



Agenda Item No.: 9.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING
SPECIAL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: OCTOBER 18, 2016

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING
DIRECTOR: TOM PERRIGO

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT: BEYANES - DIR-63602 - DIRECTOR'S BUSINESS RELATED TO MOD-63600 - PUBLIC HEARING APPLICANT OWNER 180 LAND CO, LLC, ET AL - For possible action on a request for a Development Agreement between 180 Land Co. LLC, et al. and the City of Las Vegas on 250,000 sq ft at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard (APNs 138-31-702-002; 138-31-702-003; 138-32-202-001; and 138-32-301-005 and 007), Ward 2 (Beer) [PRJ-63491]. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

C.C.: 11/16/2016

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

586

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

128

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Consolidated Backup
2. Staff Report
3. Supporting Documentation
4. Development Agreement
4. Submitted after Final Agenda Addendum, Neighborhood Meeting Information and Supplemental Staff Report

Motion made by VICKI QUINN to Deny

Passed For: 4; Against: 3; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

CEDRIC CREAR, VICKI QUINN, GUS FLANGAS, SAM CHERRY; (Against-GLENN TROWBRIDGE, TODD L. MOODY, TRINITY HAVEN SCHLOTTMAN); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

NOTE: A previous motion for Approval by Trowbridge failed with Crear, Quinn, Flangas and Cherry voting No.

NOTE: CHAIR MOODY disclosed his friendship with BILL BAYNES, CEO for the original developer of Queensridge. However, because Peccole no longer had any development interest in Queensridge and therefore is not a party and interest in any land use application, he was advised that that is not a conflict. CHAIR MOODY also disclosed that he is a partner in the law firm of

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: OCTOBER 18, 2016

Hutchison and Steffen, whose offices are located directly north of Queensridge and Badlands Golf Course, and that Peccole is a joint owner of the building where the law offices are located; however, he personally does not have any pecuniary interests or other interests that would affect his independent judgement of all applications. Furthermore, any affect approval or denial of these applications may have on the value of the law office or the property on which it sits, would be no more or less than for any other business or group in the area. Therefore, he was advised he has no conflict and would vote on all items.

Minutes:

See Items 6 and 8 for related backup and Item 6 for related discussion.

