; $750; Kay Carl Student Council for Big Top Books, $1,000; Kid Mayor Trey Young-Winfrey for Hope Drive, $1,000; Kligman Baseball for Baseball Teamwork Project, $800; LACE for BOOK OF YOUR OWN (BOY-O), $300 (with the stipulation the money be used for making literacy kits); Lexie's Little Gestures for Little Gestures, $925; Little Leaders for Little Leaders, $750; Mahay Medical Group for Doctor For A Day, $1,000; Mastering Mindsets Las Vegas for Technology in the 89106, $1,000; Mother Hubbard's Cupboard Food Pantry for Mother Hubbard's Cupboard Food Pantry, $1,000; Motivation Music Education Organization for Motivation Music Education Organization, $800; Mustang Creators for Campus Art Revitalization Project, $1,000; Nasir's National Asthma Day for Nasir's National Asthma Day, $1,000; National Honors Society CSNHS West for Project 150 Meal Packs, $900; National Junior Honor Society for Homeless Care Packages, $1,000; Palo Verde National Honor Society for Red Rock Elementary School for Dental Hygiene Kits, $1,000; Project Chompers for Project Chompers, $750 (with the stipulation that no funding can be used toward a YouTube subscription); Project LIT DPAC for Lighting a Passion for Reading: One Book and One Conversation at a Time, $1,000; Rise Up NV for Day of the Young Child Family Fun Day, $700; Ronnow Student Council and Leadership for Community Literacy, Fitness Events and Recess Activities, $900; Sophisticated Unique Girls w/ Aspirations & Respect Girls Mentoring Program for S.U.G.A.R. & E.L.I.T.E. Family Empowerment Conference and Showcase, $1,000; Squires Community Partners for The Shade Tree's Summer Backpacks, $1,000; Steel Phoenix for FIRST Robotics Competition, $1,000; T.U.L.I.P.S for Full STEAM Ahead, $700 (with the stipulation that no funding will be used toward T-shirts); The Blessing Project for Noodles & Oodles, $900; The Legacy Project Youth Council for Stay Prepared for School, $700 (with the stipulation that no funding will be used toward T-shirts); The Superfriends for Super Pets, $1,000; Valley High School Batteries Included for "YAY" Youth Assisting Youth, $900; VMCC Youth Council for Community Garden Beds, $1,000; Wendell P. Williams Soaring Eagles for Soaring Eagles Youth Mentor Project, $900; Youth United w/ Purpose (TB Ent) for MAKE A MOVIE, $0; Zeta Archonettes, Theta Omicron Zeta Chapter, Zeta Phi Beta Sorority Inc. for Zeta Archonettes "Have Heart," $500 (with the stipulation that no funding will be used toward T-shirts).

Motion made by Deborah Reyes to Approve $1,000 or their requested funding if less to the 16 groups that scored points in the initial vote (see Working Copy of YNAPP Voting worksheet in backup)

NOTE: CHAIR RICHARD abstained for Mother Hubbard's Cupboard Food Pantry because she is the applicant.

Passed For: 15; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $300 to LACE with the stipulation the money be used for making literacy kits

NOTE: MEMBER WILLIAMS abstained because he was not in attendance for the applicant's presentation, which was the basis for allocating a reduced amount.

Passed For: 14; Against: 0; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Abstain-Harry Williams; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Sarina Cervacio to Approve $850 in funding for the remaining 12 groups who received points

Failed For: 6; Against: 9; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Andrew Sierra, Harry Williams, Rebecca Richard, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray; Against-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Chance Bonaventura, Jose Rodriguez, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Deborah Reyes to Approve funding in the amount requested to the second group that received points (see Working Copy of YNAPP Voting worksheet in backup)

Passed For: 12; Against: 3; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Against-Harry Williams, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Maria Fajardo to Approve $925 to Lexie's Little Gestures

NOTE: MEMBER WILDER abstained because she is the applicant for this project.

Passed For: 14; Against: 0; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Abstain-Lexie Wilder; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $500 to Zeta Archonettes "Have Heart" with the stipulation that no funding will be used toward T-shirts

Passed For: 15; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Harry Williams to Approve $700 to The Legacy Project Youth Council with the stipulation that no funding will be used toward T-shirts

Passed For: 15; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Harry Williams to Approve $700 to T.U.L.I.P.S. with the stipulation that no funding will be used toward T-shirts

Passed For: 15; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $750 to Girls for Community Gardens - Mom and Me Garden Tea

Passed For: 15; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Harry Williams to Approve $700 to Rise Up NV

Passed For: 15; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Sarina Cervacio to Approve $600 for Door Beautification Project

Passed For: 15; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $250 to Youth United w/Purpose (TB Ent) Make a Movie with the stipulation that they provide proof of purchases and their projects for the past two years

Failed For: 6; Against: 8; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 2

For-Andrew Sierra, Chance Bonaventura, Jose Rodriguez, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Against-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Rebecca Richard; Excused-Laurel Bell, Sarina Cervacio;

Motion made by Ydo Yturralde to Deny any funding to Youth United w/ Purpose (TB Ent) Make a Movie

Passed For: 14; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 2

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Jose Rodriguez, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell, Sarina Cervacio;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $500 to Democracy Prep with the stipulation that funding not be used toward T-shirts

Passed For: 13; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $750 to Girl Scouts Troop 16 – Care Packages for Kids in the Hospital

Passed For: 13; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio;

Motion made by Dorian Stonebarger to Approve $750 to Project Chompers with the stipulation that no funding can be used toward a YouTube subscription

Passed For: 13; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $800 to Motivation Music Education Organization

Passed For: 13; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve $800 to Kligman Baseball

NOTE: MEMBER WILLIAMS abstained because he was not in attendance for the applicant's presentation, which was the basis for allocating a reduced amount.

Passed For: 7; Against: 5; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Chance Bonaventura, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Against-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Rebecca Richard; Abstain-Harry Williams; Excused-Laurel Bell, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio;

Motion made by Harry Williams to Approve $900 to the remaining applicants (see Working Copy of YNAPP Voting worksheet in backup)

Passed For: 13; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Deborah Reyes, Maria Fajardo, Andrew Sierra, Dorian Stonebarger, Brianna Ramirez, Ydo Yturralde, Becky Skouson, Harry Williams, Chance Bonaventura, Rebecca Richard, Lexie Wilder, Nijel Murray, Joshua Whipple; Excused-Laurel Bell, Jose Rodriguez, Sarina Cervacio;

6. **Citizen Participation:** Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the Board. No subject may be acted upon by the Board unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:
None.

7. Adjournment

Minutes:
The meeting was recessed from 3:41 p.m. to 3:58 p.m., 5:59 p.m. to 6:32 p.m. and was adjourned at 10:08 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

____
Gabriela Portillo-Brenner, Deputy City Clerk

____
Candace Boring, Youth Development Specialist

THIS MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:
City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor
Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway
Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue
City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive