

City of Las Vegas

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING
CITY HALL, 495 S. MAIN STREET
CITY CLERK'S 2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
CITY OF LAS VEGAS INTERNET ADDRESS: www.lasvegasnevada.gov
JANUARY 22, 2013
9:00 A.M.**

THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE WILL RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT ON EACH ITEM OF LEGISLATION BEING CONSIDERED. THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MAY, THEREAFTER, CONTINUE THE HEARING TO A FUTURE DATE OR FORMULATE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR PASSAGE, REJECTION OR AMENDMENT OF THE PROPOSED BILL. ANY MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL MAY SUBSTITUTE FOR A MEMBER OF THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AT ANY TIME.

ITEMS LISTED ON THE AGENDA MAY BE TAKEN OUT OF ORDER PRESENTED; TWO OR MORE AGENDA ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION MAY BE COMBINED; AND ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA MAY BE REMOVED OR RELATED DISCUSSION MAY BE DELAYED AT ANY TIME.

DUPLICATE AUDIO CDS MAY BE AVAILABLE AT A COST OF \$5.00 EACH THROUGH THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE.

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
3. Bill No. 2013-1 - For Possible Action - Amends the Unified Development Code to revise the provisions governing Master Sign Plans as they apply to certain gaming and downtown properties. (TXT-46047)
Proposed by: Flinn Fagg, Director of Planning
4. Bill No. 2013-2 - For Possible Action - Authorizes the deferral of sewer connection fees for property located within a redevelopment area under specified circumstances. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney
5. Bill No. 2013-3 - For Possible Action - Authorizes one or more pilot programs by which mobile food vendors may occupy parking spaces on designated public streets for the purpose of vending. Sponsored by: Mayor Carolyn G. Goodman
6. CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the committee. No subject may be acted upon by the committee unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come to the podium and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited
7. ADJOURNMENT

ALL INTERESTED PERSONS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND: Copies of the above Bills may be obtained through the Office of the City Clerk, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

City of Las Vegas

Facilities are provided throughout City Hall for the convenience of disabled persons. Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically handicapped persons. If you need an accommodation to attend and participate in this meeting, please call the City Clerk's office at 229-6311 and advise of your need at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting. The City's TDD number is 386-9108.

THIS MEETING HAS BEEN PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor
Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway
Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue
City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 22, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

SUBJECT:
CALL TO ORDER



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 22, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

SUBJECT:

ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 22, 2013**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐ Consent ☒ Discussion**SUBJECT:**

Bill No. 2013-1 - For Possible Action - Amends the Unified Development Code to revise the provisions governing Master Sign Plans as they apply to certain gaming and downtown properties. (TXT-46047) Proposed by: Flinn Fagg, Director of Planning

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact**☐**Augmentation Required**☐**Budget Funds Available****Amount:****Funding Source:****Dept./Division:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will amend the Unified Development Code to revise the provisions governing Master Sign Plans as they apply to certain gaming and downtown properties. The purpose of the amendment is to clarify that the Master Sign Plan process need not be followed (and will not be available) for gaming and downtown properties whose signage is otherwise subject to a review process.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2013-1

BILL NO. 2013-1

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE TO REVISE THE PROVISIONS GOVERNING MASTER SIGN PLANS AS THEY APPLY TO CERTAIN GAMING AND DOWNTOWN PROPERTIES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Proposed by: Flinn Fagg, Director of Planning

Summary: Amends the Unified Development Code to revise the provisions governing Master Sign Plans as they apply to certain gaming and downtown properties.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Ordinance No. 6190 and the Unified Development Code adopted as Title 19 of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, are hereby amended as set forth in Section 2 of this Ordinance.

SECTION 2: Title 19, Chapter 16, Section 270, Subsection (B), of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

B. Applicability

1. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted and approved before any on-premise signage may be installed for the following:

a. Any nonresidential project with a site larger than 15 net acres; or

b. Any non-restricted gaming establishment[.] whose signage is not otherwise subject to review by the Downtown Design Review Committee under this Title.

2. A Master Sign Plan may be submitted for any development or property not otherwise required to submit a Master Sign Plan to accomplish one or more of the following:

a. To establish the requirements and limitations [for signs located in the Gaming and Downtown Overlay districts, and] for signs relating to uses that are not regulated elsewhere in this Title and that are located on property in the Planned Community and Planned Development districts;

b. To establish requirements and limitations for signs in a specific development that are more restrictive than would otherwise be required by this Title; or

1 c. To satisfy a condition or requirement imposed by the Planning Commission or City
2 Council.

3 SECTION 3: For purposes of Section 2.100(3) of the City Charter, Section 19.16.270
4 is deemed to be a subchapter rather than a section.

5 SECTION 4: The Department of Planning is authorized and directed to incorporate
6 into the Unified Development Code the amendments set forth in Section 2 of this Ordinance.

7 SECTION 5: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
8 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or
9 ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
10 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
11 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
12 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
13 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
14 invalid or ineffective.

15 SECTION 6: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
16 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
17 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

18 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2013.

19 APPROVED:

20
21 By _____
CAROLYN G. GOODMAN, Mayor

22 ATTEST:

23 _____
24 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

25 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

26 Val Hearn 12-18-12
27 Date
28

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2013, and referred to a committee for recommendation, the
3 committee being composed of the following members _____
4 _____; thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the
5 ____ day of _____, 2013, which was a _____ meeting of said
6 Council; that at said _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title
7 to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____
9 VOTING "NAY": _____
10 ABSENT: _____
11

12 APPROVED:

13
14 By _____
CAROLYN G. GOODMAN, Mayor

15 ATTEST:

16
17 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 22, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:

Bill No. 2013-2 - For Possible Action - IAuthorizes the deferral of sewer connection fees for property located within a redevelopment area under specified circumstances. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

☐

Augmentation Required

☐

Budget Funds Available

Amount:

Funding Source:

Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would authorize the establishment of a program to allow the deferral of sewer connection fees for property located within a redevelopment area. Such fees, which can be substantial, presently are required to be paid in connection with a building permit. In order to encourage the development or expansion of businesses within redevelopment areas, it is proposed to authorize the deferral of such fees for periods of time up to three years.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2013-2
2. Business Impact Statement

1 **BILL NO. 2013-2**

2 **ORDINANCE NO. _____**

3 AN ORDINANCE TO AUTHORIZE THE DEFERRAL OF SEWER CONNECTION FEES FOR
4 PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN A REDEVELOPMENT AREA UNDER SPECIFIED
CIRCUMSTANCES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

5 Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney Summary: Authorizes the deferral of sewer
6 connection fees for property located within a
7 redevelopment area under specified circum-
stances.

8 THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
9 AS FOLLOWS:

10 SECTION 1: Title 14, Chapter 4, Section 230, of the Municipal Code of the City of
11 Las Vegas, Nevada 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

12 **14.04.230:** (A) Except as otherwise permitted pursuant to a program established under
13 Subsection (B), [The] the occupancy fee for a sewer connection must be paid at the time the building
14 permit for the structure which will be connected to the sewer is issued.

15 (B) For property located within a redevelopment area created by City ordinance,
16 the City Manager or a designee may establish and implement a program pursuant to which, in
17 particular cases, payment of the occupancy fee for sewer connection may be deferred for a period not
18 to exceed three years. In connection with any such program, the City Manager or designee shall
19 establish:

20 (1) Eligibility criteria;
21 (2) An application and decision making process;
22 (3) Program standards and requirements, which may include the payment
23 of interest or other charges for fee deferral based on the deferral period, and the requirement to enter
24 into a deferral and repayment agreement; and

25 (4) The means by which delinquent deferred connection fees and associated
26 interest and deferral charges may be collected, including the use of consensual or nonconsensual liens
27 on benefited property.

28 (C) The City Council specifically authorizes the use of liens for collection purposes in

connection with a program established under Subsection (B).

SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective.

SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases, sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2013.

APPROVED:

By CAROLYN G. GOODMAN, Mayor

ATTEST:

BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Val Steed 12-19-12
Date

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2013, and referred to a committee for recommendation, the
3 committee being composed of the following members _____
4 _____; thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the
5 ____ day of _____, 2013, which was a _____ meeting of said
6 Council; that at said _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title
7 to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11

12 APPROVED:

13

14 By _____
CAROLYN G. GOODMAN, Mayor

15 ATTEST:

16

17 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
18 City Clerk

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT
BILL NO. 2013-2
(Authorizes the deferral of sewer connection fees for property located
within a redevelopment area under specified circumstances)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2013-2, that would authorize the deferral of sewer connection fees for property located within a redevelopment area under specified circumstances.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

No comments were solicited. The proposal is beneficial to businesses and is not anticipated to have a negative impact

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None identified

Beneficial effects:

Benefits associated with fee deferral opportunities

Direct effects:

None

Indirect effects:

None

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Not applicable

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Not applicable

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: December 19, 2012

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 22, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:

Bill No. 2013-3 - For Possible Action - Authorizes one or more pilot programs by which mobile food vendors may occupy parking spaces on designated public streets for the purpose of vending.
Sponsored by: Mayor Carolyn G. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

☐

Augmentation Required

☐

Budget Funds Available

Amount:

Funding Source:

Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will authorize one or more pilot programs by which mobile food vendors may occupy parking spaces on designated public streets for the purpose of vending. Standard parking regulations do not allow such use of rights-of-way. A program established under this bill will allow such use under limited circumstances that are consistent with the City's goal of providing for convenient access to a variety of dining experiences at designated locations.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2013-3
2. Business Impact Statement

BILL NO. 2013-3

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE TO AUTHORIZE ONE OR MORE PILOT PROGRAMS BY WHICH MOBILE FOOD VENDORS MAY OCCUPY PARKING SPACES ON DESIGNATED PUBLIC STREETS FOR THE PURPOSE OF VENDING, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

Sponsored by: Mayor Carolyn G. Goodman

Summary: Authorizes one or more pilot programs by which mobile food vendors may occupy parking spaces on designated public streets for the purpose of vending.

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Title 11, Chapter 54, of the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section, designated as Section 240, reading as follows:

11.54.240: (A) The Traffic Engineer or the Parking Division of the Department of Economic and Urban Development may establish on a temporary basis one or more pilot programs pursuant to which persons licensed as mobile food vendors may occupy parking spaces located on selected public rights-of-way for the purpose of vending. Such programs may include one or more of the following elements:

(1) A lottery or other system under which interested vendors, by means of an application or similar process and the payment of a fee, may become eligible to participate in the pilot vending program.

(2) Payment by a mobile food vendor, by means of a parking meter, for the right to occupy and vend within designated parking spaces.

(3) A system of rotation or other method by which interested vendors may participate on an equitable basis with other vendors and by which the public can have access to a variety of dining categories and food products.

(B) The use of a parking space in accordance with a program established under this Section is exempt from any prohibition on such use that otherwise would apply.

SECTION 2: Title 11, Chapter 10, Section 180, of the Municipal Code of the City of

1 Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

2 **11.10.180:** (A) Except as provided in this Section, for parking infractions other than those set
3 forth in LVMC 11.10.160 and 11.10.170, the civil fine is twenty dollars.

4 (B) For abandoning or for stopping, standing or parking a vehicle in violation of
5 LVMC 11.24.010 or 11.52.305, the civil fine is seventy-five dollars, plus any towing and storage fees
6 which may be assessed in connection with the removal of the vehicle pursuant to this Title.

7 (C) For a violation of Subsection (A) of LVMC 11.66.080, the civil fine is five
8 hundred dollars.

9 (D) In connection with parking at a meter subject to a pilot program for mobile food
10 vendors established pursuant to LVMC 11.52.240, the civil fine is:

11 (1) Eighty dollars, for a vehicle that is eligible for the pilot program and is
12 parked at the meter reserved for or assigned to that vehicle but was parked in excess of the time for
13 which the meter was activated or was parked without activating the meter.

14 (2) One hundred dollars, for a vehicle that is eligible for the pilot program
15 but was parked at a meter other than one reserved for or assigned to that vehicle.

16 (3) One hundred dollars, for a vehicle that is ineligible for the pilot program
17 and was parked at the meter.

18 SECTION 3: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
19 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or
20 ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
21 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
22 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
23 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
24 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
25 invalid or ineffective.

26 . . .

27 . . .

28 . . .

SECTION 4: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases, sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2013.

APPROVED:

By CAROLYN G. GOODMAN, Mayor

ATTEST:

BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Val Steed 12-20-12
Date

Date _____

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of ____, 2013, and referred to a committee for recommendation, the
3 committee being composed of the following members ____
4 ____; thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the
5 ____ day of ____, 2013, which was a ____ meeting of said
6 Council; that at said ____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title
7 to the City Council as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____
9 VOTING "NAY": _____
10 ABSENT: _____
11

12 APPROVED:

13
14 By _____
CAROLYN G. GOODMAN, Mayor

15 ATTEST:

16
17 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
18 City Clerk
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL NO. 2013-3

(Authorizes one or more pilot programs by which mobile food vendors may occupy parking spaces on designated public streets for the purpose of vending)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2013-3, that would authorize one or more pilot programs by which mobile food vendors may occupy parking spaces on designated public streets for the purpose of vending.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

No comments were solicited. The proposal is beneficial to businesses who are the subject of the proposal, and participation is voluntary

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None identified

Beneficial effects:

Benefits associated with vending opportunities

Direct effects:

None

Indirect effects:

None

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

The cost, if any, of a program authorized by this proposal is unknown, to depend on program implementation and participation

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

There will be fees associated with participating in the program and for use of parking spaces, but any such participation will be voluntary. The amount of revenue to be collected is unknown, to depend on program implementation and participation

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Any fees collected will be used to help offset costs of parking administration and enforcement, including pilot programs

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: December 20, 2012

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 22, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

SUBJECT:

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA MUST BE LIMITED TO MATTERS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE COMMITTEE. NO SUBJECT MAY BE ACTED UPON BY THE COMMITTEE UNLESS THAT SUBJECT IS ON THE AGENDA AND IS SCHEDULED FOR ACTION. IF YOU WISH TO BE HEARD, COME TO THE PODIUM AND GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION ON ANY SINGLE SUBJECT, AS WELL AS THE AMOUNT OF TIME ANY SINGLE SPEAKER IS ALLOWED, MAY BE LIMITED



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 22, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:
ADJOURNMENT

