



Neighborhood Partners Fund Board Minutes

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Minutes:

CHAIR QUINN called the meeting to order at 2:06 p.m.

PRESENT: CHAIR QUINN and MEMBERS BONAVENTURA, CHRISTENSEN, QUALEY, SCHULTZ, JACKSON-RENTER, KRESS, TRAMP, RAMIREZ and CATARATA

EXCUSED: MEMBERS YTURREALDE, FARRAY and GLOVER

ALSO PRESENT: ANNE KILPONEN, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist, JIM LEWIS, Deputy City Attorney, and ASHLEY FOSTER, Deputy City Clerk

2. Announcement Regarding: Compliance with Open Meeting Law

Minutes:

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE: This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations: City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor; Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway; Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue; Howard Lieburn Senior Center, 6230 Garwood Avenue.

3. Public Comment: Comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters on the agenda for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

None.

4. Presentations on Neighborhood Partners Fund Board Grant Program Applications submitted by the Tanglewood Homeowners Association, South Shores Community Association, and Glen Heather Estates Neighborhood Association

Minutes:

Tanglewood Homeowners Association – ALTAMIT LEWIS, President, and VICKI KHELIFI, Vice President, were present. MS. LEWIS stated there are six members on the Homeowners Association (HOA), of which she named. Using a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted and is included in the backup, she stated the HOA applied for and received grant money in either 2013 or 2014 to add a jungle gym to its neighborhood park, but she was unsure if those funds came from this Board. Since that time, the HOA has not applied for, nor received, any grant funds.

MS. LEWIS stated their hope is for a multi-phase park project in their neighborhood, which would include additional playground equipment to provide more options for various age groups and a water fountain with a pet companion water fountain. She noted many children play at the small park located at the fire station adjacent to their neighborhood because it has a water fountain, and they want these children to be able to play in their own neighborhood. A photo of the water fountain being proposed to be installed at the west end of the park near a water line was displayed, along with the additional playground equipment, which she referred to as a Dragonfly seesaw. The seesaw would be installed in between the swings and jungle gym. As part of the multi-phase

project, they hope to add benches near the water fountain, additional playground equipment to include another seesaw and jackhammer, along with some shading to allow the children to enjoy the playground when the weather is hot.

MS. LEWIS stated she and MS. KHELIFI are the project leads, and the volunteers consist of the remaining HOA Board members and any residents who would like to help. She stated the total project amounts to \$12,274, so they are requesting the maximum amount of funding, with the HOA Board agreeing to provide the additional \$7,000 needed. She stated they will also meet the volunteer required pledge hours of 48 hours.

MEMBER SCHULTZ complimented the presenters and their team on a well-designed, succinct presentation. He pointed out sales tax was included in the detailed proposal backup, but that staff would address that.

South Shores Community Association – JOYCE LUSH, Homeowners Association (HOA) Board member, SCOTT MAGEE, HOA President, and MARTHA AYON, Community Manager, were present. A PowerPoint presentation was submitted and is included in the backup. MS. LUSH stated this is a community in Ward 4, with approximately 4,000 people, and this was the first time the Association has applied for Neighborhood Partners Fund Board (NPFB) funding. They do not have amenities such as a clubhouse or pool, but they do have a green space they refer to as a park that is used for events, picnics, recreation and just hanging out.

MS. LUSH described the project as having two components. The first component would be installation of solar lighting in the park, one of which would be low level, dusk-to-dawn overnight lighting and then brighter lighting, which could be turned on for events, and the second component would be a celebratory block party. She noted the project benefits would hit all three categories of the NPFB funding. Installation of the lighting will make the park more usable, which will improve the neighborhood. With regard to crime and public safety, security is a major concern of the residents in this area. She stated they were advised by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department when they came to one of their community meetings a couple of years ago that lighting and an engaged community where residents know their neighbors is a crime deterrent. The thought is to make the park more usable for events so they can build that engagement.

She pointed out having the event lighting will save money because currently, they have to rent a large diesel light. The block party will provide an opportunity for the residents to get together and meet each other as well. In terms of neighborhood recreation, the dusk-to-dawn lighting will extend the usable hours of the park. She noted the HOA Board will manage installation of the lighting, and other community residents will join in the planning of the block party. She briefly reviewed the financials of the lighting installation and the block party, noting a \$1,000 contingency was included in those figures. With a total cost of approximately \$20,000, they are requesting \$5,000 to help offset the cost of the lighting, and the HOA will pay the remaining costs. Additionally, they are estimating 56 volunteer hours.

CHAIR QUINN said this was a great neighborhood and thought it was a nice presentation. MEMBER CHRISTENSEN also complimented the applicant on their presentation, stating she has been on the NPFB for several years, and this was one of the best PowerPoint presentations she has seen. She thought it was easy to understand, saw the cost benefit and thought it would add to the neighborhood.

MEMBER SCHULTZ also complimented the team on their presentation, but asked the group to work with staff to correct their submission on ZoomGrants to reflect the total project budget, which would involve the Project Budget Worksheet and Vendor Cost Estimate Sheet. MEMBER QUALEY believed staff requested two different submissions, but noted everything balanced and was properly explained during the presentation. MS. LUSH asserted she would follow up with ANNE KILPONEN, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist. MEMBER SCHULTZ asked that any action the Board take be contingent upon a conforming submission that matches the presentation.

The meeting was recessed from 2:27 p.m. to 2:29 p.m.

Glen Heather Estates Neighborhood Association – Using a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted and is attached as backup, SHELLY WALTERS, President, stated their community consists of 182 homes located at the southeast corner of Rancho Drive and Oakey Boulevard. She reviewed past neighborhood projects, which were successful due to funding received from the NPFB. This year their project consists of another monument sign and a much-needed block party, for which they are requesting \$5,000. The

block party will provide the opportunity for neighbors to get together after not being able to do so for so long and to meet new neighbors who have moved into the community. She noted one of the neighbors will provide the DJ service, and there will be a food truck, both at a discounted rate. Chairs, tables and toilets/handwashing stations will also be rented. Neighbors will donate their time for planning and setup, along with drinks and other needed items. The total cost of the block party is \$2,540.

MS. WALTERS stated the new monument sign will replace the original sign from 1954, which was torn out by the property owner several years ago, ultimately beautifying the neighborhood and creating pride of ownership. She stated Artistic Ironworks will do the lettering and Sunflower Construction will do the masonry work, while homeowners will donate other needed items, such as plants, soil and their time. The total cost of the monument sign is estimated to be \$4,080.

The total cost of both projects is \$6,620. Currently, they have cash donations in the amount of \$800, which taking into consideration their request of \$5,000, leaves a balance of \$820. In order to raise additional money, they will hold raffles, silent auctions, and possibly a community yard sale, with prizes being donated by neighbors and neighborhood businesses. She stressed both projects would bring the residents outdoors.

CHAIR QUINN asked how they could ensure the homeowner would not remove the monument sign again. FRANK BORUNDA, the current homeowner, stated having a monument sign is something they wish to bring back to the neighborhood, and he agreed he would not remove it.

MEMBER SCHULTZ thought it was a well-designed project. For consistency purposes, he asked that the NPFB pledge letter be updated to reflect the \$820 fundraising goal.

MEMBER CATARATA commented he drives by this neighborhood daily and greatly appreciates the sign in that it is effective in identifying the Glen Heather Estates Neighborhood Association. He also thanked MS. WALTERS for her time, the idea of a block party and for being a strong neighborhood association.

5. Discussion for possible action regarding a decision to review the Winchester Maintenance Corporation application after the applicant did not appear for their scheduled presentation on June 7, 2021

Minutes:

Items 5 and 6 were heard together.

ANNE KILPONEN, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist, advised the Office of Community Services met with the applicant prior to this meeting, and they have withdrawn their application. As such, staff requested Items 5 and 6 be stricken.

The meeting was recessed from 2:41 p.m. to 2:59 p.m.

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Strike Items 5 and 6

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

6. Presentation on a Neighborhood Partners Fund Board Grant Application submitted by the Winchester Maintenance Corporation

Minutes:

See Item 5 for related discussion.

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Strike Items 5 and 6

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

7. Discussion on the utilization of scoring for Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Neighborhood Partners Fund Board applications

Minutes:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JIM LEWIS stated this item was to discuss how to score applications for this fiscal year; however, staff could advise what they intended for the item.

MEMBER QUALEY commented this was the first time since she has been on this Board that they have used this scoring process, which she thought was great. MEMBER BONAVENTURA indicated he had some comments he wished to make after staff's update.

MEMBER SCHULTZ asked if they were waiting for the scoring to be computed, and after that if the members would review and discuss each submission. He stated this scoring technique has not been utilized in previous years, and he explained how it was done in the past.

The meeting was recessed from 3:04 p.m. to 3:22 p.m.

ANNE KILPONEN, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist, stated this item is a discussion as to how the Board would like to integrate scoring into the process. She displayed the tally of the grand totals for each of the associations, noting there is no particular direction within the Program Guidelines, so the Board has some discretion in terms of how it would like to utilize that scoring within its deliberations.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA liked the idea of using the scoring as a tool overall; however, he did not think that should be the sole factor when considering allocation of funding. The intricacies of the budget and presentations play a part into the decision-making process for him as well, and he thought each applicant should be considered individually.

MEMBER SCHULTZ concurred with MEMBER BONAVENTURA, but wished to point out that during the recess, he received training from a colleague and discovered the hard copy sheets he used to do his scoring had different weightings than the ZoomGrants software online. He asked staff to explain how that was reconciled when the computations were done.

MEMBER QUALEY also agreed with MEMBER BONAVENTURA, and thought everything balanced out. With this being the first year this tool is being utilized, they could consider it a guide, and possibly next year, there would be a more definitive way in which to manage it.

MS. KILPONEN stated this was brought to her attention during the recess and is something that the Board can take into consideration in terms of utilizing the scoring during deliberations. She noted she could further address this under an item later on the agenda.

8. Discussion for possible action on recommendation of funding for Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Neighborhood Partners Fund Board projects

Minutes:

ANNE KILPONEN, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist, explained in prior years, each application was discussed individually and a vote taken, but the Board could adjust that as it saw fit.

El Camino Community Association – MEMBER BONAVENTURA really liked this project, and believes this Association always does a great job with community involvement. Although they only requested \$4,394, they did not take into account permitting costs, so he requested the entire \$5,000 be awarded to help cover those costs.

MEMBER QUALEY added no monies are disbursed unless spent, so it may turn out the full \$5,000 is not needed.

Noting that such was done in the past, MEMBER QUALEY asked if a tally was being kept to be available at the end as to what everyone is being awarded. MS. KILPONEN confirmed that could be done.

Glen Heather Estates Neighborhood Association – MEMBER BONAVENTURA supported the monument sign because it is a great benefit to the neighborhood, but did not support funding the block party because he did not feel the money was well spent on reoccurring parties year after year. He deferred to the representatives of Ward 1 to address the possible requirement of an easement prior to receiving funding.

CHAIR QUINN agreed, expressing concern that the monument sign may be removed again if the current homeowner decided to sell his property. She asked for guidance from legal counsel. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JIM LEWIS advised a motion of approval could be conditioned on the Homeowners Association (HOA) obtaining right of access/easement for installation of the monument sign, with the idea the homeowner will have to give up some rights to his property to ensure the sign will remain. The details will have to be worked out, but the HOA will need access to the property in order to maintain the sign. MEMBER BONAVENTURA noted a large amount of money was already awarded for a previous sign, so if the Board decided to award this request for another sign, this condition should be included.

MEMBER CATARATA supported requiring the easement. CHAIR QUINN pointed out there is a difference between the homeowner verbally agreeing to not removing the sign and having something in writing that they are giving up some of their property. MR. LEWIS clarified the homeowner would not be giving up any of their property to the City, an easement would simply indicate the HOA has a right to access the property to install and maintain the sign.

MEMBER CHRISTENSEN asked about verbiage being included in the terms of sale should the homeowner decide to sell. MR. LEWIS affirmed the best way to guarantee that the sign remains at that location is for the HOA to obtain a legal right to it. If the NPFB is going to award this money, they may want to make sure the sign cannot be removed.

As a point of clarification, MS. KILPONEN stated the Glen Heather Estates Association is a neighborhood association and not an HOA, and as such, are organized a little differently. MR. LEWIS stated that did complicate matters, but thought it was still a good idea to include a condition addressing the situation; otherwise the Board has to trust that every homeowner of that property would be okay with the sign being placed on a portion of their property.

Since this is private property, MEMBER TRAMP thought a legal, binding document was necessary prior to awarding any funding, and he could not support their request until that time. CHAIR QUINN stated she could not support this for the same reasons. MEMBER CATARATA agreed that the Board needed to be practical and strategic when considering granting funding.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA thought the applicants submitted a good application for a good project and that any award could be conditioned upon obtaining some sort of legal assurance. MR. LEWIS thought the problem was not insurmountable and there were several solutions available. He provided guidance as to how to devise and incorporate such a condition.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA wondered how the Ward 1 representatives and the remaining Board members felt about the block party portion in case their opinion differed from his. MEMBER CATARATA thought legal counsel made a good point and worried about what may happen down the road with the property. With regard to the block party, the representation was that the block party brought residents together and was a good way in which to collaborate and support each other and small businesses. With COVID-19 restrictions opening up, he was open to the block party, but asked for input from the other members.

MEMBER QUALEY agreed and thought the block party should be considered. She detailed the expenses involved with the block party and noted the \$500 donation. As the applicant has done a good job with the funding they received the past four years, and with the importance of getting to know one's neighbors, she could support the block party. She could not support the sign, however, because of the legal component not being in place and this being a neighborhood association and not an HOA, which involves more restrictions.

MEMBER CATARATA was open to working with the applicant to do a walk-through of the area sometime in the future after the new homeowner, MR. BORUNDA, settled in to see what it would look like long-term for the Ward. He thought the sign added to the culture of the neighborhood and provided a sense of pride.

MEMBER JACKSON-RENTER commented this is a tight-knit and very active community and with COVID-19, not too many applications for block parties were funded last year. She thought this was a way to re-build relationships and keep this a cohesive neighborhood. She thought there was a true community spirit in this community and that they should consider the importance of block parties and indicated her support for funding such.

MEMBER CATARATA directed staff to provide the NPF members with flyers for the events these associations hold. MEMBER SCHULTZ did not consider such events parties, but rather community facilitation events to promote communication, bonding and engagement amongst the residents. He supported funding the entire request, with incorporating a mechanism that ensures perpetual existence of the sign that is satisfactory to staff. MEMBER QUALEY did not believe the sign issue would be resolved if funded and wondered if that was the case, was the Association still able to hold the block party.

MR. LEWIS stated the Board had the ability to approve funding for the block party and condition further allocation of funds for the sign based upon getting the necessary perpetual access to the property.

With the exception of last year, MEMBER KRESS felt block parties were traditionally approved, even on an annual basis, because they are a good way to re-establish connections within the community. With regard to the monument sign, he would like to afford the applicant the opportunity to obtain the necessary legal documents. If it is not feasible, then so be it, but he would still like to provide them that chance.

MS. KILPONEN asked the members to include in their motions a clear statement of how much money the Board is asking be awarded to each applicant. MEMBER QUALEY reviewed the detailed expenses once more related to the block party, noting the \$500 donation that was included in the application. She asked for clarification as to whether that donation should go towards the monument sign or the block party. MEMBER TRAMP asked for guidance from legal. MR. LEWIS provided guidance as to what the Board could approve based on the Program Guidelines.

MEMBER QUALEY supported adding the \$500 to the funding amount award, pointing out they will only be reimbursed for what they use.

Subsequent to the vote, MEMBER CATARATA asked about following up with the applicant regarding the monument sign, a possible walk-through and if a flyer could be obtained for the block party so the Members can attend if they so wish. MR. LEWIS stated the applicant will receive information regarding the grant money awarded, but he would work with staff and Ward 1 regarding the flyers.

MEMBER SCHULTZ urged staff to inform award recipients that some of the Board members may wish to be included in the events to show their support of that community, and he would like to see the Board more hands-on than it has been historically.

Hillstone Estates II Homeowners Association – CHAIR QUINN thought the presenter did a good job and the money was warranted to help improve the safety of the neighborhood.

Noting this project was located in Ward 6, MEMBER BONAVENTURA stated he liked this project and thought it was great aesthetically, and historically the Board has supported security for the neighborhoods. He thought their presentation was great, and he supported funding the full amount.

MEMBER SCHULTZ agreed and expressed his support of funding the full amount as well.

The Huntridge Neighborhood Association – MEMBER BONAVENTURA liked the streets project this Association completed last year to improve the neighborhood and the fact that they wish to incorporate some more outdoor plants within the neighborhood. His only concern was with the money requested for indoor plants, as he did not see providing plants for personal indoor use as a benefit to the neighborhood.

Because Ward 5 is such a food desert, MEMBER JACKSON-RENTER thought any way to bring some type of healthy food options and greenery to Las Vegas was beneficial, and she could support it.

With regard to the money requested for indoor plants, MEMBER QUALEY stated plants improve air quality, and the presenters indicated these would be given to the elderly people living in the community. As such, she supported funding the entire project.

Rainbow Family Park Neighborhood Association – MEMBER BONAVENTURA thought this was a great project, and he supported full funding.

Scarlett Canyon Homeowners Association – MEMBER BONAVENTURA commented initially this project was not presented the best it could have been, and there were some budget complications, but after those issues were fixed, the rebate incorporated and everything resubmitted, he thought the project would beautify the outside of the neighborhood and surrounding community and provide a better atmosphere all around. He supported the revised amount requested. MEMBER RAMIREZ agreed and thought the updated application was much improved, and she could support full funding of the application.

South Shores Community Association – MEMBER RAMIREZ stated her understanding was that the \$5,000 requested was for solar lighting, and not the block party. MEMBER BONAVENTURA supported funding the full amount.

Spanish Oaks Homeowners Association – MEMBER CATARATA acknowledged the concern expressed during the presentation regarding funding the newsletter portion, and the idea that there were other options. He asked for input from the other Board members.

MEMBER TRAMP did not take issue with funding movie night, but pointed out more than three-quarters of the requested funding was for the newsletter, and he thought this Association needed to find a more economical way, as it was not fiscally responsible to award funding for such.

MEMBER CHRISTENSEN agreed, adding all past applications from this Association have requested funding of their newsletter, and she thought they needed to be more creative in utilizing any funding received from this Board.

MEMBER CATARATA thought finding a more economical, efficient and creative way to reach out to the residents was needed. He thought there were other platforms available, but he did want to be inclusive. He indicated his support of funding the movie night, but thought the Association could work with staff to find more economical ways with regard to the newsletter.

MEMBER QUALEY remembers this applicant from her first year being on this Board, and noted at that time, they did not have a newsletter, which is why the Board helped fund it. It has enhanced the community and it is important to them, and she would really be opposed to them not being able to do a newsletter this next year. She wondered if the City could be clearer going forward that this is not something they can rely on every year.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA remembered the group stating a hard copy newsletter was still needed because of the senior individuals living in the neighborhood. He supported funding half of the amount requested for the newsletter to allow for a phase-out time period.

Tanglewood Homeowners Association – MEMBER JACKSON-RENTER thought this project encouraged the use of green space and to get children moving and outdoors. She supported funding the full amount.

The Greens Homeowners Association – MEMBER SCHULTZ said he studied this application and thought there were things that needed to be discussed. He spoke of infrastructure, added amenities, such as security cameras, and the NPFB Guidelines, which identify those types of projects that are not eligible. He read excerpts from these Guidelines, spoke of deficits, a capital campaign issue and referenced various Nevada Revised Statutes with regard to maintaining adequate reserves and the duty to perform a reserve study. In summary, he thought the Association did not do its duty properly in funding the sidewalk repair and replacement with NRS requirements, nor did he believe the project met the Program Guidelines. As such, he recommended no funding for this project.

MEMBER QUALEY understood and appreciated MEMBER SCHULTZ'S comments, but stated she has a different view. While HOAs are responsible for maintaining a reserve for certain items, she is unaware of a

single HOA that has sidewalks in its reserves. There will be some dollars to cover such things as concrete, but the cost associated with replacing sidewalks in an entire community would use their entire reserves. She believes this funding is allowable under the Program Guidelines and stressed the state of the sidewalks presents a safety issue. This is a beautification project, and she thought the cost for the portion they are replacing was reasonable. She supported funding the project.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA stated it was his understanding this Board was created after the recession in order to help neighborhood associations and other blighted communities come together as a community and work with the City to get a greater return because of the volunteer community donations and input. When reviewing these applications and considering whether the HOA is subsidizing their common maintenance responsibility, he considers how the improvements impact the community and not simply the HOA. In this instance, if this is a public neighborhood with public streets and the project would benefit the community in total, he could support it. If it is a private neighborhood with private streets with minimal impact, he could not support that.

It was confirmed for MEMBER CATARATA that the City's Operations and Maintenance Department is not responsible for maintaining these sidewalks. He deferred to MEMBER JACKSON-RENTER, who sensed the applicant felt a level of desperation in that they needed to use NPFB funding for infrastructure. It seems this is a common space, and there was some responsibility for the HOA to maintain the sidewalks for safety reasons. She deferred to MEMBER KRESS for comment.

MEMBER KRESS stated he is familiar with this gated community, and the sidewalks are interior. He compared this to a project done a couple years ago that involved a water safety issue. This may not be on that same level, but it is similar. This is an older community with private roads and sidewalks, and he did not believe it was fiscally possible for the residents to complete the project. He was on the fence about this project because he is a member of a Homeowners Association and has had to pay special assessments for sidewalks, but this is a situation of an aging community with a safety issue, and he thought it was in the spirit of the NPFB and similar projects that have been funded in the past. As such, he supported the project.

West Mesa Estates Neighborhood Coalition – MEMBER RAMIREZ liked this project and did not believe \$1,300 was a lot to request. They are trying to keep their neighborhood safe so the children can play outdoors.

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to increase the requested amount to \$5,000, which would be inclusive of application fees, for the El Camino Community Association

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturalde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Matt Tramp to grant \$2,540 for the block party only and no funding be allocated to the monument sign for the Glen Heather Estates Community Association

Passed For: 8; Against: 2; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Against-Sally Christensen, David Kress; Excused-Ydo Yturalde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Vicki Quinn to Approve the full amount requested of \$3,000 for the Hillstone Estates II Homeowners Association for the project in question

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturalde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Gail Qualey to Accept the full project for \$2,440.80 for the Huntridge Neighborhood Association

NOTE: Due to technical difficulties, the vote did not display for this application.

Passed For: 9; Against: 1; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Against-Chance Bonaventura; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve the full amount of \$2,500 for the Rainbow Family Park Neighborhood Association

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve the full requested amount of \$4,785 for the Scarlett Canyon Homeowners Association

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve the full amount of \$5,000 for the South Shores Community Association

NOTE: Member Ramirez disclosed that a Ward 2 intern lives within South Shores. This would not affect her independence of judgement; therefore, she would vote on this application.

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve \$1,620 for the newsletter and \$956.50 for a total of \$2,576.50 for the Spanish Oaks Community Association

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Tanya Jackson-Renter to Approve the full amount of the application for the Tanglewood Homeowners Association

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by David Kress to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund The Greens Home Owners Association in the amount of \$5,000

Passed For: 7; Against: 3; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Against-Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Vicki Quinn; Excused-Ydo Yturralde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

Motion made by Brianna Ramirez to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund West Mesa Estates Neighborhood Coalition in the amount of \$1,300

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 3

For-Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Matt Tramp, Vicki Quinn, Karl Catarata, Brianna Ramirez; Excused-Ydo Yturalde, Susy Farray, Jared Glover;

9. Report by Anne Kilponen, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist, regarding scheduling a Special Meeting to review possible enhancements to the Neighborhood Partners Fund program

Minutes:

ANNE KILPONEN, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist, stated the Neighborhood Partners Fund Program began in 1998, and since that time, the program has not undergone a thorough review. As such, the Office of Community Services intends to review the program to look for potential opportunities for improvement, and will work with the Chair to call a special Board meeting later in the year if needed.

MEMBER SCHULTZ thought this was an excellent suggestion and believes many elements such as the Program Guidelines, Bylaws, the structure of the application process and the ZoomGrants software all needed to be looked at. MS. KILPONEN further clarified for MEMBER SCHULTZ that if there were a number of significant changes, there would be an interest to bring those changes before the Board to obtain the members' input and feedback, but at this point, she was merely giving them a heads up a meeting might occur later in the year. MEMBER SCHULTZ expressed his desire for a meeting to be briefed on staff's findings.

10. **Citizens Participation:** Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the Board. No subject may be acted upon by the Board unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

CHAIR QUINN thanked everyone for welcoming her to this Board.

11. **Adjournment**

Minutes:

The meeting was recessed at 2:27 p.m., reconvened at 2:29 p.m., was recessed at 2:41 p.m., reconvened at 2:59 p.m., was recessed again at 3:04 p.m., reconvened at 3:22 p.m. and was adjourned at 4:48 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

Ashley Foster, Deputy City Clerk

Anne Kilponen, Neighborhood Outreach Specialist

Minutes Prepared by:

Debra A. Outland, Deputy City Clerk

THIS MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor
Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway
Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue
Howard Lieburn Senior Center, 6230 Garwood Avenue