

Carolyn G. Goodman, Mayor (At-Large)
Lois Tarkanian, Mayor Pro Tem (Ward 1)
Steven G. Seroka (Ward 2)
Bob Coffin (Ward 3)
Stavros S. Anthony (Ward 4)
Cedric Crear (Ward 5)
Michele Fiore (Ward 6)



City Manager Scott Adams
City Attorney Bradford R. Jerbic
City Clerk LuAnn D. Holmes

City Council Minutes

Council Chambers - 495 South Main Street - Phone 702-229-6011
City of Las Vegas Internet Address: www.lasvegasnevada.gov

March 6, 2019

9:00 AM

A lunch break may be taken at the discretion of the Mayor.

CEREMONIAL MATTERS

1. Call to Order

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m.

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMEMBERS TARKANIAN (excused until 9:17 a.m.), ANTHONY, COFFIN, FIORE (excused until 9:04 a.m.) and CREAR

ALSO PRESENT: CITY MANAGER SCOTT ADAMS, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JEFF DOROCAK, and CITY CLERK, LUANN D. HOLMES

2. Announcement Regarding: Compliance with Open Meeting Law

Minutes:

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE: This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations: City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor; Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway; Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue; City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive.

3. Invocation - Chaplain Victorya Campe, Messages of Faith Ministry

Minutes:

CHAPLAIN VICTORYA CAMPE, Messages of Faith Ministry, gave the invocation.

4. Pledge of Allegiance

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

5. Recognition of the Citizens of the Month

Minutes:

COUNCILMAN COFFIN introduced GLORIA and ARTURO CASTRO, who were recognized as the Citizens of the Month for March 2019. COUNCILMAN COFFIN shared that they are the owners of HCI Advertising, and seven years ago, MR. and MS. CASTRO were the first members of a steering committee that put together the

Latino Network of Southern Nevada, which was created to engage the Latino community. Last year, the National League of Cities (NLC) in Washington, D.C., recognized their efforts by honoring the Las Vegas Latino Network. COUNCILMAN COFFIN talked about how they have kept this outreach program going for seven years, and how they spend their time and money making things better for the residents of Ward 3 where much of the Latino population resides. The Councilman presented each of them with a Certificate of Appreciation, copies of which are attached as backup.

MS. CASTRO thanked the City for this recognition and stated that it has been a pleasure to support the community with this labor of love. MR. CASTRO acknowledged that this organization's primary focus is education. As business owners, they have observed the changes and improvements that have been made in their community, as this is a great model for collaboration, government, community, and business. He thanked the City Council for this recognition.

6. Recognition of the Values Team

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN invited JORGE CERVANTES, Chief Operations and Development Officer, to the podium. She shared that it was a pleasure to recognize a team of City employees that represent the City's values, and they truly build community to make life better. This group of employees is responsible for the new showgirl gateway sign at Main Street and Las Vegas Boulevard, and they are the winners of the City Value's Team Ward.

MAYOR GOODMAN talked about a car accident that happened a few years ago that destroyed the welcome to Downtown Las Vegas sign at Fourth Street and Las Vegas Boulevard. This started a conversation about needing a new sign that would be unique to downtown Las Vegas. The City wanted something that would stand out and be a place that visitors would want to stop and take a picture. Her vision was to incorporate showgirls into the sign because everyone loves a showgirl, as they are an iconic part of our city.

This team took the vision and ran with it, and in August of 2018, the City unveiled a fantastic new gateway sign on the south end of the Main Street beautification project. The team even modeled the sign after the two showgirls, who work as ambassadors at the Las Vegas Convention and Visitor Authority with former Mayor OSCAR GOODMAN.

She acknowledged that this team did a great job of problem-solving and looking for ways to accomplish this task, and they should be commended. She introduced the team and asked all of them to join the City Council at the podium. The team members called forward included JOHN EASTON, Graphic Illustrator, MICHAEL STURDIVANT, Engineering Project Manager, TORIE WINTON, Combination Inspector, COREY SCHMIDT, Engineering Project Manager, GENA KENDALL, City Traffic Engineer, GINA VENGLASS, Engineering Project Manager, JOE NORBY, Assistant Transportation Manager, LARRY RODGERS, Sr. Engineering Associate, MIKE PERRY, Traffic Field Supervisor, CHRISTINA KARANIKOLAS and CASSANDRA WATSON-MIHELICIC, Engineering Project Managers, BILL SNYDER, Right-of-Way and Real Property Supervisor, ED YOUNG, Right-of-Way Agent, ERYN SEBELIUS, Public Information Officer, JACE RADKE, Sr. Public Information Officer and ESTHER REINCKE, Sr. Management Analyst. Representatives and contractors from the private sector who helped on the project were also invited to come forward. These individuals included BREN WICK, CLYDE LIPP, JEROMY LEE, STEVE WYSOMIRSKI, RON PORTARO, BOB DODGE, PAT SKRABANEK, and GREG MEYER.

MR. CERVANTES said that based on MAYOR GOODMAN'S vision, MR. EASTON worked up some concepts, and they eventually came up with something that worked. MR. CERVANTES also noted that by the time they did all of this, the beautification project was well underway and almost completed, and in working with their partners and contractors, they were able to get everything accomplished in time for the ribbon cutting.

MAYOR GOODMAN added that because the project was so incredible, Denny's changed their construction plan. MR. CERVANTES confirmed that staff met with Denny's to work on obtaining a little more space to ensure that the sign would fit. When Denny's saw what the City had planned, they took advantage and decided to reconstruct their facility so that it would fit into the area and look more modern. He said that since the City has started the Main Street Project, many businesses along Main and Commerce Streets have come back, revitalized and invested money in this area.

MR. CERVANTES also shared that, starting in the summer of 2019, the City will begin working on Las Vegas Boulevard to put in a Welcome to Las Vegas sign. The sign will be approximately 100 feet high and located in front of the Stratosphere. He also talked about the festoon lighting that the City put in downtown over almost five blocks in the Art's District, which makes the area more attractive and welcoming.

7. Recognition of Dina Dalessio

Minutes:

This item was not heard.

8. Recognition of Zachary and Zoe Powell

Minutes:

COUNCILWOMAN FIORE recognized ZACHARY and ZOE POWELL as the Ward 6 Citizens of the Month for March 2019. These siblings are the children of Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Officer ALLEN POWELL and JEANNIE POWELL and have been working together, as well as separately, at very high levels of serving their school and the community. Together, they have routinely served meals at the Las Vegas Rescue Mission, participated in the National Day of Service at Wayne Bunker Family Park, helped as part of the cleanup crew for Walk for Wishes at Town Square and the Children's Heart Foundation – Walk with the Heart of a Child at Fashion Show Mall, helped Three Square and Catholic Charities of Southern Nevada deliver to the disabled elderly, distribute cookies at the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Hospital, and assist with LVMPD outreach projects. The Councilwoman noted that she was sure there was more to this list, but that these were just some of the things she had written down and witnessed them doing.

COUNCILWOMAN FIORE provided some brief background for each of them, noting that MR. POWELL is a senior at the Northwest Career Technical Academy where his specialty is culinary. He currently serves as the Secretary of the Senior Class of 2019, is a key player in student council, and an outstanding student. He placed 3rd at the Distributive Education Clubs of America Inc. (DECA) conference, works for In-and-Out Burger, and has been accepted to the Culinary Institute of America in Nappa Valley, California. MS. POWELL is a sophomore at Northwest Career Technical Academy and was awarded the Scholar-Athlete Award with a GPA of 3.7 or higher while participating in sports. Although she is enrolled at the Northwest Career Technical Academy, she has played basketball for Arbor View High School for two years. She regularly helps with the childcare at Canyon Ridge Christian Church.

COUNCILWOMAN FIORE shared some words from one of their mother's Facebook posts, noting afterward that these two individuals are fantastic role models for the upcoming generation and that she was proud to recognize them.

MR. POWELL thanked the City Council. He also thanked his parents for teaching them the importance of being kind and giving back to their community. He felt that their job, as role models, was to give back to those who need their help. MS. POWELL thanked the City for keeping it safe and stated that she was blessed to have her mother and father.

MRS. POWELL shared that she had no idea this was happening for her children until she was contacted about the event. She expressed that they were blessed and thankful for so much, as they know there is a need for help, no matter where it is. She said her children are great about contributing their time on Saturday and Sunday mornings to help in these events because they love participating. She hoped they were an example for other children and adults, as well.

MAYOR GOODMAN thanked COUNCILWOMAN FIORE, as well as the Powell family for their contributions, noting how proud the City was of all of them. MR. and MS. POWELL were each presented with a Certificate of Recognition, copies of which were submitted for the record.

BUSINESS ITEMS - 9 A.M. SESSION

PUBLIC COMMENT

9. Public comment during this portion of the Agenda must be limited to matters on the Agenda for action. If you wish to be heard, come to the podium and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that she would be pulling Agenda Items 12 and 22 forward to allow public comment for those members needing to leave early. She clarified that she would still be opening Items 12 and 22 for discussion; however, those speaking on Items 12 and 22 at this time would only be permitted to speak once under this public comment.

Those speaking in support of Nevada (NV) Energy included ALYSON McCARTHY, Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Ronald McDonald House, JENNIFER WHITE, Director of Business Development for the Children's Discovery Museum, MICHAEL PHILLIPS, Governmental Affairs and Compliance Director for Three Square, DANNY TAFOYA, Chairman of the Board for the Latin Chamber of Commerce, and FORREST DARBY, member of the Nevada Alliance of Retired Americans.

MS. McCARTHY spoke about the importance of building relationships and reliability. She shared a story of a time when the lights went out at their organization. NV Energy responded quickly to let them know they were working on the problem, and within an hour, their lights went back on.

MS. WHITE stated that for many years, NV Energy has helped them educate children on the benefits of renewable energy through the Children's Discovery Museum's Eco City Gallery. Additionally, with over 200,000 children and families visiting their gallery each year, NV Energy's support allows them to deliver quality Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) programming to low-income school children, as well as inspire them to become the next generation of good stewards of the environment.

MR. PHILLIPS said that collaboration is one of Three Squares core values, and NV Energy has been an avid supporter since Three Square's inception, which has been instrumental throughout the years. Additionally, NV Energy has contributed countless volunteer hours and given more than \$538,000 in financial contributions to their organization over the years.

MR. TAFOYA, Chairman of the Board for the Latin Chamber of Commerce, believes that NV Energy has been a consistent model of what good relationships and loyalty are about. He submitted a copy of a map from the Tenaska Energy - About Us website page for the record, noting that it does not show offices, projects or power plants for their company located near the State of Nevada. He also discussed the map legend, noting that there were only two power plants located on the west coast, that there were limited renewable energy investments on the map, and that their headquarters was located in the Midwest. He wondered why the City would explore doing business with a company that has no assets, no employees, or any potential job opportunities in the state of Nevada, and how this would help the City with their economic development and growth goals.

MR. DARBY was present on behalf of TOM BIRD, who is the President of the Nevada Alliance of Retired Americans, and their 18,000 members. He voiced his opposition to any negotiations with Tenaska Energy and said his concern was for the people on fixed incomes who would be affected by rising fees.

BUSINESS ITEMS - 9 A.M. Session

10. For Possible Action - Any items from the 9 A.M. session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken, tabled, withdrawn or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time.

Minutes:

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN stated that no items were being requested for possible action under Item 10.

See Item 12 for related discussion on VAR-75086.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN announced that VAR-75086 was not included on this agenda. This item was scheduled for the March 6, 2019, City Council agenda at the January 22, 2019, Planning Commission meeting. Postcards were sent, but due to a clerical error, the item did not make it onto the agenda. She noted that new

notices will be sent and that the item will be heard at the March 20, 2019, City Council meeting. MAYOR GOODMAN apologized for this oversight.

CONSENT AGENDA

Matters listed on the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine and have been recommended for approval by the Submitting Departments. All items on the Consent Agenda may be approved in a single motion. However, if a Council Member so requests, any consent item may be moved to the discussion portion of the agenda and other action, including postponement or denial of the item, may take place.

ADMINISTRATIVE - CONSENT

- 11. For possible action to approve an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County designating Clark County as the applicant and fiscal agent for purposes of the FY 2018/2019 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program in the amount of \$1,007,971 - All Wards

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

- 12. For possible action to approve staff initiating negotiations regarding a renewable energy agreement with NV Energy - All Wards

Minutes:

Items 12 and 22 were heard together.

TOM PERRIGO, Community Development Executive Director, clarified that the items were listed correctly, as Item 12 is for continuing discussions with Nevada (NV) Energy, and Item 22 is to continue discussions with Tenaska. He introduced JONATHAN ROGERS with Energetics, which is an independent energy expert consulting firm that the City hired to help navigate complex issues.

MR. PERRIGO reported that in June 2018, the City Council directed staff to examine options to continue on as a 100 percent renewable energy city and to keep finding ways to lower the City's costs. As a result, in September 2018, staff issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) to meet these Council objectives. NV Energy did not feel they could participate in the RFP process since they are the city's current power provider and a regulative monopoly; however, they expressed that they were committed to continuing a working relationship with the City to help achieve the City Council's renewable energy goals. Therefore, staff has continued to work with NV Energy in a parallel process to the RFP. Many firms responded as a result of the RFP process and staff selected Tenaska as a leading firm. Staff is working on parallel tracks with both of these companies.

MR. PERRIGO provided some brief background and history, as he felt it was important to remind the City of where they have come from and why this was important. He talked about how the City of Las Vegas was being recognized globally as a leader for renewable energy. He noted that one of the crowning achievements was receiving recognition from the U.S. Conference of Mayors, among all large cities, for its leadership in climate protection.

MR. PERRIGO explained that all of this had been achieved through strategic investments over the last ten years in renewable energy, energy conservation, and because the City has continually sought lower-cost options for energy. As an example, he provided an estimated breakdown of the percentages of power being purchased by the City through investments in renewable energy, energy conservation, and low-cost energy options. As a result of all of these investments, the City has reduced their annual costs from \$15 million in 2008 to \$8.4 million in the fiscal year 2017, which is \$6.6 million that can be dedicated to other City Council priorities. MR. PERRIGO clarified for COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN that the percentages he discussed referenced where the City currently purchases their power from, which is essentially done through an agreement with the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA), which he elaborated on. The Councilwoman wondered if all of these entities helped the City in working towards its renewable energy goals. MR. PERRIGO affirmed that they had in one way or another. He felt a big achievement was a partnership with NV Energy under the NGR 1.0 agreement. Because a significant amount of the load was covered by other partnerships and was renewable, the City was able to cover the rest with renewable energy through their agreement with NV Energy, which costs

approximately \$228,000 per year. COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN wondered how much assistance NV Energy provided the City in reaching its goal of 100 percent. MR. PERRIGO explained that this was done through the NGR 1.0 agreement, as well as through programs for conservation and solar energy with rebates. Further, he noted that this is a partnership where the City is helping NV Energy achieve their portfolio requirements, which are set by the state statutes, and in return, they are assisting the city to meet their sustainability goals.

MR. PERRIGO noted that the NGR 1.0 agreement is scheduled to expire in December 2019, which is why staff sought direction from the City Council last June. After direction was given, staff issued the RFP and began conversations with Tenaska, as well as NV Energy. Both entities have confirmed that they can meet the objective of 100 percent renewable energy for the future and deliver lower cost options for the City. Staff is now seeking assistance to get to the bottom line.

As to Item 12, MR. PERRIGO stated that staff is seeking authorization to continue negotiating with NV Energy, as the NGR 2.0 program will open for participants on March 18, 2019. Since there is a limited capacity, the City must make a decision quickly. With approval, CITY MANAGER SCOTT ADAMS would be authorized to have staff submit this paperwork and move forward with the next part of the process, as well as continue negotiating with NV Energy on these options. He affirmed for MAYOR GOODMAN that March 18, 2019, is when this process will open up; however, there is a limited capacity and if the City is not in line right away, it could get locked out.

