

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL NO. 2014-3

[Updates the City's Licensing Regulations to Delete Certain Work Card Requirements in Multiple Chapters Of LVMC Title 6, to Amend Multiple Chapters of LVMC Title 6 related to Work Card Requirements, and to Establish a Hearing Panel to Hear Work Card Appeals]

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2014-3, that would update the city's licensing regulations by deleting certain work card requirements, amending other work card requirements and creating a hearing panel to consider and determine work card appeals.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Comments were solicited by mail from seven local chambers of commerce and 285 individual licensees (L16 and L38 license categories) that could be affected by the proposed amendments. The City also provided the language for the proposed amendment on the City of Las Vegas website at www.lasvegasnevada.gov/AmendTitle6.

The City received no e-mails, letters, faxes, or website responses regarding the proposed language.

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None

Beneficial effects:

The proposed amendments reduce the number of occupations requiring work cards, thereby reducing the fees paid by employees and allows businesses the ability to put employees to work without work card processing delays and appeals. In addition, the proposed amendments create a work card hearing panel which will act to speed the decision-making process on work card appeals as the hearing panel can meet more often than the City Council.

Direct effects:

None

Indirect effects:

None

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

The proposed amendments constitute a reduction of impacts to businesses as fewer businesses will be required to have employees receive work cards.

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

\$5000 for appeal panel meetings, administrative policy changes and forms.

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

The proposed amendments propose a fifty dollar fee to file a work card appeal. The City expects to collect approximately \$500.

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

The fees collected for appeal hearing will be used to offset costs of form processing and background research for the appeals panel.

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

8. The reasons for the conclusions regarding the impact of the proposed rule on businesses:

Based upon the fact that no comments were received from the seven chambers of commerce nor any of the 285 potentially affected businesses that were specifically notified by mail, the City does not believe that the proposed ordinance will have a significant impact on business.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, the information contained in this business impact statement was prepared properly and is accurate.


By: City Manager
H. City

Date: December 10, 2013