

BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT
BILL NO. 2011-43
(Updates Chapter 6.69 of the Municipal Code pertaining to
the licensing and regulation of reflexology)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2011-43, that will update Chapter 6.69 of the Municipal Code pertaining to the licensing and regulation of reflexology.

1. The following constitutes a description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Notice of the proposed ordinance was mailed to reflexology-related licensees. No responses from licensees were received.

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

The ordinance may require operational and facility changes for licensees

Beneficial effects:

The ordinance will provide more consistency with other area ordinances, as well as with applicable State law

Direct effects:

See adverse and beneficial effects above

Indirect effects:

None identified

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Not applicable

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Not applicable

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: October 18, 2011