



July 14, 2010

City of Las Vegas Planning and Development
Development Service Center
731 S. Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 229-6301

Attn: Current Planner

RE: Advanced Clinical Training and Research Center
VAC - 28532 Vacation
2nd Time Extension

The above referenced project has experienced a delay, due to state budget and funding issues. Therefore, this project is not going to be built in the time frame originally planned circa 2009 - 2010. UNLV has proceeded with plans to improve the parking area associated with this project. In addition, the vacation of Hastings Avenue is being implemented with the associated conditions of approval. The recording of the Vacation is expected in July or August 2010 however there may be a delay which would extend beyond the Aug. 20, 2010 deadline. Therefore, it is requested that a 1 year time extension be granted.

The proposed project is an education and administration office building to be built on the existing UNLV Shadow Lane Campus, 1001 Shadow Lane located in the City of Las Vegas Medical District. The project satisfies requirements for parking, site coverage, open space and setbacks. The Major Modification (MOD - 28530) request to re-zone the parcel(s) from MD-1 to MD-2 and Site Development Plan Review has been approved by City Council with conditions of approval.

This project will provide a new Health Sciences building of approximately 66,200 gross square feet consolidating the UNLV School of Nursing and the University of Nevada School of Medicine on the Shadow Lane Campus of the University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV). The new building location will be along the north side of the existing Building B and encroach into the campus green area (quad). The necessity to maintain all existing parking spaces, and possibly add additional spaces, required that the building develop a longer east-west axis partially encroaching on the quad. The quad and surrounding landscape areas have been designed to exceed standards and enhance the use of the exterior open space. In addition, a parking area has been planned to accommodate space for approximately 100 additional vehicles. The parking design will be compliant with all city standards, including landscape, accessibility and illumination.

The building has been determined to be four levels due to functional and site requirements. The ground level will provide lobby/display areas, large lecture spaces for both the School of Nursing (UNLV SON)

and the School of Medicine (UNSOM), offices for student services and student lounge for UNLV SON, building support spaces, and vertical circulation. The second level is developed primarily for UNSOM providing staff offices, administrative support, classrooms, seminars rooms, break-out rooms, computer lab, and student lounge. The third floor will be occupied by UNLV SON for staff offices administration, and support spaces. The fourth floor will also house UNLV SON offices, administration, support areas, as well as a research lab with its support spaces and offices.

The floor to floor elevations are 18 feet from the ground floor to the second with 16 feet for the second, third and fourth. Generally the ceiling heights are ten feet with the large lecture areas higher and sloping, it is anticipated that the lab ceiling is exposed to the structure.

The Health Sciences building will be an identifiable component to this campus and as such it must reflect an appearance of permanence not only aesthetically also in terms of product durability. It is anticipated that the life span of the building might be from 50 to 75 years. The purpose of the building is higher education in health care so the building must look competent and have a timeless appearance.

The materials chosen for this project were selected with the following qualities in mind: ease of maintenance and maintainability, life span, cost both initial and life cycle, local availability both product and labor to install, permanence and appropriateness for this use and position in the building form.

The exterior base of the building will be sand blasted poured in place concrete or CMU panels, similar to those employed on the existing campus buildings. Exposed CMU will be a honed, integral color block. The glazing system at the lobby will be a 2-story tall, self supported system with a light tint. Other glazing will be Aluminum storefront (2x4 offset frame) and curtain wall (2x10 offset frame) and the aluminum will be a clear anodized finish. Glass will be 1 inch semi reflective insulated. Exposed glazing will be protected by a solar shade metal grid finished to match the glazing frames as depicted on the elevations. This will be particularly evident on the south and east elevations. The primary exterior wall finish will be a metal panel. The metal panels will have a satin type finish with a slightly deeper color to contrast the window solar screen frames. Roofing will be a single ply membrane in a light color.

This represents an overview of the overall design approach for the proposed Advanced Clinical Training and Research Center on the existing UNLV Shadow Lane Campus. Concurrently, we attached the approval letter for the vacation of Hasting Avenue for reference. We appreciate your consideration of this second time extension request.

For any questions or comments, please contact me at:
Carpenter Sellers Del Gatto Architects
1919 S. Jones, Suite C
LV, NV 89146
(702) 251-8896
Email: bruceg@csaarchitects.com

Thank you,



Bruce Gay
Project Manager

Nevada System of Higher Education

DANIEL J. KLAICH, CHANCELLOR

5550 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite C-1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89103
Tel: (702) 871-0200
E-mail: chancellor@nevada.edu



2601 Enterprise Road
Reno, Nevada 89512
Tel: (775) 784-4901 x3222
Fax: (775) 784-6520

December 8, 2009

Hon. James Gibbons, Governor
State Capitol Building
Carson City, NV 89701

Dear Governor Gibbons:

I am writing in response to a call I received from your office this last Tuesday afternoon requesting the submittal of 1.4% and 3% budget reduction plans for the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

Of course we have been through this process a number of times recently. As a result, you know that only the Board of Regents can approve any budgets and budget reduction plans, a process that itself takes considerable time. As such it will be impossible to submit any plan to you by the December 15 return date. Nonetheless I would like to make some comments on the request for context.

The NSHE has met every request for budget reductions in as timely and responsive manner as possible. Should it come to that, we will again, following the procedures required of us under our rules of operation. However, we would like to suggest that even the cut requested at this time is ill advised, particularly insofar as it applies to the NSHE. We are half way through our fiscal year thereby effectively doubling the effect of any suggested cut. Contracts are executed for the year, class schedules are published, registration is largely complete and we have accepted students' fees. For these reasons an operating budget reduction at this time is virtually impossible to implement.

According to recent news reports your staff has rejected the concept of a current utilization of the budgeted line of credit as nothing more than postponing a budget hole from now until the end of the biennium. I would urge that this concept not be dismissed, as such a shift of funds forward would allow a reasonable period to plan for budget reductions. The suggested midyear immediate turnaround request effectively precludes any thoughtful planning, and would result in severe disruption for all in NSHE, particularly the students. Of course, moving line of credit funds forward would also allow us to watch revenue for some time, and there is a possibility that the revenue picture may improve, as we all hope it does.

Applied particularly to the NSHE, I know you will keep in mind that no major agency budget took a larger and more disproportionate cut than did the NSHE last session. In fact at least one major state agency budget increased above last biennium's levels, and all others absorbed smaller cuts than did NSHE. We do not make this argument to ask for dollars from any of the already stressed budgets of state agencies, but rather to encourage you to reconsider this recent request. This is not the time nor is there a need for such an action, given the availability of the line of credit mentioned above. Further budget cuts at this time should be a last resort.

EOT-39170

RECEIVED
AUG 12 2010

While I am unable to provide you with a plan for budget reductions for the reasons stated above, let me provide some thought about what this will mean, just to students. Last year while the NSHE budget absorbed a 23+% decrease in state general fund dollars and an overall decrease in excess of thirteen percent (even after the stimulus funds were included), the students of the System absorbed two fee increases totaling in excess of 20% for the two years of the biennium. The first increase which was budgeted in the fiscal plan you passed along to the legislature was 10%. The second increase of 5% in year one of the biennium and an additional 5% in the second year of the biennium was made at the time of budget closing to reduce the staggering losses being budgeted to the System. The suggested 1.4% budget reduction would absorb that entire final surcharge for this year, therefore shifting the burden of this reduction directly to the students of the state.

The students in our state colleges and universities are being asked again and again to shoulder the burden of the failing economy. This is not fair to them, and does a serious disservice to the long-term future of our State. All citizens should share the burden of our economic problems.


We have all agreed that there is a desperate need to diversify our economy and to create new jobs. I am not aware of any path to that new Nevada which we all desire and are willing to work for without a robust System of higher education that will train the workforce and spur the inventiveness required to lead us out of this dark economic time.

Notwithstanding double digit budget cuts coupled with double digit fee increases, Nevadans are flocking to our colleges and universities in unanticipated and record numbers. They are doing what you and I want, enhancing their skills to move off the unemployment rolls and back into the workforce. The cuts we have absorbed are already forcing de facto enrollment caps at every system institution as students are being turned away even as our faculty teaches more and larger classes.

You have said over and over that we cannot tax our way out of this depression. It is just as true that we cannot cut our way to excellence in education. Governor, I know you have made a promise to the people of this State concerning taxes. However, the current situation and further requests for budget cuts will lead to the dismantling of critical structures and functions of higher education that do not serve the State. There must be another way.

I would welcome the opportunity to discuss further the impacts of your request, and assist you in planning for other alternatives. This is a time when we must all draw together to consider our current challenges and the future of Nevada. I eagerly await such a call.

Sincerely,



Daniel A. Klaich, Chancellor

c: NSHE Board of Regents
Sen. Steven A. Horsford
Sen. William J. Raggio
Sen. Bernice Matthews
Speaker Barbara E. Buckley
Assemblyman John Ocegura
Assemblyman Marcus Conklin
Assemblywoman Debbie Smith
Assemblywoman Heidi S. Gansert

EOT-39170

RECEIVED
AUG 12 2010