

City of Las Vegas

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
CITY HALL, 495 S. MAIN STREET
CITY CLERKS 2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
CITY OF LAS VEGAS INTERNET ADDRESS: www.lasvegasnevada.gov

MINUTES

May 28, 2014

12:00 PM

ITEMS LISTED ON THE AGENDA MAY BE TAKEN OUT OF THE ORDER PRESENTED; TWO OR MORE AGENDA ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION MAY BE COMBINED; AND ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA MAY BE REMOVED OR RELATED DISCUSSION MAY BE DELAYED AT ANY TIME. BACKUP MATERIAL FOR THIS AGENDA MAY BE OBTAINED FROM BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, CITY CLERK, AT THE CITY CLERKS OFFICE AT 495 SOUTH MAIN STREET, 2ND FLOOR OR ON THE CITY'S WEBPAGE AT www.lasvegasnevada.gov.

1. [CALL TO ORDER](#)

Minutes:

CHAIR STOLDAL called the meeting to order at 12:07 p.m.

CHAIR STOLDAL announced that VICE CHAIR WRIGHT has resigned from the Commission.

PRESENT: CHAIR STOLDAL and COMMISSIONERS HAUSCH (excused until 12:13 p.m.), HOTCHKISS, SERFAS, BELLIS, McMILLAN-ARNOLD, LeVINE, MILLMAN (ex-officio member) and MOONEY (ex-officio member)

EXCUSED: COMMISSIONERS WHITE, WRIGHT, BECK and KLENK

ALSO PRESENT: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JAMES LEWIS, FLINN FAGG, Director, Planning Department and DEPUTY CITY CLERK JACQUIE MILLER

2. [ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW](#)

Minutes:

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE: This meeting has been properly noticed and posted at the following locations: City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor; Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway, Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue; City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive

3. [PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA MUST BE LIMITED TO MATTERS ON THE AGENDA FOR ACTION. IF YOU WISH TO BE HEARD, GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION, AS WELL AS THE AMOUNT OF TIME ANY SINGLE SPEAKER IS ALLOWED, MAY BE LIMITED](#)

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER MOONEY introduced WHITNEY ROOKS, Intern, and stated that she is a graduate student in Historic Preservation at Georgia State. The position is funded through the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), and she is working on some joint City and SHPO projects.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JAMES LEWIS introduced RIKKI PERKINS, Extern, and stated that she will be a third year student at the Boyd School of Law next year.

4. [For possible action to approve the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular Meeting of April 23, 2014](#)

City of Las Vegas

Motion made by BOB BELLIS to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 5

BOB BELLIS, BOB STOLDAL, JARMILLA McMILLAN-ARNOLD, JACK LeVINE, DONALD L. HOTCHKISS JR, RICHARD SERFAS; Against-(None); Abstain-(None); Did Not Vote-DAVID MILLMAN, COURTNEY MOONEY; Excused-MARY HAUSCH, CLAYTEE WHITE, PATRICK KLENK, DOROTHY WRIGHT, COLLEEN BECK

5. [HPC-53611 PUBLIC HEARING For possible action regarding the nomination of the Harrison House to the city of Las Vegas Historic Property Register, located at 1001 F Street \(APN 139-27-210-091\), R-3 \(Medium Density Residential\) Zone Ward 5 \(Barlow\)](#)

Motion made by JARMILLA McMILLAN-ARNOLD to Approve with the caveat that the Commission's corrections are incorporated into the final document

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 4

BOB BELLIS, MARY HAUSCH, BOB STOLDAL, JARMILLA McMILLAN-ARNOLD, JACK LeVINE, DONALD L. HOTCHKISS JR, RICHARD SERFAS; Against-(None); Abstain-(None); Did Not Vote-DAVID MILLMAN, COURTNEY MOONEY; Excused-CLAYTEE WHITE, PATRICK KLENK, DOROTHY WRIGHT, COLLEEN BECK

Minutes:

By way of reading the item, CHAIR STOLDAL declared the Public Hearing open.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY stated that the applicant was not present so CHAIR STOLDAL trailed this item until after Item 7.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY reported that the Historic Preservation Officer has reviewed the nomination report for 1001 "F" Street and supports the determination of eligibility to the City of Las Vegas Historic Property Register. If designated on the register, the Historic Preservation Commission will be responsible for reviewing any work requiring a permit for the building. If approved, the nomination for designation will be forwarded to the Las Vegas Planning Commission for consideration at the July 8, 2014 meeting, and if approved there, it will go to the August 20, 2014 City Council meeting for final action. There is a ten day appeal period following the City Council meeting.

KATHERINE DUNCAN, President, Ward 5 Chamber of Commerce, said she is delighted that the Historic Preservation Commission is helping to preserve the Harrison House which is a very important landmark to the African American community. It is a place to learn about the contributions African Americans have made to Nevada. There have been celebrations designed to talk about the role African Americans have played in Nevada history from emancipation proclamation to the current time. The Harrison House is also a place where people can come to talk about race and racism and hug and love one another. She said they are also calling for reconciliation and healing from slavery.

MS. DUNCAN said they would like to be recognized by the City of Las Vegas as a historical landmark and said that MAYOR GOODMAN already gave them a proclamation saying they are historic. The report has already been done and their financing would be able to fund if they can get on the City's register of historic places.

COMMISSIONER BELLIS asked who owns the house and MS. DUNCAN replied that the Ward 5 Chamber of Commerce has owned the house since 2009 and they are a non-profit 501c6 organization. She invested personal funds into the house in 2008 and turned it over to the Chamber of Commerce so they could develop it.

COMMISSIONER MILLMAN asked if the requested changes had been made to the report and COMMISSIONER MOONEY replied that corrections have already been made, but the corrected version could not be included with the staff report because the same version that was submitted for the Historic Preservation Commissions review last month had to be used for this meeting.

By way of reading the next item, CHAIR STOLDAL declared the Public Hearing closed.

6. [HPC-54138 For possible action regarding draft Phase II Huntridge Neighborhood Historic Resource Survey and Inventory, generally bound by Francis Avenue and Cottonwood Place on the north, Maryland Parkway on the west,](#)

City of Las Vegas

Norman Avenue on the south and South Fifteenth Street on the east, multiple APNs, multiple zones Ward 3 (Coffin)

Motion made by BOB BELLIS to Approve the Phase II Huntridge Neighborhood Historic Resource Survey

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 4

BOB BELLIS, MARY HAUSCH, BOB STOLDAL, JARMILLA McMILLAN-ARNOLD, JACK LeVINE, DONALD L. HOTCHKISS JR, RICHARD SERFAS; Against-(None); Abstain-(None); Did Not Vote-DAVID MILLMAN, COURTNEY MOONEY; Excused-CLAYTEE WHITE, PATRICK KLENK, DOROTHY WRIGHT, COLLEEN BECK

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER MOONEY reported that ICF International has completed the draft Phase II Huntridge Neighborhood survey and it is submitted for the Historic Preservation Commission's review and comment; if approved, the report will be submitted with any comments by the Commission to the State Historic Preservation Office for review.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY introduced PETER MORUZZI, ICF International, who wrote the report.

MR. MORUZZI said that the survey was conducted in the field in January, 2014. He reviewed a PowerPoint presentation, which was submitted as backup documentation, summarizing the Phase II Huntridge Neighborhood Historic Resource Survey and Inventory, focusing on Tract 3 of the Huntridge Subdivision. Two other tracts were surveyed in 2005. The goal of the survey is to determine if any properties are eligible for the National Register of Historic Places and if the neighborhood or portions of it are eligible as well.

The historic context for the area was evaluated and it was found that the land was developed as Federal Housing Administration (FHA) approved tract housing during World War II; the survey area included 134 single family residences plus Circle Park, constructed between 1943 and 1944. Advertising for the homes targeted defense workers offering homes for \$50 rent with option to buy, and at the time, FHA guidelines allowed for protective restrictions, limiting the types of people who could live there.

A key feature of the houses was open carports on the side of the home. MR. MORUZZI went through the directory for 1943-1944 and by looking at the addresses, determined that there was only 42% occupancy of the homes during that time and listed by percentage the occupations of the occupants. Even though the ads targeted defense workers and BMI workers, the owners came from many different employers.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked how many houses there were in 1943 compared to 1944 and MR. MORUZZI replied that he was unsure because original building permits were not available. He commented that he did not know when the actual information for the directories was assembled but is assuming they were done early in 1944. MR. MORUZZI also explained that the directory, which was separate from the telephone directory, listed the occupations for individuals. CHAIR STOLDAL verified for COMMISSIONER LeVINE that the Las Vegas Morning Tribune did the directories for 1943-1944 and 1945-1946 and sometimes put them out twice per year.

MR. MORUZZI said that the architectural style for the tract was Minimal Traditional and the FHA was very specific regarding how houses should be designed to qualify for FHA mortgage insurance. Since the mid 1940's the carports and porches have been enclosed, additions were added, wood windows were replaced, stucco was covered, brick or rock veneers have been added, rear patios were covered and chimneys have been constructed. This resulted in the properties no longer retaining their physical features associated with FHA approved war-related worker housing. It does not appear that any individual dwellings are associated with historic persons and a loss of integrity of design, materials and workmanship occurred. MR. MORUZZI did identify and show three properties that appear to be eligible for individual listing in the National Register.

MR. MORUZZI believes that the three properties should be designated as historical properties and COMMISSIONER MOONEY confirmed that if they meet criteria for the National Register, they qualify for local designation. He recommended that instead of doing more of the intensive surveys for the Huntridge Subdivision Tracts 4 and 5 and the Biltmore and Mayfair tracts, windshield surveys should be done because they will probably confirm that there has been a loss of integrity in these areas as well, but will identify the good individual examples that would meet the National Register

City of Las Vegas

criteria.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE asked if the windshield survey could be done by a volunteer and MR. MORUZZI said that it should be done by a professional architectural historian, but it does not require the same level of research and detail.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked if the goal of the survey is to find something that would fit into the historical register or to write up the history for the neighborhood and wondered where the money to pay for the survey comes from. COMMISSIONER MOONEY replied that the funding is from the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and one of the goals is to maintain an inventory of historic properties. CHAIR STOLDAL said he gets a lot of information from the narrative and it provides a good historical document for the neighborhood. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that she did surveys of the Biltmore and part of the Mayfair neighborhoods prior to working for the City. She explained that a lot of times when neighborhoods are surveyed it is because the neighborhood association wants to know if they are eligible for designation so they can get listed on the National Register. An inventory of historical properties has to be maintained as well as providing service to the public and creating a historical record of the neighborhood. MR. MORUZZI verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that a windshield survey can be done with a high level of context, but individual forms do not need to be filled out; instead, the information could be listed on an Excel spreadsheet. CHAIR STOLDAL said that these surveys are looking at the structure and what has occurred with the structure. When driving through the neighborhood there is a feeling of the 1943-1944 time period as the streets are narrow and it's not just the house; when looking at it from an aerial view, it's the design, the lot sizes and the yards are almost identical to how they were in 1943. He wondered if it is the Department of Interior rules that require looking at just the structure. MR. MORUZZI said that when a district is being evaluated it has to retain the layout, but the individual buildings also have to retain integrity. COMMISSIONER LeVINE stated that in Beverly Green they found that there was great integrity in the majority of the houses and MR. MORUZZI said that if about 50% of the properties have retained their integrity then it can constitute a district.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE said he considers these areas historical even though they do not have the designation and asked if the residents could bring their homes into compliance. MR. MORUZZI said they could, but most homeowners do not want to reduce their square footage and in this instance the carport is important.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked if it would have made a difference if they would have looked at the years 1943-1946 instead of 1943-1944 and if enclosing the carport was the biggest thing that people changed. MR. MORUZZI said that the whole development was created to have housing during the war and the carport was the first thing that changed, and it occurred almost immediately after the war. COMMISSIONER LeVINE said that architecturally, the carports were almost designed to be enclosed because they were under the roof of the house. MR. MORUZZI said they did not find any type of documentation that said they expect the carports to be filled in and the idea was to be minimal. COMMISSIONER LeVINE said to save costs they could have built a patio with an aluminum carport cover and MR. MORUZZI replied that he did not believe that FHA guidelines allowed for aluminum materials.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked if this neighborhood could be re-established as a historic neighborhood if documentation is found indicating that the FHA had "Plan Two" which was to fill in the carports. MR. MORUZZI said that it would be designated with the condition of the enclosure being acceptable. COMMISSIONER MILLMAN wondered if because only 40% of the homes were occupied it takes away the context of looking at it as an FHA war effort development. He studied the area and believes that at the time, Las Vegas saw this as just another housing tract and it seems that by looking at it through the FHA context it should be 100% occupied. MR. MORUZZI said that the occupancy percentage has to do with the fact that the directory came out early in 1944; had the directory come out in December, 1944, it is likely that there would have been 100% occupancy, but the original building permits are not available so he cannot prove exactly when the houses were completed. COMMISSIONER MILLMAN understands the need to have a context to look at, but it limits how the area is looked at. MR. MORUZZI said that they struggled with the context and they did not want to appear arbitrary by adding years to it; 1943-1944 makes sense because that is when they were all designed and built. COMMISSIONER MILLMAN stated that he may have looked at the area in the context of what the neighborhood meant to the valley and extended the period to 1950.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked if they could fund another survey if they wanted to have a better understanding of the neighborhood up to the post Korean war years. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the Commission voted to continue the survey with Tract 4 next year but staff is thinking that the entire Huntridge neighborhood could be completed with a windshield survey as well and looking at the Mayfair and Biltmore surveys again under an overall FHA context. This may expand the period of significance slightly and patterns of change such as carport enclosures could be considered. Once this

City of Las Vegas

is done, when neighborhoods want designation, there will be guidelines on how to look at their overall integrity.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that last year the National Register recommended windshield surveys be used for tract developments because the goal is not to document the condition of each home, but to get more of a context that will be beneficial to the public when they want historic designation. COMMISSIONER LeVINE agreed that is a great approach and MR. MORUZZI commented that it will also save money. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said the homes that have the most integrity need to be documented with the long forms because they are the ones that will best represent what the homes looked like; the rest of the homes can be documented on a spreadsheet. MR. MORUZZI said that for the same amount of money, a lot more of it could be put into the context and the period that is being evaluated could be expanded. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that staff is looking at a change of scope for the 2014 State Historic Preservation Office grant so more of a windshield survey will be done and more area can be covered.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that the vote is to approve the report and not the recommendations.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE said that all of Huntridge was a single unit even though it was built in phases and he would love to see the building pattern that was used; he commented that there are some areas that have much more integrity than others. He thought that the Commission chose this tract first because it had less integrity, but COMMISSIONER MOONEY said she believed they were just going in order of tract development. MR. MORUZZI said that the area was already divided into tracts with specific boundaries. COMMISSIONER LeVINE believes that when they are all put together, there will be many more properties with integrity which would apply to the entire district. MR. MORUZZI verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that all five tracts were built during the war and the scope of years is 1942 through the end of the war.

7. [HPC-54139 For possible action regarding a request for HPC Centennial Legacy funds for a structural analysis of the gun club building at Floyd Lamb Park at Tule Springs, located at 9200 Tule Springs Road \(APN 125-04-301-005\), R-E \(Rural Estates\) Zone Ward 6 \(Ross\)](#)

Motion made by JARMILLA McMILLAN-ARNOLD to Approve funding in the amount of \$6,000

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 4

BOB BELLIS, MARY HAUSCH, BOB STOLDAL, JARMILLA McMILLAN-ARNOLD, JACK LeVINE, DONALD L. HOTCHKISS JR, RICHARD SERFAS; Against-(None); Abstain-(None); Did Not Vote-DAVID MILLMAN, COURTNEY MOONEY; Excused-CLAYTEE WHITE, PATRICK KLENK, DOROTHY WRIGHT, COLLEEN BECK

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER MOONEY stated that the Gun Club building at Floyd Lamb Park at Tule Springs was constructed in 1954 at the northwest corner of the developed area within the park. It is a brick ranch style building with a carport and partially covered patio with seating. Trap houses are located adjacent to the building but she is unsure if they are original. The original developers included WILLIAM MOORE, JR., J.K. HOUSSELS, SR., contractors JOSEPH WELLS and C. D. STEWART, businessmen WHITEY SHUGART and CLIFF DeVANEY, resort operator P.J. GOUMOND, restaurateurs GENE McLAIN and JOE BURG, who managed the club. There were also two unnamed Los Angeles businessmen who held shares.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that in 2010 the Clark County shooting range ended their lease on the property and the building has been vacant since that time; due to the condition of the building the City of Las Vegas Operations and Maintenance and Park and Recreation Departments have expressed their concerns for the safety of park visitors should anyone enter the building and are recommending demolition of the building and the associated structures. She reported that staff received a proposal from historical structural engineer MELVYN GREEN to provide a structural analysis of the building. Staff is requesting \$6,000 from the Historical Preservation Commission operating expenses to fund this analysis. If approved, MR. GREEN will begin the analysis as soon as possible.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that because this property is listed in the Historic District, an analysis should be done to determine if it is structurally sound so they can determine how to proceed. She said that she has not been in the building to see it, but understands that there is a wood carved bar in there that came from somewhere

City of Las Vegas

else and the bar itself is historic. COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD agreed with COMMISSIONER MOONEY and said the structure should be checked out to see if it is worth saving. COMMISSIONER LeVINE said that if it can be saved it should be saved and wondered if there is a purpose for it in the park. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said it could be used as a space for snacks and water or for people to rent and have large parties as it is equipped with an industrial kitchen. She said that the Visitor's Center only has a microwave, refrigerator and running water and this building could be used to replace the cookhouse that burned.

COMMISSIONER LeVINE asked where funding is coming from and COMMISSIONER MOONEY replied it will be from the Historic Preservation Commission Legacy Budget and she confirmed that money from this fund does not interfere with other requests they may wish to consider because it is part of the larger Operating Expenses Budget.

8. [HPC-54140 For possible action regarding possible amendments to the First Amendment of the Amended and Restated Memorandum of Understanding Between the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial and the City of Las Vegas All Wards](#)

Motion made by BOB BELLIS to Approve

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 4

BOB BELLIS, MARY HAUSCH, BOB STOLDAL, JARMILLA McMILLAN-ARNOLD, JACK LeVINE, DONALD L. HOTCHKISS JR, RICHARD SERFAS; Against-(None); Abstain-(None); Did Not Vote-DAVID MILLMAN, COURTNEY MOONEY; Excused-CLAYTEE WHITE, PATRICK KLENK, DOROTHY WRIGHT, COLLEEN BECK

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER MOONEY stated that on September 28, 2011, the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) voted to approve fund reallocations which included eliminating the Awards Fund category and including Awards in Operating Expenses and increasing the Small Grant Fund amount to \$25,000. The Centennial Legacy Budget is divided between the Operating Fund which has \$62,856 remaining in it and the Small Grant Fund which has a zero balance. There is no language in either the Amended and Restated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or the First Amendment to the Amended and Restated MOU between the Las Vegas Centennial Commission and the City, which would allow the HPC to reallocate funds from one category to another. Staff is scheduled to submit an update on Historic Preservation Commission Centennial Legacy projects, a current budget and an amendment to the First Amendment for consideration at the July, 2014 meeting of the Las Vegas Centennial Commission to include Bricks and Mortar Grants as an allowable grant project. The amendment also allocates \$25,000 for Small Grant Funds and the remainder for the Operating Fund. Staff recommends adding additional language to that amendment that would allow the HPC to replenish the Small Grant Fund to \$25,000 each year during the first grant cycle. If approved, the Historic Preservation Officer will work with the City Attorney's office to draft the language and submit directly to the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial for consideration.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that if the HPC has the authority to move funds from the Operating Fund to the Small Grant Fund, there will be the possibility for more grants, but because staff cannot administer an endless amount of grants, they would like to limit the number of them. The Small Grant Fund will be replenished each year with \$25,000 and limits the number of grants that will be awarded.

COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD inquired about the number of grants and COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the non Brick and Mortar Grants are limited to \$5,000 so there could be five of those; the Brick and Mortar Grants are \$2,500 with a \$2,500 match from the applicant, but that is going to be limited to one per year as they are labor intensive for staff. COMMISSIONER MOONEY confirmed for COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD that if five grants were given at the beginning of the year, they would not be able to give any at the end of the year.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY clarified for CHAIR STOLDAL that the Las Vegas Centennial Commission gave the HPC \$75,000; the majority of that was spent and then a request was made for an additional \$75,000. The Centennial Commission said that the money needs to be spent before they can get an additional sum of money. She verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that if the HPC was to spend \$75,000 per year, the Centennial Commission would give them additional funding.

City of Las Vegas

COMMISSIONER MOONEY verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that five or six grant projects a year is a lot and will be a full load with the possibility of additional projects coming out of the Operating Budget. CHAIR STOLDAL asked for an example of a project that would be less time consuming than the Huntridge project and COMMISSIONER MOONEY replied that it depends on the project and the skill level of the individual working on the project.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY confirmed for CHAIR STOLDAL that the HPC could request more than \$25,000 for the Small Grant Fund, but there may be difficulty in administering the grants. COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD asked if the \$25,000 is for the Bricks and Mortar or the Small Grant Fund and COMMISSIONER MOONEY replied that it is for both.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked if it is possible to ask for \$25,000 and an additional \$40,000 for an assistant for COMMISSIONER MOONEY. COMMISSIONER BELLIS asked if they could increase the amount given for each grant and COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD commented that does not help staff with the number of projects they can administer and wondered if they could add an assistant to work with COMMISSIONER MOONEY and the Commission. CHAIR STOLDAL asked if this is a possibility and FLINN FAGG, Planning Director, replied that the Commission needs to identify projects they want to pursue and outline a path to achieve those projects. CHAIR STOLDAL said that currently the number of projects is being limited because of staffing limitations.

CHAIR STOLDAL said that the Centennial Commission reduced the amount to keep in reserve to \$250,000 so there will be more money available. COMMISSIONER HOTCHKISS inquired about the assistance that staff needs and COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that it depends on the project. She stated that her official title is Urban Design Coordinator and there is a certain percentage of time that she needs to dedicate to that; right now, her time is heavily weighted on HPC projects. She said that it is hard to say what kind of help she would need without looking at specific grant projects and added that she would spend a lot of time managing an assistant. MR. FAGG said that part of the problem is that different types of applications require different amounts of staff's time and there is no way to tell what types of applications will be received.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY confirmed for COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD that she receives the grant applications prior to the HPC viewing them. There are two cycles per year and they are scheduled as soon as she receives them.

CHAIR STOLDAL suggested that the Commission could approve this and it will be placed on the Centennial Commission's agenda and could be changed prior to the meeting. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JAMES LEWIS said that if there are changes to the agreement and those changes are not significantly different as posted in a substantive basis, the agreement could be amended; however, if amounts go from \$25,000 to \$125,000 to allow for a new staff member in the Department of Planning, it might be such a significant change that the attorney for the Centennial Commission may raise that as an issue.

CHAIR STOLDAL said that they have \$25,000 for the next year and during that period of time, the Centennial Commission is going to be more involved in the community. COMMISSIONER MILLMAN suggested asking for more money without getting into the staffing issue. COMMISSIONER HOTCHKISS said that if they ask for more money they could hire a consultant to do a portion of a project if necessary.

CHAIR STOLDAL said that some of the members of the Centennial Commission will be open to the issue. He commented that if more of COMMISSIONER MOONEY'S time will be taken from being the Historic Preservation Officer, that provides a challenge and he stated that the City is very supportive of historic projects. MR. FAGG said that one of the concerns is that there is a question regarding the amount of State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) funds that may be received in the future. He said that instead of relying on SHPO funds for surveys, they could include that as a potential project in addition to the \$25,000 for the Small Grants. He suggested identifying projects the Commission would like to accomplish next year, and put some additional funding in the request for those specific projects.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that upcoming SHPO projects include a larger windshield survey and possible Centennial projects include looking at the Gun Club building and possibly the Huntridge Theater. She does not know if the Commission has identified any other specific projects. She said that staff developed a list for WHITNEY ROOKS, Intern,

City of Las Vegas

to look at and they can start working on those as well, and commented that there are an infinite number of projects. CHAIR STOLDAL said that the Centennial Commission is going to be looking to the HPC for thoughts and advice and wondered if it is possible to take five projects, put a dollar amount to them, take it to the City Attorney's office or the Planning Director in time for the July, 2014 Centennial Commission meeting. COMMISSIONER MOONEY replied that she would not feel comfortable picking projects without coming before the HPC for their feedback on the projects.

COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD asked if there is a way to ask for money to hire a consultant who would assist with grant writing, researching grants and putting packages together on future projects. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that would work on certain projects.

CHAIR STOLDAL said that there is a Centennial Commission meeting on July 28, 2014, and the HPC would have to call a special meeting prior to that to review any specific projects and wondered if that would give COMMISSIONER MOONEY enough time to find projects for the HPC to consider. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the HPC has a meeting in June and wondered if there would be an item on the agenda that prioritizes five projects that would be moved forward as part of the approved budget. She commented that the Commission has \$60,000 that has not been spent and the funding for the five projects can come from that money as long as they meet the criteria; that money has to be spent prior to asking the Centennial Commission for more.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked if the HPC will have to go before the Centennial Commission with a specific list of projects for which they are requesting funding and did not remember having to do that in years past. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY LEWIS said that the Commission would ask for money before because their funds were depleted; he confirmed with CHAIR STOLDAL that the thought process of the HPC is that because the Centennial Commission wants to be more involved in community efforts, the allocation should be larger. He understands that in the recent past, the Centennial Commission has said the HPC has to spend their money they have before asking for more; COMMISSIONER MOONEY'S suggestion is that to get an increase from the Centennial Commission, the HPC needs to allocate the remaining funds to projects and identify additional projects to justify the increased ask from \$75,000 to some larger number.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that the amendments would say that \$25,000 would come from the remaining \$63,000 and go into the Small Grant Fund, leaving the balance for other projects. CHAIR STOLDAL said that it was clearly established at the beginning of the process that \$75,000 would be allocated to the HPC each year.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY LEWIS thought it was proposed that when the Small Grant Fund money was depleted, the Planning Department could reallocate from large grants to small grants; COMMISSIONER MOONEY confirmed that it can be done once a year before the first grant cycle when the budget is submitted for review.

CHAIR STOLDAL reiterated that the amendment would allow the HPC to reallocate \$25,000 of the remaining money to the Small Grant Fund. He also said that they did not have to reallocate the money and at the June, 2014 meeting, they could allocate all of the funds to finish the Huntridge project, then ask the Centennial Commission for more money at their July, 2014 meeting.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that there is a list of items they can use the money for including historic surveys, research and documentation, nomination reports, Commissioner training, historic markers and plaques and small grants and awards.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY clarified for CHAIR STOLDAL that five projects is an arbitrary number and that is just what she has identified as the number of projects she has been able to deal with, and five huge projects would be tough.

COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD said that if they spend the money they currently have and ask for an additional \$75,000 in July, \$25,000 is still all they would have for the Small Grant Fund; she thought that the idea was to ask for an increased amount from the Centennial Commission.

CHAIR STOLDAL said that the Huntridge project is going to be a massive opportunity. COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD thinks that the Commission should spend the \$60,000 and identify what they want additional funding for and ask the Centennial Commission for more money in July.

City of Las Vegas

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY LEWIS asked if the Commissioners are generally happy with the direction staff has provided on the agenda item, stating that they could move forward with the agenda item and direct staff to come back next month with additional amendments to take forth to the Centennial Commission July, 2014 meeting and bring them back for discussion.

CHAIR STOLDAL asked what would be slowed down if they abeyed the item and DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY LEWIS replied that what the Commission can do is give the Historic Preservation Officer direction as to what amendments they would like the Centennial Commission to make to their document. If they want to discuss how to spend money on five projects, it has to be a different agenda item. CHAIR STOLDAL said if they approve this, it takes money out of the remaining \$60,000 and they would have to come back to the next meeting and determine how they would spend the \$35,000 balance.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that she has gotten the allocation letter from SHPO for the 2014 grant and the Commission was awarded \$25,000. Out of the \$35,000 that would be left in the Operating Fund, \$6,000 is going toward the structural survey and the remaining \$29,000 could be used to supplement the SHPO grant for a World War II contact and a windshield survey.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY LEWIS said that if the HPC approves this, there will be \$25,000 in the Small Grant Fund waiting for applications; if the HPC denies this, they start over. CHAIR STOLDAL said that if they spend the money and start over they will get another \$75,000 allocation from the Centennial Commission which will include \$25,000 for the Small Grant Fund. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the amendment would state that the money gets rolled over each year in January so it would be ready for the March cycle.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY LEWIS reiterated that until the money is depleted from the Operating Fund, the HPC will not receive another allocation from the Centennial Commission. This amendment, if approved by the HPC and the Centennial Commission, would reallocate money so there is funding available for small grants. If the Commission chooses to supplement the SHPO grant money with the remainder in Operating Fund, the HPC can get more money for that account.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that the best time to ask the Centennial Commission for more money is during the budget cycle.

MR. FAGG suggested moving \$25,000 to the Small Grant Fund now, and at the next meeting, allocate the remainder of the budget towards projects and request \$75,000 at the Centennial Commission meeting in July.

COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD said that she agreed with this but did not hear anything about increasing the budget amount. MR. FAGG said that next month they could discuss what the budget should be.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY LEWIS recommended approving this item and directing the Historic Preservation Officer to come back with an additional amendment increasing the budget. This way the public will understand that the HPC is asking for more money.

9. [Report by Department of Planning regarding May 2014 Historic Preservation and Archaeology Awareness Month awards All Wards](#)

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER MOONEY thanked everyone who came to the parade and said she made thank you cards for the Model T Club of Southern Nevada and Boy Scout Troop 144 who carried the banners.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the people with the cars want to do this every year but they want to decorate them and because they are not in show quality, decorations can be taped to them. She said that they will probably open the invitation to the Cadillac people as well.

City of Las Vegas

COMMISSIONER MOONEY reported that the food tour was very good. COMMISSIONER HAUSCH said it was terrific and the food was really good; COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the restaurants were happy to be a part of it and she will try to do this again next year. COMMISSIONER HAUSCH said that she believes they will be doing this again in October for Statehood weekend.

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the awards ceremony went well; CHAIR STOLDAL said that there was a nice crowd and a great representation of people who received awards and he reported that MAYOR GOODMAN said some very nice things about historic preservation.

10. [Report by Department of Planning regarding historic and archaeological resources in local media](#)

Minutes:

None.

11. [Report by Department of Planning regarding Project Update list](#)

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that WHITNEY ROOKS, Intern, is working on revising the nomination for the State Historic designation for the Berkley Square Historic District. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that she spoke with RUTH DHONDT with the Neighborhood Association who said they will probably have one neighborhood meeting and believes that they will be in support. COMMISSIONER MOONEY said that the State nomination does not imply any restrictions on the property owners.

12. [Report by Department of Planning regarding Downtown Project list](#)

Minutes:

FLINN FAGG, Planning Director, reviewed some of the existing projects.

Project 65 is the Ferguson's Motel project located at 10th Street and Fremont Street; they have been approved for three Tavern-Limited licenses on the site and are requesting one more. He said that they will add a covered porch area that will respect the historic architecture of the original structure and put an amphitheater in the center of it. They will have one more neighborhood meeting and then it will go before the City Council in June, 2014.

Project 67 is in the Entertainment District and it is intended to have a 6,500 square foot grocery store in the adjoining space to where the former Mamitas restaurant was located.

Project 78 is an assisted living facility, medical office space and accessory retail space in the Symphony Park Development which was recently approved as Final Action at the Planning Commission.

13. [Discussion regarding topics for future agenda items by the Historic Preservation Commission. Comments made during this portion of the agenda by individual Commission Members shall refer solely to proposals for future agenda items and any discussion shall be limited to whether or not any such proposed item\(s\) is/are within the purview of the Commission and/or whether such proposed item\(s\) shall be placed on a future agenda. No discussion regarding the substance of any such proposed topic shall occur and no action shall be taken regarding the proposal.](#)

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER McMILLAN-ARNOLD would like to have a discussion regarding increasing the budget. FLINN FAGG, Planning Director said that in conversation earlier in the meeting, what staff heard is that the Commission will spend the remainder of the money and then request more. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY JAMES LEWIS said that the next time they have this conversation they need to talk about how to justify an increased amount so that when the Centennial Commission members read the minutes they will have an understanding of why more funds are needed. CHAIR

City of Las Vegas

STOLDAL clarified that what will be on the next agenda is how the Historic Preservation Commission intends to spend the remaining funds and what they are going to propose to the Centennial Commission for the annual budget.

14. [CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA MUST BE LIMITED TO MATTERS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD. NO SUBJECT MAY BE ACTED UPON BY THE BOARD UNLESS THAT SUBJECT IS ON THE AGENDA AND IS SCHEDULED FOR ACTION. IF YOU WISH TO BE HEARD, GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION ON ANY SINGLE SUBJECT, AS WELL AS THE AMOUNT OF TIME ANY SINGLE SPEAKER IS ALLOWED, MAY BE LIMITED](#)

Minutes:

PETER MORUZZI, ICF International, based in Los Angeles California, commented that his firm wants to work with the City on additional projects; however, any project that involves State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) funding will be problematic for them. He stated that SHPO has limitations for payment to \$84 per hour and his firm charges more than that; they will not be able to do any more surveys if they are limited to SHPO's requirements. He suggested that if the Commission wants to find a way to allocate funds, to do it without having SHPO's restrictions on how much consultants can be paid. COMMISSIONER MOONEY verified for CHAIR STOLDAL that the restrictions would only apply to the money they receive from SHPO and said that there might be a way to parcel out some aspects of the projects with a scope change.

15. [ADJOURNMENT](#)

The meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

Jacquie Miller, Deputy City Clerk

Courtney Mooney, Planning

Facilities are provided throughout City Hall for the convenience of disabled persons. If you need an accommodation to attend and participate in this meeting, please call the City Clerks office at 229-6311 and advise of your need at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting. Dial 7-1-1 for Relay Nevada.

THIS MEETING HAS BEEN PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

City Hall, 495 South Main Street, 1st Floor
Clark County Government Center, 500 South Grand Central Parkway
Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue
City of Las Vegas Development Services Center, 333 North Rancho Drive