



City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 12.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING
SPECIAL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: OCTOBER 18, 2016**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING
DIRECTOR: TOM PERRIGO

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT: BEYANES - SDR-62393 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-62387 AND CON-62392 - PUBLIC MEETING - APPLICANT/OWNER: SEVENTY ACRES, LLC - For possible action on a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 720-UNIT MIDDLE-CLASS FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (CONDOMINIUM) DEVELOPMENT CONSISTING OF SEVEN, FOUR-STORY BUILDINGS on 17.49 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard (APN 138-32-301-005), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone [PROPOSED: R-4 (High Density Residential)], Ward 2 (Beers) [PP-62227] - Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION.

C.C.: 11/16/2016

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

287

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

103

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL subject to conditions:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Consolidated Backup
2. Supporting Documentation

Motion made by GLENN TROWBRIDGE to Approve

Passed For: 5; Against: 2; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

GLENN TROWBRIDGE, TODD L. MOODY, TRINITY HAVEN SCHLOTTMAN, GUS FLANGAS, SAM CHERRY; (Against-CEDRIC CREAR, VICKI QUINN); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

NOTE: CHAIR MOODY disclosed his friendship with BILL BAYNES, CEO for the original developer of Queensridge. However, because Peccole no longer had any development interest in Queensridge and therefore is not a party and interest in any land use application, he was advised that that is not a conflict. CHAIR MOODY also disclosed that he is a partner in the law firm of Hutchison and Steffen, whose offices are located directly north of Queensridge and Badlands Golf Course, and that Peccole is a joint owner of the building where the law offices are located; however, he personally does not have any pecuniary interests or other interests that would affect his independent judgement of all applications. Furthermore, any affect approval or denial of these applications may have on the value of the law office or the property on which it sits, would

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: OCTOBER 18, 2016

be no more or less than for any other business or group in the area. Therefore, he was advised he has no conflict and would vote on all items.

Minutes:

See Items 6, 10 and 11 for related backup and Item 6 for related discussion.

