



Historic Preservation Commission Minutes

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL called the meeting to order at 12:00 p.m. and called for the roll.

PRESENT: CHAIR STOLDAL and COMMISSIONERS LARIME, LeVINE (via teleconference and excused until 12:02 p.m.), BECK, SERFAS, COSGROVE, PALENCAR, PALACIOS, LONG (via teleconference), GILLESPIE (ex-officio member and Nevada State Museum Designee) and SIEBRANDT (ex-officio member)

EXCUSED: COMMISSIONERS HOTCHKISS and MOODY

ALSO PRESENT: SETH FLOYD, Community Development Director; MICHAEL HOWE, Planning Section Manager; PHILIP BYRNES, Deputy City Attorney; and GABRIELA PORTILLO-BRENNER, Deputy City Clerk

2. Announcement Regarding: Compliance with Open Meeting Law

Minutes:

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE: This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations in accordance with the noticing standards as outlined in NRS 241.020: City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor; The City of Las Vegas website – www.lasvegasnevada.gov; and The Nevada Public Notice Website – notice.nv.gov.

3. Public Comment: Comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters on the agenda for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

None.

4. Chair's announcement relative to the Historic Preservation Commission

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL had no announcements to make.

5. For possible action to approve the Final Minutes by reference of the Special Meeting of April 25, 2022

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL called for a motion.

Motion made by Sondra Cosgrove to Approve

Passed For: 9; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 2

For-Robert Stoldal, Jack LeVine, Colleen M. Beck, Richard Serfas, Sondra Cosgrove, Michelle Larime, Mia Palencar, Rhonda Long, Craig Palacios; Did Not Vote-Diane Siebrandt, Nevada State Museum Designee; Excused-Donald Hotchkiss, Todd Moody;

6. **22-0255-HPC1** – Report by the Department of Planning regarding the presentation of the 2022 Historic Preservation Awards

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT welcomed all the recipients and explained the process for presenting and receiving the awards. She asked the recipients to remain in the audience until after all the awards were presented for a group photo with the Commissioners.

CHAIR STOLDAL commented that the purpose is to award and recognize individuals or organizations that have stood out in the area of historic preservation. He announced the award recipients for each category before the respective Commissioners alternated in providing a narrative for each recipient.

Preservation Education Category:

CHAIR STOLDAL explained that DR. FRED WOODS was selected for his exceptional work to preserve the history of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints in Las Vegas and to educate citizens with numerous articles, manuscripts and books, including “Gamble in the Desert: The Mormon Mission in Las Vegas (1855-1857).” The Chair commented that he is studying that document and researching the first postmaster and post office. He thanked MR. WOODS for all his work and felt confident he would continue with his work.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR said JEFFREY A. ALPERT was selected for his years of dedication to researching the history of early Las Vegas in order to help preserve the history of the city of Las Vegas through his publication of the educational and informative book, “The Early Pioneers of Las Vegas.” CHAIR STOLDAL added that MR. ALPERT continues to work on projects, and he looks forward to his future publications.

Advocacy Category:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT commented that JERRY WALKER was awarded in recognition of his decades of work with the City to ensure preservation and conservation of iconic, historic neon signs, such as the Blue Angel, and other historic motel signs located along East Fremont Street and Las Vegas Boulevard.

Subsequently, COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT explained that STEVEN FORD was selected for his decades of work with the City and adhering to historic preservation guidelines and ordinances for the preservation and conservation of structures and landscapes at the historic sites of Floyd Lamb Park at Tule Springs, Woodlawn Cemetery and Historic Westside School.

The Commissioner added that MESSRS. WALKER and FORD could not be present, but she would accept and deliver the awards to their offices.

Career Achievement Category:

CHAIR STOLDAL pronounced that DR. ANDREW G. KIRK was chosen for his decades of dedicated work as an author and lecturer and his numerous books, articles, presentations, leadership and creation of many boards and committees for historic preservation, all of which promote the value of historic understanding and preservation, with a focus on Nevada and Las Vegas. The Chair added that this is only for the first third of his career, and he had much more to do.

The Commissioners gathered with the recipients and staff at the podium for a group photo.

CHAIR STOLDAL thanked COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT for organizing the awards, and he said he would be announcing under the Chair's announcement item each month to be thinking about potential nominees, given that this year they only had five nominees and none in the Bricks and Mortar Category.

7. **22-0257-HPC1** – Report by the Department of Planning regarding the City of Las Vegas 2050 Master Plan and housing density

Minutes:

MARCO VELOTTA, Senior Management Analyst, prefaced by saying that his report covers the function and role of density in the City's 2050 Master Plan and the role it plays with respect to historic preservation. From a historical and planning theory perspective, late 19th and early 20th century planners advocated for urban form and reduced density and congestion as a means of addressing nuisances, slums, congestion and public health. This resulted in a variety of efforts to suburbanize and regulate land through governments' police powers to protect public health, safety and welfare. The Garden City and City Beautiful Movement emphasized these concepts; however, over time, other attempts, such as urban renewal and decentralization movements, proved to be unsuccessful and sometimes disastrous. Some critics, such as JANE JACOBS of New York City, wrote in "The Death and Life of Great American Cities" that density done well, along with mixed uses that activate streets, buildings of various ages and short blocks built to pedestrian scale could lead to vibrant, urban communities. In short, density contributes to livability, and this is an underlying theme of the 2050 Master Plan.

MR. VELOTTA presented a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, and reported on the 2050 Master Plan by going through the various slides.

Master Plans (Slide 2) – Nevada jurisdictions are required to prepare long-term, comprehensive master plans for the physical development of cities covering a range of topics, including land use, transportation, housing and historic preservation. Through general NRS (Nevada Revised Statutes) laws and the City Charter, the City Council and Planning Commission are authorized to plan and divide areas into zones for different types of uses.

Land Use (Slide 3) – The City's Master Plan addresses land uses in the second chapter. In it, are all the required components, including community design standards and an inventory of the City's lands. He pointed out that the City's General Plan for land uses establishes categories, including residential, industrial and civic, as well as allowable densities for residential uses, ranging from low- and high-density, and the compatible zoning districts within each of those categories.

Zoning – (Slides 4 and 5) – Zoning must be consistent with the Master Plan's land-use elements. While the Plan establishes goals and policies for the City, zoning provides the legal requirements and entitlements. Under state law, the City can establish allowable uses for properties and guidelines, including for setbacks, bulk, parking, design and signage. The City can also enact overlay standards, such as the requirement of preservation of historic buildings and structures. The zoning code can authorize uses by right, require conditions for approval or prohibit certain activities. Other requirements include subdivision of land, applications and procedural requirements and standards for public uses.

1959 General Plan (Slide 6) – When the first Master Plan was adopted, the General Plan for land use was uniform and simplified and included general low-density residential, commercial activity around Fremont Street, multi-family in downtown and industrial along the railroad area.

2050 General Plan and Future Land Use (Slides 7 and 8) – The current General Plan is more complex and provides a wider range of uses. The newest feature incorporated allowed increased density at major regional centers, as depicted in purple, such as in Downtown Las Vegas and Centennial Hills, and to connect all regional centers, including Downtown Henderson, Nellis Air Force Base and the Strip with high-density, mixed-use and transit-oriented corridors. All of these make up a prominent feature in the Southern Nevada Strong Regional Plan. The City's Land Use Table indicates compatible zoning districts for each general land use category, with residential densities for different types.

Future Land Use Placetypes (Slide 9) – The newest land use types in the 2050 Master Plan include different zoning schemes with much higher densities, such as 30-40 dwelling units to the acre at major nodes and along

major corridors and featuring mixed uses, walkable streets and the opportunity to redevelop aging retail centers. In Downtown, the Form-Based Code (FBC) has zoning with standards focusing on walkability and bringing buildings to the street and to human scale.

2050 General Plan and Future Land Use (Slide 10) – This slide depicts alignment with the RTC’s (Regional Transportation Commission) OnBoard Future Mobility Plan. The major transit lines have new transit-oriented land uses depicted in red. As geographic modeling was done for the 2050 Master Plan, it was determined that the areas depicted are the most appropriate for current and long-term increases in density, yet remaining sensitive to the character of existing neighborhoods.

Land Use Tools for Density (Slide 11) – The 2050 Master Plan provides land use implementation strategies, some of which may be enacted through zoning ordinances or other actions by the City Council or the Planning Commission. The City could turn to zoning changes to diversify housing options, which has been done to a drastic scale in cities in California and in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Locally, there may be opportunities for infill housing and others for-sale or for-rent housing, which are lacking, especially multiplexes and townhomes. The older parts of the City have traditional neighborhood design features, such as narrower lot widths, smaller yards and larger buildings. Mixing types is important, as less connection in neighborhoods makes it more difficult to avoid crime, decay and disinvestment. With retrofits and private investments, well-connected neighborhoods with improvements can contribute to the overall neighborhood character and make them more stable. By using adaptive reuse to convert buildings with one use to accommodate multiple uses or dwellings, neighborhoods can support more density and additional living spaces.

Transit-Oriented Development (Slide 12-14) – This concept speaks to diversity of uses. The modern form features a mix of complementary residential, commercial and civic uses within walking distance from a major transit center. The densities are higher by design, as buildings are to human scale and neighborhoods are walkable and bikeable. The concept has been successful in cities across the country, including Salt Lake City, Utah; Portland, Maine; San Diego, California; Denver, Colorado; and Phoenix, Arizona. He showed examples on Slides 13 and 14 of how cities outside of Southern Nevada, such as Aurora, Colorado, and Phoenix, Arizona, used transit-oriented development around a number of mixed uses.

Increases in Density/Character (Slide 15) – This slide shows an example of how Flagstaff, Arizona, regulates land with a form-based zoning code, and their commercial district is located along Route 66, which is supported by railroad and the university, including traditional neighborhoods with a variety of housing densities.

Diversity of Housing (Slide 16) – This slide shows low- and high-density residential, including a tiny home in a narrow compact lot.

Traditional Neighborhood Design (Slide 17) – This slide depicts new infill housing and a home converted into a duplex. Some residential on the block was converted into small businesses with low-intensity commercial activities.

Adaptive Reuse (Slides 18 and 19) – This type of use can come in different settings, such as the transformation of the train depot in Downtown, Reno, into a microbrewery and distillery, as well as a former casino and hotel repurposed and retrofitted into housing. These types of adaptive reuses have been taking place in Reno, Nevada, for approximately 20 years.

Catalytic Transformation (Slides 20-22) – This slide depicts the location of the current Desert Pine Golf Course, which will be replaced with a 1,800-unit, mixed-use, sustainable neighborhood. This catalytic repurposing opportunity will provide housing for sale and rent at different densities, affordable housing, a community center, a central park with drought-tolerant landscaping and a College of Southern Nevada Training Center. This project is pending responses in June from the issuance of an RFP (Request for Proposal).

Land Use and Environment (Slides 23 and 24) – The Master Plan contains several actions that can be taken toward historic preservation that align with land-use tools. The Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) can help balance redevelopment pressures with preservation efforts, given that adaptive reuse, traditional neighborhood development and redevelopment can co-exist, as long as done sensitively. The HPC and

Planning staff can continue to promote awareness of preservation in the decision-making, especially for projects in the downtown area, and it can help promote the value of the local resources available.

MR. VELOTTA commented that as the City continues to adopt zoning and uses and densities change in the downtown and various corridors, Planning staff will need the HPC's assistance in implementing the Master Plan from a preservation viewpoint.

CHAIR STOLDAL appreciated the detailed presentation, and he was glad to see the City values historic preservation.

Regarding development referenced on the golf course, COMMISSIONER COSGROVE asked who would own the homes. MR. VELOTTA replied that the City owns the land and would eventually sell to private owners. A developer will be found through the Request for Proposal (RFP) process, which will include specific guidelines regarding affordability to ensure housing is affordable.

COMMISSIONER SERFAS asked about advantages to utilize water more efficiently where density is concerned. MR. VELOTTA said that single-family households have a larger water footprint than multi-family residential. Developments as high as five stories with about 40 units to the acre drop water usage to about 86 gallons per person daily, which is the goal in the Master Plan. Therefore, the goal is to keep it at that height, because going higher will use water similarly to single-family residential development.