MR. PERRIGO explained that with the approval of Item 22, MR. ADAMS would be authorized to continue on a parallel track with Tenaska and submit the paperwork for the 704B process. This will help to determine the final answer with the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (PUCN) as to the potential savings for this option.

He noted that by approving these two items, this does not commit the City to anything other than authorizing the process to move forward and gather data.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY asked MR. PERRIGO about the time frame, as he felt sooner was better. MR. PERRIGO stated that if these items are approved, staff will initiate both processes immediately. He added that both of these are dependent upon the PUCN's timeframe; therefore, he could not provide a precise answer. MR. ROGERS added that from his experience with the 704B process, it takes approximately three to six months to receive a ruling from the PUCN.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY wondered why City Council had to wait for the PUCN to make a decision, as he thought the City Council could make the decision as to which option moves forward. MR. PERRIGO affirmed this was possible. He stated that staff could get estimates from a detailed analysis that has already been collected. However, until the PUCN rules on exit fees, staff cannot get into a final rate and final analysis of the cost savings. He affirmed for COUNCILMAN ANTHONY that an estimate could be done. He noted that if it was the City Council's decision to move forward after reviewing both proposals, staff would not have detailed information but that they should have enough information through the current estimates and proposals they have received.

MR. ROGERS clarified for COUNCILMAN COFFIN that he is with Energetics, which is an independent consulting firm based outside of Washington DC. They have worked for over 40 years with the U.S. Department of Energy helping to analyze new energy technologies and the intersections with how market drivers and energy policy and regulation influence the clean energy transition for the grid. They also work with states and local governments to help them with energy transitions, both in vehicle electrification and adopting a greater portion of renewable energy to power their systems.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN wondered why they would select a company such as this that has no track record with the state of Nevada. MR. ROGERS clarified that as the consultant, they did not make this selection; however, they have evaluated the opportunities that are available to the City since Tenaska was selected through the RFP process. MR. PERRIGO explained that staff knew this was going to be a complex process, so they wanted to get an independent expert. They went to great lengths to find a firm that was not connected with any of the energy space in Nevada. Since this was not easy to do, they brought in recognized experts in-state to help staff evaluate the applicants that responded to the RFP for the energy consulting services, which resulted in the selection of Energetics. He affirmed that Tenaska's selection was done through the normal RFP process through a selection committee.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN referenced some controversy that occurred last Fall concerning NV Energy and the RFP process, and he asked MR. PERRIGO to refresh his memory. MR. PERRIGO explained that staff tried to make the RFP as open-ended as possible, as they did not want to signal any particular option. They hoped that NV Energy would be able to participate. Unfortunately, they were unable to do so; however, they immediately conveyed that they wanted to work with the City to achieve those objectives. Therefore, staff has worked very closely on a parallel course with them, which is not the usual method of doing things.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. PERRIGO to share the number of applicants who responded to the RFP process, as well as who was on the committee, beyond representation from the City, that staff included in the process. MR. PERRIGO could not recall the exact details but thought there might have been four respondents. The committee members consisted of internal staff from the City Attorney's Office, the Public Works Department, himself, and MARCO VELOTTA, Sr. Management Analyst, as well as outside members, which included an expert with SNWA, a consultant who has worked on in-state energy issues, and a 30-year NV Energy employee who is also a recognized expert. In response to MAYOR GOODMAN'S inquiry as to when Energetics was brought into the process, MR. PERRIGO stated that the selection had already been made, and MR. ROGERS said he believed their contract started in September 2018. MR. PERRIGO reiterated that this was a very complicated process and provided a greater explanation; he noted that having a firm like Energetics to help sift through all this information has been beneficial.

MR. PERRIGO reiterated for COUNCILMAN CREAR that approval of these items does not commit the City to anything and only allows staff to move forward with the process. He noted that while staff goes through this process, they may find that one or the other is not a good fit for the City. COUNCILMAN CREAR thought that regardless of what they learn from the process, this information should come back before the public before any determination is made.

COUNCILMAN CREAR wondered if staff would know how this will affect the citizens of Las Vegas and the ratepayers once everything has been evaluated. MR. PERRIGO affirmed that they would include this as part of their evaluation. He clarified that the exit fee covers all the expenses incurred on behalf of the customers who would be exiting so as not to affect the rates of the existing customer.

MAYOR GOODMAN read the names of those who would be speaking on Items 12 and 22, which included KEN EVANS, IELINA GUEVARA, JAMES HALSEY, JERRY HELMUTH, ARMENA MKHITARYAN, JESSE NEWMAN, SHAUNDELL NEWSOME, MATT RICHARDSON, DANNY THOMPSON, and ANA WOOD.

MR. EVANS, Urban Chamber of Commerce, spoke in support of Item 12, noting that they appreciate the support NV Energy has given throughout the years, and they look forward to continuing a working relationship with them on renewable energy, as this is a new area in which many small businesses can participate.

Speaking in opposition to Item 22, MS. GUEVARA stated that she was present for RUSTY McALLISTER who is the Executive Secretary-Treasurer of the Nevada State American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO). This organization represents 175,000 working men and women in Nevada. MS. GUEVARA read a statement from MR. McALLISTER, a copy of which was submitted for the record. His statement addressed their concerns, noting that a couple of significant reasons they were opposed to Question 3 during the 2018 election included: 1) the companies who stand to benefit the greatest from leaving NV Energy are big businesses and governments, which will result in placing the burden of increased energy costs upon the ratepayers left in the system, 2) the members that their organization represents cannot afford any additional increases to their energy rates, and 3) it does not make sense to put Nevada's energy in the hands of out-of-state profit-driven companies who do not have a vested interest in Nevada.

MR. HALSEY, on behalf of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) 357 and their retirees, stated that they are opposed to any outside power providers coming to Las Vegas and taking work from local companies and a local workforce.

MS. MKHITARYAN, Executive Director of Lutheran Social Services of Nevada, spoke in support of NV Energy, noting that they have supported thousands of homeless and moderate-income individuals for their organization. Ultimately, she was concerned that if this hurt NV Energy, it may also affect the nonprofits who are working to support the community.

MR. NEWMAN, Business Manager for the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) 396, concerning Item 22, encouraged the City Council to consider how the actions they take can be far-reaching to their employees, residents, and businesses. MR. NEWMAN stated that their employees, who are employed by NV Energy, believe they have provided a safe, reliable energy supply to continue economic development growth for Las Vegas. He asked that the City Council consider these individuals before choosing an out-of-state energy supplier, as a loss of customers will ultimately lead to a loss of jobs for their employees. He requested that the Council members look at how NV Energy has served the city and its constituents, as they believe what has been contributed to the local economy and community is worth a lot and deserves some loyalty.

MR. NEWSOME, founder of Sumnu Marketing and Chairman of the Board for the Urban Chamber of Commerce, spoke about creating a relationship of excellence. He was in support of NV Energy, and ultimately, he was concerned about how complicated energy issues may influence small businesses and residents, as they are the ones most at risk to be negatively impacted by poor energy policies or decisions.

MR. THOMPSON, Co-Chairman on the No on 3 Campaign, discussed that during the campaign, Tenaska, as well as two other companies, went to the Clark County School District and told them they would pay their exit fees if they entered into a long-term contract with them. He then read a disclaimer that was submitted to the Clark County School District Trustees, a copy of which he submitted for the record. He believed that, potentially, by the City leaving NV Energy's system, this could cost shift the utility burden upon the constituents. He expressed that this is becoming a significant problem, which the State Legislature is reviewing. Additionally, he believed that many complicated questions arise from the City's departure, for which he provided a greater explanation. He urged the City Council to put this on hold until the State Legislature figures out how this should be solved.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN asked about MR. THOMPSON'S background with electricity, as he sounded like an expert. MR. THOMPSON explained that he has been a lobbyist for 40 years and worked on the No on 3 Campaign for four years.

MR. HELMUTH, President of the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees (IATSE) Local 720, noted their opposition to Item 22.

MS. WOOD, on behalf of the Asian Chamber of Commerce, commented on Items 12 and 22. She questioned why the City of Las Vegas would consider exploring doing business with an out-of-state energy provider when NV Energy has provided safe, reliable service to Nevada for more than 100 years.

MR. RICHARDSON, on behalf of the Nevada Association of Public Safety Officers (NAPSO), expressed several concerns about Item 22. He wondered why the City would consider contracting with an out-of-state energy provider when had already been proven that Nevada has some of the lowest rates in the country. He believes residents are concerned with an out-of-state provider because there is the possibility of instability with the delivery of power which would affect public safety.

DAVID BROWN, Land Development Associates, introduced ANDREW HEINLE from Tenaska. MR. BROWN stated that they were in support of Items 12 and 22, as they believe in whatever is best for the City. He explained that they were present because they want to provide a choice for energy that may save the City money. In Tenaska's preliminary estimates, they see an approximate 30 percent savings to the City, which is approximately \$3 million annually. MR. BROWN conveyed that this was not about labor as NV Energy will keep the transmission, distribution, and maintenance, and will still provide the energy connection between Tenaska's generators and the City's street lights and facilities. He clarified that Tenaska would not be taking jobs away from hard-working union members, as they believe the employees at NV Energy will remain employed.

With respect to Item 22, AMANDA KHAN, Programs Director for the Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada, stated that they hope the City Council has taken into account what this will mean to city residents, taxpayers and ratepayers who will be left with NV Energy. She requested that they reconsider taking action that could negatively affect thousands of Nevadans.

TONY SANCHEZ, NV Energy, introduced MICHELLE FOLLETTE, Vice President of Customer Operations at NV Energy. He expressed that they understand these items will authorize staff to go down a dual path, and encouraged the City Council to direct staff to pursue Item 12. He clarified that NV Energy's goal is to keep the

City as a bundled customer, and will continue to work to do so, as they value their relationship with the City and their shared focus to provide the best service to the City and residents.

MR. SANCHEZ talked about the public policy decisions that have been made over the past two decades concerning the rules that they, as the incumbent utility provider, are required to operate under. He said that NV Energy is required to operate and control power plants and other resources that meet the needs of the entire state at all times, and this obligation is not required of an alternative energy provider. He explained why this was important and how this related to the California energy crisis that happened 20 years ago, noting that Nevada was near bankruptcy at that time. As a result, the Repower Nevada Plan was put in place and NV Energy brought low-cost natural gas and renewable energy to the forefront.

Going back to 1997 and the Renewable Portfolio Standard, he explained that through this state policy, NV Energy was required by the Public Utility Commission oversight to enter into long-term, large-scale renewable energy agreements, some lasting as long as 30 years. NV Energy still has these older contracts and some cost between \$.20 and \$.30 a kilowatt hour, and NV Energy is required to continue paying on these until they come to fruition. One problem they are facing is that companies can come in and buy the same renewable energy for \$.03 a kilowatt hour, which is one of the reasons why rates are the way they are. Also, alternative energy providers are not required to provide energy efficiency programs, net metering, or renewable energy.

MR. SANCHEZ also discussed the investments where NV Energy partnered with the City, which he believed was \$11 million for solar and energy efficiency. He noted that these were benefits that were only received by the City as a bundled customers. He also pointed out that the City is not charged for fuel. He understood the City's desire to stay 100% green, and they believe the NGR 2.0 process is a terrific opportunity for this.

MS. FOLLETTE affirmed for MAYOR GOODMAN that what was said was relatively concise with the facts. MS. FOLLETTE thought that MR. PERRIGO covered some of the issues regarding open solicitation enrollment, which includes a first-in-time, first-in-right approach to designate renewable allotments. She provided a more in-depth explanation as to the open enrollment process for interested parties, noting that the Notice of Enrollment window would be posted on NVEnergy.com on March 11, 2019, and the first enrollment window will open on March 18, 2019, at noon. The notice will include a link to the enrollment form, will solicit basic customer information, will be accepted on a first-come-first-serve basis, and the customer's email receipt time will indicate the customer's priority in the enrollment process. If a signed contract is not provided within 45 days, the subscription will be released, and the enrollment window will close once the available enrollment and resource pool is full.

MR. PERRIGO reiterated what was said by the representatives from NV Energy and Tenaska, which is that both options are going to save money and keep the City on the path of 100 percent renewable energy. He appreciated all of the comments, as NV Energy has been a great community partner in all of this. He said that ultimately, staff's responsibility is to deliver opportunities to the City that allows the City Council to carry on with their priorities in the most cost-effective manner as possible.

MAYOR GOODMAN felt there was never a question that having dialogue and gaining information was healthy. However, knowing that there will be a continuing dialogue, she was concerned about staff-hours since the employees who will be involved will not be doing other things during those hours. She was uncertain as to when MR. PERRIGO could provide an estimate, but asked that as he moves forward with this process, he provide this information, as well as the number of people who will be involved. Additionally, she wondered what the time commitment would be for the City Council members when they meet with the representatives from both sides.

CITY MANAGER SCOTT ADAMS noted that the City's expense growth rate is exceeding the revenue growth rate, which puts the City in a structural deficit as it looks forward over the next five to six years. This matter is one of those areas where the City has an opportunity to save a significant amount of money in the City's operating budget, which will be long-term energy savings that will assist in resolving the City's structural deficit. Therefore, he believed it was appropriate for the City to spend a great deal of staff time analyzing a potential opportunity.

MR. ADAMS confirmed for MAYOR GOODMAN that he was responding to this from his perspective as the City Manager and regardless of what individual opinions might be, continuing the dialogue could prove to be beneficial for long-term results. MR. ADAMS conveyed that he was very sympathetic as to the City's long-

standing relationship with NV Energy, and he acknowledged that regardless of the outcome, the City will remain as their customer. However, the City needs to go to the next step to better define the savings on either end, clarify this information, and bring it back to the City Council for an informed decision.

MAYOR GOODMAN said that in doing a little research, it was difficult for her because she did not know Tenaska. She wanted to be supportive of what MR. ADAMS was asking as she understood there was no harm in continuing a dialogue; however, NV Energy has already proven themselves, helped the City get to 100 percent renewable energy, and brought forward some proposals.

MR. ADAMS reiterated that the City is struggling to close a budget for 2020, as well as future budgets while maintaining the City's priority to public safety, as the City Council has indicated they want more Marshals in parks, more Corrections Officers in the jail, and more Firefighters in fire stations. Management wants to be able to accommodate this, but at this time, they want to be able to balance this with some of the other things they know the City Council desires, and this will allow them to create significant bottom-line savings.

MAYOR GOODMAN inquired as to the timeline, and MR. PERRIGO stated that he was uncertain as to the exact timeframe because of the PUCN. MAYOR GOODMAN noted that if this decision does not come in until June or July 2019, much of this collegial group will be gone. Therefore, she felt the City Council should make this determination. Additionally, based on the results of the pending election and the possibility that a new councilperson could be seated as soon as April 18, 2019, she did not feel this was in the best interest of this decision. MR. PERRIGO stated that staff would commit to bringing an item forward at the April 3, 2019, City Council meeting and provide an update.

MR. RODGERS affirmed for MAYOR GOODMAN that Energetics should be able to make more informed estimates. He did not anticipate the PUCN would have a full ruling by that time; however, he thought there might be more insights that would help to increase the confidence in the estimates for the City Council by that time.

MAYOR GOODMAN wondered, when staff makes their report, if they would only be looking at the financial specifics or if they would be taking into consideration some of the comments that were heard at this meeting. MR. PERRIGO pointed out that some of these other considerations were not within Energetics' scope of expertise. However, they have done much evaluation looking at potential risks in the future to energy, supply energy costs, markets, and things of this nature, as well as the cost shifting issue that people are talking about, and he affirmed that staff would provide more of this information in the City's analysis for the Councilmembers.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN added that staff had saved the City a significant amount of money by engaging in this type of futuristic thinking.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN requested more information be included in staff's analysis as to the savings, the surety on the part of the costs, as well as information on their past performances. She noted that companies sometimes do not meet what they convey, and when they come from out of state, they do not always have the same commitment to Las Vegas, which is a concern. MR. PERRIGO noted that staff would take the public input and put together a report that would provide more information on these points, as well as bring in more background and detailed information on their performance, both in-state and out-of-state.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY noted his support for Item 12, as he believed the City needed to apply for the NGR 2.0 program. MR. PERRIGO affirmed that if Item 12 was approved and the motion clarified that if City Council desired to participate in this program, staff would follow up on this direction.

As to Item 22, COUNCILMAN ANTHONY did not see a problem with Tenaska submitting something on April 3, 2019, so the City Council could see what they have to say. Additionally, he plans to share whatever Tenaska presents with NV Energy and get their feedback on Tenaska's proposal. He noted that he had not heard anything that would keep him from supporting Item 22, as he thought he had a fiscal responsibility to hear what Tenaska was proposing.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY motioned to approve Item 12, directing staff directing staff to move forward with negotiations with NV Energy, participate in the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada process, and to submit an application on March 18, 2019, to participate in the NGR 2.0 program.

COUNCILMAN CREAR requested a point of clarification as to what the motion states, for which COUNCILMAN ANTHONY provided.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY motioned to approve Item 22 directing staff to get with Tenaska to find out what they can do for the City of Las Vegas with respect to energy, obtain as much clarification as possible, and bring this back at the April 3, 2019, City Council meeting.

MR. PERRIGO asked for further clarification, noting that as written, Item 22 would allow staff to enter into the 704B process, which gets the City into the PUCN so staff can start working with them for answers. However, with Councilman ANTHONY'S motion, staff will continue refining the answers Tenaska provided through the RFP Process, but this would not give staff the benefit of the PUCN information.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY asked that Tenaska come and provide a PowerPoint Presentation on what they want to do for the City of Las Vegas, and provide details on how they plan to accomplish this. For clarification, MAYOR GOODMAN reread Item 22 for the record, noting that an approval would be non-binding. However, she understood that COUNCILMAN ANTHONY was asking for the negotiation and dialogue to continue and for Tenaska to present the City Council with a full presentation by the April 3, 2019, City Council meeting. COUNCILMAN ANTHONY affirmed that this was correct.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN said she did not want to get into negotiations yet, as she wanted to know what the best offer was that Tenaska could offer the City. MR. PERRIGO explained that staff has this information from the RFP; however, it takes action through the PUCN to finalize some of the fee amounts, the costs relative to changing out meters and these types of things. He noted that although staff could provide a presentation, some of the information is guarded under Trade Secrets. He thought that Tenaska would be reluctant to release very detailed pricing information until they have a final answer from the PUCN.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY thought that this could provide him some information as to whether or not he would like to move forward with Tenaska. The Councilman suggested that maybe the best solution would be to hold Item 22 in abeyance until the April 3, 2019, City Council meeting, allow them to provide a full presentation on the specifics of what they have to offer.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN thought the first half of Item 22 was acceptable, as her issue was with the latter half with the negotiation language, which she read for the record. She was uncertain what was meant by negotiation. MAYOR GOODMAN felt the City Council wanted more of a dialogue than negotiation.

ED O'NEAL, Purchasing and Contracts Manager, came forward to provide clarification on Item 22. He explained that as part of the NRS 704B process, the City must submit an application to Tenaska for exiting. This means staff will not have any final numbers until they hear back from the PUCN, which could include a ruling that will not allow the City to move forward with Tenaska. Item 22 allows staff to move forward with a Letter of Intent that says the City would negotiate, in good faith, a non-binding agreement to discuss some of the items that MR. PERRIGO addressed earlier, as this is a high-level, non-binding agreement to negotiate various aspects. He stated that staff is requesting that the City Council approve the agreement and the ability to negotiate with Tenaska.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN felt that if staff could include non-binding agreement language as part of the motion, this would be acceptable. MAYOR GOODMAN inquired if the non-binding language could be inserted into the motion to achieve what MR. ADAMS' was requesting. MR. ADAMS understood COUNCILMAN ANTHONY'S intent, noting that it appeared the City Council wanted clarity on both sides so that they could make an informed decision based on many factors. He suggested that both companies come back on 4/3/2019 to provide the City Council with a presentation on their best and final offer. He thought staff had fairly good clarity on the energy process from Tenaska through the RFP. However, staff was not certain as to what the City will save with NV Energy, and part of this was due to the NGR 2.0, which has yet to be approved by the PUCN. He thought both parties could be asked to provide a presentation with their best estimate, and the City could then utilize the energy consultant to help evaluate. Staff would then make an analysis and bring it before the City Council for a decision.

MAYOR GOODMAN suggested that inserting the words, non-binding dialogue, would be helpful.

MR. ADAMS felt that staff was very clear in communicating that approval of both of these items will simply give staff the ability to move forward with the process in a non-binding basis; then staff will come back to the City Council for a final decision that will be binding. MR. PERRIGO pointed out that the very first line of the draft Letter of Intent indicates that this will be a non-binding term.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JEFF DOROCAK stated that Item 22 is non-binding, as was pointed out by MR. O'NEAL, and there is no reason to worry about how the agenda item is worded. Further, approving this item will initiate the process of the 704B with the PUCN, as written.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN felt that Tenaska would be reluctant to let go of propriety information, as this was the specifics the City Council members were requesting. He thought the City Council should move on the language as the Item was written and noticed.

MR. HEINLE indicated that Tenaska could provide the exact pricing for a 20-year forecast, as these are hard numbers that will be in the contract. However, what they do not have is the exit fees that PUCN will be adding.

See Item 9 for related discussion.

Subsequent to hearing this Item, MAYOR GOODMAN recalled Item 10 and read VAR-75086 for the record, noting that this Item will be addressed at the March 20, 2019, City Council Meeting.

Motion by Stavros Anthony to Approve, directing staff to move forward with negotiations with NV Energy, participate in the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada process, and to submit an application on March 18, 2019, to participate in the NGR 2.0 program

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

CITY ATTORNEY - CONSENT

13. For possible action to approve settlement of Shelly Mandello v. City of Las Vegas and Philip R. Fernandez, Case No. A-16-738217-C in the Eighth Judicial District Court (\$100,000 - Tort Liability Fund) - All Wards

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

14. For possible action to approve a business impact statement regarding a proposed ordinance to amend various provisions of LVMC Titles 6 and 19 to establish the licensing and land-use means and limitations by which brew pubs and ancillary brew pubs may sell malt beverages for off-premise consumption. (This item is related to Bill No. 2019-10, which is located later on this agenda under New Bills)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

15. For possible action to approve a business impact statement regarding a proposed ordinance to amend various provisions of LVMC Title 6 and Title 19 to establish a new alcoholic beverage licensing category designated "tavern-restricted," to be available only along a limited segment of West Sahara Avenue, and to establish licensing, land-use and gaming regulations and restrictions pertaining thereto. (This item is related to Bill No. 2019-11, which is located later on this agenda under New Bills)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

COMMUNITY SERVICES - CONSENT

16. For possible action to approve the utilization of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding for the acquisition of abandoned properties and vacant lots in the historic West Las Vegas community for future economic and housing development in West Las Vegas (Not-to-Exceed \$500,000) - Ward 5 (Crear)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

FINANCE - PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS - CONSENT

17. For possible action to approve award of Modification No. 1 to Contract No. 140114-TB, Water Pollution Control Facility and Remote Sites SCADA Rewrite - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: WUNDERLICH MALEC ENGINEERING, INC. (Not-to-Exceed \$1,200,000 - Sanitation Enterprise Fund) - All Wards

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

18. For possible action to approve award of Contract No. 17.46128-JH-B, Construction Manager at Risk Agreement for Downtown Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements - Third Street Phase 2 Charleston to Bonneville and the construction conflicts and contingency reserve - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORP. (\$19,636,205 - Road and Flood Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

19. For possible action to approve award of Contract No. 190151-DD, Prime Design Services Contract for Water Pollution Control Facility located at 6005 East Vegas Valley Drive - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to; STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES, INC. (\$731,300 - Sanitation Enterprise Fund) - Clark County

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

20. For possible action to approve award of Bid No. 18.65835-DD, Teton Trails Lacrosse Field Addition, located at Bradley Road and Grand Teton Drive, to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder and the construction conflicts and contingency reserve - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: JAMES F. THOMSON JR. dba AMERICAN SOUTHWEST ELECTRIC (\$1,489,749 - Parks and Leisure Activities Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 6 (Fiore)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

21. For possible action to approve award of Amendment No. 1 to Contract No. 180167-JH, Prime Design Services Contract for Seventh Street - Bridger Avenue to Stewart Avenue - Department of Public Works - Award

recommended to: WESTWOOD PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, INC. dba SLATER HANIFAN GROUP (\$137,000 - Sanitation Enterprise Fund) - Wards 3 and 5 (Coffin and Crear)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

22. For possible action to approve a Letter of Intent for 190017-JH, Energy Provider Services to negotiate an agreement for the purchase of energy pursuant to a retail sales agreement - TENASKA POWER SERVICES CO. (Tenaska); in addition, authorize the City Manager to take necessary actions required under Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 704B to negotiate the purchase of energy from Tenaska - All Wards

Minutes:

See Items 9 and 12 for related discussion.

Motion by Stavros Anthony to Approve non-binding negotiation and dialogue with Tenaska to present the City Council with a full presentation at the April 3, 2019, City Council meeting

Passed For: 5; Against: 1; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony; Against-Bob Coffin

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE - CONSENT

23. For possible action to approve a License Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for global positioning satellite equipment (GPS) located at 4747 North Rainbow Boulevard commonly referred to as Fire Station 9 - Ward 4 (Anthony)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

24. For possible action to approve Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) Exclusive Easements from the City of Las Vegas to LVVWD to service the Symphony Park Garage Project located on the northeast corner of Robin Leach Lane and Grand Central Parkway and at the intersection of Clark Avenue and City Parkway, APN's 139-34-211-004 and 139-33-610-030 - Ward 5 (Crear)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

PARKS AND RECREATION - CONSENT

25. For possible action to approve an agreement between Health Plan of Nevada Senior Dimensions and the City of Las Vegas to continue offering incentives to members to exercise and maintain a healthy lifestyle - All Wards

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

PLANNING - BUSINESS LICENSING - CONSENT

26. For possible action to approve a Tavern License for ADVANCE GAMING SYSTEMS LLC dba AVA'S 2 at 1243 East Sahara Avenue - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

27. For possible action to approve a Restricted Gaming License for ADVANCE GAMING SYSTEMS LLC dba AVA'S 2 at 1243 East Sahara Avenue - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

28. For possible action to approve a Temporary Alcoholic Beverage Caterer License for LOCAL808EVENTS, LLC dba LOCAL808EVENTS at 400 South 4th Street, Suite #500 - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

29. For possible action to approve a Restaurant with Alcohol License for a Change of Ownership FROM: CSI: ADVANCED SCREENING SERVICES, LLC TO: SAPPORO GROUP NEVADA, INC. dba SAPPORO JAPANESE STEAKHOUSE & REVOLVING SUSHI at 5760 Centennial Center Boulevard, Suite #110 [Freddy Hwang, President, Secretary, Treasurer, Director, Shareholder] - Ward 6 (Fiore)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

30. For possible action to approve a Non-Restricted Gaming License for WILLIAM HILL NEVADA I dba WILLIAM HILL RACE & SPORTS BOOK db at STRATOSPHERE CASINO at 2000 South Las Vegas Boulevard - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

31. For possible action to approve a Non-Restricted Gaming License for WILLIAM HILL NEVADA I dba WILLIAM HILL RACE & SPORTS BOOK db at ARIZONA CHARLIE'S at 740 South Decatur Boulevard - Ward 1 (Tarkanian)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

32. For possible action to approve a Two-Day Opening for a Non-Restricted Gaming License for FIFTH STREET GAMING LLC dba FIFTH STREET GAMING LLC db at GOLD SPIKE HOTEL at 217 North Las Vegas Boulevard - Ward 5 (Crear)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

PUBLIC WORKS - CONSENT

33. For possible action to approve the ratification of Cooperative Agreement NM305-18-816 between the City of Las Vegas and the State of Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) for Pedestrian Safety Improvements along Eastern Avenue (at no cost to the City) - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

34. For possible action to approve authorizing staff to initiate a Quiet Title action for real property for the Wellness Way Phase II project located east of Shadow Lane on the north side of Wellness Way, APN 139-33-402-005 (Not-to-Exceed \$75,000 - Facilities Capital Project Fund [CPF]) - Ward 1 (Tarkanian)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

YOUTH DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL INNOVATION - CONSENT

35. For possible action to approve a grant award from AmeriCorps VISTAS to the City of Las Vegas (City) in the amount of \$26,386.09, which will be matched with City funding in the amount of \$12,311 to support various focus areas of City programming (General Fund) - All Wards

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

RESOLUTIONS - CONSENT

36. R-3-2019 - For possible action to approve a Resolution to establish the Veterans Services Initiative under the Office of Community Services (OCS), whereby the City will partner with other entities serving military veterans, service members and their families throughout Las Vegas - All Wards

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

37. R-4-2019 - For possible action to approve a Resolution concerning City of Las Vegas, Nevada, Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance FY2020); determining the cost to be assessed and authorizing, ordering and directing the City Engineer to prepare the Final Assessment Roll and providing the effective date hereof - Ward 1 (Tarkanian)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

38. R-5-2019 - For possible action to approve a Resolution concerning City of Las Vegas, Nevada, Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance FY2020); fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the Final Assessment Roll for the District will be heard; providing for the manner of giving notice of the Hearing on the Final Assessment Roll; prescribing other details in connection therewith; ratifying all action taken consistent with the provisions hereof; and providing the effective date hereof - Ward 1 (Tarkanian)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

39. R-6-2019 - For possible action to approve a Resolution concerning City of Las Vegas, Nevada, Special Improvement District No. 1516 - Fremont Street Maintenance District (Las Vegas Boulevard to 8th Street); determining the cost to be assessed and authorizing, ordering and directing the City Engineer to prepare the Final Assessment Roll for FY2020 and providing the effective date hereof - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

40. R-7-2019 - For possible action to approve a Resolution concerning City of Las Vegas, Nevada, Special Improvement District No. 1516 - Fremont Street Maintenance District FY2020 (Las Vegas Boulevard to 8th Street); fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the Final Assessment Roll for the District will be heard; providing for the manner of giving notice of the Hearing on the Final Assessment Roll; prescribing other details in connection therewith; ratifying all action taken consistent with the provisions hereof; and providing the effective date hereof - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the Consent Agenda, except Item(s) 12 and 22

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

ADMINISTRATIVE - DISCUSSION

41. Report by City Manager staff and discussion for possible action regarding neighborhood revitalization and empowerment programming accomplishments and outreach goals for 2019 - All Wards

Minutes:

KAREN DUDDLESTON, Chief Community Services Officer, talked about the Strategic Plan that was adopted by the City Council for neighborhood preservation. She explained that when staff met with the City Council members about a year ago, they focused on at-risk neighborhoods and neighborhoods in need of revitalization as a priority. At that time, the City Council members identified focus areas, which included 1) bringing resources across the City's various departments together to be coordinated efforts in neighborhoods, 2) updating the information and contacts from those associations and neighborhood organizations, 3) providing online tools to better help neighborhoods engage with the City and provide resources to them, 4) a concentration on neighborhood beautification, connectivity, and outreach, and 5) focusing on individualized programs based on the feedback received from the populations that are established around City recreation and cultural facilities. MS. DUDDLESTON noted that presently, staff was back to provide an update and discuss their proposed focus for the next year.

