City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: **3.**

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JULY 23, 2013

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC ☐**Consent** ☒ **Discussion**

SUBJECT:

Bill No. 2013-29 - For Possible Action - Authorizes the issuance of City of Las Vegas, Nevada General Obligation (Limited Tax) Adjustable Rate Various Purpose Refunding Bonds (Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2013 in an amount estimated at $30,025,000. Proposed by: Candace Falder, Director of Finance

Fiscal Impact

- ☐ **No Impact** ☐ **Augmentation Required**
- ☐ **Budget Funds Available**

Amount:
Funding Source:
Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:
NRS 350.684 authorizes the City to issue bonds for the purpose of refunding outstanding obligations in order to reduce interest costs or effect other economies. The City issued refunding bonds in 2006 for various purposes, and the letter of credit supporting those bonds will soon expire. It is in the Citys best interest to issue new refunding bonds and authorize their sale through a negotiated process in accordance with the provisions of the bill.

RECOMMENDATION:
This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:
Bill No. 2013-29

Motion made by STAVROS S. ANTHONY to Approve as Do Pass

Passed For: 3; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
BOB COFFIN, RICKI Y. BARLOW, STAVROS S. ANTHONY; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:
By way of reading the item, COUNCILMAN BARLOW declared the Public Hearing open.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED stated that this standard refunding is done from time-to-time in order to achieve savings on interest costs to refund bonds that have been previously issued.

VENETTA APPLEYARD, Financial Services Manager, added that the original bonds were issued in 2006 and were used for the infrastructure at Symphony Park. The bonds are being refunded as the letter of credit associated with them is expiring. A Request For Proposal (RFP) generated seven responses and staff chose what they thought was the most cost-efficient. MS. APPLEYARD discussed with COUNCILMAN BARLOW that this bond has a different structure and it is 70 percent of LIBOR, but still more cost efficient if they had gone with a fixed -rate bond. COUNCILMAN COFFIN verified that the estimated interest rate is going to be about .62.

By way of reading the next item, COUNCILMAN BARLOW declared the Public Hearing closed.