CHAIR STOLDAL was certain current and future water statistics were considered, given current climate conditions. He observed that garage conversions into casitas in historic neighborhoods is creating difficult parking situations and making people park cars on the streets, which are much narrower in older neighborhoods. He asked if any consideration was given to this type of situation. MR. VELOTTA said staff has observed similar situations in other cities to learn from, where the right-of-way is more narrow in older parts because the vehicles came after the streets and homes were rear loaded. Those areas might have to be addressed through a parking management plan, but further study would be needed. The Chair said there are only three historic neighborhoods currently, and they have narrow streets, but as he drives through them, he sees their historic character diminished with more cars parked on the streets. He wondered if anything could be done, adding that maybe further discussion is needed on it to possibly consider what the sidewalks will look like in the future.

Regarding casitas, COMMISSIONER LARIME felt they are a potential solution to bringing more density and affordable housing options to historic neighborhoods. Therefore, she thought addressing those should be a part of the discussion for guideline updates. She also thought it would be beneficial for the City to consider different incentives to bring that type of development. Some cities offer loan programs for homeowners to retrofit their properties to build casitas to offer as affordable housing. They really need to consider how casitas and historic neighborhoods can co-exist. She asked that the Commissioners be kept apprised of some of the potential historic parts of the city in order to provide input when appropriate.

Regarding parking, COMMISSIONER PALENCAR asked how it would be handled, especially with the growing difficulty in providing it. MR. VELOTTA said that the theory behind transit-oriented development is that it will require less parking because the dwellers will utilize the transit systems rather than relying on personal automobiles. He noted that surface level parking is eating up a lot of valuable land. The Commissioner asked if density studies have been conducted to ensure that higher density does not create stressful situations on residents. CHAIR STOLDAL interjected and asked if there is a minimum density to avoid forcing people into areas. MR. VELOTTA said that density depends on the context, as minimum density for a downtown area is different from a corridor area. The Master Plan includes human-scale density, with three- to five-story buildings, that helps alleviate housing issues and traffic congestion and aids water conservation. COMMISSIONER PALENCAR asked if developers will be able to pull variances for taller and wider buildings, and MR. VELOTTA replied that the Master Plan zoning guidelines would provide limitations and prohibit building in excess of what the code allows.

CHAIR STOLDAL appreciated MR. VELOTTA answering their questions and mentioned that the Master Plan is available on the City's website for review and is easily searchable.

8. **22-0258-HPC1** – Report by the Department of Planning regarding the Mayor’s Urban Design Awards

Minutes:

Using a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, MICHAEL HOWE, Planning Section Manager, reported the effort for the restoration of the Blue Angel was awarded through the Mayor’s Urban Design Awards (MUDA) held on May 11, 2022, for historic preservation and adaptive reuse. He gave a quick history about MUDA, which former Mayor OSCAR GOODMAN created in 2006, and it included the initial categories of buildings and environment, public places and public art with the purpose of promoting quality urban design principles to put a spotlight on good projects. In the following years, the category of historic preservation adaptive reuse was added to include buildings over 50 years old that have been preserved or rehabilitated for adaptive reuse or have incorporated green energy technology. Priority is given to projects that have retained as much of the significant historic fabric as possible. The properties do not have to be on the local register, but it puts a spotlight on them, and they can possibly be included in the future, such as past winners, including Historic Westside School, The Mob Museum, Ferguson and Haunted Museum. He anticipated that next year’s award ceremony will be held in February and encouraged the Commissioners to submit recommendations.

COMMISSIONER SERFAS appreciated the update and said he hopes the Commission can support future efforts.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked for a definition of each category, because he intends to remind the Commissioners every month to start thinking about nominations in the various categories for the Historic Preservation Awards in May.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR discussed with MR. HOWE that the Haunted Museum was recognized for adaptive reuse for converting the former law offices into a museum, and he noted they listed the property on the historic register in the process.

9. **22-0259-HPC1** – Report by the Department of Planning regarding the City of Las Vegas Historic Resource Survey Catalog and the creation of maps illustrating areas of the City that have been surveyed for historic resources

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said the Survey Catalog was finally completed in March of 2022, and its purpose is to identify what has and has not been surveyed, and it includes 120 surveys. Using a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, she reviewed the maps created by the Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Division to help define the areas. She explained what the colors represent in accordance with the legends. She noted that 1972 is the 50-year cut-off date for listing something on the National Register of Historic Places this year, but properties represented in red would require further investigation to determine if there are any resources to list. She said that future surveys will be layered in the map by color as well.

