



Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 52.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 5, 2010**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a review of a Temporary Supper Club License, Marysol Montano and Gume Montano, 664 La Sirena Mexican Seafood Restaurant, 2327 South Eastern Avenue, Marysol Montano, Owner, 50% and Gume Montano, Owner, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐  
☐

No Impact

Budget Funds Available

☐ Augmentation Required

**Amount:**

**Funding Source:**

**Dept./Division:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a Review of a Temporary Supper Club License.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be provided following the discussion of this item at the City Council Meeting.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

Motion made by GARY REESE to Deny

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 2; Excused: 0

LOIS TARKANIAN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN, GARY REESE, STEVEN D. ROSS, STAVROS S. ANTHONY; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-RICKI Y. BARLOW, STEVE WOLFSON); (Excused-None)

**Minutes:**

CAROL MEYER, Business Services Supervisor, stated that when the application for La Sirena Mexican Seafood Restaurant was received, it was for sole ownership, MARYSOL MONTANO, but when the application was forwarded back for preliminary review of MS. MONTANOS background history, Business Services was unable to determine any areas of concern; thus an administrative temporary license was issued. Early in the investigation, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro) determined that MS. MONTANOS father was an investor in the business. Therefore, Metro asked him to submit a lender suitability application, which he did. At the same time, they submitted a modified application of having MS. MONTANO and her father as 50 percent owners. Metro's report was received in March and

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 5, 2010

some of their main concerns were financial and criminal history that was disclosed in the application process. The applicants were contacted, suggested they obtain Metro's report and meet with Business Services staff. Areas of concerns from Metro were misstatements about financial information and it was discovered that MS. MONTANOS live-in boyfriend had a criminal history, as well as MR. MONTANO. There was also falsification of documents that were submitted with the application with omissions and misleading information.

MS. MONTANO explained that she had documents regarding her father's probation in California and arrest information, but those charges were dropped. Regarding the financial information, the money was from a business that burned down. She indicated that those documents were not shown to Metro or Business Services.

SERGEANT GLEN LOWE, Metro, stated that the financial information was the biggest concern, and Metro did not see the documents. The applicants had plenty of time to provide those documents during the investigation. Additionally, the lease agreements MR. MONTANO had for his properties, were signed by him, to which he admitted, and then he got new lease agreements from his lessees. MR. MONTANOS past criminal history related to a prior business. Metro is concerned about these issues, especially if MR. MONTANO is going to be a 50 percent owner of the business.

MAYOR GOODMAN suggested table the item to give Metro the opportunity to review the documentation.

When Item 52 was recalled, MS. MEYER informed the City Council that the documents were incomplete and there is still the problem about the financial omissions. They have a temporary license that will expire in July. COUNCILMAN REESE noted that the application is questionable, they have done this haphazardly.

SERGEANT LOWE added the applicant forged documents, did not provide appropriate documents and there is a criminal history. He was unable to verify the documents originally provided to him. MS. MEYER recommended denial.