

Reid: 10/25/22

Albert Sung

From: Kellin Collins <Kellin.Collins@westwoodps.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2022 9:19 AM
To: Albert Sung; Peter Jackson
Cc: Daniel Poll; Oscar Quiroz
Subject: Kyle Canyon Gateway Unit 2 (DS 5577)
Attachments: Kyle Canyon Gateway Unit 2 (DS 5577)

SUPPLEMENT #2

CAUTION: This email originated from an **External Source**. Please **use caution** before opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. **Do not sign-in with your City of Las Vegas account credentials.**

Albert/Peter,

Thanks again for the conf. call this morning. The modifications to the storm drain manhole #6 structure with 2 manholes and 60" rings with 30" lid will be accommodated thru the improvement plan process. For the explanation of the temporary smaller pipe extending south at the site entry, please see attached.

Let us know if you need anything else.

Kellin Collins

Project Manager
kellin.collins@westwoodps.com

direct (702) 284-5373
main (702) 284-5300

Westwood
5725 W. Badura Ave, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89118

westwoodps.com
(888) 937-5150

Albert Sung

From: Kellin Collins <Kellin.Collins@westwoodps.com>
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2022 7:55 AM
To: Albert Sung
Cc: Oscar Quiroz; Paul Morton
Subject: Kyle Canyon Gateway Unit 2 (DS 5577)

Good morning Albert,

We are following up on comment #5 for the subject project. In particular, the following portion of the comment:

The response mentioned that the smaller size and shallower depth of Facility 5A is to meet utility separation requirements and will be removed and replaced when the storm drain is extended south for ultimate condition. The problem will be when the storm drain is extended and replaced with a larger diameter pipe to meet the ultimate condition, how the utility separation requirements will be met then?

And our response is as follows:

There are no anticipated utility separation concerns with the water line and future larger pipe size extension and anticipated inverts. The mentioned utility separation is only associated with the resulting temporary sump grading and not the pipe in relation to the water line. In order to reduce the temporary sump grading, the pipe size needed to be reduced, raised in elevation, and only service the proposed temporary condition.

Given the information provided and assuming CLV acceptance of the response, we are not proposing any storm drain modifications at the sump inlet in Michelli Crest Way in the forthcoming addendum package.

If CLV is acceptance of this response to comment #5 and all other comments/conditions seeming to not impact the site or storm drain designs, would it be possible to move forward with improvement plan submittal prior to receiving condition drainage study approval?

Oscar Quiroz and Paul Morton have been included in this e-mail to coordinate further as I will be out starting 10/3 and returning 10/12.

Much appreciated,

Kellin Collins

Project Manager

kellin.collins@westwoodps.com

direct (702) 284-5373

main (702) 284-5300

Westwood

5725 W. Badura Ave, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89118

westwoodps.com

(888) 937-5150