



Historic Preservation Commission Minutes

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL called the meeting to order at 12:01 p.m.

PRESENT: CHAIR STOLDAL and COMMISSIONERS LARIME, LeVINE, BECK (via teleconference and excused until 12:03 p.m. and excused from 12:05 p.m. to 12:06 p.m.), HOTCHKISS (via teleconference and excused until 12:02 p.m.), SERFAS, COSGROVE, PALENCAR, PALACIOS, LONG, MOODY, GILLESPIE (ex-officio member and Nevada State Museum Designee) and SIEBRANDT (ex-officio member)

ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL HOWE, Planning Section Manager; PHILIP BYRNES, Deputy City Attorney; and JACQUIE MILLER, Senior Deputy City Clerk

2. Announcement Regarding: Compliance with Open Meeting Law

Minutes:

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE: This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations in accordance with the noticing standards as outlined in NRS 241.020: City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor; The City of Las Vegas website – www.lasvegasnevada.gov; and The Nevada Public Notice Website – notice.nv.gov.

3. Public Comment: Comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters on the agenda for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

None.

4. Chair's announcement relative to the Historic Preservation Commission

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL had no announcements.

5. For possible action to approve the Final Minutes by reference of the Special Meeting of January 27, 2022

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL called for a motion.

Motion made by Sondra Cosgrove to Approve

Passed For: 11; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 0

For-Robert Stoldal, Jack LeVine, Colleen M. Beck, Donald Hotchkiss, Richard Serfas, Sondra Cosgrove, Michelle Larime, Mia Palencar, Rhonda Long, Craig Palacios, Todd Moody; Did Not Vote-Diane Siebrandt, Nevada State Museum Designee;

6. **22-0068-HPC1** - Report by the Department of Planning Code Enforcement Section Manager regarding code compliance and enforcement within the Las Vegas city limits

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said that as a follow-up to the Historic Preservation Commission's (HPC) Guest Speaker Program and given the many questions that have come up, the Code Enforcement Division was invited to make a presentation.

VICKI OZUNA, Code Enforcement Section Manager, used a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, to explain the function of the Code Enforcement Division and how it effects every area of the City. They handle enforcement of codes for the Departments of Planning and Building Safety, and they make every effort to do this consistently for all neighborhoods. Enforcement includes effectuating Title 9-Nuisance, Title 13-Obstruction, Title 16-Building and Title 19-Zoning, and their subsections. They respond to complaints from citizens and work to rectify the issues reported. Code Enforcement Officers issue notice to property owners, work them through the enforcement process and strive for voluntary compliance. Methods of enforcement to gain compliance include issuance of Correction Notices, Notice and Order, Abatements, Criminal Citations, which could include up to a \$1,000 fine or up to six months in jail, and Civil Penalties. Most of their work stems from issuance of a Notice and Order, which has to be issued prior to going to court or filing liens on a property. Non-standard methods of enforcement include mediation between neighbors who conflict, as well as coordination with the Community Services Department to assist people, such as the elderly, in need of assistance to clear debris from their property. Code Enforcement works on joint inspections with Metro (Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department), Fire (Las Vegas Fire and Rescue), NV Energy, Southern Nevada Health District (SNHD), Social Services, Animal Control, Business License and City Marshals. The enforcement officers also attend neighborhood and town hall meetings of the City Council.

She showed a slide of a home with overgrown landscaping and noted that if they get rid of the grime, they get rid of the crime, and can help to deter squatters. She explained that Metro fixes problems in the short term and removes people from property if they do not belong there; whereas, Code Enforcement deals with long-term problems and tries to find solutions so that neighbors do not have to deal with the issues. They do enforcement for nuisance violations, which range from hazards, polluted water, refuse and waste, building and zoning violations, high and dead vegetation, graffiti, dilapidated structures to abandoned vehicles. She reviewed photos in the PowerPoint depicting some of the problems they encounter within the aforementioned categories. MS. OZUNA noted that appearances can be deceiving, as some homes look clean from the street view, but the homeowners have used their backyards as storage, and Code Enforcement has found dumped items on vacant properties such as tires, barrels of unknown substances and all sorts of refuse, all of which they try to address.

Title 16 is for enforcing the Housing and Building Codes to ensure safe living standards, and she went through the photos depicting the types of violations Code Enforcement deals with under the Housing Dangerous Building and Zoning Codes for residential and commercial properties. Some of these include unsafe plumbing, lack of running water, unsafe electrical wiring, unconnected sewer lines, damaged roofing, dilapidated walls and structures, unpermitted building additions, animal issues, unpermitted signage and unlicensed business activity.

MS. OZUNA said that Code Enforcement essentially deals in compliance, and they prefer to achieve this through educating residents.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked about the size of the department and how often officers have to go to court. MS. OZUNA stated she has 16 officers, two supervisors and administrative staff to stay on top of things. They do not have to testify often any more. When they have abatement cases, the City Attorney's office assists in obtaining warrants.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE asked if there were ways Code Enforcement would affect the Historic Preservation Commission, and MS. OZUNA replied that she works with COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT to make sure the property owners are following guidelines, but they only find out about property issues in response to complaints.

Regarding the Commissioner's question about an officer's ability to initiate action upon seeing a problem in a neighborhood, MS. OZUNA replied that they usually respond to complaints. However, in rare situations, the officer may have knowledge of a given situation and open a complaint. COMMISSIONER LeVINE asked about the people who sell food out of carts on the street, and MS. OZUNA stated that such cases fall under the purview of the Business License Division and the Southern Nevada Health District, who also has authority to confiscate the food.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR asked about the influx of federal funding and wondered about applying for more officers. MS. OZUNA stated they request additional staffing each year and have gotten two more this year, but they still have a low ratio of officers to population. As they get new officers, the training period is about three to four years to gain experience.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR stated that basketball hoops often obstruct the street cleaners and removal of street construction signs is often untimely, leaving the streets cluttered. MS. OZUNA said streets do not fall under the purview of Code Enforcement. Public Works is responsible to follow-up on equipment left from a previous construction job. Regarding basketball hoops, the Supreme Court issued a ruling allowing residents to have them. COMMISSIONER PALENCAR stated the City of Las Vegas has a hard time with enforcement and wished the officers could do more while they are driving around. MS. OZUNA stated the officers have a full load and from their perspective, if someone calls in a complaint, it is important to get those issues resolved. She stated that homelessness, vacant lots and abandoned properties are their current priorities, and she confirmed for CHAIR STOLDAL that the City Council defines those priorities. Resolution of neighborhood issues is a huge priority for the Council in order to keep property values up and neighborhoods safe.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE questioned Code Enforcement's involvement in illegal short-term rentals, and MS. OZUNA stated that is a large part of their work. The Council made a change a few years ago, and the only short-term rentals allowed are those that have three bedrooms and are owner occupied; however, there are some short-term rentals grandfathered in. She only has one officer handling short-term rentals on the weekend, and administrative staff takes care of the rest. Properties are monitored for at least one year. If the rental owner does not cease activity, Code Enforcement issues a warrant and gets information from the rental platforms. The owner is brought in for a public hearing and assessment of penalties for every day they are in violation. Code Enforcement's intent is to get the property owners to stop the illegal short-term renting. COMMISSIONER LeVINE stated that the illegal short-term rentals throughout Clark County are contributing to the housing inventory shortage, because they are being used as businesses.

COMMISSIONER LARIME asked about the process for unresponsive property owners, and MS. OZUNA explained they try to resolve situations expeditiously, and although there are many options, some take time. For instance, unpermitted building abatements can take from 60-120 days from initiation, and she explained the process, noting that failed inspections incur a \$500 fine. The Commissioner asked how a neighbor could keep up on a case, and MS. OZUNA stated calls could be made to the office at 702-229-6615 to get a general status report.

CHAIR STOLDAL thanked MS. OZUNA for her detailed report. He appreciated her knowledge.

7. **22-0071-HPC1** - Discussion for possible action regarding approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness Application for the installation of a window on the garage of property located at 1212 S. 7th Street - Ward 3 (Diaz)

Minutes:

JEN FREY appeared on behalf of the property owners and used a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, to show photos of the current condition of the property and of the proposed changes. They plan to paint the exterior, replace the garage door with a new window that will match the existing windows and install modern landscaping.

MEMBER PALENCAR asked if the purpose of replacing the garage door with a window is to convert it to a casita, and MS. FREY replied that the garage was converted into living space before the property was purchased. She assumed the previous owner pulled the permits. The Commissioner thought that a permit would have been required upon the purchase of the property by the new owner. JOSE RUBALCAVA, Better Place

Construction, interjected that they need approval of the window from the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) before they can submit for a full permit for the garage. MICHAEL HOWE, Planning Section Manager, explained that this matter pertains to the window for an existing detached structure, which is permissible. Should the owner want to convert it to a casita in the future, a Special Use Permit (SUP) would be required.

Moreover, COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT advised that in this case, the HPC is tasked with considering the appropriateness of the window for the historic character of the house and the surrounding neighborhood. CHAIR STOLDAL noted the applicant asked for permission first.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE observed that there is no garage door, and MR. RUBALCAVA explained that the prior owner started the construction. The Commissioner said the window is not appropriate, as it is a white vinyl window. MS. FREY clarified that the proposed window in the photo is what they are seeking confirmation on as a good fit.

COMMISSIONER PALACIOS said that in browsing through the packet, he saw a historic building, but the after photo depicted what seems to be a total renovation of the home. He regarded this as a misrepresentation and could not support the request. He said he could support keeping the home historic from the street view and installing the vinyl windows where they are not visible to the public. MS. FREY offered to answer any other questions of the Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER MOODY was very confused by the depictions in the photos; therefore, he preferred holding this matter in abeyance to allow the applicant to provide a new plan. He said staff indicated the recommended window is compatible with the existing windows, but he did not feel he could vote until seeing the window intended for installation.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked which of the three versions in the packet is the closest to the current state of the house, and conceded that he, too, was confused and not certain what they were voting on. He supported the abeyance as well.

MR. RUBALCAVA explained if they could get approval on the proposed cosmetic changes, which includes paint and landscaping, they could move forward and obtain building permits, but they are unable to do so without approval from the HPC.

COMMISSIONER LARIME perceived that most of the Commissioners are in accord with adding a window to the façade, but they are confused about what the actual window will look like, given the numerous photos included in the backup and on the PowerPoint. MR. RUBALCAVA said whatever the Commission approves is what he will install. CHAIR STOLDAL wondered if the applicant was asking the Commission to design the property, and MR. RUBALCAVA said the depiction was meant only to provide an idea.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE was confused that the home was purchased in October of 2021, and as a realtor, he saw the house had a garage with a garage door. However, sometime in the last three months, it has become a casita, and the existing window was installed without coming before the HPC. He would like the windows replaced with similar and like-kind windows. He thought if all the windows resembled the depiction in the photo of the proposed changes, he could support it. The casita is beyond the purview of the HPC.

COMMISSIONER PALACIOS said he does not have an opinion on most of the project, but he believes the renovations and any window visible from the street should fit the historical character of the John S. Park neighborhood. He recommended submitting documents that make sense to everyone.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR pointed out the house is in a historic district; therefore, the HPC should have been consulted first. She also did not think the garage should be converted into living space because of the extensive improvements that would be necessary. MR. HOWE stated that the agenda item pertains to consideration of a window on the garage area. If the applicant wishes to pursue an accessory structure, they would have to get an SUP. If approved, staff would like to have a specified window. CHAIR STOLDAL said the Commission is being asked to approve removing a garage door and replacing it with a window, and COMMISSIONER LeVINE said the garage door was removed.

COMMISSIONER MOODY stated that he needs to see the specific window, but the one depicted in the materials is not compatible with what is on the house now. If action were required at this meeting, he would have to vote no on the application.

The Commissioners discussed how long they should hold the item, and COMMISSIONER MOODY supported 30 days.

COMMISSIONER PALACIOS asked if he could report it to Code Enforcement, because from what he could see, architectural elements were removed from the structure. CHAIR STOLDAL said the motion was for abeyance for the applicant to provide clarity.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR added that too many times homeowners make changes before obtaining approval from the HPC, and she strongly felt that Planning should seek to rectify this through enforcement.

MS. FREY assured the Commissioners she will provide a clearer picture.

Motion made by Todd Moody to Hold in Abeyance to 3/23/2022

Passed For: 11; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 0

For-Robert Stoldal, Jack LeVine, Colleen M. Beck, Donald Hotchkiss, Richard Serfas, Sondra Cosgrove, Michelle Larime, Mia Palencar, Rhonda Long, Craig Palacios, Todd Moody; Did Not Vote-Diane Siebrandt, Nevada State Museum Designee;

8. **22-0069-HPC1** - Discussion for possible action regarding the approval of Contract Number: 220076-JH in the amount of \$50,000 for North Wind Resource Consulting, LLC to conduct an intensive level architectural inventory and survey of resources eligible for listing on the national and local historic registers that date between the years 1930 and 1979 and are associated with the African-American community in West Las Vegas, an area roughly bounded by Carey Avenue, Bonanza Road, Rancho Drive and Interstate 15 - Ward 5 (Crear)

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT stated that during the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) meeting in February of 2021, the Commission submitted a grant proposal to the National Park Service's (NPS) Underrepresented Community (URC) Grant Program, which was approved for a survey of West Las Vegas. Consequently, a Request for Proposal (RFP) was issued, and the reviewing committee rated North Wind Resource Consulting as the highest and most responsive. She requested approval of the contract to move forward with the work.

COMMISSIONER PALENCAR was confused about the exact use of the money. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT explained that it is for the completion of a survey. There are several context reports for the area, which consist of several structures, buildings and properties that may be eligible for listing on the National and local registers. This funding would pay the consultant to complete the survey and the forms to nominate eligible properties. COMMISSIONER PALENCAR asked if COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT'S office could do the work, and she said no.

COMMISSIONER LARIME said that in her own research into ethnic and cultural areas, sometimes an architectural approach is not the best. She saw others mention about looking into ties to segregation and other major civil rights movements that have occurred in the area. The many resource surveys initiated for the Historic West Side have come back with a lot of information focusing on architecture. She hopes the subject survey digs deeper and focuses on cultural history and on how events and people have influenced the history of the area, instead of on the architectural history. The architectural component should be about the extent of resources remaining and what may have been demolished. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT replied that the Scope of Work specifically includes a clause to reflect that, so the consultant will look at the existing properties and people(s) for event(s) associated with the property.

CHAIR STOLDAL verified with COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT that the focus will be specifically on the African-American experience. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT mentioned submittal of a grant to the NPS URC grant for a similar survey for the Latinx community of East Las Vegas.

COMMISSIONER LONG asked who makes sure the consultant is meeting milestones, and had questions about milestones two and three and attending public outreach meetings. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT explained the consultant will report to her regularly, and she makes sure they meet the milestones. The amount allowed for each milestone was in the grant proposal the company submitted and is what they are charging to conduct the research and writing, and it was approved.

Motion made by Michelle Larime to Approve

NOTE: Commissioner Palacios disclosed that he is a professional service provider for the City of Las Vegas and abstained from discussion and voting as it overlaps with work he does for the City.

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 0

For-Robert Stoldal, Jack LeVine, Colleen M. Beck, Donald Hotchkiss, Richard Serfas, Sondra Cosgrove, Michelle Larime, Mia Palencar, Rhonda Long, Todd Moody; Abstain-Craig Palacios; Did Not Vote-Diane Siebrandt, Nevada State Museum Designee;

9. **22-0080-HPC1** - Discussion for possible action regarding the approval of an application to designate the property known as Mesquitewood, LLC, located at 418 West Mesquite Avenue (APN 139-27-401-039) T5-M (Maker) Zone, on the City of Las Vegas Historic Property Register as a Historic Site - Ward 5 (Crear)

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT used a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, and stated that this matter pertains to a property that the owner requested be placed on the City's register.

STEVEN and MARY ELLEN SPANN were present. MR. SPANN said he is one of the Board members of the Nevada Preservation Foundation. He visited the Helen Tolland house, which is on the City's historic register, and mentioned that much of historic preservation is about preserving the stories. He told a story of a man, ROBERT KALTENBORN, who moved to Las Vegas in 1936 and tried to promote other businesses to talk about tourism. In 1938, he was the first person to come up with the idea of promoting Las Vegas as a wedding and divorce destination. He also promoted Las Vegas in the High Wire publication. In his opinion, MR. KALTENBORN could be considered one of the fathers of the wedding and tourist industry.

MR. SPANN referred to slide 4 of the PowerPoint presentation and reviewed the history of the building and MR. KALTENBORN'S accomplishments as an entrepreneur, philanthropist and as a member of several organizations and government, including establishing scholarship funds at UNLV (University of Nevada, Las Vegas) and the Junior Chamber of Commerce. The property, depicted on slide 3, is 67 years old and reflects Las Vegas' economic past.

MR. SPANN said that in summary, MR. KALTENBORN helped shape the city's residential and commercial development and was instrumental in promoting Las Vegas as a tourist destination. The property represents a period of growth for the City as it transitioned from a railroad town to a vibrant resort city catering to tourists and residents alike.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE stated he was in full support of this application.

Motion made by Jack LeVine to Approve

NOTE: Commissioner Palacios disclosed that he was the architect for some interior work done on the project but felt his opinion would not be swayed. However, he realized that the applicant's ADA (Americans with

Disabilities Act) ramp on the front of the building was generated by his office and can be seen from the street, so out of an abundance of caution he abstained from voting.

Passed For: 10; Against: 0; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 0

For-Robert Stoldal, Jack LeVine, Colleen M. Beck, Donald Hotchkiss, Richard Serfas, Sondra Cosgrove, Michelle Larime, Mia Palencar, Rhonda Long, Todd Moody; Abstain-Craig Palacios; Did Not Vote-Diane Siebrandt, Nevada State Museum Designee;

10. **22-0072-HPC1** - Discussion for possible action regarding electing Historic Preservation Commission Officers

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL explained that he and COMMISSIONER LARIME were filling in as Chair and Vice Chair, respectively, for vacancies left by the resignation of former Chair CLAYTEE WHITE. He explained the process for elections and asked for nominations.

Motion made by Sondra Cosgrove to Approve the election of Robert Stoldal as Chair

Passed For: 11; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 0

For-Robert Stoldal, Jack LeVine, Colleen M. Beck, Donald Hotchkiss, Richard Serfas, Sondra Cosgrove, Michelle Larime, Mia Palencar, Rhonda Long, Craig Palacios, Todd Moody; Did Not Vote-Diane Siebrandt, Nevada State Museum Designee;

Motion made by Robert Stoldal to Approve the election of Michelle Larime as Vice Chair

Passed For: 11; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 0

For-Robert Stoldal, Jack LeVine, Colleen M. Beck, Donald Hotchkiss, Richard Serfas, Sondra Cosgrove, Michelle Larime, Mia Palencar, Rhonda Long, Craig Palacios, Todd Moody; Did Not Vote-Diane Siebrandt, Nevada State Museum Designee;

11. **22-0073-HPC1** - Report by the Department of Planning regarding Director's updates

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said that SETH FLOYD, Community Development Director, was not present, but he had no updates to report.

12. **22-0074-HPC1** - Report by the Department of Planning regarding Project Update List

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT used a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was submitted for the record, to report on projects funded through the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) that were completed or are under way.

Las Vegas High School National Register of Historic Places - District Listing – COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT was supposed to have a response Washington, D.C. during the week, but she was still awaiting an answer. She would be contacting SHPO (State Historic Preservation Office) the following week if she had not heard anything by then.

Charleston Heights Survey Grant – The contract will be on the agenda for approval at the HPC meeting of March 23, 2022

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT said there has been no change in status for the Centennial Commission Projects since the HPC meeting of January 27, 2022.

The Roulette Motel Sign was donated to the City and is sitting in the service yard. It was taken down on February 12, 2022. The images shown on slide 3 depicted its dismantling. The sign will be restored at a later date.

There will be a virtual design review class for the Commissioners on March 9, 2022 at 9:00 am. There will be a recording available for 60 days if they are not able to attend the live meeting.

With May being Historic Preservation Month, COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT encouraged the Commissioners to start thinking about who or what they would like to nominate for awards for the categories of Dorothy Wright Bricks and Mortar, Preservation Education, Advocacy and Career Achievement. Staff will forward the Commissioners the applications and descriptions of the requirements and guidelines for each category. An official announcement will be made at the HPC meeting of March 23, 2022, nominations will be due no later than April 1, 2022, the Commissioners will vote on the nominations at the meeting of April 27, 2022 and presentations to the awardees will be made at the meeting of May 25, 2022. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT reminded the Commissioners to provide a description on their nominations for inclusion on the certificate.

COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT referred to the Upcoming Conferences slide and said the National Alliance of Preservation Commissions conferences is in-person in Cincinnati, Ohio. SHPO usually presents a grant to apply for, but they were waiting for a firm confirmation on the status. Past Forward's conference will be held virtually in November.

CHAIR STOLDAL understood that some work is being done on the Moulin Rouge site and wondered if the City has information on that. COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT and MICHAEL HOWE, Planning Section Manager, said they had not seen anything or any permits. CHAIR STOLDAL asked about the pillars, and MR. HOWE stated they are in the City's possession and in storage, and COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT interjected they are being stored at Cashman Center. She explained for CHAIR STOLDAL that if someone inquired about the pillars, they would contact the Public Works Department, who would contact her, as they understand the pillars are under the purview of historic preservation. MR. HOWE added that they would meet with Planning Department staff in the pre-development process for any project, and as it is a historic site, they would review the requirements. CHAIR STOLDAL noted they still have a gambling license, and they bring in a trailer with slot machines once yearly.

13. **22-0075-HPC1** - Report by the Department of Planning regarding historic and archaeological resources in local media

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL encouraged the Commissioners to forward information to COMMISSIONER SIEBRANDT if they see something in local media to share.

14. **22-0076-HPC1 - Discussion regarding topics for future agenda items by the Historic Preservation Commission.** Comments made during this portion of the agenda by individual Commission Members shall refer solely to proposals for future agenda items and any discussion shall be limited to whether or not any such proposed item(s) is/are within the purview of the Commission and/or whether such proposed item(s) shall be placed on a future agenda. No discussion regarding the substance of any such proposed topic shall occur and no action shall be taken regarding the proposal.

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL asked the Commissioners if they had any topics to propose for future discussion, but there were no suggestions.

15. **Citizens Participation:** Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No subject may be acted upon by the Commission unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come forward and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited.

Minutes:

None.

16. **Adjournment**

Minutes:

The meeting was adjourned at 1:41 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

Jacquie Miller, Senior Deputy City Clerk

Diane Siebrandt, Historic Preservation Officer

Minutes Prepared by:
Gabriela Portillo-Brenner, Deputy City Clerk

THIS MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS
IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NOTICING STANDARDS AS OUTLINED IN NRS 241.020:

The City of Las Vegas website – www.lasvegasnevada.gov

The Nevada Public Notice website – notice.nv.gov

City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor