

City of Las Vegas

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING
CITY HALL, 400 STEWART AVENUE
CITY MANAGER'S EIGHTH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
CITY OF LAS VEGAS INTERNET ADDRESS: www.lasvegasnevada.gov**

**APRIL 6, 2010
9:00 A.M.**

THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE WILL RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT ON EACH ITEM OF LEGISLATION BEING CONSIDERED. THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MAY, THEREAFTER, CONTINUE THE HEARING TO A FUTURE DATE OR FORMULATE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR PASSAGE, REJECTION OR AMENDMENT OF THE PROPOSED BILL. ANY MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL MAY SUBSTITUTE FOR A MEMBER OF THE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AT ANY TIME.

DUPLICATE AUDIO CDS MAY BE AVAILABLE AT A COST OF \$5.00 EACH THROUGH THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE.

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
3. Bill No. 2010-13 – Revises and updates provisions governing 1) master sign plans, and 2) general plan amendment and rezoning decisions. (TXT-36168, TXT-36325 and TXT-36494) Proposed by: M. Margo Wheeler, Director of Planning and Development
4. Bill No. 2010-14 – Clarifies the authority of the City Traffic Engineer regarding residential parking permit zones. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lois Tarkanian
5. CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the committee. No subject may be acted upon by the committee unless that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action. If you wish to be heard, come to the podium and give your name for the record. The amount of discussion on any single subject, as well as the amount of time any single speaker is allowed, may be limited
6. ADJOURNMENT

ALL INTERESTED PERSONS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND: Copies of the above Bills may be obtained through the Office of the City Clerk, Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Facilities are provided throughout City Hall for the convenience of disabled persons. Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically handicapped persons. If you need an accommodation to attend and participate in this meeting, please call the City Clerk's office at 229-6311 and advise of your need at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting.

THIS MEETING HAS BEEN PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

City Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza, 2nd Floor Skybridge
Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza, (next door to Metro Records)
Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Grant Sawyer Building, 555 E. Washington Avenue

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 6, 2010

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

SUBJECT:
CALL TO ORDER



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 6, 2010

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

SUBJECT:

ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 6, 2010

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:

Bill No. 2010-13 – Revises and updates provisions governing 1) master sign plans, and 2) general plan amendment and rezoning decisions. (TXT-36168, TXT-36325 and TXT-36494)
Proposed by: M. Margo Wheeler, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

☐

Augmentation Required

☐

Budget Funds Available

Amount:

Funding Source:

Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will revise and update provisions governing 1) master sign plans, and 2) general plan amendment and rezoning decisions. The changes concerning master sign plans clarify the relationship of such plans to Code provisions governing signs. The changes concerning general plan amendments and rezonings provide clarification regarding decisions on multiple-parcel applications.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2010-13

1 **BILL NO. 2010-13**

2 **ORDINANCE NO. _____**

3 AN ORDINANCE RELATED TO ZONING; REVISING PROVISIONS GOVERNING THE
4 EFFECT OF MASTER SIGN PLANS; UPDATING PROVISIONS GOVERNING GENERAL PLAN
AMENDMENTS AND REZONINGS; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

5 Proposed by: M. Margo Wheeler, Director of
6 Planning and Development

Summary: Revises and updates provisions
governing 1) master sign plans, and 2) general
plan amendment and rezoning decisions.

7 THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
8 AS FOLLOWS:

9 SECTION 1: Title 19, Chapter 14, Section 130, Subsection (B), of the Municipal
10 Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

11 (B) Applicability.

12 (1) A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted and approved before any on-premises signage
13 may be installed for the following:

14 (a) Any non-residential project with a site larger than fifteen net acres; or

15 (b) Any non-restricted gaming establishment.

16 (2) A Master Sign Plan may be submitted for any development or property not otherwise
17 required to submit a Master Sign Plan to accomplish one or more of the following:

18 (a) To establish the requirements and limitations for signs located in the Gaming
19 and Downtown Overlay districts, and [any uses] for signs relating to uses that are not regulated
20 elsewhere in this Chapter [located] and that are located on property in the Planned Community and
21 Planned Development Districts;[or]

22 (b) To establish requirements and limitations for signs in a specific development[.]
23 that are more restrictive than would otherwise be required by this Chapter; or

24 (c) To satisfy a condition or requirement imposed by the Planning Commission or
25 City Council.

26 SECTION 2: Title 19, Chapter 14, Section 130, Subsection (D), of the Municipal
27 Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

28 (D) Procedures.

1 (2) Conditions of Approval. In approving a Master Sign Plan, the Planning Commission
2 may impose the following conditions, restrictions or limitations as the Commission may determine
3 to be necessary to meet the general purpose and intent of this Title and to ensure that the public health,
4 safety and welfare are being maintained. All signs in the Master Sign Plan shall:

5 (a) Either conform to all standards for the zoning district in which the sign will be
6 located, under Section 19.14.060, or establish [the] sign requirements and limitations that are more
7 restrictive than those set forth in this Chapter and that are consistent with the standards and criteria
8 set forth in the following Subparagraphs (b) through (g). Master Sign Plans may also be used to
9 establish the requirements and limitations for signs located in the Gaming and Downtown Overlay
10 districts, and the Planned Community and Planned Development Districts;

11 (b) Conform to the Residential Protection Standards set forth in Section 19.14.070;

12 (c) Conform to site plan and development standards regarding circulation and
13 emergency exit patterns, parking and loading requirements and other standards related generally to the
14 location of structures within a development;

15 (d) Be compatible with the architectural characteristics and spatial relationships
16 of the buildings on which the signs are attached, and the placement of freestanding signs on the site,
17 when considered in terms of location, scale, proportion, color, materials, and illumination;

18 (e) Be professionally designed and fabricated from materials that meet the physical
19 demands of an urban setting;

20 (f) Be creative in the use of two- and three-dimensional forms, iconographic
21 representations, illumination and graphic design, including the use of color, pattern, typography, and
22 materials; and

23 (g) Be designed as attractive and complementary features of the development,
24 which it serves.

25 SECTION 3: Title 19, Chapter 14, Section 130, Subsection (F), of the Municipal
26 Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

27 (F) Effect. After approval of a Master Sign Plan, or amended Master Sign Plan, no sign shall be
28 erected, placed, or altered, except in conformance with the Master Sign Plan, and the Master Sign Plan

1 shall be enforced in the same way as any provision of this Chapter. [The provisions of this Chapter
2 shall be in force for any provisions not established in a Master Sign Plan. In case of any conflict
3 between the provisions of a Master Sign Plan and any other provision of this Chapter, the Master Sign
4 Plan shall control.]

5 SECTION 4: Title 19, Chapter 18, Section 30, Subsection (B), of the Municipal Code
6 of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

7 (B) Authority. Whenever the public health, safety and general welfare requires, the City Council
8 may, upon a resolution of the Planning Commission carried by the affirmative votes of not less than
9 five members, or upon review of a requested General Plan Amendment which has not been approved
10 by resolution of the Planning Commission, change the General Plan land use designation for any
11 parcel or area of land to allow different zoning classifications. Subsequent growth and development
12 factors in the community may be considered, among other factors, when determining whether such
13 amendment to the General Plan promotes the public health, safety and general welfare. For purposes
14 of this Subsection (B), the Planning Commission's resolution may be in the form of a vote reflected
15 in the minutes of the Planning Commission meeting.

16 SECTION 5: Title 19, Chapter 18, Section 30, Subsection (F), Paragraph (3), of the
17 Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

18 (3) Planning Commission Decision.

19 (a) A decision to recommend approval of a General Plan Amendment shall be by
20 resolution of the Planning Commission with the affirmative votes of not less than two-thirds of the
21 total membership of the Commission. For purposes of this Paragraph (a), the Planning Commission's
22 resolution may be in the form of a vote reflected in the minutes of the Planning Commission meeting.

23 The Planning Commission may approve[, approve with conditions,] or deny an application for a
24 General Plan Amendment.

25 (b) In making a decision to approve the proposed General Plan Amendment, the Planning
26 Commission shall consider the facts presented at the public hearing and shall make the determinations
27 contained in Subsection (I) of this Section. The Planning Commission may consider recommending
28 [the amendment of only a portion of the land described in the application or amend all or a portion of

1 the application to a more restrictive land use category.];

2 (i) The approval of a more restrictive land use category than that set forth in the
3 application; or

4 (ii) The amendment of fewer than all parcels described in the application to either
5 the land use category requested in the application or a more restrictive land use category, but only if
6 such parcels are distinct legal parcels .

7 (c) Following the hearing, the Planning Commission shall make its decision to either
8 recommend approval or denial of the application.

9 SECTION 6: Title 19, Chapter 18, Section 30, Subsection (H), Paragraph (2), of the
10 Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

11 (2) City Council Action.

12 (a) Decision. The City Council may approve[, approve with conditions,] or deny a
13 proposed General Plan Amendment. In making a decision to approve the proposed General Plan
14 Amendment, the City Council shall consider the recommendation of the Planning Commission and
15 the facts presented at the public hearing. The City Council may consider [the amendment of only a
16 portion of the land described in the application or may amend all or a portion of the application to a
17 more restrictive land use category.] :

18 (i) The approval of a more restrictive land use category than that set forth in the
19 application; or

20 (ii) The amendment of fewer than all parcels described in the application to either
21 the land use category requested in the application or a more restrictive land use category, but only if
22 such parcels are distinct legal parcels.

23 (b) Change to More Restrictive Category. If at the Council hearing, the applicant proposes
24 amending the application to a more restrictive land use category, the City Council has the option to
25 refer the application back to the Planning Commission for consideration.

26 (c) Significant Changes. If the applicant proposes significant changes to the application
27 during the hearing or if new information is presented that significantly changes the nature and scope
28 of the application, the request should be referred back to the Planning Commission for consideration.

1 SECTION 7: Title 19, Chapter 18, Section 30, Subsection (H), Paragraph (3), of the
2 Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

3 (3) Notice of City Council Decision. Following the hearing on a proposed General Plan
4 Amendment, the City Council shall reach a decision concerning the proposal. The decision shall
5 include reasons for the decision, [and, if the decision is to approve the Amendment, any modifications,
6 conditions or limitations that the Council may impose.] Written notice of the decision shall be
7 provided to the applicant, agent or both. A copy of the notice shall also be filed with the City Clerk,
8 and the date of the notice shall be deemed to be the date that notice of the decision is filed with the
9 City Clerk.

10 SECTION 8: Title 19, Chapter 18, Section 40, Subsection (H), Paragraph (3), of the
11 Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

12 (3) Planning Commission Decision. Following the public hearing or hearings, the Planning
13 Commission shall make its recommendations concerning the application for rezoning. The
14 recommendation may be for approval[, approval with conditions,] or denial. In considering whether
15 to recommend approval or denial of an application, the Planning Commission may, when it appears
16 necessary or expedient, consider [the rezoning of only a portion of the land described in the
17 application for rezoning, or it may recommend that all or a portion of the property be rezoned to a
18 more restrictive classification.] recommending:

19 (a) The approval of a more restrictive zoning classification than that set forth in the
20 application; or

21 (b) That fewer than all parcels described in the application be rezoned to either the zoning
22 classification requested in the application or a more restrictive classification, but only if such parcels
23 are distinct legal parcels.

24 SECTION 9: Title 19, Chapter 18, Section 40, Subsection (J), Paragraph (2), of the
25 Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

26 (2) City Council Decision.

27 (a) Decision. The City Council may approve[, approve with conditions,] or deny an
28 application for a rezoning. In considering whether to approve or deny an application, the City Council

1 may consider [the rezoning of only a portion of the land described in the application for rezoning or
2 amend all or a portion of the application to a more restrictive zoning classification.] :

3 (i) The rezoning of the property to a more restrictive zoning classification than that
4 set forth in the application; or

5 (ii) The rezoning of fewer than all parcels described in the application to either the
6 zoning classification requested in the application or a more restrictive classification, but only if such
7 parcels are distinct legal parcels.

8 (b) Change to More Restrictive Zoning. If, at the public hearing, the applicant proposes
9 amending the rezoning application to a more restrictive zoning classification, the City Council may
10 act on the request or refer the application back to the Planning Commission for consideration.

11 (c) Significant Changes to Application. If the applicant proposes significant changes to
12 the application during the hearing, or if new information is presented that significantly changes the
13 nature and scope of the application, the request should be referred back to the Planning Commission
14 for consideration.

15 SECTION 10: Title 19, Chapter 18, Section 40, Subsection (J), Paragraph (3), of the
16 Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada, 1983 Edition, is hereby amended as follows:

17 (3) Notice of City Council Decision. Following the hearing on a proposed rezoning, the City
18 Council shall reach a decision concerning the proposal. The decision shall include the reasons for the
19 decision, [and, if the decision is to approve the rezoning, any modifications, conditions or limitations
20 that the Council may impose.] Written notice of the decision shall be provided to the applicant or his
21 agent, or both. A copy of the notice shall also be filed with the City Clerk, and the date of the notice
22 shall be deemed to be the date that notice of the decision is filed with the City Clerk.

23 SECTION 11: For purposes of Section 2.100(3) of the City Charter, LVMC 19.14.130,
24 19.18.030 and 19.18.040 are deemed to be subchapters rather than sections.

25 SECTION 12: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
26 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or
27 ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
28 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the

1 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
2 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
3 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,
4 invalid or ineffective.

5 SECTION 13: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
6 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
7 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

8 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this _____ day of _____, 2010.

9 APPROVED:

10 By _____
11 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

12 ATTEST:

13 _____
14 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

15 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

16 Val Steed 3-4-10
17 Date

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 ____ day of _____, 2010, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the ____ day of
5 _____, 2010, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11

12 APPROVED:

13

14 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

15 ATTEST:

16

17 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 6, 2010

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:

Bill No. 2010-14 – Clarifies the authority of the City Traffic Engineer regarding residential parking permit zones. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lois Tarkanian

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

☐

Augmentation Required

☐

Budget Funds Available

Amount:

Funding Source:

Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will clarify the authority of the City Traffic Engineer regarding residential parking permit zones. The Municipal Code provisions on the subject currently authorize the Traffic Engineer to establish time-limited parking in such zones. Those provisions also reference the posting of signs relating to the times, locations and conditions under which parking in designated zones will be by permit only, but do not specifically address the Traffic Engineer's authority regarding the posting of such signs. This bill will provide that express authority.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2010-14
2. Business Impact Statement

1 **BILL NO. 2010-14**

2 **ORDINANCE NO. _____**

3 AN ORDINANCE TO CLARIFY THE AUTHORITY OF THE CITY TRAFFIC ENGINEER
4 REGARDING RESIDENTIAL PARKING PERMIT ZONES, AND TO PROVIDE FOR OTHER
RELATED MATTERS.

5 Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lois Tarkanian

Summary: Clarifies the authority of the City
Traffic Engineer regarding residential parking
permit zones.

7 THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS DOES HEREBY ORDAIN
8 AS FOLLOWS:

9 SECTION 1: Title 11, Chapter 65, Section 20, of the Municipal Code of the City of
10 Las Vegas, Nevada 1983 Edition, is hereby amended to read as follows:

11 **11.65.020:** With respect to any residential parking permit zone established pursuant to this
12 Chapter, the [The] City Traffic Engineer is hereby authorized to:

13 (A) Issue [issue] residential parking permits and visitor permits to residents [of
14 residential areas which qualify for said permits] who qualify for such permits in accordance with this
15 chapter; [and also to establish a time limited parking zone for time periods up to three hours, in the
16 affected area as prescribed in Section 11.52.270 of this Code.]

17 (B) Establish within the residential parking permit zone one or more time limited
18 parking zones, in each case for time periods up to three hours; and

19 (C) For purposes of Subsection (A) of LVMC 11.65.050, establish other parking
20 restrictions within the residential parking permit zone, including the times, locations and conditions
21 under which parking shall be by permit only.

22 SECTION 2: If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or
23 phrase in this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or
24 ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or
25 effectiveness of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The City Council of the
26 City of Las Vegas hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision,
27 paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections,
28 subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional,

1 invalid or ineffective.

2 SECTION 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances or sections, subsections, phrases,
3 sentences, clauses or paragraphs contained in the Municipal Code of the City of Las Vegas, Nevada,
4 1983 Edition, in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

5 PASSED, ADOPTED and APPROVED this ____ day of _____, 2010.

6 APPROVED:

7
8 By _____
9 OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

10 ATTEST:

11 _____
12 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

13 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

14 Val Steed 3-4-10
15 Date

1 The above and foregoing ordinance was first proposed and read by title to the City Council on the
2 _____ day of _____, 2010, and referred to the following committee composed of
3 _____ and _____ for recommendation;
4 thereafter the said committee reported favorably on said ordinance on the _____ day of
5 _____, 2010, which was a _____ meeting of said Council; that at said
6 _____ meeting, the proposed ordinance was read by title to the City Council
7 as first introduced and adopted by the following vote:

8 VOTING "AYE": _____

9 VOTING "NAY": _____

10 ABSENT: _____

11

12 APPROVED:

13

14 By _____
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, Mayor

15 ATTEST:

16

17 BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, MMC
City Clerk

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL NO. 2010-14

(Clarifies the authority of the City Traffic Engineer regarding residential parking permit zones)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2010-14, that would clarify the authority of the City Traffic Engineer regarding residential parking permit zones.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Not applicable

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None identified. The proposed ordinance merely clarifies existing provisions

Beneficial effects:

None identified. The proposed ordinance merely clarifies existing provisions

Direct effects:

None identified. The proposed ordinance merely clarifies existing provisions

Indirect effects:

None identified. The proposed ordinance merely clarifies existing provisions

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Not applicable

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Not applicable

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: March 4, 2010

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 6, 2010

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

SUBJECT:

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA MUST BE LIMITED TO MATTERS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE COMMITTEE. NO SUBJECT MAY BE ACTED UPON BY THE COMMITTEE UNLESS THAT SUBJECT IS ON THE AGENDA AND IS SCHEDULED FOR ACTION. IF YOU WISH TO BE HEARD, COME TO THE PODIUM AND GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION ON ANY SINGLE SUBJECT, AS WELL AS THE AMOUNT OF TIME ANY SINGLE SPEAKER IS ALLOWED, MAY BE LIMITED



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 6, 2010

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:
ADJOURNMENT

