



## Neighborhood Partners Fund Board Minutes

### 1. Call to Order

Minutes:

CHAIR TOUSSAINT called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m.

PRESENT: CHAIR TOUSSAINT and MEMBERS SANDECKI (excused until 2:26 p.m.), HOLMES, KILPONEN, CHRISTENSEN, QUALEY, BONAVENTURA, SCHULTZ, JACKSON-RENTER, KRESS, SILVA and YTURRALDE (excused until 2:10 p.m.)

ALSO PRESENT: ALMA ESTRADA, Community Program Technician, BRYAN SCOTT, Assistant City Attorney, and JACQUIE MILLER, Deputy City Clerk

### 2. Announcement Regarding: Compliance with Open Meeting Law

Minutes:

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE: This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations: City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor; Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway; Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue; City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive.

### 3. Public Comment: Comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters on the agenda for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

None.

### 4. Review of additional application information requested by Board members

Minutes:

ALMA ESTRADA, Community Program Technician, informed the Board members that they would be reviewing every application and voting whether or not to award applicants money and in what amount. She confirmed for MEMBER SCHULTZ that there was no additional information being provided to the Board members.

### 5. Review of evaluation scores on the Neighborhood Partners Fund Board Grant Program applications

Minutes:

See Item 6 for related discussion.

### 6. Discussion for possible action regarding recommendation of funding for Fiscal Year 2019-2020 Neighborhood Partners Fund Board projects

Minutes:

Items 5 and 6 were heard together.

ALMA ESTRADA, Community Program Technician, explained that last year the Board members decided they would not score prior to deliberations this year because some of the members had technical issues last year. Arville-Oakey Neighborhood Watch Association – MEMBER SCHULTZ stated that he reviewed this application in its entirety. He felt it was reasonable and fair and recommended that they be awarded \$1,000 as they requested.

Subsequent to that motion and vote, MEMBER SCHULTZ suggested that instead of voting on each individual application, they do a tentative allocation, see where they are and review the ones they feel need further discussion. CHAIR TOUSSAINT thought that members may have questions about the applications and preferred to review them one at a time. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT asked about the amount of funding available to award, and MS. ESTRADA replied it was \$80,000, and the total requested amount was less than that. MEMBER BONAVENTURA interjected that if they were to fully fund each request, the amount awarded would be \$72,579. CHAIR TOUSSAINT opined that it was important to discuss each item.

Aurora TOPP Neighborhood Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$5,000. MEMBER SCHULTZ said that his analysis of this application is that the association has out of pocket cash costs of \$4,532, and he did not understand why the Board would award more than that amount. MEMBER QUALEY stated that she asked the presenter about the difference between the budget worksheet and the vendor expense, and it was explained that something extra always comes up, and the excess funding would be used for block party expenses. However, if it were not used, the City would not reimburse that amount. MEMBER SCHULTZ appreciated that explanation, as he was not present at the meeting when the applicant made their presentation.

Beverly Green Neighborhood Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$4,900. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said that he liked this project and thought the funds would be put to good use, unify the neighborhood and provide an amenity they do not currently have. MEMBER YTURREALDE stated that she worked closely with this neighborhood, they were truly excited about the signs they were able to erect with last year's funds, and they are looking forward to their next project. She thought that this was well planned out and the community came together with plans for storing the items and picking up the feces and disposing of it.

Buffalo Coalition Neighborhood Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$5,000 in funding. MEMBER BONAVENTURA said that he was on this Board last year, and putting signs on light poles throughout the neighborhood is common and is appreciated by the neighborhood. He was in support of fully funding this project.

Desert Shores Community Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$5,000. ACTING CHAIR SCHULTZ believed this application was missing information regarding vendor costs. A total of \$17,000 in vendor costs were identified, but there were only details and support for \$7,670 of that. He felt this project was worthy but applications should be complete and all costs should be substantiated. MEMBER CHRISTENSEN acknowledged the lack of backup but recalled that during the presentation, this was the cost for the project, and they are paying for a lot of it. MEMBER BONAVENTURA recalled discussing the amount of the vendor quote during the presentation and the applicant saying they would apply \$5,000 to part of that and match the rest. ACTING CHAIR SCHULTZ was concerned about public accountability and documentation and believed that for credibility when someone claims a cost, they should include all of the details regardless of whether this grant would be reimbursing that amount or not. He thought associations should always be encouraged to submit comprehensive and complete applications where the numbers match. MS. ESTRADA explained that when applicants have out of pocket costs, they have to submit receipts in order to be reimbursed. MEMBER BONAVENTURA understood ACTING CHAIR SCHULTZ'S concerns, but as they have to provide receipts to MS. ESTRADA for reimbursement, he felt that balances the deficiencies of the application. He thought complete applications should be stressed next year. MEMBER KRESS thought the applicant was basing the costs on last year's Winter Wonderland event, and he was comfortable they would more than make up the match. He also agreed that moving forward, everyone should be more aware of the discrepancies.

Subsequent to the motion and vote, CHAIR TOUSSAINT stated that a number of applications that lack information regarding the share the association would contribute. That would show their contribution and relieve concerns regarding applicants' commitments. MEMBER SCHULTZ reiterated his feeling that when applicants identify vendor costs, they also need to identify the full project scope rather than only the amount they are requesting. VICE CHAIR SANDECKI thought the match was to be done through volunteer hours or donations, but it does not have to be entirely cash. MEMBER SCHULTZ clarified that he was speaking to having substantiating documentation to support vendor costs.

MR. SCOTT asked MS. ESTRADA to provide information with regard to completeness of applications, and she said that the prices applicants receive on a quote might not be the same as the actual costs when they do the project, and she explained the reimbursement process. MEMBER QUALEY said that there were two expense

reports, but they were still a bit short. She agreed it is important to have matching numbers, as is getting information from the applicants when they are making their presentations. MEMBER QUALEY confirmed for MR. SCOTT that she was basing the completeness of the application by reviewing the details and asking questions of MS. ESTRADA and the applicants when they are making their presentations.

VICE CHAIR SANDECKI commented that the Board is not gauging the \$17,000 listed in the application; the applicant has to show they are matching the amount of funding they receive, and MS. ESTRADA verifies that when reimbursing. MEMBER SCHULTZ recommended that the guidelines be changed then for next year to state that applicants only need to provide substantiation for the amount equal to what is being requested so the expectations are clear to everyone. MS. ESTRADA pointed out that the guidelines allow for a percentage to be volunteer hours and a percentage to be in-kind donations and/or cash. CHAIR TOUSSAINT commented that the amount of cash being requested should be equal to or less than what the real cash expenses are, and the applicant should be able to substantiate why an amount is requested. He also thought that the Office of Community Services should vet the applications prior to the members reviewing them. MEMBER YTURRALDE suggested providing some type of video presentation as an example of what to and what not to do. MEMBER BONAVENTURA also suggested adding a question to the application regarding the applicant's relationship with the vendor.

Cultural Corridor Coalition – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$2,999. MEMBER SANDECKI recalled this application was for cleaning graffiti in the downtown area, and she was in support of fully funding due to the amount of work the City has done, the care this Coalition continues to show each year with their applications and the future development downtown. MEMBER JACKSON-RENTER wished the applicant would have asked for more funding, as this area will attract more tourists if it is cleaned up, and it helps the City's Code Enforcement team.

Desert Shores Racquet Club HOA – VICE CHAIR SANDECKI stated that this applicant requested \$5,000. MEMBER SCHULTZ thought this was a good project but shared reasons he thought the package was incomplete. VICE CHAIR SANDECKI added that there was \$4,753 in volunteer hours but no cash or in-kind donations, so she recommended approving funding in that amount. MEMBER SCHULTZ concurred.

MEMBER SCHULTZ stated that if they are ever to improve the application process, they need to point out what is incorrect in the applications. He said this application left him with many questions and believed they need to have greater accountability in the future. MR. SCOTT stated that it is incumbent on staff to make sure the applications are fully filled out and alert the applicants that their applications could be rejected if they are not complete. MEMBER YTURRALDE suggested that Board members also work with applicants in their wards if they find issues when reviewing the applications. VICE CHAIR SANDECKI wondered if they would have to recuse themselves if they assisted applicants, and MR. SCOTT replied that there would be no personal gain by helping the applicant. He also thought one of the complete applications could be used as a sample for applicants.

Subsequent to the vote, MEMBER QUALEY commented that during this applicant's presentation, VICE CHAIR SANDECKI clarified with the applicant that that they are doing three phases and this request pertains only to one phase of the project.

El Camino Community Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$2,850. MEMBER KILPONEN thought this was a great project in terms of beautification, but based on the map they presented of their association, most of it is located in Clark County. She was concerned about engagement with City residents. MEMBER SANDECKI stated this is the second time the Board has seen this application and recalled a similar discussion last year regarding being hard to distinguish what would benefit only the County or only the City when there are urban county islands; however, she was in support of this application.

Huntridge Park Neighborhood Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$1,380. MEMBER SANDECKI said this project has the Huntridge Hero, and they are using local artists. She was excited to see what the graffiti mural would add to this neighborhood. The renderings seemed professional and she was impressed by the forethought of doing anti-graffiti coating. MEMBER SCHULTZ thought this was a very well documented package and was in support. CHAIR TOUSSAINT stated that this is a great historic community, and he was in support.

James Down Towers Resident Council – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$5,000. MEMBER QUALEY thought the applicant put a lot of heart into this, and she was in support. She was concerned about the birthday expenses but thought the inquiry was well answered, and she knows that MS. ESTRADA will work with the applicant. MEMBER SCHULTZ stated that the applicants left a good impression on him; however, they requested \$5,000 but only substantiated \$2,000 for the Culligan water system. MS. ESTRADA noted that none of the Board members requested further information after the presentation, but MEMBER QUALEY inquired about it prior to this meeting. MS. ESTRADA explained that she is working with the applicant and keeps track of everything, and she will ensure the remainder of funding will be spent on party expenses. MS. ESTRADA confirmed for MEMBER YTURRALDE that submittal of receipts is required for reimbursement, and if the full amount is not spent, the applicant will not receive extra funds. MEMBER KRESS felt this would have a positive impact and improve the quality of life for the residents noting that some residents are crossing busy streets to get safe drinking water. MEMBER SILVA said they would be having monthly birthday parties for 205 residents, which is costly. MEMBER JACKSON-RENTER said that the applicants just want clean water and to support each other by holding birthday parties. This will improve their quality of life and provide social interaction. MEMBER CHRISTENSEN thought it was important to see new applicants. MEMBER SANDECKI asked MEMBER SILVA about including verification of the time spent and monies allotted for the birthday parties. MS. ESTRADA confirmed for MR. SCOTT that is what she does. MEMBER SILVA was favorable to amending her motion.

Las Vegas Meadows LTD – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$5,000. MEMBER SCHULTZ thought this seemed to be a commercial for-profit enterprise and an activity to enhance their image as a commercial provider. He did not see how public funds could be used to fund commercial organizations that are for-profit businesses. MR. SCOTT stated that the Neighborhood Partners Fund Board (NPFB) guidelines clearly indicate that proposed projects are to be for not-for-profit organizations, and it is within the Board's discretion to not fund if they believe it does not fit within the criteria. CHAIR TOUSSAINT thought this was a good project but the application should have come from the community association. He did not think for-profit companies could submit for public funding. MS. ESTRADA thought the applicant made a mistake and said they are registered as Las Vegas Meadows Community. She further explained that once submitted the applicant cannot make changes. MR. SCOTT asked what the LTD was behind their name, and MS. ESTRADA did not know why the applicant included that. CHAIR TOUSSAINT interjected that there is a company in the real estate business with that name and pointed out that the property where planting will take place is owned by the LTD. MEMBER KILPONEN stated that other groups have submitted applications for mobile home parks with community involvement, and they have received NPFB funding. MEMBER SANDECKI said she would be more concerned if someone from the real estate committee would have made the presentation, but that was not the case. She recalled the Events Director and the president of the community association were the ones who came representing all of the neighbors and discussing events they've done in the past, and she thought it was just a clerical mistake. She thought they were continuing to grow, and they seemed forthright and in the spirit of wanting to build a better community for a potentially underserved population. She was comfortable funding this project in its entirety. CHAIR TOUSSAINT pointed out that the real estate company employed the woman who was the Events Coordinator. MR. SCOTT reminded the Board members that it is within the Board's purview to determine if this project fits within the criteria.

MEMBER BONAVENTURA wondered about conditioning the funding that as long as it was clarified this was for the neighborhood association they could receive funds. MEMBER QUALEY recalled this from last year, and the Events Coordinator was like the person who manages the association. MEMBER SCHULTZ pointed out that it specifically stated in the application that they want funding for RV parking area lighting, and if that property is owned by a commercial enterprise, the City would be funding commercial improvement assets. MEMBER SANDECKI referred to the application and noted that the vendor cost estimate for the lighting was \$1,190 and suggested removing that part and funding the project in the amount of \$3,810. MR. SCOTT advised that MS. ESTRADA would make it very clear that the funding not be used for the RV lighting area in the award letter she sends to them. CHAIR TOUSSAINT commented that the vehicles in the parking lot are the homeowners'/renters' and the lighting is to secure the homeowners' property. MEMBER SANDECKI said if that was the case, the residents should take that up with the State Ombudsman's Office and say they are not getting the level of property management they need. MEMBER YTURRALDE expressed concern that if there were additional lighting, the rent would be increased. MEMBER SANDECKI said she would like to see a cost benefit analysis from the property management company regarding how much rent would be raised in order to make the safety improvements and consider that next year. Subsequent to the motion and vote, MS. ESTRADA explained that

when the applicant signs the agreement, there would be a stipulation in it regarding what the funds can be used for. In the applicant's award letter, she will also explain why they were not approved for the full amount.

Mannetta Lane HOA – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$5,000. MEMBER SCHULTZ stated that the removal of overgrown trees in an HOA would be considered common landscape maintenance, and he wondered if that was appropriate according to the purpose of this Board. MR. SCOTT referred to the guidelines and advised the Members that if they determined this would be a direct subsidy of an existing service that is to be provided by the community association, they would be able to deny funding. CHAIR TOUSSAINT noted that they have approved enhancing entrances to communities a number of times that were technically the responsibility of the HOA, and he pointed out that would potentially increase homeowner assessments. MEMBER BONAVENTURA recalled approving an application last year to supplement repairing a road in a community but not funding another application for painting garages as it was benefiting individuals. He thought since this was in a common area, it would be shared by the community and would benefit the entire neighborhood. MEMBER QUALEY stated that the applicant made it very clear they do not have funds to do this, and it is a safety concern. MEMBER CHRISTENSEN said that HOAs have funds for some things but not for others, and she felt this would help their community and affect the look of the neighborhood. MEMBER BONAVENTURA recalled the application for the Cultural Corridor, which was painting a commercial building, but it would also directly benefit tourism and foot traffic for those visiting the downtown area. They have previously voted to beautify the fronts of HOAs as they front streets where the public travels. However, he thought this project was in direct violation of what should be funded and would have trouble supporting it as it is in a neighborhood and they said they do not have the money and need this grant to subsidize the cost of repairs. MEMBER YTURRALDE wondered if NV Energy could come down on the neighborhood since they share a wall, but the applicant indicated they have a good relationship with NV Energy and are coordinating efforts to fix the area because the trees could create a bigger problem and be more costly in the future. MEMBER SANDECKI was in favor of supporting the safety concern/removal aspect of the application, but not for the beautification. The cost estimate to remove the trees and fix the sidewalk is \$2,815. MEMBER BONAVENTURA asked if the community was gated, and MEMBER SILVA replied that she thought it was open. CHAIR TOUSSAINT thought the community looked like it needed help, and he would like to see this get funded. MEMBER SANDECKI confirmed for CHAIR TOUSSAINT that she would support correcting the safety hazard but not the beautification. MEMBER BONAVENTURA asked about the cost of hiring someone to grind down the sidewalk, and MEMBER SANDECKI reviewed the details of the estimate sheet and said the amount for tree removal and sidewalk repair was \$2,815. MEMBER YTURRALDE opined that if they were going to help them fix the safety issues, they should also help them to beautify, and MEMBERS QUALEY and JACKSON-RENTER concurred. MEMBER BONAVENTURA thought this was subsidizing something the HOA should be doing, and it was clear they should not approve that. MEMBER CHRISTENSEN wondered about funding for the safety issues and for part of the landscaping. MEMBER SANDECKI stated that the estimate to install 36 plants was \$2,261.

MEMBER SCHULTZ commented that for the future of this Board, they need to amend the guidelines to show when customary homeowner association costs are to be funded by the City. CHAIR TOUSSAINT agreed they need more clarification. MR. SCOTT noted they could discuss this more under Item 7 and thought there needs to be more specifics regarding what constitutes improvements to an entryway versus removal of landscaping that is part of the HOA responsibility. MEMBER SCHULTZ stated that the Real Estate Division of the State Ombudsman's Office provides guidelines on how homeowner associations are supposed to budget and fund, and items such as removal of trees and landscaping replenishment needs to be funded by the association.

McNeil Estates Neighborhood Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$3,000. MEMBER SCHULTZ thought this was a good application and it should be fully funded. MEMBER BONAVENTURA questioned the amount on the vendor sheet as it was slightly short of the requested amount, and MS. ESTRADA replied that prices change, and she would make sure the applicant is only reimbursed for what they spend.

Palomino Gardens HOA – MEMBER SANDECKI stated that this is the Trotter's Circle light pole rehab where there were safety concerns and some of the poles were capped and some poles were no longer salvageable. The applicant would like to install four interior and four exterior lights for safety. CHAIR TOUSSAINT commented that this is another safety issue in an HOA. He explained that Boards in HOAs change and some do not want to vote for an assessment increase that should be required to pay the maintenance costs. This can go on for years, and then they do not have the funds for maintenance, and the issues become bigger and they cannot afford refurbishment. MR. SCOTT stated that it seemed as though many funding requests are meshing with what the

HOA should be funding. MEMBER SANDECKI agreed and thought that life safety concerns trump beautification. MR. SCOTT said that the only projects that purely meet the guidelines are the parties that the HOAs have no responsibility to provide. CHAIR TOUSSAINT also thought that security lighting met the guidelines. MR. SCOTT advised the Board that it is at their discretion to make the determination regarding what qualifies. MEMBER CHRISTENSEN thought that HOAs have an easier time organizing their people to make funding requests. MEMBER YTURRALDE wondered about asking questions like how many people are in the community, how many are in favor of the project and about other ideas they had. MEMBER KRESS pointed out that they should also know whether the applicant is an HOA or just a group of neighbors, because a group of neighbors who wants to improve their community has no authority to collect assessments. MEMBER SANDECKI also pointed out that if an HOA had bad leadership, they could have been bankrupted and not have the funds to meet the basic needs of the company. She felt that life safety projects are more within the spirit of this Board's guidelines to improve the quality of the neighborhood, and MEMBER SCHULTZ concurred. MEMBER BONAVENTURA did not think lighting was an obligation for the HOA to provide, but it was making the neighborhood a safer place, and he was in support. MEMBER KILPONEN thought that lighting was an important feature and was in support.

Rainbow Family Park – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$4,425. MEMBER BONAVENTURA stated that he liked this application and thought it was good to start up a newsletter again and engage the community. He also liked the Trunk or Treat event.

Spanish Oaks HOA – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$4,300. MEMBER QUALEY thought the applicant had a good presentation, and she was in favor. MEMBER SCHULTZ expressed concern about matching funds and that the applicant did not directly answer his question regarding if the \$6,500 pledge was for activities described in the application. MEMBER KILPONEN thought it sounded like the newsletter was something they had not had in a long while, the movie was a new event and the breakfast was something the community did not usually fund. MEMBER SCHULTZ thought the application and presentation lacked clarity as to whether the association's commitment to the Social Committee was uniquely directed to those activities. MEMBER QUALEY pointed out that if the \$6,500 is taken out of the equation, the applicant is still matching their request for funding with volunteer hours. MEMBER SANDECKI stated that their volunteer hours more than match the funding amount they are requesting.

Turning Point Community Association – MS. ESTRADA stated that this applicant requested \$5,000. MEMBER SANDECKI said the applicant wanted to plant trees in common spaces along with adding tables, trash and cleanup. Additionally, they wanted to hold a shredding event and a party. MEMBER BONAVENTURA asked who owns the common space, and CHAIR TOUSSAINT stated that the applicant said they are a small footprint inside the master association. MEMBER YTURRALDE noted this is coming from residents living in the area, and she was in support. MEMBER KILPONEN thought it would be helpful to have something in the application that says whether or not they are an HOA. MEMBER BONAVENTURA stated that the project budget worksheet only shows matching funds of \$1,188, and MEMBER SANDECKI replied that is all this Board can fund then. MEMBER QUALEY subsequently pointed out that they updated their matched volunteer hours amount to \$3,168. MEMBER BONAVENTURA thought that installing the rock at the community entrance would benefit those walking by the neighborhood, and the outside tables, chairs and trash receptacles are beyond what the HOA is obligated to provide; he was in support of these items, which amounted to \$4,070.

Motion made by Larry Schultz to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Arville-Oakey Neighborhood Watch Association in the amount of \$1,000

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 2

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Sherese Holmes, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz; Excused-Jordan Sandecki, Ydo Yturalde;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Aurora TOPP Neighborhood Association in the amount of \$5,000

Passed For: 11; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturalde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz; Excused-Jordan Sandecki;

Motion made by Larry Schultz to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Beverly Green Neighborhood Association in the amount of \$4,900

Passed For: 11; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz; Excused-Jordan Sandecki;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Buffalo Coalition Neighborhood Association in the amount of \$5,000

Passed For: 11; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz; Excused-Jordan Sandecki;

Motion made by David Kress to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Desert Shores Community Association in the amount of \$5,000

NOTE: Chair Toussaint abstained from voting as he lives in the Desert Shores Community, and Member Qualey abstained from voting as she is the President of the Desert Shores Community Association.

Passed For: 9; Against: 0; Abstain: 2; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

For-Anne Kilponen, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz; Abstain-Greg Toussaint, Gail Qualey; Excused-Jordan Sandecki;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Cultural Corridor Coalition in the amount of \$2,999.47

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Jordan Sandecki to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Desert Shores Racquet Club HOA in the amount of \$4,753

NOTE: Chair Toussaint abstained from voting as he lives in the Desert Shores Community, and Member Qualey abstained from voting as she is the President of the Desert Shores Community Association.

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 2; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz; Abstain-Greg Toussaint, Gail Qualey;

Motion made by Gail Qualey to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the El Camino Community Association in the amount of \$2,850

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Larry Schultz to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Huntridge Park Neighborhood Association in the amount of \$1,380

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Esthela Silva to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the James Down Towers Resident Council in the amount of \$5,000 and allowing expenses for birthday party celebrations with acceptable verification of dedicated hours and expenses

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Jordan Sandecki to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Las Vegas Meadows LTD in the amount of \$3,810 with the stipulation that none of the funds are to be used for the RV lighting portion of the project

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Gail Qualey to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Mannetta Lane HOA in the amount of \$5,000

NOTE: An initial motion for Denial by Member Bonaventura Failed with Members Sandecki, Holmes, Kilponen, Christensen, Qualey, Jackson-Renter, Kress, Silva and Yturralde voting No. A subsequent motion for Approval to recommend funding in the amount of \$2,815 for tree removal and to address safety concerns but not beautification by Member Sandecki Failed with Members Holmes, Kilponen, Christensen, Qualey, Jackson-Renter, Silva and Yturralde voting No. A third motion for Approval to recommend funding in the amount of \$4,000 by Member Christensen Failed with Sandecki, Holmes, Qualey, Bonaventura, Schultz, Silva and Yturralde voting No.

Passed For: 8; Against: 4; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress; Against-Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Larry Schultz to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the McNeil Estates Neighborhood Association in the amount of \$3,000

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Jordan Sandecki to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Palomino Gardens HOA in the amount of \$5,000

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Jordan Sandecki to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Rainbow Family Park Neighborhood Association in the amount of \$4,425

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Jordan Sandecki to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Spanish Oaks HOA in the amount of \$4,300

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

Motion made by Chance Bonaventura to Approve the recommendation to City Council to fund the Turning Point Community Association in the amount of \$4,070

Passed For: 12; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Greg Toussaint, Anne Kilponen, Jordan Sandecki, Sherese Holmes, Ydo Yturralde, Esthela Silva, Sally Christensen, Gail Qualey, Tanya Jackson-Renter, David Kress, Chance Bonaventura, Larry Schultz;

7. Discussion for possible action regarding recommendations to amend the application process or documents used for the annual Neighborhood Partners Fund Program cycle

Minutes:

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT stated that the applicable comments made under previous items could be incorporated into this item.

CHAIR TOUSSAINT commented that it seemed clear where it says the Board is not supposed to pay for things within the homeowners association (HOA) purview, it should be with the exception of items to improve security and life safety issues.

MEMBER SANDECKI suggested adding specific notes to the guidelines of the application process regarding what the duties of the HOA are per the Nevada Real Estate Division and Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 116. MR. SCOTT warned that making the guidelines that specific could limit what the Board is able to fund.

MEMBER SANDECKI also asked for a new budget worksheet and thought it would be beneficial for the applicants to have a more concise process of what must be filled out. She also expressed concerns regarding the required cash match, and ALMA ESTRADA, Community Program Technician, explained that applicants are only required to meet a 25 percent volunteer match.

MEMBER CHRISTENSEN noticed that one application credited 60 hours towards filling out the application, and she thought something like this should already be included as part of the process.

MEMBER KILPONEN thought it would be helpful to have a check box indicating if an applicant is an HOA or not. MS. ESTRADA pointed out there already was one. MEMBER SANDECKI suggested adding a more specific question that asks if an applicant, an HOA, a community association, or a neighborhood association has the ability to levy fees as this could change some of the Board's determinations. MEMBER KILPONEN commented on the difference between a community association and neighborhood association for CHAIR TOUSSAINT.

Referencing the comments made in regards to NRS 116, CHAIR TOUSSAINT did not believe the Board should fund anything that the HOA is legally responsible for. MEMBER KRESS read how he believed a new guideline should read, which would provide the Board the flexibility to determine if the ask was a worthy cause.

MEMBER QUALEY referred to the present guidelines and wondered if they were intended to be a little vague to allow people to get involved in their community and with the City. She believed following NRS 116 too strictly might limit what the Board will be able to fund. MEMBER SCHULTZ was fine with some degree of ambiguity and believed if a neighborhood started with specific items, the HOA is responsible for the maintenance of those items; however, items that are added to a neighborhood could be considered under the purview of this Board. MEMBER SANDECKI felt it was the responsibility of the veteran Board members to ensure the Board is consistent.

Even if an HOA may be responsible for a specific matter, MEMBER BONAVENTURA stated that some projects should be considered for funding if surrounding neighborhoods are affected. MEMBER QUALEY concurred.

MEMBER YTURRALDE asked if a sample video and model application could be included in the application process to provide an example to applicants of how to give their presentations.

MEMBER KILPONEN recognized MS. ESTRADA and stated that the applications submitted this year were more complete than in previous years, and MEMBER SANDECKI asked for fewer applications per meeting. CHAIR TOUSSAINT requested to shorten the deadline date.

MEMBER SCHULTZ volunteered to help validate the comprehensiveness and completeness of applications. MEMBER QUALEY was also happy to help but was concerned about working too closely with the applicants. MR. SCOTT clarified that that would not be a problem as long as it was not their own HOA. MS. ESTRADA noted she provides the applicants with step-by-step directions along with samples of how to fill out an application.

Lastly, MEMBER YTURRALDE suggested introducing the Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP) to the applicants to encourage the younger residents to become involved in community projects.

See Item 6 for related discussion.

8. **Citizens Participation:** Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the Board. No subject may be acted upon by the Board unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

None.

9. **Adjournment**

Minutes:

The meeting was adjourned at 4:22 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

---

Jacquie Miller, Deputy City Clerk

---

Alma Estrada, Community Program Technician

THIS MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor  
Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway  
Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue  
City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive