



Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 4.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 1, 2014

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:

Bill No. 2014-74 - For Possible Action - Amends LVMC Chapter 11.10 pertaining to parking violations to adjust authority and responsibility pertaining to the towing and immobilization of vehicles. Sponsored by Councilman Steven D. Ross

Fiscal Impact

☐ No Impact ☐ Augmentation Required
☐ Budget Funds Available

Amount:

Funding Source:

Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will amend LVMC Chapter 11.10 pertaining to parking violations to adjust authority and responsibility pertaining to the towing and immobilization of vehicles. The adjustments are intended to conform the Code to present and proposed practices.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2014-74

Motion made by STAVROS S. ANTHONY to Approve as Do Pass

Passed For: 3; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

BOB COFFIN, RICKI Y. BARLOW, STAVROS S. ANTHONY; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:

COUNCILMAN BARLOW declared the Public Hearing open.

BRANDY STANLEY, Parking Services Manager, reported that this was predominantly a housekeeping bill to be consistent with state law. It would add the Economic and Urban Development Department, given that this is the responsible Department for Parking Services, would allow Parking Services to designate where vehicles could be towed, despite the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's (Metro) designation, and allows the City's operator to remove the immobilization boot once all citation fees have been paid to the City.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: DECEMBER 1, 2014

COUNCILMAN COFFIN asked if this bill would allow a partnership with one or more towing companies and if amount limits would be set, to which MS. STANLEY responded that the City's towing company would be determined through the Request for Proposal (RFP) process and the towing fees would not be split. She indicated the City had been using Metro's towing contract, but the intent was to issue an RFP for its own contract, to include that, should a tow operator take possession of a vehicle that was not claimed, the City would have the opportunity to recuperate its costs. She confirmed for COUNCILMAN COFFIN that the City's involvement in towing would be very specific, given that state law highly regulates towing fees, which would not change, and has very restrictive regulations regarding the circumstances under which municipalities could tow vehicles. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED interjected that the towing contract could specify the parameters of the City's involvement as well as towing fees.

COUNCILMAN BARLOW declared the Public Hearing closed.

