



Agenda Item No.: 6.

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING

DIRECTOR: ROBERT SUMMERFIELD

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION - Any item from the Planning Commission, staff and/or the applicant wish to be spoken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time.

Motion made by W. QUINN to Hold in abeyance Items 11, 27, 28, 31, 32, 48-55, 57-60, 76, 80, 81, and 83 to 8/14/2018 and Items 20 and 21 to 10/9/2018

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

CHRISTINA ROUSH, DONNA TOUSSAINT, TRINITY HAVEN SCHLOTTMAN, SAM CHERRY, VICKI QUINN LOUIS DE SALVIO, BRENDA J. WILLIAMS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:

CHAIR CHERRY requested to pull Items 67 and 91 forward for discussion following Item 6.

COMMISSIONER QUINN noted that this is the second abeyance request for Items 20, 21, 27, 28, 31 and 32.

PAUL BENGTON, Senior Management Analyst, clarified that the notification and agenda header for Item 69 contains a numerical error. He stated that the Subject paragraph of the Agenda Summary Page identifies the project as being 169,817 square-feet, when in reality the project is composed of 442,000 square-feet. However, the notification and header accurately provide a full description of the components of the proposal, which is a Mixed-Use Development comprised of 22,000 square-feet of Commercial Space and 325 Multi-Family Residential Units. Staff feels the notification is sufficient to meet the requirements of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and City Code.

In regard to Item 11, MR. BENGTON stated that staff documented that the notification signage posted at this site was no longer on display; therefore, staff is requesting that this item be abeyed to the August 14, 2018 Planning Commission meeting.

In regard to Items 20 and 21, MR. BENGTON noted that this is the second abeyance request; therefore, staff recommends that this matter be tabled rather than abeyed since the applicant has not provided any indication that they will be ready to proceed in the near future. He added that tabled items must be recalled within six months or they will expire, and that an applicant must pay a re-notification fee to recall the item.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, on behalf of the applicant for Items 20 and 21, explained that they have been working closely with COUNCILWOMAN FIORES office and EXECUTIVE

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: JULY 10, 2018

DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TOM PERRIGO regarding a potential land swap. MR. GRONAUER believed that within the next three months, they would have a better idea as to whether or not the item will be moving forward; therefore, the 90-day abeyance was requested.