JERRY WALKER, Operations and Maintenance Director, referenced the PowerPoint Presentation, a copy of which was submitted as backup, and discussed his teams accomplishments and future projects, which included: 1) completed and up-coming wall painting projects; 2) a sidewalk infill project on Washington Avenue; 3) sidewalk replacement panels and improvements on Arville Street; 4) median island enhancement projects on La Madre Trail Head, Buffalo Drive, Upland Boulevard and Westcliff Drive, and 5) enhancements to Las Vegas Boulevard planters, and Lorenzi Park fence installation and landscape improvements. MR. WALKER also presented photos of these various projects.

VICKI OZUNA, Code Enforcement Section Manager, said that in February 2018, Code Enforcement did a blight risk neighborhood sweep on all of the Community Development Block Grant areas. Out of 56,000 homes, Code Enforcement determined that 4,100 needed to be reviewed. Within Ward's 1, 3 and 5, they identified 14

areas that required sweep activity due to high levels of blight. As of this time, they have conducted 10 out of the 14 sweeps and hope to finish the remaining four before July 2019. Additionally, 2,592 single-family residences were evaluated, and Code Enforcement issued notices to property owners for a variety of violations to improve the property and housing standards for the area. Code Enforcement also instituted a new program called the Winter Program for dangerous buildings, which are open and accessible houses or buildings. If there is any indication that homeless activity or residential living is happening in these types of homes or buildings, Code Enforcement works to board and secure them within 30 days, as they want to ensure the owners are getting due process. This effort is being done to try and reduce the number of fires within these neighborhoods. MS. OZUNA presented photos of various projects they have completed.

MAGGIE PLASTER, Acting Parks and Recreation Director, said their department has 74 computers in eight labs across the city for people who need Internet access, and they also provide classes on computer basics and the Internet, some of which are offered in Spanish.

MS. PLASTER also noted that Parks and Recreation entered into a new partnership with the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA), and they plan to utilize some Mayor's Fund dollars for youth sports for the youth living in HUD housing. They hope to fund 150 participants over the next 12 months. Parks and Recreation will also be reviving the Mobile Rec program, which brings recreational programming directly to the City parks in all wards. It will be offered to all ages and be held on the second Friday and Saturday of the month, as well as the third Saturday at Floyd Lamb Park Farmer's Market.

MS. PLASTER discussed the Parks and Recreation Department's accomplishments through their community partnerships, such as the Volunteer Income Tax Assistance Program, the Clark County Library District partnership to provide English as a Second Language (ESL) and adult literacy classes, as well as citizenship classes at the Stupak and East Las Vegas Community Centers. Their department also holds a few significant events, such as the Stupak Christmas Jubilee, where they provided bikes and gifts to 500 children through the help of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's Downtown Area Command, City Marshals, the Las Vegas Rotary Club, Toys for Tots, Wyndham Hotel and Casino, Three Square, and the Foothill High School Girls Basketball Team. At the Doolittle Community Center, they hold National Ask a Lawyer Day. Last year they served 1,000 people, and 500 of those individuals made appointments to have their warrants cleared. They were able to accomplish this event through the help of Clark County, Boyd School of Law, and Legal Aid of Southern Nevada.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked, as to the Mayor's Fund dollars for youth sports, if staff could follow up with pictures to show how their department utilizes the funding. DR. LISA MORRIS HIBBLER, Youth Development and Social Innovation Director, affirmed that staff follows up with all of the donors to the Mayor's Fund, and they are provided specific information as to the impact their contributions have made. MS. PLASTER added that she has already told the Municipal Sports Unit (MSU) staff to be ready to highlight successes with this program.

DR. MORRIS HIBBLER acknowledged that there has been a lot of discussion on connectivity. She shared one statistic from a recent study of Southern Nevada which showed that more than 40 percent of households with less than \$20,000 in annual income do not have Internet subscriptions compared to eight percent of the households making \$75,000 or more. She noted that there have been discussions about how the workforce is changing and that the Internet is no longer a luxury, as it is needed to access things like medical and economic necessities. She reported that in addition to the City's initiative to provide additional Internet access by partnering with the Clark County School District, the Boys and Girls Club of Southern Nevada, and the Clark County Library District, there is Las Vegas Connected, which is the City's initiative to bridging the Digital Divide and the Mayor's Fund for Las Vegas LIFE (Livability, Innovation, Future, Education).

DR. MORRIS HIBBLER stated that in December 2018, their department trained 80 residents in the Las Vegas Housing Authority. These individuals received tablets through the help of ConnectHomeUSA, T-Mobile, and the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority. Their goal for this initiative is to provide 300 residents with Internet access and devices in the home.

DR. MORRIS HIBBLER also discussed how the City Council made Workforce Development a priority, as having a skilled workforce is critical in terms of business development and retention. Under Vegas Works, they have been looking at demand employment sectors for building and trades, technology, and healthcare, and targeting populations for the homeless, veterans, high poverty communities, and also the youth. Their first initiative is Ward 5 Works where the City will be serving as a workforce intermediary, as well as deploying

Community Navigators to serve as a bridge and connect people who need work with opportunities.

DR. MORRIS HIBBLER noting that the Strong Start Go Mobile Pre-K program was launched in January 2019, explained they are now taking early childhood education out into the community in both East and West Las Vegas. She pointed out that the youth continue to make a significant difference in the community, and the City Council has provided them a platform to do so through The Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP). She announced that the YNAPP project showcase will be held on May 17, 2019, in the NOW Café, and she shared a few pictures of their community projects.

KATHI THOMAS-GIBSON, Community Services Director, discussed the updates on groundwork that has been going into the neighborhoods. Referencing Slide 14 of the PowerPoint Presentation, she noted that for the past couple of years, the Neighborhood Partnership Fund (NPF) has been doing projects in all wards. She pointed out that with the increase of allocated funding for this program, there is greater funding in the community across all wards. These communities are driving the projects that are enhancing their quality of life through visual enhancements, as well as learning to come together as neighbors and strengthen their community. MS. THOMAS-GIBSON displayed photos of some of the NPF revitalization projects.

MS. THOMAS-GIBSON also noted that they have been working diligently to update the databases for all of their Neighborhood Associations (NAs) and Homeowners Associations (HOAs) in every ward. She stated that they now have a good list of the active associations, and they will continue to engage with them. She believes they have contact information for about 189 them; however, they have not responded back to staff; staff plans to work on this portion of the list for the rest of the year. In addition to this, they have re-launched Neighborhood College and will be holding community workshops, roundtables, and listening sessions. This Spring, they plan to engage volunteers with Neighborhood Clean-ups out in neighborhoods.

MS. THOMAS-GIBSON said they felt it was important for defunct NAs to understand what their requirements are, as well as what the incentives would be. As a result, they developed a handbook in both hard and digital format. Currently, they are in the process of updating it, and staff plans to send it out a few times during the year, as staff will continue to update the handbook so that it is a useful tool.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY asked what their plan was for keeping NAs engaged and to show them that the City wants to help them. MS. THOMAS-GIBSON reported that the Neighborhood College was one method, as it talks about how to engage with the City, stay active with their NA, and what resources are commonly available. Additionally, they also receive specific requests that are unique to each ward, which is where the workshops will come in, as they will help to address important issues for individual wards. She affirmed for COUNCILMAN ANTHONY that staff identifies these types of requests at community meetings, as the leaders within these NAs are in direct contact with staff.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN wondered if these were just NAs or if part of them were HOAs. MS. THOMAS-GIBSON said that some of them were HOAs, but the mature neighborhoods have NAs. However, for community engagement, they are treated the same. She added that they use the term NA interchangeably with HOA, and that staff provides technical assistance for NAs to help them with their internal decision-making.

COUNCILWOMAN FIORE noted that Ward 6 has 232 HOAs, and they would like to assist staff with engagement. The Councilwoman said one of the things she found in dealing with them is that a lot of the HOAs have a management company, and the management company may oversee several of the HOAs in their ward. This causes a breakdown in communication since information flows through the management company and does not always get back to the HOA presidents. For these reasons, she deals with her constituents and the presidents of the HOAs. She expressed that she was happy to share information, but wanted to ensure that staff was reaching all of the HOAs in the Ward 6 area.

KATIE SEARS, Office of Administrative Services Senior Management Analyst, reported that last year, the City Manager's Office assigned her and the Office of Administrative Services to facilitate the development of neighborhood services, outreach, and programming. This past year, staff realized there were some resource needs in order to assist staff in effectively serving all six wards consistently, as well as provide the best outreach that applies to each ward, specifically.

MS. SEARS acknowledged the Information Technology (IT) staff members who helped to develop two projects this past year. These programs included a Neighborhood Association Database and the Data Location

Intelligence Tool (DLIT).

The Neighborhood Association Database will be an essential tool that will be utilized by the Department of Community Services to reach every registered organization and association in the City.

The DLIT came from a key request from ward staff, which was to develop a neighborhood data tool that can be used in responding to constituent requests and inquiries on a daily basis. The IT team trained each individual ward office as it was launched, and gathered feedback to enhance the tool moving forward. Staff's goal is to make it as user-friendly as possible, as it will eventually assist other departments through outreach. For 2019, they are developing a neighborhood services section of the GoVegas Mobile app that will best serve residents, and staff will be launching a customer relations management tool that will assist in the case management and history of constituent needs.

MS. SEARS displayed a map, noting that this was a glance of what the NA Database looked like, as it identifies all of the locations for registered associations. She also displayed a screenshot of the DLIT tool, which is located on Slide 20, that staff is currently utilizing. She pointed out that the sidebar on this slide was a list of the most reoccurring questions, calls, and issues that exist throughout all wards, and that additional topics may be added in the future.

MS. SEARS stated that Administrative Services has also worked closely with Community Services, and through this team, they have developed a Neighborhood College program and handbook. This team is facilitating the coordination across the city that will involve neighborhood outreach with all departments. Referencing slide 22, she indicated what the Neighborhood College plan looks like, which will include three separate sessions and begins April 2019. These community services modules have been identified as priorities in which the City needs to educate the residents.

MS. SEARS stated that as these ideas have been discussed and developed, staff realized that they need to align resources and staff time. In that, they have produced a neighborhood engagement calendar that will list all of the events occurring throughout the year, which will be updated quarterly.

In summation, MS. DUDDLESTON said that staff will be working to get coordination within the wards, refining these programs as they move forward, and making adjustments to better meet the needs of individual neighborhoods, as this is what they believe they have heard from the City Council.

PLANNING - BUSINESS LICENSING - DISCUSSION

42. Discussion for possible action regarding the Reinstatement of the Non-Operational Non-Profit Club General On-Sale License for AMERICAN LEGION POST #10, INC. dba AMERICAN LEGION POST #10, INC. at 1905 "H" Street - Ward 5 (Crear)

Minutes:

MARY McELHONE, Deputy Planning Director, reported that this location originally operated as VFW Post 10057, which started in 1968. They held a nonprofit club liquor license until May 2008, but the VFW Post lost its club Charter when the VFW State Commander revoked the club Charter due to public safety concerns and allegations of missing money. The club reopened as American Legion Post #10 on October 29, 2012, without a liquor license, and they have been operating since that time without any major concerns.

MS. McELHONE submitted a copy of the License Conditions for American Legion Post 10 for the record, noting that American Legion Post #10 has requested to reinstate their nonprofit liquor license and has agreed to all of the conditions being placed on their temporary license; MS. McELHONE then read conditions 1-20, for the record.

MAYOR GOODMAN wondered if there was a policy about bringing liquor in and if one existed that stated no liquor should leave the premises. MS. McELHONE clarified that these are already part of the liquor license and not something staff needs to put as a conditional license since this is not an off-sale liquor license.

GRADY HAYES, Director of Operations at American Legion Post #10, spoke on behalf of the applicant. He thanked the Planning Department for giving them a guideline and believed that they had exceeded the majority of these conditions. He clarified that they are not trying to be a bar, lounge, or nightclub, as they are a service organization who is in the business of helping the underserved veteran community in their area. He discussed

many of the actions they have already taken, which included meeting with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD), providing them with a copy of their Safety Plan and following their suggestions, creating a mission and vision plan, enlisting a community outreach oversight committee, and enhancing the landscaping.

COUNCILMAN CREAR said that in dealing with their organization, they have been extremely professional with all of the City departments who have been involved.

NOTE: The video does not reflect the vote because a verbal vote was taken.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

43. Discussion for possible action to approve a Tavern-Limited License for KNIGHT CLUB, LLC dba THERAPY at 518 Fremont Street [Christopher Morganelli, Managing Member] - Ward 3 (Coffin)

Minutes:

MARY McELHONE, Deputy Planning Director, stated that this is a Tavern-Limited Night Club, which is operating on East Fremont Street, and has been under an Administrative Temporary License for a Tavern-Limited Liquor License since December 19, 2018. It has an all-ages venue until 11:30 P.M., and then it becomes a 21-and-over establishment for nightclub activity. It has 88 dining seats and serves urban American cuisine.

MS. McELHONE noted that recently, a background check came back with an error of interest due to the applicant being arrested and trespassed at another club-type venue as a guest as recently as 2007. As a result of some of those concerns, staff is recommending approval of a six-month Temporary License with the authority of the Director or Designee to issue a second six-month Temporary License, and the authority of the Director or Designee to consider a Permanent Tavern Limited License at the end of the second six-month Temporary License, if the licensee is in compliance and there are no material concerns.

ATTORNEY JAY BROWN, on behalf of Therapy and CHRISTOPHER MORGANELLI, asked the City Council to follow staff's recommendation, noting that they are in agreement with all conditions.

Motion by Bob Coffin to Approve, subject to conditions as read for the record

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 1; Excused: 0

For-Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony; Did not Vote-Cedric Crear

RESOLUTIONS - DISCUSSION

44. R-8-2019 - Discussion for possible action regarding a Resolution with respect to city residents who are Federal employees affected by Federal Government shutdowns - All Wards

Minutes:

TED OLIVAS, Chief of Staff, stated that this Resolution would allow the City to create a program to assist city residents who are also Federal Employees that may be affected by a Federal Government shutdown. He discussed the recent partial Federal Government shutdown that impacted some departments and agencies, noting that this Resolution would authorize the City Manager to establish a program that would defer residential sewer charges, as well as either defer or waive certain fees related to the City's recreational and Safe Key programs. Staff thought this would be a good policy for the future, and if approved, will develop a policy as to how this program would work.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN shared that around half of the people who were laid off from the recent Federal Government shutdown were not Federal Employees but employees of contractors who work for the Federal Government.

Motion by Bob Coffin to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS - DISCUSSION

45. Discussion for possible action regarding the reappointment of Richard Becker and the appointment of nominee Jesus Jimenez to the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board

Motion by Carolyn Goodman to Approve the appointment of Richard Becker

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

Motion by Bob Coffin to Approve the appointment of Jesus Jimenez

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE REPORT - DISCUSSION

BILLS ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING

46. Bill No. 2019-3 - For possible action - Annexation No. ANX-74811 - Property location: generally located at the southeast corner of Jensen Street and Centennial Parkway; Petitioned by: Ferrario Family Trust, Acreage: 2.55 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilwoman Michele Fiore

Minutes:

Second reading and bill adopted as introduced as Ordinance No. 6676

Motion by Stavros Antony to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

47. Bill No. 2019-4 - For possible action - Annexation No. ANX-75175 - Property location: generally located north of Ann Road, approximately 390 feet west of its intersection with Shaumber Road; Petitioned by: Saman Ebrahimi, Acreage: 5.16 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilwoman Michele Fiore

Minutes:

Second reading and bill adopted as introduced as Ordinance No. 6677

Motion by Stavros Anthony to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

BILLS ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING

There is no public comment on these items and no action will be taken by the Council at this meeting, except those items which may be stricken or tabled. Public testimony takes place at the Recommending Committee Meeting held for that purpose.

48. Bill No. 2018-61 - Amends LVMC Titles 6 and 19 to adopt provisions establishing a business license category and land use regulations for social use venues (marijuana), together with accompanying requirements and limitations. Sponsored by: Councilman Bob Coffin

Minutes:
Recommendation noted.

3/20/2019

49. Bill No. 2019-5 - Amends LVMC 2.60.070 to adopt the most recent versions of the Nevada Local Government Records Management Program Manual and related Local Government Records Retention Schedules.
Proposed by: LuAnn D, Holmes, City Clerk

Minutes:
Recommendation noted.

3/20/2019

NEW BILLS

There is no public comment on these items and no action will be taken by the Council at this meeting, except those items which may be stricken or tabled. Public testimony takes place at the Recommending Committee Meeting held for that purpose.

50. Bill No. 2019-6 - Amends the Land Use Tables contained in LVMC 19.12.010 to provide that the use "Crop Production" is allowed in the C-1 and C-2 Zoning Districts as a conditional use. Sponsored by: Councilman Steven G. Seroka

Minutes:
First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS ANTHONY, COFFIN and FIORE

3/18/2019 Recommending Committee
3/20/2019 City Council

51. Bill No. 2019-7 - Amends LVMC 19.06.060 to reduce the minimum lot size for development within the R-E Zoning District from twenty thousand square feet to eighteen thousand square feet. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Michele Fiore

Minutes:
First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS ANTHONY, COFFIN and FIORE

3/18/2019 Recommending Committee
3/20/2019 City Council

52. Bill No. 2019-8 - Amends the Interim Downtown Las Vegas Development Standards set forth in Appendix F of the Unified Development Code (Title 19) to adopt specific wall and fence standards for Area 2 of the Downtown Las Vegas Overlay District. Sponsored by: Councilman Bob Coffin (by request of staff)

Minutes:
First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS ANTHONY, COFFIN and FIORE

3/18/2019 Recommending Committee
3/20/2019 City Council

53. Bill No. 2019-9 - Amends LVMC 19.06.120 to remove the building height limitation generally applicable to main buildings in the R-4 Zoning District. Sponsored by: Mayor Carolyn G. Goodman

Minutes:
First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS ANTHONY, COFFIN and FIORE

3/18/2019 Recommending Committee
3/20/2019 City Council

54. Bill No. 2019-10 - Amends various provisions of LVMC Titles 6 and 19 to establish the licensing and land-use means and limitations by which brew pubs and ancillary brew pubs may sell malt beverages for off-premise consumption. Sponsored by: Councilman Bob Coffin

Minutes:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS ANTHONY, COFFIN and FIORE

3/18/2019 Recommending Committee

3/20/2019 City Council

55. Bill No. 2019-11 - Amends various provisions of LVMC Title 6 and Title 19 to establish a new alcoholic beverage licensing category designated “tavern-restricted,” to be available only along a limited segment of West Sahara Avenue, and to establish licensing, land-use and gaming regulations and restrictions pertaining thereto. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lois Tarkanian

Minutes:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS ANTHONY, COFFIN and FIORE

3/18/2019 Recommending Committee

3/20/2019 City Council

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 11 A.M. - 56 THROUGH 98

BUSINESS ITEMS - 11 A.M. Session

56. Any items from the 11 A.M. session that the Council, staff and /or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time.

Minutes:

NATHAN TAYLOR clarified that he was requesting a 30 Day abeyance on Item 66 and not for Item 56, as read.

See Item 57 for related discussion and the City Council Addendum for March 6, 2019, for related backup.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Hold in Abeyance Item 66 and City Council Addendum Items 1 and 2 to 4/3/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

HEARINGS - DISCUSSION

57. Public hearing and discussion for possible action regarding the adoption of Clark County Regional Flood Control District’s Master Plan Update for the Las Vegas Valley as an Amendment to the City’s Flood Control Master Plan - All Wards

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ALLEN PAVELKA, City Engineer, provided a report on the Flood Control Master Plan. He stated that the updated master plan was recently approved by the Clark County Regional Flood Control District (CCRFCD), and staff is recommending approval and adoption of the plan, with the amendments. MR. PAVELKA noted that the CCRFCD also hired Atkins Global (Atkins) to do the revisions, and MATT WILKENSON, Atkins Project Manager, was present to discuss their process and recommendations.

MR. WILKENSON noted that according to the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), this Master Plan is required to be updated every five years. A comprehensive update was completed in 2008, and the last update was performed in 2013. The current revisions were not comprehensive, as it involved only the cost estimates.

Referencing a PowerPoint presentation, which was submitted as backup, he noted that the purpose of the update to the Master Plan is to add new data, assess progress, and recommend changes. Over the years, the Las Vegas Valley has developed rather quickly, and changes need to be incorporated into the Master Plan. In doing so, they look at future land use and try to anticipate where development will happen, as well as the density for that development within the Master Plan. To do this, they gather all of their data together and perform hydrology, which he explained was basically running models with the data that tell them the runoff amounts and where the flooding may impact the development and parts of the city. The next steps are to perform master plan facility planning, estimate the costs of these facilities, and prepare a report.

He explained that the CCRFCD has invested many years in their geographic information systems (GIS), so Atkins has used this GIS information as a basis for all of their master planning efforts, which has been an innovative approach for master planning, as they have been able to use it in every aspect of the plan. Additionally, they have stored this data in the cloud so that multiple people can access it at the same time, which allows them to be more efficient and effective in their efforts. They have also developed tools that will quickly and accurately size facilities and determine cost estimates.

MR. WILKENSON noted that all of the innovative tools they have developed for the CCRFCD have been made available to the engineering community, as well as City staff. Referencing Slide 8, he pointed out that the color green represents the facilities that are in good shape, yellow indicates that they need further evaluation, and all of the others need to be improved.

He explained that the CCRFCD wanted to check how the runoff models compared to Mother Nature, so they looked at real gage data and radar rainfall data. By plugging this information into the models, they were able to compare this information to the depths of five different detention basins for several past storms. As a result, they were able to confirm that the modeling approach they are using is consistent with nature throughout city developments and master plan areas. This process is what they call verification analysis.

MR. WILKENSON explained that the total plan includes approximately 800 miles of storm drains and channels, and 110 detention basins. Some of these are built, and some are proposed to be built. The total plan is \$7.5 billion, with \$4.5 billion existing and another \$3 billion left to construct throughout Las Vegas. Since the CCRFCD funds all of this through the quarter-cent sales tax, no funding is being requested.

He discussed the progress made throughout Las Vegas since 2013, which included 55 miles of storm drains and channels that have been added with six detention basins for a value of \$500 million. Within the city since 2013, 18.5 miles of storm drains and channels have been built or added to the Master Plan with three detention basins, which has an estimated value of \$170 million. The total value of the existing flood control facilities in the city is about \$1.4 billion with another \$1.1 billion left to build within the city's portion of the Master Plan.

MR. WILKENSON reported that currently, the City has three active construction projects, seven which are under design with another 10 new design projects coming within the next five years.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN commented that because she has been on the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC), she has been able to learn more about what they have been doing to help. She felt that what they have been able to accomplish for the City has been excellent.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN commented that this was only the second time during his time in office that the City Council discussed the formal plan, and he thought it needed to be done more often than what is mandated by the NRS.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Subsequent to hearing this item, MAYOR GOODMAN announced that there was a correction for Item 66, as she understood that LAURA JULIUS was present for that item. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT clarified that under Item 56, COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN read Item 66, which was a typographical error. MR. SCOTT then read the correct information for Item 66 for SUP-75150, noting that this item was held in abeyance to the April 3, 2019, City Council meeting.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

PLANNING

The items listed below, where appropriate, have been reviewed by the various City departments relative to the requirements for storm drainage and flood control, connection to sanitary sewer, traffic circulation, and Building and Fire regulations. Their comments and/or requirements have been incorporated into the action.

PLANNING - ONE MOTION/ONE VOTE

The following are items that may be considered in one motion/one vote. They are considered to routine non-public and public hearing items. All public hearing and non-public hearing items will be opened at one time. Any person representing an application or a member of the public or a member of the City Council not in agreement with the conditions and all standard conditions for the application recommended by staff, should request to have that item removed from this part of the agenda.

58. GPA-75207 - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: SUMMIT HOMES OF NEVADA, LLC - OWNER: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - For possible action on a request for a General Plan Amendment FROM: PCD (PLANNED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT) TO: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) on an 11,416 square-foot portion of 1.25 acres located 130 feet north of Mont Brule Court and Alpine Ridge Way (APN 126-24-401-023), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75172]. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open for Items 58-64. With no one present to speak, she declared the Public Hearings closed.

See Items 59-61 for related backup.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that she would be abstaining from voting on Item 64, as it relates to Medical Marijuana, and one of her sons is involved in that industry. With respect to Item 63, COUNCILMAN CREAR disclosed that he is the owner of a billboard company; however, he did not believe there was any conflict with this organization, or that he had any competition with them. Therefore, he would be voting on the item.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the One Motion One Vote Agenda subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

59. ZON-75208 - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-75207 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: SUMMIT HOMES OF NEVADA, LLC - OWNER: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - For possible action on a request for a Rezoning FROM: PD (PLANNED DEVELOPMENT) TO: R-SL (RESIDENTIAL SMALL LOT) on an 11,416 square-foot portion of 1.25 acres located 130 feet north of Mont Brule Court and Alpine Ridge Way (APN 126-24-401-023), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75172]. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 58 for related discussion and Items 58-61 for related backup.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the One Motion One Vote Agenda subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

60. VAR-75209 - VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-75207 AND ZON-75208 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: SUMMIT HOMES OF NEVADA, LLC - OWNER: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - For possible action on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A FIVE-FOOT REAR YARD SETBACK WHERE 15 FEET IS REQUIRED AND A SIX-FOOT TALL SOLID SCREEN WALL IN THE FRONT YARD SETBACK AREA WHERE A MAXIMUM OF FIVE FEET WITH A TWO-FOOT SOLID BASE IS ALLOWED on an 11,416 square-foot portion of 1.25 acres located 130 feet north of Mont Brule Court and Alpine Ridge Way (APN 126-24-401-023), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75172]. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 58 for related discussion and Items 58-61 for related backup.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the One Motion One Vote Agenda subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

61. VAR-75210 - VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-75207, ZON-75208 AND VAR-75209 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: SUMMIT HOMES OF NEVADA, LLC - OWNER: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - For possible action on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A CUL-DE-SAC DESIGN WHICH DOES NOT MEET TITLE 19.04.100 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS on an 11,416 square-foot portion of 1.25 acres located 130 feet north of Mont Brule Court and Alpine Ridge Way (APN 126-24-401-023), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75172]. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 58 for related discussion and Items 58-61 for related backup.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the One Motion One Vote Agenda subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

62. EOT-75603 - EXTENSION OF TIME - NONCONFORMING - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: B & G EQUITIES, LLC - OWNER: JL & W, LLC - For possible action on a request for an Extension of Time FOR A NONCONFORMING LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (TAVERN) USE at 2425 North Rainbow Boulevard (APN 138-15-801-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear). Staff recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 58 for related discussion.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the One Motion One Vote Agenda subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

63. RQR-74229 - REQUIRED REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: LAURICH PROPERTIES, INC. - OWNER: RESTAURANT ROW, LLC - For possible action on a Required Review of an approved Variance (V-0040-97), WHICH ALLOWED A 61-FOOT TALL, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT TRIPLE-FACED OFF-PREMISE SIGN WHERE A 55-FOOT TALL DOUBLE-FACED SIGN IS ALLOWED at 1991 North Rainbow Boulevard (APN 138-22-713-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75580]. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 58 for related discussion.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the One Motion One Vote Agenda subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

64. ROC-75707 - REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: SAMANTHA, INC. - OWNER: I RENT B & E, LLC - For possible action on a request for Review of Conditions of an approved Special Use Permit (SUP-54969) TO ALLOW A DUAL USE MARIJUANA DISPENSARY on 0.62 acres at 3500 West Sahara Avenue (APN 162-05-402-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian) [PRJ-75675]. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 58 for related discussion.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Approve the One Motion One Vote Agenda subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony; Abstain-Carolyn Goodman

PLANNING - DISCUSSION

65. SUP-75033 - ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: PRECISION ASSETS - For possible action on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SHORT-TERM RESIDENTIAL RENTAL USE at 308 Wisteria Avenue (APN 138-36-115-046), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian) [PRJ-75032]. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

NATHAN TAYLOR, Taylor Consulting, on behalf of the applicant, requested that the City Council follow the staff and Planning Commission recommendations for approval. MR. TAYLOR noted that a year ago, his office received a phone call from this applicant, as he wanted to know how to do short-term rentals (STRs) the right way. MR. TAYLOR shared what was conveyed to the applicant, which included the required 660-foot distance separation, being a good neighbor is most important when it comes to operating an STR, and there are certain things that they need to do for the City to feel comfortable with applicants being an STR operator.

MR. TAYLOR also noted that the applicant, who was present, moved to Las Vegas from Israel 10 years ago and is a small business owner. He plans to install security cameras, a noise monitor, and the Party Squasher at this residence, as well as attend the short-term rental class that is offered by the College of Southern Nevada (CSN). Additionally, they are asking for a one-year administrative review as an added condition of approval.

ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, reported that the applicant is proposing to operate a non-owner occupied, three-bedroom short-term residential rental unit that meets all minimum Special Use Permit requirements of Title 19.12 as they existed at the time of this application. Staff recommends approval, with Condition 2 requiring expungement if the property is sold. MR. SUMMERFIELD pointed out that the Planning Commission had already added an administrative review condition, which he read for the record and noted would be required upon approval of this item and issuance of the business license.

MARY McELHONE, Deputy Planning Director, reported that this location has had no Code Enforcement activity or action that has taken place, and it has not been identified as having any listings advertised on websites.

MR. TAYLOR affirmed for MAYOR GOODMAN that the applicant agrees to the conditions as stated.

MS. McELHONE clarified for COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN that the applicant has not been operating illegally as an STR. COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN voiced concern, as she believed a neighborhood meeting should have been held. She thought there were approximately 30 neighbors who had objected to this request, and three who had indicated support, which may be coming from the same address. Additionally, she felt the neighborhood was declining, and for these reasons, must deny the applicant's request.

MR. TAYLOR requested to hold this item in abeyance for 30 days for the applicant to hold a neighborhood meeting.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Hold in Abeyance to 4/3/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

66. SUP-75150 - ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: ANA ORTEGA - For possible action on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SHORT-TERM RESIDENTIAL RENTAL USE at 8900 Condotti Court (APN 163-05-214-029), R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 2 (Seroka) [PRJ-75050]. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Items 56 and 57 for related discussion.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Hold in Abeyance Item 66 and City Council Addendum Items 1 and 2 to 4/3/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

67. GPA-75093 - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: DR HORTON, INC - For possible action on a request for a General Plan Amendment to Amend the Trails Element of the 2020 Master Plan and all related maps TO REMOVE THE EQUESTRIAN TRAIL ALIGNMENT LOCATED ALONG THE NORTH SIDE OF LA MANCHA AVENUE BETWEEN ALPINE RIDGE WAY AND MARLA STREET on 10.00 acres at the northwest corner of La Mancha Avenue and Alpine Ridge Way (APN 126-25-401-027), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-74920]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, representing DR Horton, discussed the layout of the property, noting that a trail alignment to the south of the property that dead-ended into a beltway was brought to their attention. It was discussed with staff that either the City would come through with a General Plan Amendment for the trails element to be removed, or the applicant would need to do this as they move forward. Therefore, the applicant has filed an application to remove the trail.

ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, reported that during the review of the application, staff received confirmation that the adjacent jurisdiction has no objections to the elimination of the trail segment. This, coupled with the City's desire to re-evaluate both the recreational and transportation trails system as part of the City's Master Plan initiative, has influenced the recommendation of staff. Therefore, staff recommended approval of this request.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 1; Excused: 0

For-Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony; Did not Vote-Cedric Crear

68. GPA-75109 - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: NORTHPOINT DEVELOPMENT - OWNER: OMNI FAMILY, LP - For possible action on a request for a General Plan Amendment FROM: DR (DESERT RURAL DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) TO: SC (SERVICE COMMERCIAL) on 2.86 acres at the southeast corner of Buckskin Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard (APN 138-11-401-001), Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75003]. The Planning Commission failed to obtain a supermajority vote which is tantamount to a recommendation of DENIAL. Staff recommends DENIAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open for Items 68-71.

ATTORNEY TONY CELESTE, on behalf of the applicant, described the property location, noting that it is approximately three acres and located along Rainbow Boulevard. The applicant is asking for a General Plan Amendment (GPA) and zoning change for a Commercial use along with a Special Use Permit (SUP) for a mini-storage facility. He indicated that although the applicant is going to a Commercial zoning, they believe a mini-storage facility would be the least intense commercial use for traffic and intensity, and much more inclined to residential-type impact. MR. CELESTE also noted that all storage for this facility will be interior to the site, as there will be no outside storage, such as RVs, boats, and trucks. Additionally, the site does not include roll-up or bay door areas.

MR. CELESTE shared that they had a couple of neighborhood meetings. At the neighborhood meeting held in December 2018, the neighbors expressed concerns as to the building height, traffic, and access onto Buckskin Avenue, as well as the overall safety and infrastructure for the site. With that information, the applicant came back and held a second neighborhood meeting in January 2019.

MR. CELESTE discussed the building height, as they were initially proposing a three-story, 35-foot tall building; however, the neighbors expressed that this would be too high and an imposition on the neighborhood. As a result, the applicant modified their plans and is now proposing a two-story product. The building will still be three levels, but with two levels above grade at a maximum height of 25 feet above grade. He also displayed site rendering's that compared the before and after of the elevation of the building.

MR. CELESTE explained that the applicant withdrew their variance for the residential setback, noting that along the east and south property lines where the project is adjacent to residential, the building will be only one story above grade at approximately 14 feet. The building will be stepped back towards Rainbow Boulevard and have two stories at about 25 feet in that area.

He noted that Buckskin Avenue, which is to the north of the project, was also a concern. Referencing the site plan, he explained that the main entrances would be off of Rainbow Boulevard. He pointed out that the site needs to have a second access point for emergencies. Currently, the site has two access points, one on Buckskin Avenue, as well as a secondary access point further south on Rainbow Boulevard. The neighbors were concerned about traffic going down Buckskin Avenue, so the applicant worked with City departments to add a condition that would require emergency access gates at Buckskin Avenue as part of the Site Development Review (SDR).

Another concern addressed by the neighbors was traffic counts. MR. CELESTE referenced a chart showing various traffic counts and described the traffic spectrum from the lowest to highest use, noting that on the spectrum of traffic, the proposed use is much more in line with residential and commercial.

The neighbors also expressed concern about the site. MR. CELESTE said that since the applicant will be providing all of the off-site improvements and infrastructure in the area, which will make things pleasant and clean down that part of Rainbow Boulevard, the development would help to clean up this infill parcel area.

MR. CELESTE displayed a rendering of the site along Rainbow Boulevard and Buckskin Avenue, noting that one of the applicant's variance requests is to push the site back rather than have the building on the front part of the street. He explained that this request deals with their current driveway locations with respect to the orientation of the structure, since shifting the building towards the front of the street could cause the driveway configurations to be off. The applicant felt that it was most important to have the public safety element met first. He noted that they have their driveway locations in the correct areas and they have met all of the separation requirements. Rather than an expensive parking lot, the applicant is proposing a pedestrian plaza in an attempt to add beautification and meet the spirit and intent of what the City would want to see along the roadways.

MR. CELESTE noted that many of the questions that came up at the first neighborhood meeting were addressed at the second meeting. One concern was that this development would diminish property values. In researching this project, his client determined that the property values will at least remain the same, and may even have a slight increase in value; therefore, the applicant is confident that this project will not have any negative impact on home valuation.

MR. CELESTE noted that neighbors also wanted assurances that Storage Wars or reality television would not take place at this location, and he requested to make it very clear that this was not the case. Additionally, he noted that the applicant did significant research and identified that this area is in need of a Mini-Storage Use. He also addressed the hours of operation as this was noted as a concern, as well.

WILLIAM FOREMAN, a neighbor, felt this would be a tremendous improvement to the area and was in support of the project.

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Deputy Planning Director, reported that the proposed amendment to the General Plan and the associated rezoning of the property would result in an encroachment of commercial uses within a Residential Rural residential area. The proposed amendment and rezoning is not compatible with existing adjacent land uses and zoning districts. Also, the potential allowable uses of the site will not be compatible with the surrounding residential zoning districts. Staff cannot support such an encroachment and recommends denial of the General Plan Amendment (GPA) and rezoning applications. Subsequently, staff cannot support the associated Special Use Permit (SUP) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR). If approved, the Planning Commission recommended limiting the daily hours of operation to 6:00 A.M. to 9:00 P.M. as part of the Special Use Permit. Should the City Council agree to add this condition change, it would be part of the motion on the Special Use Permit.

MR. CELESTE affirmed that the applicant was in support of the noted condition change.

MR. LOWENSTEIN clarified for COUNCILMAN CREAR that the revised, date stamped plans indicate that this will be a two-story building. After further discussion, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT suggested that a condition could be added that indicates the building should be limited to two-stories in height. MR. CELESTE reiterated they are good with the added condition, as long as it clarifies the building is two-stories above grade since the building is three-stories with one level below and two levels above.

See Items 69-71 for related backup.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 68-71.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

69. ZON-75110 - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-75109 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: NORTHPOINT DEVELOPMENT - OWNER: OMNI FAMILY, LP - For possible action on a request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) TO: C-1 (LIMITED COMMERCIAL) on 2.86 acres at the southeast corner of Buckskin Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard (APN 138-11-401-001), Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75003]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 68 for related discussion and Items 68-71 for related backup.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

70. SUP-75112 - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-75109 AND ZON-75110 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: NORTHPOINT DEVELOPMENT - OWNER: OMNI FAMILY, LP - For possible action on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED MINI-STORAGE FACILITY USE on 2.86 acres at the southeast corner of Buckskin Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard (APN 138-11-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75003]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 68 for related discussion and Items 68-71 for related backup.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Approve subject to condition(s) and adding the following condition as read for the record

A. The hours of operation shall be limited to 6:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M. daily.

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

71. SDR-75114 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-75109, ZON-75110, AND SUP-75112 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: NORTHPOINT DEVELOPMENT - OWNER: OMNI FAMILY, LP - For possible action on a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED THREE-STORY, 140,988 SQUARE-FOOT, 914-UNIT MINI-STORAGE FACILITY WITH A WAIVER TO NOT ORIENT THE BUILDING TO THE CORNER WHERE SUCH IS REQUIRED on 2.86 acres at the southeast corner of Buckskin Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard (APN 138-11-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75003]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 68 for related discussion and Items 68-71 for related backup.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Approve subject to condition(s) and adding the following condition as read for the record

A. The development shall be limited to two-stories above grade.

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

72. ZON-75317 - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: SUCHITRA REDDY YERRAGUDI - For possible action on a request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) TO: P-O (PROFESSIONAL OFFICE) on 0.16 acres at 610 South 8th Street (APN 139-34-810-024), Ward 3 (Coffin) [PRJ-75280]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open for Items 72 and 73.

SUCHITRA YERRAGUDI, applicant, was present and stated that she was happy to comply with what the Planning Commission approved.

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Deputy Planning Director, reported that the rezoning of the subject site to Professional Office (P-O) is appropriate within this area of the Founders District, and the proposed office adheres to the objectives of the Vision 2045 Master Plan for Downtown Las Vegas. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested Site Development Plan Review (SDR), subject to conditions.

See Item 73 for related backup.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 72 and 73.

Motion by Bob Coffin to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

73. SDR-75316 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-75317 - PUBLIC HEARING -

APPLICANT/OWNER: SUCHITRA REDDY YERRAGUDI - For possible action on a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR THE PROPOSED CONVERSION OF A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE TO AN 808 SQUARE-FOOT OFFICE WITH AN 80 SQUARE-FOOT STORAGE BUILDING WITH WAIVERS OF TITLE 19.08 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND TITLE 19.12 PARKING STANDARDS on 0.16 acres at 610 South 8th Street (APN 139-34-810-024), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone [PROPOSED: P-O (Professional Office)], Ward 3 (Coffin) [PRJ-75280]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 72 for related discussion and Items 72 and 73 for related backup.

Motion by Bob Coffin to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

74. MOD-75347 - MAJOR MODIFICATION - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Major Modification of the Kyle Canyon Development Standards revised July 20, 2016 TO AMEND THE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS TO INCLUDE PRIVATE GATED STREET SECTIONS (APNs Multiple), T-D (Traditional Development) Zone, Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75289]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open for Items 74-82.

ATTORNEY STEPHANIE ALLEN, on behalf of the applicant and Master Developer for Sky Canon, described the location of the property and surrounding areas. She summarized the applicant's requests for the tentative maps, vacation of drainage easements, and major modification requests. She noted that drainage will now be handled through the off-site infrastructure that is going in on these four parcels, and that the major modification request was to allow certain private street sections to be approved for Skye Canyon, which City Code currently allows.

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Deputy Planning Director, provided the staff report. He stated that the applicant has requested a Major Modification to include new private gated street sections in the Kyle Canyon Development Standards, with the intent to conform to the requirements established in the Residential Sprinkler Memorandum of Understanding that formed the basis of Ordinance No. 6630 of the Unified Development Code. Additionally, the applicant has proposed residential subdivision Tentative Maps and associated vacation petitions of drainage easements on four subject parcels within the area, with each map requesting to use the newly proposed street sections.

The subject drainage easements were created in support of the interim drainage facilities under design for the adjacent infrastructure roadways, and the proposed improvements on the accompanying Tentative Maps will remove the need for the interim drainage easements.

Staff has determined that the proposed street section width types exceed those adopted in the Ordinance and are supported. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the Major Modification and the four associated Vacations and Tentative Maps, with conditions.

See Items 75-82 for related backup.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 74-82.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

75. VAC-75349 - VACATION RELATED TO MOD-75347 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Petition to Vacate public

drainage easements on a portion of 21.97 acres at the northeast corner of West Skye Canyon Park Drive and Skye Village Road (APN 125-07-211-002), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75289]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

76. TMP-75348 - TENTATIVE MAP RELATED TO MOD-75347 AND VAC-75349 - SKYE CANYON II - PHASE 3A PARCEL 2.06 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Tentative Map FOR A 107-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 21.97 acres at the northeast corner of West Skye Canyon Park Drive and Skye Village Road (APN 125-07-211-002), T-D (Traditional Development) Zone [ML (Residential Medium Low) Kyle Canyon Special Land Use Designation], Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75289]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

77. VAC-75331 - VACATION RELATED TO MOD-75347 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Petition to Vacate public drainage easements on a portion of 19.18 acres on the west side of Grand Canyon Drive, approximately 1,038 feet north of West Skye Canyon Park Drive (APN 125-07-111-001), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75275]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

78. TMP-75323 - TENTATIVE MAP RELATED TO MOD-75347 AND VAC-75331 - SKYE CANYON PARCEL 2.02 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Tentative Map FOR A 170-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 19.18 acres on the west side of Grand Canyon Drive, approximately 1,038 feet north of West Skye Canyon Park Drive (APN 125-07-111-001), T-D (Traditional Development) Zone [ML-A (Residential Medium Low - Attached) Kyle Canyon Special Land Use Designation], Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75275]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

79. VAC-75330 - VACATION RELATED TO MOD-75347 - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Petition to Vacate public drainage easements on a portion of 23.12 acres on the northwest corner of Canyon View Lane and Skye Park Drive (APN 125-07-111-003), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75272]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

80. TMP-75328 - TENTATIVE MAP RELATED TO MOD-75347 AND VAC-75330 - SKYE CANYON 2.05 - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER:MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Tentative Map FOR A 123-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 23.12 acres on the northwest corner of Canyon View Lane and Skye Park Drive (APN 125-07-111-003), T-D (Traditional Development) Zone [ML (Residential Medium Low) Kyle Canyon Land Special Use Designation], Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75272]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

81. VAC-75355 - VACATION RELATED TO MOD-75347 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Petition to Vacate public drainage easements on a portion of 18.95 acres at the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Skye Park Drive (APN 125-07-111-002), Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75292]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

82. TMP-75356 - TENTATIVE MAP RELATED TO MOD-75347 AND VAC-75355 - SKYE CANYON II - PHASE 3A PARCEL 2.03 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: OLYMPIA COMPANIES - OWNER: MF LAND, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Tentative Map FOR A 107-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 18.95 acres at the southeast corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Skye Park Drive (APN 125-07-111-002), T-D (Traditional Development) Zone [ML-A (Residential Medium Low - Attached) Kyle Canyon

Special Land Use Designation], Ward 6 (Fiore) [PRJ-75292]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 74 for related discussion and Items 74-82 for related backup.

Motion by Michele Fiore to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

83. VAR-75119 - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT - OWNER: SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES - For possible action on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW 120 PARKING SPACES WHERE 129 SPACES ARE REQUIRED on 9.09 acres at 2831 Palomino Lane (APN 139-32-405-006), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian) [PRJ-74940]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open for Items 83-85.

MARK MCGINTY with SH Architecture, on behalf of the applicant, requested to stand on the approval of the Planning Commission with the exception of traffic. He noted that Clark County School District (CCSD) is used to building walkways for schools. However, with this school, his client finds that they do not want to put in walkways through the middle of beautiful front yards. He explained that most of this area was originally zoned Rural Ranch Estates, which included a bridle path for horses. Also, this area is beautifully landscaped, and the neighbors have had beneficial use of the public right-of-ways for years. The school is also unique from other schools in that they have only one crossing guard and the majority of the students that attend the school cross Rancho Drive to get to Palomino Lane.

Referencing a site map, he indicated the suggested walking paths between Shetland Road, Charleston Boulevard, Palomino Lane and Alta Drive, Campbell and Rancho Drives. He discussed that two neighborhood meetings had been held, but this issue never came up. Now that this has happened, the applicant believes it would be a tough sell to go back and tell the neighbors that they will be cutting down trees to put in a walking path.

MR. MCGINTY discussed the applicant's proposal, noting that curb and gutter had already been completed on Palomino Lane from Shetland Road to Rancho Drive. Their request is to fill in the sidewalk in this area, and be relieved from putting in the walking path in the other locations. He stated that they feel they are arguing on behalf of the neighborhood and want to send a message that shows their support for the neighbors.

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Deputy Planning Director, reported that the applicant has requested Variances to address deviations to required on-site parking and Civic (C-V) development standards to allow a 39-foot building height where 35 feet is the maximum allowed; to allow no trees within a portion of the north property line buffer where trees are required to be planted a maximum of 20 feet on center; to allow a 10-foot landscape buffer along a portion of the north property line where 15 feet is required, and to allow buildings within the development to not be oriented towards the front of the site.

Staff has determined the applicant's hardship is self-imposed and that the requested Variances reinforce the unsuitability of the site design with that of the surrounding residential developments. Therefore, staff recommends denial of the project and all associated land use entitlement applications.

MR. LOWENSTEIN also requested clarification as to where the conditions for pathways was coming from, as it was not part of the Land Use Entitlements. MR. MCGINTY clarified that this was in a letter dated February 14, 2019, to their Civil Engineer, which he thought was a matter of course in going through the traffic study.

MR. LOWENSTEIN noted that the traffic study was not necessarily germane to the items that were before the City Council at this time.

MAYOR GOODMAN inquired as to the status of the traffic study. BART ANDERSON, Engineering Project Manager, requested to see MR. MCGINTY'S Condition of Approval Letter to see if he could identify the condition to which he was referring. He said that typically, pedestrian access to schools is something that is encouraged, and he did not feel this would be an unusual requirement in association with a school. He noted that the traffic study does stipulate, but never overrides anything that the City Council determines. He also said that the typical consideration for schools is a safe pedestrian access to and from them.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN conveyed that the traffic on Campbell Drive was terrible with both a church

and school located in the area. She asked what the traffic study indicated, and MR. ANDERSON reported that it was the standard condition for pedestrian access to a school. He said the City normally requires for areas where sidewalks do not exist that they be put in place, and in the absence of staff being directed by the City Council to do otherwise, this would be the direction of staff. He clarified for COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN that staff needs to be directed to remove the requirement for sidewalk or pedestrian improvements that are associated with the traffic study for this site.

MAYOR GOODMAN wondered about the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. MR. ANDERSON noted that this was why staff recommends these requirements. He discussed how some areas are recognized as being more rural in character, and in rural areas, sidewalks are typically not required.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN noted that the area is no longer rural and that she felt the time was soon coming when the sidewalks would need to be put in to support ADA access. MR. ANDERSON concurred, noting that their recommendation was for the CCSD to put in the improvements for the pedestrian access along the street.

MR. McGINTY stated that typically, they would, except for the reasons he stated earlier.

MR. McGINTY noted for COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN that a traffic study had been completed, but that the pedestrian side of the traffic study was the issue, as there is no way to quantify the number of kids walking on the streets. He added that CCSD is not opposed to the ADA side of this, as they believe in safe routes to schools, as well. He felt their greatest issue was on Shetland Road. He recommended they install the asphalt paving on the old bridle path as requested. However, over on Shetland Road, the homeowners have taken beneficial use of the right-of-way to the asphalt with things such as trees, walls, and mailboxes. MR. McGINTY suggested an alternative request, which was to put in an ADA compliant walking path everywhere with the exception of the distance from Shetland Road to Alta Drive, which would be omitted.

MAYOR GOODMAN suggested to COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN that pedestrians could be forced to one side of the street or the other. COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN suggested that she and MAYOR GOODMAN drive by this area before voting on this item. MR. McGINTY appreciated the City Council's consideration. He clarified that staff and the designers routinely work together to pick a side for the walkways.

See Items 84 and 85 for related backup.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 83-85.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Hold in Abeyance to 3/20/2019

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 1; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian; Did not Vote-Stavros Anthony

84. VAR-75120 - VARIANCE RELATED TO VAR-75119 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT - OWNER: SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES - For possible action on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW DEVIATIONS FROM TITLE 19.10.020 C-V (CIVIC) DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS on 9.09 acres at 2831 Palomino Lane (APN 139-32-405-006), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian) [PRJ-74940]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 83 for related discussion and Items 83-85 for related backup.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Hold in Abeyance to 3/20/2019

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 1; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian; Did not Vote-Stavros Anthony

85. SDR-75121 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-75119 AND VAR-75120 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT - OWNER: SCHOOL BOARD OF TRUSTEES - For possible action on a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED TWO-STORY, 94,056 SQUARE-FOOT PUBLIC SCHOOL, PRIMARY DEVELOPMENT on 9.09 acres at 2831

Palomino Lane (APN 139-32-405-006), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian) [PRJ-74940]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 83 for related discussion and Items 83-85 for related backup.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Hold in Abeyance to 3/20/2019

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 1; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian; Did not Vote-Stavros Anthony

86. WVR-75200 - WAIVER - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: MICHAEL ERIC CATMULL - For possible action on an Appeal of the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Waiver TO ALLOW A THREE-FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE FIVE FEET IS REQUIRED AND ZERO PARKING SPACES WHERE FOUR ARE REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED TWO-FAMILY DWELLING at 415 North 9th Street (APN 139-34-512-096), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-74918]. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and Staff recommend DENIAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MICHAEL CATMULL, owner, stated he was trying to make a minor interior improvement to this home by turning it into a duplex. Referencing a site drawing, he discussed the existing and new floor plans. He indicated that this would be a four- to five-week construction project. Additionally, he was requesting a variance for the parking. He explained that because these spaces are so small, he believes the number of cars that will be there will be similar to a single-family home. He explained that his ultimate goal was to avoid any distress to his neighbors and that if the variance could not be approved, the alternative solution would be to tear down his neighbor's fence, which is on his property.

MAYOR GOODMAN wondered how this land divide happened. ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, reported that staff was unsure how one neighbor put their fence on the other neighbor's property. He said that, unfortunately, particularly in the older parts of the city, property lines seem to be more advisory than not for some of the developments, and this has caused the City issues with Code Enforcement and other things. MAYOR GOODMAN asked if staff had validated the truth with this property line. MR. SUMMERFIELD noted that a survey had not been completed to certify exactly where the property line was; however, from the aerial photography and mapping, staff believes there is some discrepancy. However, to accurately determine the applicant's property line, the applicant would need to engage a surveyor, to which MR. CATMULL indicated that he had already done so.

COUNCILWOMAN FIORE inquired as to adverse possession. MR. SUMMERFIELD felt this would best be addressed by legal counsel, as he was unsure if this had any grounds in the State of Nevada. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT stated that usually adverse possession is an open use of the property with the owner's knowledge, and the owner fails to do something about it. Later on in this discussion, MR. SCOTT clarified that it takes five years to adversely possess someone's property and that some type of Quiet Title action needed to be done at the end to actually gain possession. He also noted that this could not be done against the government or Federal Government.

MR. CATMULL continued, noting that he has had constant police activity because of squatters on his property. He indicated that he has called the police three times to get people off of his property because they keep breaking into it, and that approval of this variance would help him to complete construction and get people moved into these homes.

VINCENT AGUSTIN, neighbor, stated that he was in support of MR. CATMULL'S request.

MR. SUMMERFIELD reported that the applicant is proposing to convert a single-family residence into a duplex residence. The existing structure does not meet the required setbacks from the side and rear property line, and no parking is provided on site. As the site is located within Area 2 of the Downtown Las Vegas Overlay district, these discrepancies are addressed through a Waiver, rather than a Variance. As a Waiver, it is not necessarily

subject to the determinations required for a Variance; however, the applicant has to provide that no evidence of a hardship is related to this site for the request. MR. SUMMERFIELD also pointed out that the test for a Waiver is whether or not it supports the goals and objectives of the Downtown Master Plan. Therefore, staff was

recommending denial as a self-imposed situation with trying to increase the density on a project that already does not adhere to development standards.

COUNCILMAN CREAR conveyed that he would have liked for MR. CATMULL to come and speak with him, as he was unaware of this project and how MR. CATMULL was turning it into a duplex. The Councilman inquired as to parking, and MR. CATMULL explained that this was why he was present to ask for a variance for four parking spaces on the street.

MAYOR GOODMAN suggested that the applicant make an appointment with COUNCILMAN CREAR'S Office, as greater clarification needed to be provided. COUNCILMAN CREAR believed that the applicant's plans needed improvement, as he would not approve this type of request.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN wondered about the stone in the picture, and if the applicant planned to tear it down. MR. CATMULL clarified that this was only a façade.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Hold in Abeyance to 4/3/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

87. VAR-75205 - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: MCP 2, LLC - For possible action on an Appeal of the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW EIGHT-FOOT TALL BLOCK WALLS AND CHAINLINK FENCING WITH TWO FEET OF CONCERTINA WIRE ON TOP WHERE EIGHT FEET IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT ALLOWED on 0.63 acres at 1964 Sycamore Trail (APN 139-19-703-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-74528]. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and Staff recommend DENIAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ELIZABETH TROSPER, representative, introduced MARILYN LEE, the Property Manager, noting that they were representing the applicant on the appeal for this Variance.

MS. TROSPER stated that since the denial of the Variance at the January 2019 Planning Commission meeting, her client has removed the two-feet of razor wire that was positioned on top of the block wall, which surrounds the property. This resolves two of the three code violations, as they are now in compliance with the height of the existing block walls, and they no longer have the use of the razor wire on the property. Therefore, they are requesting to keep the chain-link fence that already exists on the frontage of 1964 Sycamore Trail, as the building was developed in 1998, and the chain-link fence was put up during that same time frame.

ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, noted that their office was recently notified that the razor wire had been removed, which he verified personally. In light of the applicant removing the concertina wire from the walls and fences, the Variance is no longer necessary and should be stricken. The outstanding issue regarding any additional courses or the chain-link fence can be resolved with a Building Permit. Therefore, staff recommends that the applicants submit their building permits for the improvements within 30 days and have those building permits receive final inspection within 30 days of the issuance of said building permits. Further, staff requests that this application be stricken.

MS. TROSPER noted that because this was developed 20 years ago, under Title 19, a chain-link fence is not acceptable; therefore, she wondered if this matter would be dealt with at the building permit level. MR. SUMMERFIELD affirmed that it would, noting that the building permit could serve as the site plan and that the fence would be viewed as part of the building permit at that time.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Strike

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

88. VAR-75324 - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: VISION COMMERCIAL ONE, LLC - OWNER: SAFE NEST TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR DOMESTIC CRISIS, INC. - For possible action on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A 17-FOOT RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY SETBACK WHERE 72 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AND TO ALLOW A 76-FOOT RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY SETBACK WHERE 155 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on 5.33 acres adjacent to the south side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately 175 feet east of Decatur Boulevard (APN 139-19-101-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75268]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open for Items 88-91.

The applicant was not present.

ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, stated that the proposed project is not compatible with surrounding development in the area as evidenced by the requested waivers as a part of the Special Use Permits, the requested Variance, as well as Waivers and associated Exceptions for plant material that are part of the Site Development Plan Review. Therefore, staff recommends denial of these applications.

COUNCILMAN CREAR stated that he met with the applicant but was unsure why he was not present. He asked if the items could be trailed until the end of the agenda.

Items 88-91 were recalled subsequent to hearing Item 93, and COUNCILMAN CREAR requested to hold these items in abeyance for two weeks.

See Item 93 for related discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 88-91.

NOTE: The video does not reflect the vote because a verbal vote was taken.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Hold in Abeyance to 3/20/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

89. SUP-75325 - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-75324 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: VISION COMMERCIAL ONE, LLC - OWNER: SAFE NEST TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR DOMESTIC CRISIS, INC. - For possible action on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 191-UNIT SENIOR CITIZEN APARTMENTS USE adjacent to the south side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately 175 feet east of Decatur Boulevard (APN 139-19-101-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75268]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 88 for related discussion and Items 88-91 for related backup.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Hold in Abeyance to 3/20/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

90. SUP-75326 - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-75324 AND SUP-75325 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: VISION COMMERCIAL ONE, LLC - OWNER: SAFE NEST TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR DOMESTIC CRISIS, INC. - For possible action on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 53-FOOT TALL BUILDING WHERE THE AIRPORT OVERLAY DISTRICT LIMITS THE HEIGHT TO 35 FEET adjacent to the south side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately 175 feet east of Decatur Boulevard (APN 139-19-101-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75268]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 88 for related discussion and Items 88-91 for related backup.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Hold in Abeyance to 3/20/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

91. SDR-75327 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-75324, SUP-75325 AND SUP-75326 - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: VISION COMMERCIAL ONE, LLC - OWNER: SAFE NEST TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE FOR DOMESTIC CRISIS, INC. - For possible action on a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 191-UNIT SENIOR CITIZEN APARTMENTS DEVELOPMENT WITH WAIVERS TO ALLOW A ZERO-FOOT LANDSCAPE BUFFER ON THE NORTH AND WEST PROPERTY LINES WHERE 15 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AND A ZERO-FOOT LANDSCAPE BUFFER ALONG A PORTION OF THE EAST PROPERTY LINE WHERE EIGHT FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED; ALSO TO ALLOW A ZERO-FOOT LANDSCAPE BUFFER ALONG A PORTION OF THE NORTH AND WEST PROPERTY LINE WHERE EIGHT FEET IS REQUIRED ADJACENT TO THE CONVENIENCE STORE on 5.33 acres adjacent to the south side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately 175 feet east of Decatur Boulevard (APN 139-19-101-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75268]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

See Item 88 for related discussion and Items 88-91 for related backup.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Hold in Abeyance to 3/20/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

92. VAR-75339 - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: COLVIN LIVING TRUST, ET AL - For possible action on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW EXISTING ACCESSORY STRUCTURES (CLASS II) [CARPORTS] THAT ARE NOT AESTHETICALLY COMPATIBLE WITH THE PRINCIPAL DWELLING, TO ALLOW A ZERO-FOOT SEPARATION FROM A MAIN BUILDING WHERE SIX FEET IS REQUIRED, A ZERO-FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE THREE FEET IS REQUIRED AND TO BE LOCATED IN FRONT OF THE PRINCIPAL DWELLING UNIT WHERE SUCH IS NOT ALLOWED on 0.14 acres at 1500 South 13th Street (APN 162-02-210-027), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Coffin) [PRJ-75295]. Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT COLVIN, applicant, stated that he was asking for these variances in order to keep his carports, noting that his family has lived in this home for over 40 years. Additionally, he obtained letters from his immediate neighbors, as well as other people in his neighborhood who are not opposed to his carports. He added that the Planning Commission requested approval and that his hope was that the City Council would do the same.

CHAR SIMONE lives down the street from MR. COLVIN and spoke in support of this variance request, as she believes his property is one of the nicest properties in their neighborhood.

ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, reported that no evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance had been presented in that the applicant had created a self-imposed hardship by constructing two

carports that total 472 square-feet, which do not comply with Title 19.06 Developments Standards for location, aesthetic compatibility, and setbacks. In the absence of any hardships imposed by the site's physical characteristics, staff has concluded that the applicant's hardship is preferential in nature and outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances. Therefore, staff recommended denial.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN stated that the COLVIN'S have a well-kept property, and understood that there were several other neighbors in support, as he thought there was only one person who was opposed. For these reasons, the Councilman felt that MR. COLVIN had received all the support necessary to approve the Variance and requested to follow the Planning Commission's recommendations.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Motion by Bob Coffin to Approve subject to condition(s)

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

93. SUP-75204 - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: MONET MONI MANSANO, ET AL - For possible action on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 18-UNIT HOTEL, MOTEL OR HOTEL SUITES USE on 0.53 acres at 846 East Sahara Avenue (APN 162-03-801-107), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Coffin) [PRJ-75054]. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and Staff recommend DENIAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ERIK ASTRAMECKI, a representative for the applicant, explained the existing building was the former Scientology Church and was purchased by MONET MANSANO, the applicant, in 2013. Referencing a rendering, he pointed out that the property does not look anything like the image being presented, as this area has struggled with the homeless over the years, and it has been difficult to rent out the building as a long-term business rental.

He explained that since the building already has a first floor conference space, their idea is to retrofit the building, bring it up to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements, install sprinkler suppression systems, and look to retrofit the building as a boutique, Hotel/Motel, which can be utilized by corporate groups to hold events. Based on some of the feedback they have received from events, they believe there is a lack of conference space available in this location.

MR. ASTRAMECKI referenced additional renderings and discussed the internal layout of the first and second floor levels. He also pointed out that MR. MANSANO is heavily invested in the area, as he owns two other buildings near this location.

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Deputy Planning Director, reported that the applicant is requesting a Special Use Permit to operate a two-story, 18-unit Hotel/Motel in a vacant building. While a Hotel would be a compatible use on the proposed site, the submitted floor plans are insufficient in detail for staff to determine if the use is consistent with the overall objectives of the General Plan and that the use will not compromise the health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant stated at the Planning Commission meeting that the floor plan is indicative of the current and proposed configuration and that revised drawing will address ADA concerns at the time of building permit, including but not limited to widened doorways and moving of fixtures. Therefore Staff is recommending denial.

MAYOR GOODMAN wondered what the applicant's pathway would be to gather approval. MR. LOWENSTEIN stated that the submitted floor plan, which was not what was being presented to the City Council through the renderings, indicated access to other rooms to get into bedrooms and shared facilities that are too narrow to meet ADA compliance and things of that nature. He reiterated that the applicant made representation that they would address those through the building permit process; however, at the time they made the submittal, they did not provide how the floor plans are functioning. Therefore, staff cannot determine if it is compromising the safety or welfare of the public.

In response to MAYOR GOODMAN'S inquiry as to how the process should continue, MR. LOWENSTEIN suggested that this could be done through approval with the revised plan showing the conformance to the ADA requirements through the building permit process which would be the most appropriate means.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN believed there was a need for this type of place, and wondered where the plan was that showed progress towards ADA compliance. MR. ASTRAMECKI explained that after they received feedback on the ADA compliance request, they provided that information to their architect. However, those plans are pending release upon the approval of the SUP because they did not want to fully release the plans and pay the architect fees until they were certain that the SUP would be approved.

COUNCILWOMAN FIORE wondered if each room would have their own bathroom, and MR. ASTRAMECKI clarified that the facility would have common shared bathrooms. He affirmed for the Councilwoman that this was more like a hostel, which they understand is the same licensing and building code requirements since it is still considered a hotel. COUNCILWOMAN FIORE stated that she was concerned because the applicants were not completing the steps in the proper order and because they did not have the funding to complete the project.

MARY McELHONE, Deputy Planning Director, affirmed for COUNCILWOMAN FIORE that the hostel would be the same hotel/motel category unless they were planning to set it up as a short-term rental.

MR. ASTRAMECKI affirmed for COUNCILMAN CREAR that this was the first time that they had attempted a project like this. The Councilman noted that he did not have a lot of confidence in the project because the applicants did not come in with the proper selling mechanisms to convince the City Council that this project would be accomplished.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN supported the concept in that it was a step forward; however, he felt it was out of order to bring things unfinished. He noted that the City has been asking them to provide these things and that he never heard that they could not afford it. MR. ASTRAMECKI explained that MR. MANSANO is his personal friend, and he has been working with him to put this together, as MR. MANSANO has a broken accent and can be hard to understand. Also, MR. MANSANO is very money conscious and did not want to spend \$20,000 for the building plans if the SUP was not approved.

MAYOR GOODMAN liked the concept, but noted that the presentation was too vague; therefore, she could not support the project at this time. She encouraged MR. ASTRAMECKI to come back with a better presentation.

ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, stated that staff has not received revised plans to review; therefore, they would need an abeyance for 60 days to meet deadlines and provide a full report to the City Council.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Subsequent to hearing this item, COUNCILMAN CREAR requested to recall Items 88-91.

Motion by Bob Coffin to Hold in Abeyance to 5/1/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

94. SUP-75313 - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: HAVE A HEART LAS VEGAS, LLC - OWNER: TWISTED WILLOW INVESTMENTS, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 20,225 SQUARE-FOOT MARIJUANA DISPENSARY USE at 2401 West Bonanza Road, Suite B to Q (APN 139-29-813-005), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Crear) [PRJ-75151]. The Planning Commission (3-2-1 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

Minutes:

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY declared the Public Hearing open.

NATHAN BOUVET, representative, and JAMES BAYER, owner, were present.

Referencing an aerial photograph, MR. BOUVET described the surrounding locations and land use designations, as well as some brief history about the site, which has an existing building that was originally constructed in 1972. He noted that by definition, the project meets both what is defined as a marijuana dispensary and marijuana store, pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) standards. Additionally, as conditioned, the project would adhere to all applicable building and fire codes. The site was chosen for a variety of reasons, as the applicant conducted a customer projection model based off of traffic counts, walking scores, parking, population densities, and accessibility to major roadways, and they felt that this site was exactly what they were looking for.

MR. BOUVET reported that from entitlement and building permit approval to store launch, once all permits and entitlements are received, they believe it will take approximately 12 weeks to get the site up and running. They anticipate hiring approximately 30 to 40 employees.

MR. BOUVET discussed the commercial uses surrounding the site, noting that there was a nearby a vacant restaurant that the property owner owns. The subject site is approximately 2.19 acres and includes existing parking, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, and utilities.

He addressed one of the concerns brought up at the Planning Commission meeting, which was a comment about the square footage that was within the justification letter. He clarified that the justification letter made reference to 26,030 square feet, which included the Dotty's Casino, and what was mentioned during his presentation is what is being proposed, which is 20,225 square feet.

MR. BOUVET described some of the existing features for the site, which included that the site is 100 percent covered, it has paved vehicular circulation and 179 parking stalls. Street access is provided with four two-way driveway aprons and one, one-way egress off of West Bonanza Road. Additionally, there are three light poles.

MR. BOUVET explained that the proposed exterior modifications include new signage, paint, and some additional site improvements to the parking lot area. Suites B through Q will be combined into one unit and have one primary entrance and exit. The existing building heights are 13 feet, not counting the eaves, and 10 feet 6 inches to the tops of the windows. There is lighting on all exterior doors and under canopies, and there are plans to modify and enhance the onsite security cameras and lighting. Referencing site photos, MR. BOUVET noted that there is a lot of window signage on the facility, so the applicant/owner will be removing the signage above the storefronts on the minimart and replace it with something more aesthetically pleasing.

Referencing the site plans, he discussed their floor plans, known as Key Plans A, B, C and D, which indicated the primary architectural elements for the building by floor level. Key Plan A showed the offices, break areas and the existing Dotty's Casino location; Key Plan B included the sales floor, product safe location, receiving area, merchandising storage, POS area, and the cash count location; Key Plan C showed the sales floor, and Key Plan D showed the primary waiting area, security station, surveillance station, employee hallway, and restrooms.

He discussed the four primary issues of concern that were raised at the Planning Commission meeting and included crime and blight in the area, the proposed hours of operation, marijuana saturation, and the applicant's projections as to the amount of customers.

As to crime and blight, MR. BOUVET said that the Business Licensing process requires the applicant to make a security plan, which is in the process and will cover onsite exterior and canopy lighting, and security cameras. They have already installed high-impact Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) at all corners of the site, which has helped with illuminating the parking lot. The property owner has also removed all pony walls, which they believe led to blight and crime in this area and that this will now discourage individuals from sleeping in these areas overnight. There will be additional security cameras, and a combination of on-site and off-site security, as they are willing to offer security patrol for the immediate area. MR. BOUVET stated that he agreed with the comments that were made about vacancy rate, as three of the seventeen units are currently occupied; however, with this future commercial development going in, this will create a sense of place and pedestrian walkability, which will eliminate some of the issues. He noted that currently the building has a 24-hour laundromat, a Dotty's Casino, and a tire shop.

As to the hours of operation, MR. BOUVET stated that the applicant has requested and would prefer to operate 24/7 in order to be consistent with other marijuana dispensaries in the City of Las Vegas. However, if this is not

approved, they would like the hours of operation to be from 11:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m.

He also addressed the concern about marijuana saturation, noting that he thought there was only one marijuana dispensary in Ward 5, although he was unsure if this was accurate. As such, he felt there should not be an issue with oversaturation in this ward. MR. BOUVET explained that Have A Heart is known for having patents on specific types of strains and products that they provide, so their customer base will be coming to them. He also felt this was a unique location in that the site will be visible from several main thoroughfares.

As to customer projections, he noted that there was a miscommunication on his part because of their justification letter. He clarified that the square-footage of the building allows for scaling up to 12,900 customers a day, but realistically speaking, they will never see this many people on any given day. Based on their projection models, they believe the daily projection is a maximum of 3,200 customers per day.

MR. BAYER clarified for COUNCILMAN ANTHONY that he owned the property through Twisted Willow Investments, and that Have a Heart Compassionate Care was looking to secure, as a Master Tenant, a 10-year lease with a 10-year option at this facility to operate the dispensary. Further, he explained that Have a Heart is not a local group and consists of himself and RYAN KUNKEL'S group, which is out of the State of Washington. He confirmed for the Councilman that a representative for Have a Heart Las Vegas was not present. MR. BOUVET interjected that he was present on their behalf, noting that Have a Heart has several dispensaries throughout the country, but primarily in California, Washington, and Oregon, and they would like to get into the Las Vegas market.

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Deputy Planning Director, reported that the subject site complies with all minimum distance requirements as set forth by Title 19.12; therefore, the use can be conducted in a compatible and harmonious manner with the surrounding existing uses located in the shopping center. As such, staff recommends approval of the proposed use, subject to conditions.

MARY Mc ELHONE, Deputy Planning Director, stated the applicant had applied for the Special Use Permit in anticipation of receiving one of the new state retail marijuana licenses; however, they did not receive one of the 10 that were actually awarded. Additionally, Business Licensing was not aware of any retail or medical marijuana dispensary that is expected to be located at this site at this time.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN commented that he did not see this going anywhere since they had not yet secured a license. MR. BOUVET shared that Have a Heart submitted for a license at the state level, and they are in the process of purchasing/acquiring a license from others that did. COUNCILMAN COFFIN could not recall where they were planning to sell medical marijuana on the floor plan and MR. BOUVET clarified that for now, they were proposing to sell recreational marijuana. COUNCILMAN COFFIN pointed out that there is a provision in the Ordinance that requires dispensaries to provide for medical marijuana patients and suggested that they learn about the City's Ordinances so that they will be prepared, should a license be granted.

COUNCILMAN CREAR was perplexed in that the applicants had not approached him or his staff about this request, and that they wanted the City Council to approve the space prior to them receiving a license. He felt that the owner did not have the respect of the City Council because he did not come on his own behalf to present as an owner of Have a Heart. The Councilman inquired if a Neighborhood meeting had been held or if the applicant had reached out to the community. MR. BOUVET noted that they reached out to his staff on two occasions; however, the Councilman's schedule did not permit a meeting. MR. BOUVET also noted that he reached out to staff initially to talk about a community meeting, and looking back, he felt they could have held one. COUNCILMAN CREAR thought holding the item in abeyance for 60 days would allow time for more community input and for the applicant to hold some community forums, as well as work towards obtaining their license.

ROBERT SUMMERFIELD, Planning Director, clarified for COUNCILMAN CREAR that in the initial stages to the release of medical marijuana, all licensing and entitlements were done through a concurrent process for the Special Use Permit, Compliance Permit, and the Business License. Since then, there have been a number of requests to relocate. He believed that Business Licensing may have done a separate entitlement for a new location. Also, particularly as recreational marijuana has started to become more of a topic, staff has had speculative entitlement requests. He added that they have investors who try to determine if their property is eligible for a marijuana dispensary, cultivation, or production facility that have not actually received licenses, who then attempt to persuade a licensee to their site and create some type of partnership. MR.

SUMMERFIELD noted that technically, the entitlement and the Business License are separate. COUNCILMAN CREAR said he would not be inclined to entitle a facility without someone having a license, or approve a license for someone he has never met before.

MR. SUMMERFIELD affirmed for MR. BOUVET that staff sets a minimum distance area for notification; however, at the applicant's request, staff can provide them with labels for a larger radius. He added that the City Council could also direct the applicant to notify a larger radius than the required minimum notification area.

COUNCILMAN ANTHONY declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN abstained from voting on this item because it is a marijuana-related item, and she has a son who works in this industry.

Motion by Cedric Crear to Hold in Abeyance to 5/1/2019

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony; Abstain-Carolyn Goodman

95. SDR-75333 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: NSA PROPERTY HOLDING, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 302-UNIT, 39,400 SQUARE-FOOT MINI-STORAGE FACILITY WITH A WAIVER TO ALLOW A ZERO-FOOT PERIMETER LANDSCAPE BUFFER ALONG THE WEST, SOUTH AND EAST PROPERTY LINES WHERE EIGHT FEET IS REQUIRED AND A 10-FOOT PERIMETER LANDSCAPE BUFFER ALONG THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE WHERE 15 FEET IS REQUIRED on 1.49 acres at 3301 Meade Avenue (APN 162-08-303-006), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian) [PRJ-74613]. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and Staff recommend APPROVAL.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

PETER LOWENSTEIN, Deputy Planning Director, stated that as the subject site was previously developed as a Mini-Storage Facility per approved Plot Plan Review and Special Use Permit in 1988, staff has determined the proposed project is compatible with the surrounding development in the area. Furthermore, staff finds that the requested Waivers to allow reduced landscaping along the west, south and east perimeter will have a minimal negative impact to the surrounding industrial area. Therefore, staff recommends approval of this project, subject to conditions.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN asked why the applicant was unable to meet the landscaping requirements.

MR. LOWENSTEIN noted this was a combination of the building placement, the required driveway aisle widths and the parking on the side that would impact the buffer depths.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN motioned to hold the item in abeyance for 30 days.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

Motion by Lois Tarkanian to Hold in Abeyance to 4/3/2019

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

For-Cedric Crear, Michele Fiore, Bob Coffin, Carolyn Goodman, Lois Tarkanian, Stavros Anthony

SET DATE

96. Set date on any appeals filed or required public hearings from the City Planning Commission Meetings and Dangerous Building or Nuisance/Litter Abatements.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN advised the City Clerk, LUANN D. HOLMES, to set the date for all applicable items.

Appeal for SUP-75306 – 3/20/2019 Agenda

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

97. Citizens Participation: Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the City Council. No subject may be acted upon by the City Council unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come to the podium and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

CHE-TARA MANNING said she was still having problems in the City as far as a stable home; however, she felt it was getting a lot better. She explained that she is currently living with her uncle; however, she recently had a baby and would like to find a home in the city. MAYOR GOODMAN directed staff to help MS. MANNING, noting that staff may have some helpful suggestions and advice.

LAWRENCE La POINTE stated that he is a 45 year resident in Ward 3, and has his own private security business there. He expressed that he was having a significant issue with homeless individuals in the area because they wait for people to leave at night, and then take over properties so they can bed down or burglarize these homes. Additionally, the buskers on Fremont Street are coming into the neighborhood and destroying the palm trees by taking out the heart of the palm, making designs out of them, and then selling them on Fremont Street to the tourists. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed the City Council’s appreciation for reporting this information and suggested that he pass on any further information he may have to City staff.

COUNCIL MEMBER RECOGNITION

98. Council Member Recognition: Comments made by individual City Council Members during this portion of the agenda will not be acted upon by the City Council unless that subject is on the agenda and scheduled for action.

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMEMBERS TARKANIAN, CREAM, and FIORE announced the events taking place in their respective wards on various dates through March and April. Some of the Council members submitted flyers, which are attached as backup, regarding their events and points of interest.

The meeting was recessed at 9:34 a.m., reconvened at 9:42 a.m., recessed at 11:40 a.m., reconvened at 12:07 p.m., and adjourned at 4:06 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

Patty Hlavac, Deputy City Clerk

LuAnn D. Holmes, MMC, City Clerk

This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations:
City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor
Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway
Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue
City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive