



AGENDA MEMO - PLANNING

CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE: MARCH 15, 2017

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING

ITEM DESCRIPTION: - APPLICANT/OWNER: 180 LAND COMPANY, LLC

**** STAFF RECOMMENDATION(S) ****

CASE NUMBER	RECOMMENDATION	REQUIRED FOR APPROVAL
GPA-68385	Staff recommends APPROVAL.	
WVR-68480	Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions:	GPA-68385
SDR-68481	Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions:	GPA-68385 WVR-68480
TMP-68482	Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions:	GPA-68385 WVR-68480 SDR-68481

**** NOTIFICATION ****

NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS NOTIFIED 32

NOTICES MAILED 1,025 - GPA-68385 (By City Clerk)
 255 - WVR-68480 and SDR-68481 (By City Clerk)
 255 - TMP-68482 (By City Clerk)

APPROVALS 14 - GPA-68385
 0 - WVR-68480 and SDR-68481
 0 - TMP-68482

PROTESTS 45 - GPA-68385
 3 - WVR-68480 and SDR-68481
 3 - TMP-68482

**** CONDITIONS ****

WVR-68480 CONDITIONS

Planning

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) and approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) and Tentative Map (TMP-68482) shall be required, if approved.
2. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless exercised pursuant to the provisions of LVMC Title 19.16. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied, except as modified herein.

SDR-68481 CONDITIONS

Planning

1. The single family residential subdivision shall be limited to no more than 61 residential lots.
2. The residential subdivision shall be gated.
3. A separate HOA from that of the Queensridge HOA shall be created.
4. Sidewalks shall be installed on one side of each street within the residential subdivision.
5. Landscaping within the community shall meet or exceed City standards. Palm trees are a permitted plant material within common lots and buildable lots.
6. Development within the community shall be limited to single-family residential homes only.
7. Building heights shall not exceed 46 feet.

Conditions Page Two

March 15, 2017 - City Council Meeting

8. A minimum home size of 3,000 square feet on lots less than or equal to 20,000 square feet in size shall be required.
9. A minimum home size of 3,500 square feet on lots over 20,000 square feet in size shall be required.
10. Perimeter and interior walls shall be composed of decorative block wall, wrought iron fencing or a combination of both. Perimeter decorative block walls are to comply with Title 19 requirements.
11. No construction shall occur during the hours of 8:00 pm and 6:00 am.
12. The subdivision's associated CC&Rs are to include design guidelines generally compatible with the Queensridge design guidelines.
13. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) and approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for a Waiver (WVR-68480) and Tentative Map (TMP-68482) shall be required, if approved.
14. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless exercised pursuant to the provisions of LVMC Title 19.16. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.
15. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, date stamped 01/25/17 and landscape plan, date stamped 01/26/17, except as amended by conditions herein.
16. All necessary building permits shall be obtained and final inspections shall be completed in compliance with Title 19 and all codes as required by the Department of Building and Safety.
17. These Conditions of Approval shall be affixed to the cover sheet of any plan set submitted for building permit.

18. The standards for this development shall include the following:

Standard	Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*	Lots greater than 20,000 sf
Minimum Lot Size	10,000 sf	20,000 sf
Building Setbacks:		
•Front yard to private street or access easement	30 feet	35 feet
•Side yard	5 feet	7.5 feet
•Corner side yard	12.5 feet	15 feet
•Rear yard	25 feet	30 feet

Standard	Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*	Lots greater than 20,000 sf
Accessory structure setbacks:		
•Porte cochere to private street	15 feet	15 feet
•Side loaded garage to side yard property line	15 feet	15 feet
•Patio covers and/or 2 nd story decks	20 feet	20 feet
•Separation from principal dwelling	6 feet	6 feet
•Side yard	5 feet	5 feet
•Corner side yard	5 feet	5 feet
•Rear yard	5 feet	5 feet
Building Heights:		
•Principal dwelling	46 feet	46 feet
•Accessory structures	25 feet	30 feet
•Floors	2 stories on slab or over basement	3 stories on lots greater than 35,000 sf; otherwise 2 stories
Permitted uses	Single family residence and accessory structures**	Single family residence and accessory structures**

*Includes Lots 1, 2 and 24.

**Accessory structures may have a trellis or canopy attached to the principal dwelling.

19. A technical landscape plan, signed and sealed by a Registered Architect, Landscape Architect, Residential Designer or Civil Engineer, must be submitted prior to or at the same time as Final Map submittal. A permanent underground sprinkler system is required, and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner; the landscape plan shall include irrigation specifications. Installed landscaping shall not impede visibility of any traffic control device.

Conditions Page Four

March 15, 2017 - City Council Meeting

20. No turf shall be permitted in the non-recreational common areas, such as medians and amenity zones in this development.
21. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
22. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied, except as modified herein.

Public Works

23. Correct all Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) deficiencies on the public sidewalks adjacent to this site in accordance with code requirements of Title 13.56.040, if any, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site.
24. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services to discuss fire requirements for the proposed subdivision. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services. Curbing on one side of the 32-foot private streets shall be constructed of red concrete and shall be in accordance with the adopted Fire Code (Ordinance #6325). The required curb coloring, painting, and signage shall be privately maintained in perpetuity by the Homeowner's Association.
25. All landscaping and private improvements installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
26. Coordinate with the Sewer Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine the appropriate location and depth of public sewer lines servicing this site prior to approval of construction drawings for this site. Provide appropriate Public Sewer Easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way. Construct paved vehicular access to all new Public Sewer Manholes proposed east of this site concurrent with on-site development activities. No structures, and no trees or vegetation taller than three feet shall be allowed within any Public Sewer Easements.

27. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. The Drainage Study required by TMP-68482 may be used to satisfy this condition.
28. Site Development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for TMP-68482 and any other site related actions.

TMP-68482 CONDITIONS

Planning

1. Approval of the Tentative Map shall be for no more than four (4) years. If a Final Map is not recorded on all or a portion of the area embraced by the Tentative Map within four (4) years of the approval of the Tentative Map, this action is void.
2. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) and approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Waiver (WVR-68480) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) shall be required, if approved.
3. Street names must be provided in accordance with the City's Street Naming Regulations.
4. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
5. In conjunction with creation, declaration and recordation of the subject common-interest community, and prior to recordation of the Covenants, Codes and Restrictions ("CC&R"), or conveyance of any unit within the community, the Developer is required to record a Declaration of Private Maintenance Requirements ("DPMR") as a covenant on all associated properties, and on behalf of all current and future property owners. The DPMR is to include a listing of all privately owned and/or maintained infrastructure improvements, along with assignment of maintenance responsibility for each to the common interest community or the respective individual property owners, and is to provide a brief

Conditions Page Six

March 15, 2017 - City Council Meeting

description of the required level of maintenance for privately maintained components. The DPMR must be reviewed and approved by the City of Las Vegas Department of Field Operations prior to recordation, and must include a statement that all properties within the community are subject to assessment for all associated costs should private maintenance obligations not be met, and the City of Las Vegas be required to provide for said maintenance. Also, the CC&R are to include a statement of obligation of compliance with the DPMR. Following recordation, the Developer is to submit copies of the recorded DPMR and CC&R documents to the City of Las Vegas Department of Field Operations.

6. All development is subject to the conditions of City Departments and State Subdivision Statutes.

Public Works

7. Grant all required public easements (sewer, drainage, fire, etc.) that are outside the boundaries of this site prior to or concurrent with the recordation of a Final Map for this site.
8. Correct all Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) deficiencies on the public sidewalks adjacent to this site in accordance with code requirements of Title 13.56.040, if any, to the satisfaction of the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site.
9. Private streets must be granted and labeled on the Final Map for this site as Public Utility Easements (P.U.E.), Public Sewer Easements, and Public Drainage Easements to be privately maintained by the Homeowner's Association.
10. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services to discuss fire requirements for the proposed subdivision. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services. Curbing on one side of the 32-foot private streets shall be constructed of red concrete and shall be in accordance with the adopted Fire Code (Ordinance #6325). The required curb coloring, painting, and signage shall be privately maintained in perpetuity by the Homeowner's Association.
11. All landscaping and private improvements installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

Conditions Page Seven

March 15, 2017 - City Council Meeting

12. Coordinate with the Sewer Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine the appropriate location and depth of public sewer lines servicing this site prior to approval of construction drawings for this site. Provide appropriate Public Sewer Easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way. Construct paved vehicular access to all new Public Sewer Manholes proposed east of this site concurrent with on-site development activities. No structures, and no trees or vegetation taller than three feet, shall be allowed within any Public Sewer Easements.
13. A working sanitary sewer connection shall be in place prior to final inspection of any units within this development. Full permanent improvements on all major access streets, including all required landscaped areas between the perimeter wall and adjacent public street, shall be constructed and accepted by the City prior to issuance of any building permits beyond 50% of all units within this development. All off-site improvements adjacent to this site, including all required landscaped areas between the perimeter walls and adjacent public streets, shall be constructed and accepted prior to issuance of building permits beyond 75%. The above thresholds notwithstanding, all required improvements shall be constructed in accordance with the Title 19.
14. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site.
15. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Tentative Map is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to approval of the construction plans by the City. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the recordation of a Final Map or the approval of subdivision-related construction plans, whichever may occur first. Approval of this Tentative Map does not constitute approval of any deviations. If such approval cannot be obtained, a revised Tentative Map must be submitted showing elimination of such deviations. We note that curved sewers are not allowed and do not comply with City Standards.

**** STAFF REPORT ****

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant is proposing a 61-lot gated single-family residential development on a portion of a large lot currently developed as a golf course generally located at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way. The development would feature custom homes and contain small open space and park areas.

ISSUES

- A General Plan Amendment is requested from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to L (Low Density Residential) on the primary parcel (that makes up the Badlands Golf Course).
- A Waiver of Title 19.02 is requested to allow 32-foot wide private streets with a private sidewalk and landscape easement on one side and another landscape easement on the other side where 47-foot wide streets including sidewalks on both sides are required within a proposed gated development. Staff supports this request.
- A Site Development Plan Review for a single-family residential development on this site is required for all planned developments zoned R-PD (Residential Planned Development). The proposal includes developer-proposed standards for development of the site.
- A Tentative Map is requested for a 61-lot single-family residential subdivision on a 34.07-acre parcel, which is a portion of the primary golf course parcel that is the subject of the proposed General Plan Amendment.
- A Parcel Map (PMP-64285) dividing the majority of the Badlands Golf Course into four separate lots, including a 34.07-acre lot at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way that defines the extent of the proposed residential development, was recorded on 01/24/17. Although Assessor's Parcel Numbers have not yet been assigned, recordation of the Parcel Map has created four legal lots with valid legal descriptions.

ANALYSIS

The subject parent parcel (APN 138-31-702-002) is a significant portion of a developed golf course that is located within the Peccole Ranch Master Plan. The parcel is zoned R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre), allowing up to 7.49 dwelling units per acre spread out across the zoning district. The proposed L (Low Density Residential) General Plan designation allows density up to 5.49 dwelling units per acre, which is consistent with the density permitted by the existing R-PD7

zoning across the Peccole Ranch Master Plan area. The approved 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan indicates that the subject area is planned for both single family residential and golf course/open space/drainage uses. Over time, the development pattern in this area did not follow the master plan as approved.

Title 19.16.110 states that “except as otherwise authorized by this Title, approval of all Maps, Vacations, Rezoning, Site Development Plan Reviews, Special Use Permits, Variances, Waivers, Exceptions, Deviations and Development Agreements shall be consistent with the spirit and intent of the General Plan.” Within the area known as the Peccole Ranch Master Plan, the 1992 General Plan for the City of Las Vegas designated the proposed golf course area P (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) and the various residential areas around the proposed golf course as ML (Medium Low Density Residential). As other uses within the Peccole Ranch Master Plan were proposed that deviated from the established General Plan or zoning, a General Plan Amendment or Rezoning was required for consistency with the General Plan. As the proposed land area is no longer intended for a golf course or open space, but instead for residential development, an amendment to the General Plan is necessary and appropriate.

As a Residential Planned Development, density may be concentrated in some areas while other areas remain less dense, as long as the overall density for this site does not exceed 7.49 dwelling units per acre. Therefore, portions of the subject area can be restricted in density by various General Plan designations. A closer examination of the existing development reveals that single-family lots adjacent to the golf course average 12,261 square feet and a density of 3.55 units per acre along Queen Charlotte Drive west of Regents Park Road, an average of 11,844 square feet and a density of 3.68 units per acre along Verlaine Court and an average of 42,806 square feet and a density of 1.02 units per acre along Orient Express Court west of Regents Park Road. Each of these adjacent developments are designated ML (Medium Low Density Residential) with a density cap of 8.49 dwelling units per acre. The proposed development would have a density of 1.79 dwelling units per acre, with an average lot size of 19,871 square feet. In addition, open space and planned park areas are included as required for all new R-PD developments. Compared with the densities and General Plan designations of the adjacent residential development, the proposed L (Low Density Residential) designation is less dense and therefore appropriate for this area, capped at 5.49 units per acre.

Open space is provided in the form of three small park areas totaling approximately 62,000 square feet. Approximately 44,000 square feet or 1.01 acres of the development must consist of usable open space, which this proposal meets. An eight-foot buffer and six-foot wrought iron fence would separate the proposed “D” Avenue from Orient Express Court to the south. These areas are all common lots to be privately maintained.

Title 19.04 requires private streets to be developed to public street standards, which require 47-foot wide streets with sidewalks on both sides of the street, as well as either a three-foot amenity zone with street trees or a five-foot planting zone on the adjacent private properties. This is to allow adequate space for vehicular travel in both directions, as well as a safe environment for pedestrians, bicycles and other modes of transportation. In the existing adjacent residential developments, the streets range in size from 36 feet to 40 feet in width with wide roll curbs. In addition, the San Michelle North development abutting this site to the north also contains a four-foot sidewalk, six-foot amenity zone and three-foot landscape strip within a common element on the north side of Queen Charlotte Drive. The side streets in that development contain the 36-foot private roadway with a four-foot sidewalk and five-foot amenity zone on one side contained in a private easement for a total sectional width of 45 feet.

The applicant is requesting a street section comparable to San Michelle North, with proposed 32-foot private streets with 30-inch roll curbs, a four-foot sidewalk and three-foot private landscape easement on one side and a five-foot private landscape easement on the other side for a total sectional width of 44 feet. A 32-foot wide street will allow for emergency vehicle access while still permitting parking on one side. Red colored concrete and signage will be required to clearly mark the side of the street with no parking. This design is comparable to the private streets in the adjacent gated subdivisions along the golf course. Staff can support the Waiver request with conditions that include a requirement for the applicant to coordinate with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services to discuss the design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives to meet current fire codes.

The Site Development Plan Review describes two lot types with different development standards; those that contain 20,000 square feet or less and those containing greater than 20,000 square feet. However, three lots (Lots 1, 2 and 24) are included with the "20,000 square feet or less" classification for consistency of development. Development standards for lots that are 20,000 square feet or less are generally consistent with R-D zoned properties, while those in the category greater than 20,000 square feet are generally consistent with R-E zoned properties. Some exceptions include building height, which is proposed to be 40-50 feet where 35 feet is the requirement in the standard zoning districts, and patio covers, which are treated the same as second story decks unlike in the Unified Development Code. The additional height is comparable to existing residential dwellings in the R-PD7 zoning district. It is noted that no building height restriction was conditioned for the existing residential development surrounding the subject property.

The submitted Tentative Map contains the elements necessary for a complete submittal. The natural slope from west to east across the site is approximately 2.5 percent. Per Title 19, a development having a natural slope of greater than two percent is allowed to contain up to six-foot retaining walls and eight-foot screen walls on the perimeter, with a maximum height of 12 feet. A 10-foot combined perimeter wall consisting of no more

March 15, 2017 - City Council Meeting

than six feet of retaining is proposed along Hualapai Way, set back 20 feet from the property line. Only the screen wall would be visible from Hualapai Way. A six-foot screen wall or fence is proposed on the east perimeter at Regents Park Road.

The submitted north-south cross section depicts maximum natural grade at two percent across this site. Per Title 19, a development with natural slope of two percent or greater is allowed to contain up to six-foot retaining walls and eight-foot screen walls on the perimeter, with a maximum height of 12 feet. The retaining walls along the northern property line are shown as maximum six-foot retaining walls, with a maximum of 10 feet of both retaining and screening. From the adjacent properties, no more than 10 feet of wall or wrought iron fencing would be visible.

Per Title 19.04.040, the Connectivity Ratio requirement does not apply for R-PD developments. In addition, per Title 19.04.010, where a proposed development is adjacent to existing improvements, the Director of Public Works has the right to determine the appropriateness of implementing Complete Streets standards, including connectivity. In this case, Public Works has determined that it would be inappropriate to implement the connectivity standards, given the design of the existing residential development and configuration of available land for development.

FINDINGS (GPA-68385)

Section 19.16.030(I) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code requires that the following conditions be met in order to justify a General Plan Amendment:

1. The density and intensity of the proposed General Plan Amendment is compatible with the existing adjacent land use designations,

The density of the proposed General Plan Amendment is compatible with the existing adjacent land use designations, which include ML (Medium Low Density Residential), MLA (Medium Low Attached Density Residential) and PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space); the L (Low Density Residential) designation is less dense than any of these residential land use designations. However, as a Residential Planned Development, density may be concentrated in some areas while other areas remain less dense.

2.The zoning designations allowed by the proposed amendment will be compatible with the existing adjacent land uses or zoning districts,

The overall residential development, including the proposed site and surrounding adjacent residential development, is zoned R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre), which is allowed by the proposed amendment. Additionally, the zoning districts allowed by the proposed L (Low Density Residential) designation would be less dense than the existing R-PD7 zoning district.

3.There are adequate transportation, recreation, utility, and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed General Plan Amendment; and

Additional streets, utilities and open space amenities would be constructed or extended to support the residential uses permitted by the proposed General Plan Amendment to L (Low Density Residential).

4.The proposed amendment conforms to other applicable adopted plans and policies that include approved neighborhood plans.

The proposed General Plan Amendment is consistent with the Peccole Ranch Master Plan, which designates the subject area for single family residential uses.

FINDINGS (WVR-68480)

Staff supports Title 19 requirements for streets within the city, which require private streets to be developed to public street standards. The Unified Development Code requires 47-foot wide private streets that contain sidewalks on both sides. However, none of the existing residential developments with private streets in this area adhere to this standard. The applicant is proposing streets that provide similar amenities and widths to the adjacent private streets, once private easements are granted. This configuration would be more compatible with the surrounding development than the required 47-foot streets. Build-out of the proposed streets will not cause an undue hardship to the surrounding properties and will allow for fire access and limited on-street parking. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested waiver, with conditions.

FINDINGS (SDR-68481)

In order to approve a Site Development Plan Review application, per Title 19.16.100(E) the Planning Commission and/or City Council must affirm the following:

1. The proposed development is compatible with adjacent development and development in the area;

The proposed residential lots throughout the subject site are comparable in size to the existing residential lots directly adjacent to the proposed lots. The development standards proposed are compatible with those imposed on the adjacent lots. Several small park and open space amenities are provided for the benefit of residents.

2. The proposed development is consistent with the General Plan, this Title, the Design Standards Manual, the Landscape, Wall and Buffer Standards, and other duly-adopted city plans, policies and standards;

The proposed development would be consistent with the General Plan if the plan is concurrently amended to L (Low Density Residential) or a lower density designation. The proposal for single-family residential and accessory uses is consistent with the approved 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan, which designates the subject area for single family uses. The proposed R-PD development is consistent with Title 19 requirements for residential planned developments prior to the adoption of the Unified Development Code. However, streets are not designed to public street standards as required by the Unified Development Code Title 19.04, for which a waiver is necessary.

3. Site access and circulation do not negatively impact adjacent roadways or neighborhood traffic;

Site access is proposed from Hualapai Way through a gate that meets Uniform Standard Drawing specifications. The street system does not connect to any existing streets and therefore should not negatively affect traffic within the existing residential areas.

4. Building and landscape materials are appropriate for the area and for the City;

Custom homes are proposed on the subject lots, which will be subject to future permit review. Landscape materials are drought tolerant and appropriate for this area.

5. Building elevations, design characteristics and other architectural and aesthetic features are not unsightly, undesirable, or obnoxious in appearance; create an orderly and aesthetically pleasing environment; and are harmonious and compatible with development in the area;

Custom homes are proposed on the subject lots, which will be subject to future permit review against the proposed development standards.

6.Appropriate measures are taken to secure and protect the public health, safety and general welfare.

Development of this site will be subject to building permit review and inspection, thereby protecting the public health, safety and general welfare.

FINDINGS (TMP-68482)

The submitted Tentative Map is in conformance with all Title 19 and NRS requirements for tentative maps.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

<i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i>	
12/17/80	The Board of City Commissioners approved the Annexation (A-0018-80) of 2,243 acres bounded by Sahara Avenue on the south, Hualapai Way on the west, Ducharme Avenue on the north and Durango Drive on the east. The annexation became effective on 12/26/80.
04/15/81	The Board of City Commissioners approved a General Plan Amendment (Agenda Item IX.B) to expand the Suburban Residential Land Use category and add the Rural Density Residential category generally located north of Sahara Avenue, west of Durango Drive.
	The Board of City Commissioners approved a Generalized Land Use Plan (Agenda Item IX.C) for residential, commercial and public facility uses on the Peccole property and the south portion of Angel Park lying within city limits. The maximum density of this plan was 24 dwelling units per acre.
05/20/81	The Board of City Commissioners approved a Rezoning (Z-0034-81) from N-U (Non-Urban) to R-1 (Single Family Residence), R-2 (Two Family Residence), R-3 (Limited Multiple Residence), R-MHP (Residential Mobile Home Park), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development), R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development), P-R (Professional Offices and Parking), C-1 (Limited Commercial), C-2 (General Commercial) and C-V (Civic) generally located north of Sahara Avenue, south of Westcliff Drive and extending two miles west of Durango Drive. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval.

<i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i>	
05/07/86	The City Council approved the Master Development Plan for Venetian Foothills on 1,923 acres generally located north of Sahara Avenue between Durango Drive and Hualapai Way. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. This plan included two 18-hole golf courses and a 106-acre regional shopping center. [Venetian Foothills Master Development Plan]
	The City Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0030-86) to reclassify property from N-U (Non-Urban) (under Resolution of Intent) to R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development), P-R (Professional Offices and Parking), C-1 (Limited Commercial), and C-V (Civic) on 585.00 acres generally located north of Sahara Avenue between Durango Drive and Hualapai Way. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. [Venetian Foothills Phase One]
02/15/89	The City Council considered and approved a revised master development plan for the subject site and renamed it Peccole Ranch to include 1,716.30 acres. Phase One of the Plan is generally located south of Charleston Boulevard, west of Fort Apache Road. Phase Two of the Plan is generally located north of Charleston Boulevard, west of Durango Drive, and south of Charleston Boulevard, east of Hualapai Way. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. A condition of approval limited the maximum number of dwelling units in Phase One to 3,150. [Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan]
02/15/89	The City Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0139-88) on 448.80 acres from N-U (Non-Urban) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD4, P-R, C-1 and C-V to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre), R-3 (Limited Multiple Residence) and C-1 (Limited Commercial). [Peccole Ranch Phase One]
04/04/90	The City Council approved an amendment to the Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan to make changes related to Phase Two of the Plan and to reduce the overall acreage to 1,569.60 acres. Approximately 212 acres of land in Phase Two was planned for a golf course. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. [Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan]
	The City Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0017-90) from N-U (Non-Urban) (under Resolution of Intent to multiple zoning districts) to R-3 (Limited Multiple Residence), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) and C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 996.40 acres on the east side of Hualapai Way, west of Durango Drive, between the south boundary of Angel Park and Sahara Avenue. A condition of approval limited the maximum number of dwelling units for Phase Two of the Peccole Ranch Master Development Plan to 4,247 units. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval. [Peccole Ranch Phase Two]

<i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i>	
12/05/96	A (Parent) Final Map (FM-0008-96) for a 16-lot subdivision (Peccole West) on 570.47 acres at the northeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 77 Page 23 of Plats]. The golf course was located on Lot 5 of this map.
08/14/97	The Planning Commission approved a request for a Site Development Plan Review [Z-0017-90(20)] for a proposed 76-lot single family residential development on 36.30 acres south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way. Staff recommended approval.
03/30/98	A Final Map (FM-0190-96) for a four-lot subdivision (Peccole West Lot 10) on 184.01 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 83 Page 61 of Plats].
03/30/98	A Final Map [FM-0008-96(1)] to amend portions of Lots 5 and 10 of the Peccole West Subdivision Map on 368.81 acres at the northeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 83 Page 57 of Plats].
10/19/98	A Final Map (FM-0027-98) for a 45-lot single family residential subdivision (San Michelle North) on 17.41 acres generally located south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 86 Page 74 of Plats].
12/17/98	A Final Map (FM-0158-97) for a 21-lot single family residential subdivision (Peccole West – Parcel 20) on 20.65 acres generally located south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 87 Page 54 of Plats].
09/23/99	A Final Map (FM-0157-97) for a 41-lot single family residential subdivision (Peccole West – Parcel 19) on 15.10 acres generally located south of Alta Drive, east of Hualapai Way was recorded [Book 91 Page 47 of Plats].
06/18/15	A four-lot Parcel Map (PMP-59572) on 250.92 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard was recorded [Book 120 Page 49 of Parcel Maps].
11/30/15	A two-lot Parcel Map (PMP-62257) on 70.52 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard was recorded [Book 120 Page 91 of Parcel Maps].
01/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [6-0] to hold requests for a General Plan Amendment (GPA-62387) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to H (High Density Residential), a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-4 (High Density Residential) and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-62393) for a proposed 720-unit multi-family residential development in abeyance to the March 8, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.

Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.	
03/08/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the April 12, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.
03/15/16	A two-lot Parcel Map (PMP-63468) on 53.03 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard was recorded [Book 121 Page 12 of Parcel Maps].
04/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the May 10, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.
04/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold requests for a Major Modification (MOD-63600) of the 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan; a Development Agreement (DIR-63602) between 180 Land Co., LLC, et al. and the City of Las Vegas; a General Plan Amendment (GPA-63599) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) and H (High Density Residential); and a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-E (Residence Estates) and R-4 (High Density Residential) on 250.92 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard in abeyance to the May 10, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.
05/10/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the July 12, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of City staff.
	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to hold MOD-63600, GPA-63599, ZON-63601 and DIR-63602 in abeyance to the July 12, 2016 Planning Commission meeting at the request of City staff.
07/12/16	The Planning Commission voted [5-2] to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting.
	The Planning Commission voted [5-2] to hold MOD-63600, GPA-63599, ZON-63601 and DIR-63602 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting.
08/09/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to rescind the action taken on 07/12/16 to hold GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting. Action was then taken to reschedule the hearing of these items at a special Planning Commission meeting on 10/18/16.
08/09/16	The Planning Commission voted [7-0] to rescind the action taken on 07/12/16 to hold MOD-63600, GPA-63599, ZON-63601 and DIR-63602 in abeyance to the October 11, 2016 Planning Commission meeting. Action was then taken to reschedule the hearing of these items at a special Planning Commission meeting on 10/18/16, at which they were recommended for denial.

Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.	
11/16/16	<p>At the applicant's request, the City Council voted to Withdraw Without Prejudice requests for a Major Modification (MOD-63600) of the 1990 Peccole Ranch Master Plan; a Development Agreement (DIR-63602) between 180 Land Co., LLC, et al. and the City of Las Vegas; a General Plan Amendment (GPA-63599) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) and H (High Density Residential); and a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-E (Residence Estates) and R-4 (High Density Residential) on 250.92 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard. The Planning Commission recommended denial; staff recommended approval.</p> <p>The Planning Commission voted to hold in abeyance to the January 18, 2017 City Council meeting a General Plan Amendment (GPA-62387) from PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space) to H (High Density Residential), a Rezoning (ZON-62392) from R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre) to R-4 (High Density Residential) and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-62393) for a proposed 720-unit multi-family residential development on 17.49 acres at the southwest corner of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard. The Planning Commission and staff recommended approval.</p>
01/10/17	The Planning Commission voted to hold in abeyance to the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission meeting GPA-68385 [PRJ-67184].
01/18/17	The City Council voted to hold in abeyance to the February 15, 2017 City Council meeting GPA-62387, ZON-62392 and SDR-62393 at the applicant's request.
01/24/17	A four-lot Parcel Map (PMP-64285) on 166.99 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way was recorded [File 121 Page 100 of Parcel Maps].
02/14/17	<p>The Planning Commission voted to recommend APPROVAL on the following requests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waiver (WVR-68480) TO ALLOW 32-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH A SIDEWALK ON ONE SIDE WHERE 47-FOOT PRIVATE STREETS WITH SIDEWALKS ON BOTH SIDES ARE REQUIRED WITHIN A PROPOSED GATED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT • Site Development Plan Review (SDR-68481) FOR A PROPOSED 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT • Tentative Map (TMP-68482) FOR A 61-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 34.07 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (Lot 1 in File 121, Page 100 of Parcel Maps on file at the Clark County Recorder's Office; formerly a portion of APN 138-31-702-002), R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184]

Related Relevant City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.	
	The Planning Commission vote resulted in a TIE which is tantamount to DENIAL on a request for a General Plan Amendment (GPA-68385) which is a FROM: PR-OS (PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE) TO: L (LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) on 166.99 acres at the southeast corner of Alta Drive and Hualapai Way (APN 138-31-702-002), Ward 2 (Beers) [PRJ-67184].

Most Recent Change of Ownership	
11/16/15	A deed was recorded for a change in ownership on APN 138-31-702-002.

Related Building Permits/Business Licenses	
There are no building permits or business licenses relevant to these requests.	

Pre-Application Meeting	
09/29/16	A pre-application meeting was held to discuss submittal requirements for Site Development Plan Review and Tentative Map applications. The applicant proposed 30-foot wide private streets with 30-inch roll curbs. Staff indicated that a Waiver would be necessary to deviate from public street standards. There was concern that the long and narrow streets would come into conflict with fire codes and that the applicant should work with staff to address these issues. In addition, the applicant was advised that a parcel map currently in review would need to be recorded prior to these items being notified for hearing.
12/06/16	The requirement for a General Plan Amendment and neighborhood meeting was added to the original submittal checklist.

Neighborhood Meeting	
01/09/17	<p>A neighborhood meeting was held at the Badlands Golf Course Clubhouse at 9119 Alta Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada. Approximately 50 members of the public were in attendance, as well as seven members of the development team, one City Council Ward staff member and one Department of Planning staff member.</p> <p>The applicant set up display boards showing the proposed General Plan Amendment. At sign in, neighbors were given a handout describing the request, which noted that the item had been requested to be abeyed to the February 14, 2017 Planning Commission meeting. No formal presentation was given; instead, members of the public were invited to examine the request and approach development team members with any questions.</p>

Field Check	
01/05/17	The site contains a well-maintained golf course surrounded by existing single-family residential dwellings.

Details of Application Request	
Site Area	
Net Acres (GPA)	166.99
Net Acres (WVR/SDR/TMP)	34.07

Surrounding Property	Existing Land Use Per Title 19.12	Planned or Special Land Use Designation	Existing Zoning District
Subject Property	Commercial Recreation/Amusement (Outdoor) – Golf Course	PR-OS (Parks/Recreation/Open Space)	R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre)
North	Multi-Family Residential (Condominiums) / Club House	GTC (General Tourist Commercial)	PD (Planned Development)
	Hotel/Casino	SC (Service Commercial)	C-1 (Limited Commercial)
	Office, Medical or Dental		

Surrounding Property	Existing Land Use Per Title 19.12	Planned or Special Land Use Designation	Existing Zoning District
North	Single Family, Detached	ML (Medium Low Density Residential)	R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre)
		MLA (Medium Low Attached Density Residential)	R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development – 10 Units per Acre)
South	Office, Other Than Listed	SC (Service Commercial)	C-1 (Limited Commercial)
	Single Family, Detached	ML (Medium Low Density Residential)	R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units per Acre)
	Single Family, Attached	M (Medium Density Residential)	R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development – 10 Units per Acre)
	Multi-Family Residential		R-3 (Medium Density Residential)
East	Shopping Center	SC (Service Commercial)	PD (Planned Development)
	Office, Other Than Listed		C-1 (Limited Commercial)
	Mixed Use	GC (General Commercial)	C-2 (General Commercial)
	Utility Installation	PF (Public Facilities)	C-V (Civic)
	Single Family, Attached	M (Medium Density Residential)	R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development – 10 Units per Acre)
West	Single Family, Detached	SF2 (Single Family Detached – 6 Units per Acre)	P-C (Planned Community)
	Golf Course	P (Parks/Open Space)	
	Multi-Family Residential	MF2 (Medium Density Multi-family – 21 Units per Acre)	

Master Plan Areas	Compliance
Peccole Ranch	Y
Special Purpose and Overlay Districts	Compliance
R-PD (Residential Planned Development) District	Y
Other Plans or Special Requirements	Compliance
Trails	N/A
Las Vegas Redevelopment Plan Area	N/A
Project of Significant Impact (Development Impact Notification Assessment)	N/A
Project of Regional Significance	N/A

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Pursuant to Las Vegas Zoning Code Title 19.06.040 prior to Ordinance 6135 (March 2011), the Development Standards within an R-PD District are established by the Site Development Plan. The following standards are proposed by the applicant:

Standard	Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*	Lots greater than 20,000 sf
Minimum Lot Size	10,000 sf	20,000 sf
Building Setbacks:		
•Front yard to private street or access easement	30 feet	35 feet
•Side yard	5 feet	7.5 feet
•Corner side yard	12.5 feet	15 feet
•Rear yard	25 feet	30 feet
Accessory structure setbacks:		
•Porte cochere to private street	15 feet	15 feet
•Side loaded garage to side yard property line	15 feet	15 feet
•Patio covers and/or 2 nd story decks	20 feet	20 feet
•Separation from principal dwelling	6 feet	6 feet
•Side yard	5 feet	5 feet
•Corner side yard	5 feet	5 feet
•Rear yard	5 feet	5 feet
Building Heights:		
•Principal dwelling	40 feet	50 feet
•Accessory structures	25 feet	30 feet
•Floors	2 stories on slab or over basement	3 stories on lots greater than 35,000 sf; otherwise 2 stories

Standard	Lots less than or equal to 20,000 sf*	Lots greater than 20,000 sf
Permitted uses	Single family residence and accessory structures**	Single family residence and accessory structures**
Lot Coverage	Bound by setbacks	Bound by setbacks

*Includes Lots 1, 2 and 24.

**Accessory structures may have a trellis or canopy attached to the principal dwelling.

Existing Zoning	Permitted Density	Units Allowed
R-PD7	7.49 du/ac	1,250 (based on 166.99 acres)
Proposed Zoning	Permitted Density	Units Allowed
N/A	N/A	N/A
General Plan	Permitted Density	Units Allowed
PR-OS	N/A	N/A
Proposed General Plan	Permitted Density	Units Allowed
L	5.49 du/ac	916 (based on 166.99 acres)

Pursuant to Title 19.06.040, the following standards apply:

Landscaping and Open Space Standards				
Standards	Required		Provided	Compliance
	Ratio	Trees		
Buffer Trees:				
• North	1 Tree / 20 Linear Feet	10 Trees	15 Trees	Y
• South	N/A	N/A	81 Trees	N/A
• East	N/A	N/A	0 Trees	N/A
• West	1 Tree / 20 Linear Feet	43 Trees	47 Trees	Y
TOTAL PERIMETER TREES		53 Trees	143 Trees	Y
LANDSCAPE BUFFER WIDTHS				
Min. Zone Width				
• North	6 Feet		20 Feet	Y
• South	0 Feet		0 Feet	Y
• East	0 Feet		0 Feet	Y
• West	6 Feet		20 Feet	Y

Pursuant to Title 19.06.040, the following standards apply:

Landscaping and Open Space Standards				
Standards	Required		Provided	Compliance
	Ratio	Trees		
Wall Height	Not required		6' wrought iron or CMU adjacent to Orient Express Ct. Stepped retaining/screen wall not exceeding 10' adjacent to Verlaine Ct. and existing lots to the north 10' retaining/screen wall adjacent to Hualapai Way	Y

Open Space – R-PD only							
Total Acreage	Density	Required			Provided		Compliance
		Ratio	Percent	Area	Percent	Area	
34.07 ac	1.8	1.65	2.97%	1.01 ac	6.22%	2.12 ac	Y

Street Name	Functional Classification of Street(s)	Governing Document	Actual Street Width (Feet)	Compliance with Street Section
Alta Drive	Major Collector	Master Plan of Streets and Highways Map	84	Y
Hualapai Way	Primary Arterial	Master Plan of Streets and Highways Map	98	N

19.04.040 Connectivity		
Transportation Network Element	# Links	# Nodes
Internal Street	9	0
Intersection – Internal	0	5
Cul-de-sac Terminus	0	3
Intersection – External Street or Stub Terminus	0	0
Intersection – Stub Terminus w/ Temporary Turn Around Easements	0	0
Non-Vehicular Path - Unrestricted	0	0
Total	9	8

	<i>Required</i>	<i>Provided</i>
Connectivity Ratio (Links / Nodes):	N/A	1.13

Pursuant to Title 19.08 and 19.12, the following parking standards apply:

Parking Requirement							
<i>Use</i>	<i>Gross Floor Area or Number of Units</i>	<i>Required</i>			<i>Provided</i>		<i>Compliance</i>
		<i>Parking Ratio</i>	<i>Parking</i>		<i>Parking</i>		
			Regular	Handi-capped	Regular	Handi-capped	
Single Family, Detached	61 units	2 spaces per unit	122				
Accessory Structure (Class I) [Casita]	61 casitas	1 additional space per lot	61				
TOTAL SPACES REQUIRED			183		183		Y
Regular and Handicap Spaces Required			183	0	183	0	Y

Waivers		
<i>Requirement</i>	<i>Request</i>	<i>Staff Recommendation</i>
Private streets must meet public street standards unless waived (47' minimum with L-curbs and sidewalks on both sides of the street)	To allow 32' wide private streets with 30" roll curbs with sidewalk on one side (easement) in a gated community	Approval