CHAIR STOLDAL observed that Fremont Street was not identified as being surveyed, and he thought the motels on East Fremont Street had been surveyed. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT recalled that a survey for that area was performed, and said she would look into it, as well as Main Street. She advised the Chair that the areas in white are properties in unincorporated Clark County. The Chair said the map is very helpful.

COMMISSIONER BECK wondered if it would be helpful to establish a regular interval to ensure updates have been completed, and COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT replied that a 10-year mark has been used. However, almost all the surveys are outdated, so it would be helpful to determine if the Commissioners want to concentrate on updates. CHAIR STOLDAL said that they might need to contract with a consultant to determine which surveys should be updated. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said that they can discuss how they want to approach it and determine the priorities for proceeding. The Chair reiterated his belief that they might need to consider going through the RFP (Request for Proposal) process to obtain recommendations and priorities on which surveys should be updated or completed.

COMMISSIONER LARIME added that she has asked previously for an agenda item on preservation planning. She believes it would be helpful to use the catalog to prioritize updates and their efforts on areas that have not been surveyed. Perhaps there is an opportunity to align those with the City as they work through its plans for various areas.

10. **22-0260-HPC1** – Report by the Department of Planning regarding Director's updates

Minutes:

This item was trailed and heard subsequent to Item 11, pending arrival of SETH FLOYD, Community Development Director.

MR. FLOYD reported that in response to the concern of getting information and rules for historic neighborhoods, he worked with staff to come up with a mechanism to obtain data from the Assessor's Office on new home sales in the historic neighborhoods of John S. Park, Beverly Green and Berkley Square and send a letter informing the buyer of the requirements of living in a historic neighborhood. He hopes this effort will help prevent future issues with Code Enforcement. Additionally, the City reassigned a Code Enforcement Officer to the John S. Park neighborhood in an effort to do more outreach and to facilitate a relationship to address any issues faster going forward.

Regarding surveys, MR. FLOYD said he spoke with COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT about surveying additional areas to establish an annual approach to seeking funding from the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) or the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial to accomplish that. They also need to look at the completed surveys to see if they need updating.

CHAIR STOLDAL said the neighborhood outreach meeting was positive, and he was happy to see staff from Code Enforcement in attendance. However, he was concerned with the representation that if there are code violations and building permits are not issued, properties can still be sold. This really perplexed him, and he asked why the City has no set rule that nothing can be done with a property in violation until the violation is addressed. MR. FLOYD commented that the process includes recording notices of violations and liens, but he was not aware that a sale could be put on hold. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY PHIL BYRNES explained that 60-day notices and liens are recorded on the property so that subsequent buyers can be made aware of those via the property title. Unless the state legislature allows the City authority to put a stop to a property sale, it cannot be done, so it would have to be addressed by lobbying to have the law changed. The Chair said the City has lobbyists to pursue a change. He wondered if the HPC could formally recommend to MR. FLOYD or the Mayor to ask the legislature to authorize holding up property sales in historic neighborhoods until violations have cleared. MR. FLOYD replied that a list of legislative priorities is provided to the lobbying team for its prioritization ahead of time, and that request could be added to his list.

COMMISSIONER SERFAS asked about the City's efforts to plant trees to restore some of the streetscapes. MR. FLOYD said the goal in the 2050 Master Plan is to plant 60,000 trees by 2050, to increase the percentage of tree canopy coverage, particularly in areas where coverage is lacking, and to implement a program to preserve and protect existing trees. His staff is also working on a resolution to develop an urban forestry program for planting the 60,000 trees, and he expects that to be presented to the City Council within the next couple of months.

