



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 8, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:

Bill No. 2012-59 - For Possible Action - Updates the privileged licensing regulations regarding licensing and suitability review and the investigation process. (TXT - 44620) Sponsored by: Councilman RICKI Y. BARLOW

Fiscal Impact

☐ No Impact ☐ Augmentation Required
☐ Budget Funds Available

Amount:

Funding Source:

Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will update the privileged licensing regulations regarding licensing and suitability review and the investigation process. The bill will 1) clarify when certain kinds of background investigations will be required of license applicants and principals, 2) clarify the submittals necessary in connection with financial background investigations, and 3) establish an ongoing duty for principals to report certain kinds of actions taken against them.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2012-59
2. Business Impact Statement

Motion made by STAVROS S. ANTHONY to Approve as Do Pass as a First Amendment

Passed For: 3; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

BOB COFFIN, RICKI Y. BARLOW, STAVROS S. ANTHONY; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:

By way of reading the item, COUNCILMAN BARLOW declared the Public Hearing open.

KAREN DUDDLESTEN, Business Licensing Manager, explained that the request to update and streamline the business licensing process came out of presentations at City Council meetings. She then provided a brief overview of the proposed updates and recommended the deletion of

City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: **3.**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 8, 2013

wording on Page 8, Line 2, that states, "where the business holds a business license." This deletion would mean that any conviction would have to be reported, regardless of what jurisdiction the conviction occurred in.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED read the proposed amendment as recommended by staff and stated the City would be interested in all convictions no matter where they occurred.

COUNCILMAN BARLOW received clarification from DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED that the City Council has the authority to hold disciplinary hearings as they deem necessary, but would not be obligated to hold disciplinary hearings.

COUNCILMAN COFFIN agreed that disciplinary proceedings are not always necessary.

By way of reading the next item, COUNCILMAN BARLOW declared the Public Hearing closed.