CHAIR STOLDAL noted 2022 is the 110th anniversary of a massive tree-planting effort in the City of Las Vegas. He asked that the HPC to receive a presentation on the Biltmore Bungalows Survey. He also asked if the Harrison House restoration project is no longer moving forward. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said the Ward 5 Chamber of Commerce no longer owns the Harrison House; therefore, the agreement for the project for the windows and doors can no longer move forward and the grant will be terminated.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR asked about replacement of the palm trees along Las Vegas Boulevard, and MR. FLOYD said there are trees planned as part of the beautification project, but the trees that were removed will not come back in the same location.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked if there is a plan to make changes to Las Vegas Boulevard, northbound to Washington Boulevard, and MICHAEL HOWE, Planning Section Manager, said a report could be provided at the next meeting of the HPC.

11. **22-0261-HPC1** – Report by the Department of Planning regarding Project Update List

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT used a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, to provide updates on various projects.

The Westside and Charleston Heights Neighborhood surveys are in progress. The Rafael Rivera Historic Context and Survey is pending the RFP (Request for Proposal) process, and she was awaiting the final contract from the National Park Service. The Historic Preservation Manual will be made available online as soon as the City department name changes are finalized so that the work does not have to be repeated.

Regarding a records request system from COMMISSIONER COSGROVE at a prior meeting, COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT mentioned that any of the old meetings are available on the City's website under Meetings and Agendas, as well as the venue to make records requests.

Continuing with updates, COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said an update report on the Huntridge Theatre will be made in June. Floyd Lamb Park at Tule Springs Hay Barn doors have been completed, and a restroom will be added after the process is completed through the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The Mesquitewood Historic listing was approved at the May 18, 2022 City Council meeting and is pending addition to the layer map by GIS (Geographical Information System). The Las Vegas Days Parade was held May 14, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. and was led by the Mayor. It was very successful. The premiere for Part IV of the City's Documentary Film Series was held later that evening at the Springs Preserve. The other parts were shown throughout the day on KCLV Channel 2. As of May 24, 2022, the film had over 26,800 views on YouTube, so the films continue to be popular. The Historic Westside Neighborhood meeting regarding the Westside Survey on May 11, 2022 was very successful. COURTNEY MOONEY, North Wind Resource Consulting, answered questions. The meeting was available via WebEx. The John S. Park Neighborhood Outreach meeting on May 18, 2022 was also successful and was available on WebEx as well. Staff emphasized the requirement for pulling a Certificate of Appropriateness to make home improvements. The meeting was well attended and staff was available to answer questions.

CHAIR STOLDAL and COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT advised COMMISSIONER PALENCAR that the Huntridge Theatre representative will provide a status report in June.

CHAIR STOLDAL said the John S. Park Neighborhood meeting was positive and helpful.

12. **22-0262-HPC1** – Report by the Department of Planning regarding historic and archaeological resources in local media

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL said there was quite a bit of helpful material made available.

13. **22-0263-HPC1** – Discussion regarding topics for future agenda items by the Historic Preservation Commission. Comments made during this portion of the agenda by individual Commission Members shall refer solely to proposals for future agenda items and any discussion shall be limited to whether or not any such proposed item(s) is/are within the purview of the Commission and/or whether such proposed item(s) shall be placed on a future agenda. No discussion regarding the substance of any such proposed topic shall occur and no action shall be taken regarding the proposal.

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL asked the Commissioners if they had any topics to propose for future discussion.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR asked for an item on the historic guidelines they have been discussing for the past year, and whether the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) will be involved in the discussion. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said the matter will be placed on a future agenda as soon as all the information can be gathered.

CHAIR STOLDAL requested an item on a proposal to survey any new areas to be surveyed, to review the catalog to determine priorities on which existing surveys need updating and to consider hiring an outside firm to do the work.

COMMISSIONER LARIME said she would like the opportunity to comment on the Certificate of Appropriateness that the HPC denied for the Park Paseo property, and COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT interjected that the proprietor did not appeal, but would be submitting a new application.

CHAIR STOLDAL requested a report detailing the outstanding violations in the three historic neighborhoods.

14. **Citizens Participation:** Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No subject may be acted upon by the Commission unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

None.

15. **Adjournment**

Minutes:

The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

Gabriela Portillo-Brenner, Deputy City Clerk

Diane Siebrandt, Historic Preservation Officer

THIS MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS
IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NOTICING STANDARDS AS OUTLINED IN NRS 241.020:

The City of Las Vegas website – www.lasvegasnevada.gov

The Nevada Public Notice website – notice.nv.gov

City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor