Department of Justice

Office of Justice Programs

Bureau of Justice Assistance

Office of Justice Programs

Washington, D.C. 20531

August 26, 2009

Ms. Elizabeth Fretwell
City of Las Vegas
400 East Stewart Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101-2913

Dear Ms. Fretwell:

On behalf of Attorney General Eric Holder, it is my pleasure to inform you that the Office of Justice Programs has approved your application for funding under the FY 09 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program: Local Solicitation in the amount of $1,822,534 for City of Las Vegas.

Enclosed you will find the Grant Award and Special Conditions documents. This award is subject to all administrative and financial requirements, including the timely submission of all financial and programmatic reports, resolution of all interim audit findings, and the maintenance of a minimum level of cash-on-hand. Should you not adhere to these requirements, you will be in violation of the terms of this agreement and the award will be subject to termination for cause or other administrative action as appropriate.

If you have questions regarding this award, please contact:

- Program Questions, Kathy Mason, Program Manager at (202) 514-8692; and
- Financial Questions, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Customer Service Center (CSC) at (800) 458-0786, or you may contact the CSC at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov.

Congratulations, and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

James H. Burch II
Acting Director

Enclosures

Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Office for Civil Rights

Washington, D.C. 20531

August 26, 2009

Ms. Elizabeth Fretwell
City of Las Vegas
400 East Stewart Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101-2913

Dear Ms. Fretwell:

Congratulations on your recent award. In establishing financial assistance programs, Congress linked the receipt of Federal funding to compliance with Federal civil rights laws. The Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), U.S. Department of Justice is responsible for ensuring that recipients of financial aid from OJP, its component offices and bureaus, the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW), and the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) comply with applicable Federal civil rights statutes and regulations. We at OCR are available to help you and your organization meet the civil rights requirements that come with Justice Department funding.

Ensuring Access to Federally Assisted Programs

As you know, Federal laws prohibit recipients of financial assistance from discriminating on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, or disability in funded programs or activities, not only in respect to employment practices but also in the delivery of services or benefits. Federal law also prohibits funded programs or activities from discriminating on the basis of age in the delivery of services or benefits.

Providing Services to Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Individuals

In accordance with Department of Justice Guidance pertaining to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, recipients of Federal financial assistance must take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to their programs and activities for persons with limited English proficiency (LEP). For more information on the civil rights responsibilities that recipients have in providing language services to LEP individuals, please see the website at http://www.lep.gov.

Ensuring Equal Treatment for Faith-Based Organizations

The Department of Justice has published a regulation specifically pertaining to the funding of faith-based organizations. In general, the regulation, Participation in Justice Department Programs by Religious Organizations; Providing for Equal Treatment of all Justice Department Program Participants, and known as the Equal Treatment Regulation 28 C.F.R. part 38, requires State Administering Agencies to treat these organizations the same as any other applicant or recipient. The regulation prohibits State Administering Agencies from making award or grant administration decisions on the basis of an organization's religious character or affiliation, religious name, or the religious composition of its board of directors.

The regulation also prohibits faith-based organizations from using financial assistance from the Department of Justice to fund inherently religious activities. While faith-based organizations can engage in non-funded inherently religious activities, they must be held separately from the Department of Justice funded program, and customers or beneficiaries cannot be compelled to participate in them. The Equal Treatment Regulation also makes clear that organizations participating in programs funded by the Department of Justice are not permitted to discriminate in the provision of services on the basis of a beneficiary's religion. For more information on the regulation, please see OCR's website at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ocr/etfbo.htm.

State Administering Agencies and faith-based organizations should also note that the Safe Streets Act, as amended; the Victims of Crime Act, as amended; and the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act, as amended, contain prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of religion in employment. Despite these nondiscrimination provisions, the Justice Department has concluded that the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) is reasonably construed, on a case-by-case basis, to require that its funding agencies permit faith-based organizations applying for funding under the applicable program statutes both to receive DOJ funds and to continue considering religion when hiring staff, even if the statute that authorizes the funding program generally forbids considering of religion in employment decisions by grantees.

Questions about the regulation or the application of RFRA to the statutes that prohibit discrimination in employment may be directed to this Office.

Enforcing Civil Rights Laws

All recipients of Federal financial assistance, regardless of the particular funding source, the amount of the grant award, or the number of employees in the workforce, are subject to the prohibitions against unlawful discrimination. Accordingly, OCR investigates recipients that are the subject of discrimination complaints from both individuals and groups. In addition, based on regulatory criteria, OCR selects a number of recipients each year for compliance reviews, audits that require recipients to submit data showing that they are providing services equitably to all segments of their service population and that their employment practices meet equal employment opportunity standards.

Complying with the Safe Streets Act or Program Requirements

In addition to these general prohibitions, an organization which is a recipient of financial assistance subject to the nondiscrimination provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act (Safe Streets Act) of 1968, 42 U.S.C. § 3789d(c), or other Federal grant program requirements, must meet two additional requirements:(1) complying with Federal regulations pertaining to the development of an Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (EEOP), 28 C.F.R. § 42.301-.308, and (2) submitting to OCR Findings of Discrimination (see 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.205(5) or 31.202(5)).

1) Meeting the EEOP Requirement

In accordance with Federal regulations, Assurance No. 6 in the Standard Assurances, COPS Assurance No. 8.B, or certain Federal grant program requirements, your organization must comply with the following EEOP reporting requirements:

If your organization has received an award for $500,000 or more and has 50 or more employees (counting both full- and part-time employees but excluding political appointees), then it has to prepare an EEOP and submit it to OCR for review **within 60 days from the date of this letter**. For assistance in developing an EEOP, please consult OCR's website at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ocr/eeop.htm. You may also request technical assistance from an EEOP specialist at OCR by dialing (202) 616-3208.

If your organization received an award between $25,000 and $500,000 and has 50 or more employees, your organization still has to prepare an EEOP, but it does not have to submit the EEOP to OCR for review. Instead, your organization has to maintain the EEOP on file and make it available for review on request. In addition, your organization has to complete Section B of the Certification Form and return it to OCR. The Certification Form can be found at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ocr/eeop.htm.

If your organization received an award for less than $25,000; or if your organization has less than 50 employees, regardless of the amount of the award; or if your organization is a medical institution, educational institution, nonprofit organization or Indian tribe, then your organization is exempt from the EEOP requirement. However, your organization must complete Section A of the Certification Form and return it to OCR. The Certification Form can be found at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ocr/eeop.htm.

2) Submitting Findings of Discrimination

In the event a Federal or State court or Federal or State administrative agency makes an adverse finding of discrimination against your organization after a due process hearing, on the ground of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, your organization must submit a copy of the finding to OCR for review.

Ensuring the Compliance of Subrecipients

If your organization makes subawards to other agencies, you are responsible for assuring that subrecipients also comply with all of the applicable Federal civil rights laws, including the requirements pertaining to developing and submitting an EEOP, reporting Findings of Discrimination, and providing language services to LEP persons. State agencies that make subawards must have in place standard grant assurances and review procedures to demonstrate that they are effectively monitoring the civil rights compliance of subrecipients.

If we can assist you in any way in fulfilling your civil rights responsibilities as a recipient of Federal funding, please call OCR at (202) 307-0690 or visit our website at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ocr/.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Alston
Director

cc: Grant Manager
Financial Analyst

Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance

Grant

1. RECIPIENT NAME AND ADDRESS (Including Zip Code)

City of Las Vegas
400 East Stewart Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101-2913

4. AWARD NUMBER: 2009-DJ-BX-0466

5. PROJECT PERIOD: FROM 10/01/2008 TO 09/30/2012

BUDGET PERIOD: FROM 10/01/2008 TO 09/30/2012

6. AWARD DATE 08/26/2009

7. ACTION: Initial

1A. GRANTEE IRS/VENDOR NO.
886000198

8. SUPPLEMENT NUMBER
00

9. PREVIOUS AWARD AMOUNT $ 0

3. PROJECT TITLE
FY 2009 Justice Assistance Grant Program

10. AMOUNT OF THIS AWARD $ 1,822,534

11. TOTAL AWARD $ 1,822,534

12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

THE ABOVE GRANT PROJECT IS APPROVED SUBJECT TO SUCH CONDITIONS OR LIMITATIONS AS ARE SET FORTH ON THE ATTACHED PAGE(S).

13. STATUTORY AUTHORITY FOR GRANT

This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 3751(a) (BJA - JAG Formula)

15. METHOD OF PAYMENT

PAPRS

AGENCY APPROVAL

16. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL

James H. Burch II
Acting Director

17. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL

James H Burch, II

GRANTEE ACCEPTANCE

18. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED GRANTEE OFFICIAL

Elizabeth Fretwell
City Manager

19. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL

Elizabeth A. Fretwell

19A. DATE

8/27/09

AGENCY USE ONLY

20. ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CODES

FISCAL YEAR	FUND CODE	BUD. ACT.	OFC.	DIV. REG.	SUB.	POMS	AMOUNT
X	B	DJ	80	00	00		1822534

21. IDJUGT3974

PROJECT NUMBER 2009-DJ-BX-0466 AWARD DATE 08/26/2009

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The recipient agrees to comply with the financial and administrative requirements set forth in the current edition of the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) Financial Guide.

2. The recipient acknowledges that failure to submit an acceptable Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (if recipient is required to submit one pursuant to 28 C.F.R. Section 42.302), that is approved by the Office for Civil Rights, is a violation of its Certified Assurances and may result in suspension or termination of funding, until such time as the recipient is in compliance.

3. The recipient agrees to comply with the organizational audit requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and further understands and agrees that funds may be withheld, or other related requirements may be imposed, if outstanding audit issues (if any) from OMB Circular A-133 audits (and any other audits of OJP grant funds) are not satisfactorily and promptly addressed, as further described in the current edition of the OJP Financial Guide, Chapter 19.

4. Recipient understands and agrees that it cannot use any federal funds, either directly or indirectly, in support of the enactment, repeal, modification or adoption of any law, regulation or policy, at any level of government, without the express prior written approval of OJP.

5. The recipient must promptly refer to the DOJ OIG any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subgrantee, subcontractor, or other person has either 1) submitted a false claim for grant funds under the False Claims Act; or 2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving grant funds. This condition also applies to any subrecipients. Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct should be reported to the OIG by -

   mail:

   Office of the Inspector General
   U.S. Department of Justice
   Investigations Division
   950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
   Room 4706
   Washington, DC 20530

   e-mail: oig.hotline@usdoj.gov

   hotline: (contact information in English and Spanish): (800) 869-4499

   or hotline fax: (202) 616-9881

   Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at www.usdoj.gov/oig.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

6. The grantee agrees to assist BJA in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the National Historic Preservation Act, and other related federal environmental impact analyses requirements in the use of these grant funds, either directly by the grantee or by a subgrantee. Accordingly, the grantee agrees to first determine if any of the following activities will be funded by the grant, prior to obligating funds for any of these purposes. If it is determined that any of the following activities will be funded by the grant, the grantee agrees to contact BJA.

   The grantee understands that this special condition applies to its following new activities whether or not they are being specifically funded with these grant funds. That is, as long as the activity is being conducted by the grantee, a subgrantee, or any third party and the activity needs to be undertaken in order to use these grant funds, this special condition must first be met. The activities covered by this special condition are:
   a. New construction;
   b. Minor renovation or remodeling of a property located in an environmentally or historically sensitive area, including properties located within a 100-year flood plain, a wetland, or habitat for endangered species, or a property listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;
   c. A renovation, lease, or any proposed use of a building or facility that will either (a) result in a change in its basic prior use or (b) significantly change its size;
   d. Implementation of a new program involving the use of chemicals other than chemicals that are (a) purchased as an incidental component of a funded activity and (b) traditionally used, for example, in office, household, recreational, or education environments; and
   e. Implementation of a program relating to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory operations, including the identification, seizure, or closure of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

   The grantee understands and agrees that complying with NEPA may require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement, as directed by BJA. The grantee further understands and agrees to the requirements for implementation of a Mitigation Plan, as detailed at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/resource/nepa.html, for programs relating to methamphetamine laboratory operations.

   Application of This Special Condition to Grantee's Existing Programs or Activities: For any of the grantee's or its subgrantees' existing programs or activities that will be funded by these grant funds, the grantee, upon specific request from BJA, agrees to cooperate with BJA in any preparation by BJA of a national or program environmental assessment of that funded program or activity.

7. To avoid duplicating existing networks or IT systems in any initiatives funded by BJA for law enforcement information sharing systems which involve interstate connectivity between jurisdiction, such systems shall employ, to the extent possible, existing networks as the communication backbone to achieve interstate connectivity, unless the grantee can demonstrate to the satisfaction of BJA that this requirement would not be cost effective or would impair the functionality of an existing or proposed IT system.

8. To support public safety and justice information sharing, OJP requires the grantee to use the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM) specifications and guidelines for this particular grant. Grantee shall publish and make available without restriction all schemas generated as a result of this grant to the component registry as specified in the guidelines. For more information on compliance with this special condition, visit http://www.niem.gov/implementationguide.php.

9. The recipient is required to establish a trust fund account. (The trust fund may or may not be an interest-bearing account.) The fund, including any interest, may not be used to pay debts or expenses incurred by other activities beyond the scope of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG). The recipient also agrees to obligate and expend the grant funds in the trust fund (including any interest earned) during the period of the grant. Grant funds (including any interest earned) not expended by the end of the grant period must be returned to the Bureau of Justice Assistance no later than 90 days after the end of the grant period, along with the final submission of the Financial Status Report (SF-269).

PROJECT NUMBER 2009-DJ-BX-0466 AWARD DATE 08/26/2009

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

10. The grantee agrees to comply with all reporting, data collection and evaluation requirements, as prescribed by law and detailed by the BJA in program guidance for the Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program. Compliance with these requirements will be monitored by BJA.

11. The recipient agrees that any information technology system funded or supported by OJP funds will comply with 28 C.F.R. Part 23, Criminal Intelligence Systems Operating Policies, if OJP determines this regulation to be applicable. Should OJP determine 28 C.F.R. Part 23 to be applicable, OJP may, at its discretion, perform audits of the system, as per the regulation. Should any violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 23 occur, the recipient may be fined as per 42 U.S.C. 3789g(c)-(d). Recipient may not satisfy such a fine with federal funds.

12. The recipient agrees to ensure that the State Information Technology Point of Contact receives written notification regarding any information technology project funded by this grant during the obligation and expenditure period. This is to facilitate communication among local and state governmental entities regarding various information technology projects being conducted with these grant funds. In addition, the recipient agrees to maintain an administrative file documenting the meeting of this requirement. For a list of State Information Technology Points of Contact, go to http://www.it.ojp.gov/default.aspx?area=policyAndPractice&page=1046.

13. The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 38, the Department of Justice regulation governing "Equal Treatment for Faith Based Organizations" (the "Equal Treatment Regulation"). The Equal Treatment Regulation provides in part that Department of Justice grant awards of direct funding may not be used to fund any inherently religious activities, such as worship, religious instruction, or proselytization. Recipients of direct grants may still engage in inherently religious activities, but such activities must be separate in time or place from the Department of Justice funded program, and participation in such activities by individuals receiving services from the grantee or a sub-grantee must be voluntary. The Equal Treatment Regulation also makes clear that organizations participating in programs directly funded by the Department of Justice are not permitted to discriminate in the provision of services on the basis of a beneficiary's religion. Notwithstanding any other special condition of this award, faith-based organizations may, in some circumstances, consider religion as a basis for employment. See http://www.ojp.gov/about/ocr/equal_fbo.htm.

14. The recipient acknowledges that all programs funded through subawards, whether at the state or local levels, must conform to the grant program requirements as stated in BJA program guidance.

15. Grantee agrees to comply with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 46 and all Office of Justice Programs policies and procedures regarding the protection of human research subjects, including obtainment of Institutional Review Board approval, if appropriate, and subject informed consent.

16. Grantee agrees to comply with all confidentiality requirements of 42 U.S.C. section 3789g and 28 C.F.R. Part 22 that are applicable to collection, use, and revelation of data or information. Grantee further agrees, as a condition of grant approval, to submit a Privacy Certificate that is in accord with requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 22 and, in particular, section 22.23.

17. The recipient agrees that funds received under this award will not be used to supplant State or local funds, but will be used to increase the amounts of such funds that would, in the absence of Federal funds, be made available for law enforcement activities.

PROJECT NUMBER 2009-DJ-BX-0466 AWARD DATE 08/26/2009

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

18. The grantee agrees that within 120 days of award, for any law enforcement task force funded with these funds, the task force commander, agency executive, task force officers, and other task force members of equivalent rank, will complete required online (internet-based) task force training to be provided free of charge through BJA's Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership. This training will address task force effectiveness as well as other key issues including privacy and civil liberties/rights, task force performance measurement, personnel selection, and task force oversight and accountability. Additional information will be provided by BJA regarding the required training and access methods via BJA's web site and the Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org).

19. Recipient may not obligate, expend or drawdown funds until the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs has received and approved the signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the disparate jurisdictions and has issued a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) releasing this special condition.

20. Recipient may not obligate, expend or drawdown funds until the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs has received documentation demonstrating that the state or local governing body review and/or community notification requirements have been met and has issued a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) releasing this special condition.

Department of Justice

Office of Justice Programs

Bureau of Justice Assistance

Washington, D.C. 20531

Memorandum To: Official Grant File

From: M. A. Berry, Environmental Coordinator

Subject: Incorporates NEPA Compliance in Further Developmental Stages for City of Las Vegas

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG) allows states and local governments to support a broad range of activities to prevent and control crime and to improve the criminal justice system, some of which could have environmental impacts. All recipients of JAG funding must assist BJA in complying with NEPA and other related federal environmental impact analyses requirements in the use of grant funds, whether the funds are used directly by the grantee or by a subgrantee or third party. Accordingly, prior to obligating funds for any of the specified activities, the grantee must first determine if any of the specified activities will be funded by the grant.

The specified activities requiring environmental analysis are:
a. New construction;
b. Any renovation or remodeling of a property located in an environmentally or historically sensitive area, including properties located within a 100-year flood plain, a wetland, or habitat for endangered species, or a property listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;
c. A renovation, lease, or any proposed use of a building or facility that will either (a) result in a change in its basic prior use or (b) significantly change its size;
d. Implementation of a new program involving the use of chemicals other than chemicals that are (a) purchased as an incidental component of a funded activity and (b) traditionally used, for example, in office, household, recreational, or education environments; and
e. Implementation of a program relating to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory operations, including the identification, seizure, or closure of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

Complying with NEPA may require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement, as directed by BJA. Further, for programs relating to methamphetamine laboratory operations, the preparation of a detailed Mitigation Plan will be required. For more information about Mitigation Plan requirements, please see http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/resource/nepa.html.

Please be sure to carefully review the grant conditions on your award document, as it may contain more specific information about environmental compliance.

Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance

GRANT MANAGER'S MEMORANDUM, PT. I: PROJECT SUMMARY

Grant

PROJECT NUMBER
2009-DJ-BX-0466

This project is supported under 42 U.S.C. 3751(a) (BJA - JAG Formula)

1. STAFF CONTACT (Name & telephone number)

Kathy Mason
(202) 514-8692

2. PROJECT DIRECTOR (Name, address & telephone number)

Becky Spray
Management Analyst II
400 Stewart Avenue
City Hall
Las Vegas, NV 89101-2913
(702) 229-4172

3a. TITLE OF THE PROGRAM

BJA FY 09 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program: Local Solicitation

3b. POMS CODE (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE)

4. TITLE OF PROJECT

FY 2009 Justice Assistance Grant Program

5. NAME & ADDRESS OF GRANTEE

City of Las Vegas
400 East Stewart Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101-2913

6. NAME & ADRESS OF SUBGRANTEE

7. PROGRAM PERIOD

FROM: 10/01/2008 TO: 09/30/2012

8. BUDGET PERIOD

FROM: 10/01/2008 TO: 09/30/2012

9. AMOUNT OF AWARD

$ 1,822,534

10. DATE OF AWARD

08/26/2009

11. SECOND YEAR'S BUDGET

12. SECOND YEAR'S BUDGET AMOUNT

13. THIRD YEAR'S BUDGET PERIOD

14. THIRD YEAR'S BUDGET AMOUNT

15. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT (See instruction on reverse)

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG) allows states and units of local governments, including tribes, to support a broad range of activities to prevent and control crime based on their own state and local needs and conditions. Grant funds can be used for state and local initiatives, technical assistance, training, personnel, equipment, supplies, contractual support, and information systems for criminal justice, including for any one or more of the following purpose areas: 1) law enforcement programs; 2) prosecution and court programs; 3) prevention and education programs; 4) corrections and community corrections programs; 5) drug treatment and enforcement programs; 6) planning, evaluation, and technology improvement programs; and 7) crime victim and witness programs (other than compensation).

The disparate jurisdictions consisting of Clark County and the cities of Las Vegas, Henderson, and North Las Vegas will use their Fiscal Year 2009 JAG award in the amount of $1,822,534 to support personnel, equipment purchases, and multi-agency information technology programs across the county. The city of Las Vegas,

acting as the fiscal agent, will use its portion to hire a Court Compliance Officer, restore a full-time bilingual victim witness advocate to provide timely and accurate criminal justice information and assistance to victims of crimes, and support a Neighborhood Services Inmate Discharge Planning Initiative. This initiative will assist with employment placement and employment retention of 80 formally incarcerated or court involved individuals on an annual basis. Clark County will use its portion of the grant within the Clark County District Attorney's Office, Eighth Judicial District Court, and Department of Family Services. Specifically, the county will fund two gang attorneys, a Specialty Court Specialist to improve retention and graduation rates of Adult Drug Court participants, and hire a program and office assistant to offer new, more intensive services to families in the child welfare system using the Triple P Positive Parenting Program. This program will also offer a parent support hotline to encourage the maintenance of newly learned parenting skills and explore the feasibility of implementing an online registration process for parents and caregivers in the community. The cities of Henderson and North Las Vegas have agreed to use their shares for the Clark County Shared Computer Operations for Protection and Enforcement and Wanted Vehicles System (SCOPE/WVS) project. This project is administered by the Clark County Department of Information Technologies (IT) and is the primary database used by the regional law enforcement agencies, including the Henderson and North Las Vegas Police Departments. Through a competitive process, Clark County IT will implement Phase 1A of the SCOPE/WVS project, which includes installing and configuring the development system's hardware and base software and to perform system testing to verify that the base system functions.

NCA/NCF

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED July 09, 2009	Applicant Identifier
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION Application Non-Construction	3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	State Application Identifier
	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	Federal Identifier

5.APPLICANT INFORMATION	
Legal Name City of Las Vegas	Organizational Unit Office of Administrative Services
Address 400 Stewart Avenue City Hall Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-2913	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application Spray, Becky (702) 229-4172
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN) 88-6000198	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT Municipal
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION New	9. NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY Bureau of Justice Assistance
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER: 16.738 CFDA TITLE: EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT Southern Nevada Regional Justice-Related Projects - City of Las Vegas as Fiscal Agent/Application
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT Disparate Jurisdiction of the cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, Henderson, Mesquite, and the County of Clark	
13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: October 01, 2009 End Date: September 30, 2012	14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF a. Applicant b. Project NV01
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING	16. IS APPLICATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY
Federal $1,822,534	

Applicant	$0	STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS? Program has not been selected by state for review
State	$0	
Local	$0	
Other	$0	
Program Income	$0	17. IS THE APPLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? N
TOTAL	$1,822,534	
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS REQUIRED.		

Close Window

Agency: City of Las Vegas

Department: Municipal Court **Division:** ASED
Program Title: Court Compliance Officer
Program Type: Reorganization
Start Date: October 1, 2009 **End Date:** September 30, 2011

Program Purpose Area(s) Met: Corrections and community corrections programs.

Need for the Program: To implement a continuum of probation-based practices as the means of increasing defendant accountability, compliance, all of which is for the purpose of enhancing public safety. The requested funding for the Compliance Officer Supervisor is part of an entire project designed to shift the court's operational paradigm to a probation-based/ compliance model.

Numbers to be Served: As of this date, 52,532 traffic related court filings (including 125 year to date case filings under aggressive driving) and 32,484 criminal court case filings are projected through June 30, 2010.

Program Activities: To recruit and hire one (1) Compliance Officer Supervisor under this ARRA funding opportunity thereby creating one job and preserving (six) the jobs of existing staff.

The Las Vegas Municipal Court (LVMC) Judges and court administration have been examining ways to improve defendant compliance. The court is in the process of reorganizing to strengthen defendant compliance at the staff level. The plan is to adapt a post-adjudication probation model, or to use LVMC's terminology, a "compliance model" and implement the same practices that support the goals of probation departments—ensuring compliance.

The implementation of an "in-house" Compliance Unit is a prudent and efficient way of implementing probation/compliance practices for LVMC. This new business practice is spearheaded by Court Administrator, James P. Carmany, who oversees a staff of 191 and an annual budget of $19.3 million. Mr. Carmany's prior experience, relative to this project, was serving as Director of Clark County's Juvenile Court where he supervised its parole and probation departments for 9 years.

LVMC, a lower court of limited jurisdiction, has a state-certified Evaluation Center as part of its Alternative Sentencing and Education Division (ASED). The re-organization design of LVMC is consistent with both the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapters 176 A, Probation and Suspension of Sentence, and Chapter 211A. The latter authorizes courts to mandate probation on a suspended sentence, and applies to misdemeanants served by Alternative Sentencing and Education Departments. However, to optimally protect public safety and impact recidivism rates, both face-to-face and telephone meetings with the court's projected annual 32,484 criminal court case filings, the court requires a Compliance Officer Supervisor to whom staff would report directly.

The re-organization will model the Pacific Northwest cities of Renton and Spokane whose Municipal Court judges mandate their misdemeanants to their court's in-house probation department staff who then refers out to the community for other services. LVMC, although it does not yet have a formal Compliance Unit, has, however, the unique ability to provide many of its educational and substance abuse evaluation and testing services in-house instead of referring out like the Washington State's Municipal Court Probation models.

Obstacles to Optimal Project Implementation and Efficacy

The obstacle to LVMC's successful implementation is what the Las Vegas Municipal Court and other city departments in Las Vegas as well as across the nation face—the inability to hire due to severe revenue shortfalls. For LVMC, it is an experienced probation officer supervisor, or in LVMC's parlance, a "Compliance Officer Supervisor" that is the critically missing human resource piece. An experienced Compliance Officer Supervisor knows the defendant supervision and appropriate line staff training approaches and tools to make this newly added business practice a success.

This includes training staff how to administer and score defendant predictors of risk assessments such as the highly recommended LSI-R; conducting pre-and post-sentence investigations and writing recommendations to the judges; writing pre-plea recommendations, accurate reporting of probation violations; and overseeing the expeditious calendaring of probation revocation hearings. This individual will also have the experience of implementing a continuum of defendant compliance after they successfully complete their required mandates by connecting them with community referrals for appropriate case-by-case "after-care" services. Lastly, a qualified Compliance Officer Supervisor is needed at the staff level to lead the line staff of compliance officers to impart the responsibility that they do not currently have –the ability to enforce not just report defendant status updates. This missing piece is crucial at the staff level to better protect the public and lower recidivism rates.

Program Objectives: To affect a Probation Department Model within the Operational Structure of Municipal Court by reorganizing the court units.

Anticipated Project Outcomes

If fully funded, the court's reorganization and the addition of a Compliance Officer Supervisor provide several key outcomes:

1) It gives the court staff more leverage in terms of defendant compliance;
2) This shift has a strong potential of preventing future criminal activity, which supports the city's and court's public safety agenda;
3) This change is projected to reduce criminal caseloads by approximately 18% per judicial department for compliant-defendant status checks.
4) It ensures that the court is in full alignment with the Mayor and City Council's Strategic Business Plan priorities under public safety. To meet these key performance and outcome measures, it is important to arm staff with the tools they need to reinforce compliance.
5) It creates one new job position created for Compliance Officer Supervisor can successfully organize, supervise, and re-train existing six current staff (whose current job tasks can be performed by other courtroom clerks), and thus

6) preserve the jobs of these six existing staff.
Among the most important is 7) the reorganization of court staff duties into a highly effective operational framework that is optimally beneficial to public safety and one that advances the goal of lowering recidivism rates.

Sustainability

The court's sustainability plan will model P&P's collection of victim restitution, supervision, and program offender fees under NRS 211A.130. Defendant payment of fines, program and supervision fees are part of complying with court orders, and will include a sliding fee scale. Other grant funding will be pursued to augment the personnel and fringe beyond the life of this grant award.

The Byrne Justice Assistance Grant, administered through the Department of Justice, is very timely as it correlates with the Court's current and long-time consideration to shift the operational paradigm to a probation model.

Program Timeline or Project Plan:
Month 1: Seeking approval from the Mayor and City Council according to policy, followed by activities associated with recruiting, interviewing, and hiring;
Month 3: Implementing new Compliance related Policies and Procedures; staff training
Month 4: First of the quarterly reports submitted; meeting with city attorney and other Community Partners; re-locating affected staff to new work locations
Month 12: Project evaluation and reports on rates of defendant compliance at the previous six months; rate of revocations, collections; and initiated, issued; or satisfied warrants

Performance Measures Established to Ensure Grant Objectives are being Met:
No. 3 – Number of individuals receiving services;
No. 6 – Number of local initiatives implemented;
No. 8 – Number of program participants who completed (intended) programming;
No. 10 – Number of program participants who exhibit a desired change in a targeted behavior;
No. 15 – Number of criminal justice system or individual-serving professionals trained
No. 33 – Number of new FTEs funded with JAG funds

Anticipated Coordination Efforts: Office of the City Attorney

Number of Collaborative Partnerships Established: Partnerships have been on-going and will continue with city departments that include the Metropolitan Police Department (METRO), Neighborhood Services Department and the City Attorney's Office. There are at least seven (7) community agencies representing substance abuse prevention, transitional housing that have representative working with the court on various other projects.

Total Program Cost: **$2,300,000+** JAG Funding Request: **$106,991.65**

Agency: City of Las Vegas

Department: City Attorney's Office, City of Las Vegas **Division:** Criminal
Program Title: Victim Witness Advocate
Program Type: Restored
Start Date: October 1, 2009 **End Date:** September 30, 2013

Program Purpose Area Met: Crime victim and witness program (other than compensation)

The stagnated economy and home foreclosure crisis in Southern Nevada has created a myriad of problems for local government and social service agencies to keep up with. As bills and stress lingers, negative behaviors of residents and tourists rise to the surface. Violence, drinking, illicit drug use and addictive gambling contribute heavily to emotional and financial difficulties for these families. According to City of Las Vegas Case Management Tracking, domestic violence crimes; such as, battery, stalking, violation of protection orders and destruction of property or pets, along with incidents of driving under the influence dominate the misdemeanor crimes charged in Las Vegas.

In Fiscal Year 2008, there were nearly 8,900 requests for prosecution of domestic violence battery and violation of protection order crimes. In addition, there were more than 8,500 requests for prosecution of driving under the influence. These were requests for prosecution of incidents that occurred in the City of Las Vegas' boundaries.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2007, the percent of persons of Hispanic or Latino origin had increased to more that 29% of the population in Las Vegas. Also of note from the 2000 U.S. census is the report that more than 26% of reporting individuals speak a language other than English at home. More than 25% of the victims assisted through the Victim Witness Program are Hispanic and approximately 10% speak only Spanish.

Need for the Program: In Fiscal Year 2008, there were nearly 8,900 requests for prosecution of domestic violence battery and violation or protection order crimes. In addition, there were more than 8.500 requests for prosecution of driving under the influence. These requests were for prosecution of incidents that occurred in the City of Las Vegas.

Unmet Needs:

Even though the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department employs advocates to provide services to crime victims throughout Clark County, victims of crimes where an arrest is made bypass the police advocates. Because an arrest was made, the case does not need to be investigated by a police detective and forwarded to a police advocate. These misdemeanor arrests are automatically closed in the detective bureau because the cases are automatically handled by the appropriate prosecutor's office. Nearly half of the 8,900 requests for prosecution were sent directly from the City of Las Vegas jail.

Many victims of domestic violence battery will have immediate needs that must be addressed, while the perpetrator is in jail. Advocates in the Las Vegas City Attorney's Office are trained to provide assistance from immediate crisis-mode to months and years after an incident. Copies of all domestic violence arrest reports are available to the City of Las Vegas victim witness advocates upon processing in data entry.

The bi-lingual victim witness advocate would be able to provide crisis intervention counseling, criminal justice information, case advocacy, referrals, information packets, emergency assistance and court escorts to any crime victims in Las Vegas, while specifically, addressing the needs of Spanish speaking victims.

Numbers to be Served: Due to the processing time in resolving criminal prosecutions, it is projected that an advocate funded by this grant would assist 30 new victims each month along with assisting 30 ongoing victims. Over the four year funding period, the advocate would assist 2,880 victims.

Program Activities: Advocates provide crisis intervention counseling, court information, referrals, resource packets, court escorts and act as liaisons between the prosecutor, judges, police officers and victims/witnesses.

Program Objectives: To restore a full-time bilingual victim witness advocate to provide timely and accurate criminal justice information/assistance to victims of crimes that occur in the City of Las Vegas.

Documenting Outcomes:

The victim witness advocates record all interactions with clients, prosecutors, investigators and other service providers on Contact Sheets. The contact sheets include personal identifier information on the victim and defendant, case number, police report number, court information, services and referrals provided as well as type and amount of contacts made (phone, mail or in person).

All interactions within a calendar month are recorded together. Contacts in subsequent months are recorded on Ongoing Contact Sheets. The average length of time to resolve a case in the City Attorney's Office is nine months. Most victims have extensive files with many contacts over several months and often are re-victimized during the pendency of the case.

Historically, it is recognized that domestic violence is a cycle of violence. Cycles repeat themselves. For every abusive situation, there will be similar thoughts and beliefs along with unique emotional and physical abuse. As domestic violence is similar and dissimilar, how, when and why a victim escapes the abusive relationship is also similar and dissimilar.

Education and resources are always factors in leaving an abusive relationship. Knowledge of beliefs, characteristics, community resources and the criminal justice process form the foundation the victim builds upon to leave the abuse. Each incident of domestic violence may not see the safe termination of the relationship or abuse, but the interactions continue to build on the foundation for the victim to draw from in the future. It is our belief that all of the clients are better off for learning about the criminal justice process, the beliefs and safety planning steps.

Holding the offenders accountable is a primary goal of this office. Enforcing Nevada's laws and securing sentences as dictated by the law are paramount. The City Attorney's Office employs investigators to locate witnesses and victims for testifying at trial.

Occasionally, the prosecutors must request orders to show cause or material witness warrants for the witnesses and victims to come to trial. Requests of this nature are extremely limited and only done in the cases of greatest concern.

Performance Measures Established to Ensure Grant Objectives are being Met:
No. 3 – Number of individuals receiving services;
No. 33 – Number of new FTEs funded with JAG funds;

Program Timeline: To post the Request for Position within 30 days of funding, then conduct interviews within two weeks of the position closing. Ideally, the individual selected would begin work within the first 90 days of funding.

Total Program Cost: $252,156.33 JAG Funding Request: $252,156.33

Cost effectiveness:

This request for Victims of Crime Act funds would reinstate funding a bi-lingual victim witness advocate. The request covers the salary and benefits for a full-time advocate. The City of Las Vegas has dedicated a work space, computer equipment, telephone and all office supplies necessary for the advocate to do the work she does.

All of the telephone voice mail messages and the resource materials produced by the Victim Witness Program are done in both English and Spanish. These resource materials include the agency brochure, testifying tips and a pocket safety plan.

Below is the Cost Per Unit Table: Year 1 would fund the position for six months, subsequent years are for twelve months.

As stated previously, the average length of time for a case to be resolved is nine months. Each victim is only documented as a new victim once per new victimization within a month. During subsequent months, contact sheets are tracked as Ongoing. However, the services and referrals provided each month will be for new and ongoing clients.

Requested Amount	Year 1 - $35,000	Year 2 -$71,000	Year 3 - $72,250	Year 4 - $73,750
Cost per Victim	$97.27	$98.61	$100.35	$102.43
Cost per Service	$19.44	$18.21	$18.53	$18.91

Agency: City of Las Vegas

Department: Neighborhood Services **Division:** EVOLVE
Program Title: Discharge Planning Initiative – Employment Services
Program Type: New
Start Date: 10/1/2009 **End Date:** 9/30/2011

Program Purpose Area(s) Met:

Law enforcement programs
Prosecution and court programs
Prevention and education programs
Corrections and community corrections programs

Need for the Program:

On average, persons entering and staying in city jail cost local governments and tax payers between 90 and 100 dollars per day ($2700 - $3,000 month) for housing, which does not take into consideration cost for arrest, processing, court or medical cost. With the strain on existing budgets to include jail and court staff, the need for programs that assist in reduction of recidivism by providing employment services coupled with social interaction is both necessary and critical in reducing jail and court cost.

Over the course of the last 2 years, the city of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department in conjunction with the city's Department of Detention and Enforcement and Municipal Courts has been working to implement a Discharge Planning Initiative for inmates pending release from city jail, and/or released offenders who are still under court supervision. The program has assisted homeless inmates and or court involved individuals in locating appropriate housing, supportive services, and treatment, so that they return to safe and secure housing situations at the completion of their sentences.

In this ailing economy, however, one of the most critical components for ex-offenders is their ability to secure and maintain gainful employment. In today's job market, competition for employment opportunities has become increasingly intense and those with criminal records or other social issues find themselves competing against individuals who are sometimes highly qualified, highly educated, and have less social issues. Therefore, it is the intent of Neighborhood Services department to seek out job development and job placement services on behalf of ex-offender clients participating in the cities discharge planning initiative.

It is our intent and belief that by providing this population of ex-offenders job opportunities, coupled with intensive case management and wraparound social support they would be less likely to reoffend.

Numbers to be Served: 80 unduplicated participants, annually

Program Activities:

Over the course of the last 2 years, the city of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department in conjunction with the city's Department of Detention and Enforcement and Municipal Courts has been working to implement a Discharge Planning Initiative for the inmates pending release from city jail, and/or released offenders who are still under court supervision.

With this funding the Neighborhood Services department plans to seek out job development services on behalf of clients participating in the discharge planning initiative to secure employment.

These activities include: submitting an RFP (Request for Proposal) in order to select service provider(s) to provide: Individualized Employment Development, Employment Coaching, Employment Placement, and Employment Retention Services. Client tracking will be provided by Neighborhood Services Caseworkers throughout the course of this project to ensure participants are receiving needed services and obtaining desired outcomes.

Program Objectives:

To assist with employment placement and employment retention of 80 (annually) formally incarcerated (city jails) or court involved individuals.

Program Timeline or Project Plan:

It is the intent of the Neighborhood Services Department to seek out employment development, employment placement, and employment retention services on behalf of ex-offender clients participating in the cities Discharge Planning Program. Contractors will be procured using the Request for Proposal process with contractors being paid in the following increments: *Employment Placement *3 Month Retention Mark * 6 Month Retention Mark

It should be noted that from Approximately May 2003 through June 2006, the City of Las Vegas previously contracted with a provider who provided employment development and placement services. However, to promote free and open competition, this contract will be bid on a competitive basis and will follow all necessary procurement procedures.

Participants will be selected based upon employment suitability, meaning that they have been assessed by a case worker and are deemed ready to work. Once determined suitable for program enrollment the program clients will be referred to the contractor for employment placement assistance.

- Program Enrollment
- Referral to Employment Developer (Contractor) Month 1
- Employment Placement by contractor (1 month from referral)
- 3 Month Retention Mark (3 Months from initial placement)
- 6 Month Retention Mark (6 Months from initial placement)
- On going follow-up by existing city staff 7 -12 months from initial placement

Performance Measures Established to Ensure Grant Objectives are being Met:

No. 3 – Number of individuals receiving services;
No. 8 – Number of program participants who completed (intended) programming;
No. 10 – Number of program participants who exhibit a desired change in a targeted behavior
No. 42 - Number of contractual support hours paid with JAG funds

Anticipated Coordination Efforts: Three, as follows:

- Coordination with City Detention and Enforcement Department
- Coordination with Local Workforce Investment Board Providers
- Coordination with Nevada Job Connect (unemployment office) Offices

Number of Collaborative Partnerships Established: Nine, as follows:
(1) Municipal Court (City Department)
(2) Detention and Enforcement Department (City Department)
(3) Alternative Sentencing and Education Department (ASED) (City Department)
(4) Nevada Job Connect (State Unemployment Office)
(5) U.S. Vets
(6) Nevada Partners Inc. (Local Non-Profit)
(7) Caring Helping Restoring Lives (CHR local non-profit)
(8) Clark County Social Services (Local Social Services Provider)
(9) West Care Inc. (Local Substance Abuse Treatment Provider)

Total Program Cost: **$220,000** JAG Funding Request: **$110,000**

Agency: Clark County

Department: Information Technologies **Division:**
Program Title: LVMPD SCOPE/WVS Replacement Project
Program Type: New
Start Date: October 1, 2009 **End Date:** September 30, 2011

Program Purpose Area(s) Met: Planning, evaluation, and technology improvement programs

Need for the Program: The need for the project is so severe that the cities of North Las Vegas and Henderson requested their entire portion of their grant allotments be used for this project. The City of Las Vegas also requested that a portion of their eligible allotment be used for this project. The city of Mesquite, while not an eligible entity under this grant, also sent an e-mail endorsing this project as of significant value for their community.

SCOPE is a 24-hour, on-line computerized master name index. It provides information on individuals and businesses. It contains both criminal history (arrest and conviction-related data) and noncriminal history information (physical descriptors, work application information, traffic accidents, etc.). WVS is available through SCOPE terminals. It provides detailed information regarding local wanted or impounded vehicles. LVMPD information is entered, updated and maintained by the Police Records Bureau. Other Nevada agencies also enter information into the system and are responsible for entering, updating and maintaining their own information.

There are approximately 11,000 law enforcement and justice personnel ranging from officers to prosecutors who depend on an archaic 40 plus year old information system to gather intelligence to include, but not limited to criminal background checks, produce crime reports, or assist with determining sentencing in court. The Justice & Public Safety Community of Interest of the Information Technology Department for Clark County is managing the project for the new system. The new system will remove the risk of failure of an obsolete system and deliver new functionalities to law enforcement and justice stakeholders in Nevada.

Since July 2007, $443,831 has been invested in an independent and comprehensive study of the legacy systems in Clark County, Nevada. The study produced a Needs Assessment, Business Case, Request for Proposal, and Strategic Plan documents. These documents substantiated the threat to law enforcement posed by the continued use of a Shared Computer Operations for Protection and Enforcement (SCOPE) system. The study also addressed the limitations of the 30 year old Wanted Vehicles System (WVS) as well. The study confirmed the need for a new system.
As a result of the study, a new Justice Information Sharing System was estimated at a cost of $10.5 million dollars. Under the current economic duress for local governments, sufficient funding for the new system is not available. The project is divided into 5 phases to be funded as separate project components as monies become available.

Implementing a Justice Information Sharing System will initially improve information sharing in southern Nevada but open the door for other interested participating agencies across Nevada.

Numbers to be Served: Approximately 11,000 law enforcement and justice personnel

Program Activities: The project has raised $383,000 ($248,728 from a FY08 Homeland Security Grant and a local contribution of $137,272 from Clark County.) for the Kick-Off (Phase 0). Phase 1 requires $3.5 million to deliver the core system. The project is divided into 5 phases of activity:

- Phase 0-Kick Off: Develop detail specifications, system designs and an implementation plan through the use of FY08 HSGP and local Clark County funds – nearing completion.
- Phase 1A: Procure, install, and configure the development system's hardware and base software through the use of Local JAG. Local JAG funds will be expended to perform system testing to verify that the base system functions.
- Phase 1B: Procure, install, and configure the Staging system equipment and software environment through the use of State ARRA JAG funds. The new software will be adapted to address 1/3 of the mandatory criminal history repository requirements. A pilot group of agencies will be involved in the test and use of the application.
- Phase 1C: Procure, install, and configure the production level equipment and software environment through the use of State ARRA JAG funds. The remaining mandatory criminal history system requirements will be integrated into the new system. Users will be trained to use the new criminal history component of the new Justice Information Sharing System.

Program Objectives: To partially fund Phase 1 of the SCOPE/WVS Replacement Project
*NOTE: The total cost of the SCOPE/WVS project is not yet set in stone. Based on projections from the comprehensive study conducted by MTG Management Consultants, the estimated cost of the project is $10,198,000. However, we are currently in the review portion of the RFP process, and are reviewing the three top scoring vendor proposals. Depending on the vendor, or vendors, that are selected after the presentation segment of the process, the final budget may be significantly less.

Program Timeline or Project Plan:

- Month 1: Procure servers, racks, and software; prepare area in Data Center for new equipment.
- Month 3: Install and configure system development hardware and Software. Test to verify functionality. Prepare/Plan for installing Staging hardware environment.
- Month 6: Install Staging Hardware Environment, Program in 1/3 of mandatory criminal history system requirements into software. Start to prep for installing the production hardware environment. Test and pilot software.
- Month 9: Build remaining mandatory criminal history system requirements into software.
- Month 10: Perform System and User base system.
- Month 12: Conduct Training
- Month 14: Release new system.

The project is in the process of executing a Request For Proposal. A revision of time estimates and activities will be produced after a vendor has been confirmed.

Performance Measures Established to Ensure Grant Objectives are being Met:
No. 42 - Number of contractual support hours paid with JAG funds;
No. 43 - Number of units that report a desired change in efficiency;
No. 44 - Number of units that report a desired change in program quality;
No. 45 - Amount of award expended for improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems;
No. 46 - Number of units that used JAG funds to make improvements to information systems for criminal justice;
No. 47 - Number units that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice
No. 48 - Number of units that report a desired change in efficiency
No. 49 - Number of units that report a desired change in program quality

Anticipated Coordination Efforts: In a coordinated effort, the Nevada Department of Public Safety, City of North Las Vegas Police Department, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Henderson Police Department, Clark County (District Attorney, District and Justice Courts), and the City of Las Vegas (Detention and Enforcement, Municipal Court, and Office of the City Attorney) have collaborated to bring in a new Justice Information Sharing System (JISS).

Number of Collaborative Partnerships Established: 5 governmental entities

Total Program Cost: $10,198,000* **JAG Funding Request:** $808,377
A project cost, based on an average of the bids from the three highest scoring bids, is $5,746,112.81. This is significantly lower than the estimated cost from the consultant; however, we are continuing to seek funds at the higher range to ensure that the total project receives needed funding. The project is requesting $808,377 from this local Byrne JAG request and is requesting $2,782,304 million from State ARRA JAG funds for Phase 1. The project is also pursuing an earmark[1] for $1 million through Senator Harry Reid.

1 http://reid.senate.gov/appropriations_requests.cfm

Agency: Clark County

Department: District Attorney **Division:** Criminal
Program Title: Clark County District Attorney Gang Unit
Program Type: Expanded
Start Date: October 1, 2009 **End Date:** September 30, 2011

Program Purpose Area(s) Met: Prosecution and court programs.

Need for the Program: Clark County District Attorney's Office Gang Unit program enhancement. The frequency of violent gang activity is a major, ongoing problem in Southern Nevada. Gangs pose a threat to the safety of all citizens and visitors in Clark County. Gang members are responsible for a significant number of area homicides and commit a large percentage of robberies, assaults, home invasions and carjackings in Clark County. The following statistic charts help illustrate the extent of the problem (Source: LVMPD Crime Analysis Section). Affected Geographic Area - The area to be served under this project encompasses all of Clark County.

Problem Description – Violent gang activity is a major problem in Southern Nevada. Gangs pose a threat to the safety of Clark County citizens and visitors. As of December 2008, there were 9,852 known gang members and associates in Clark County (Charts Source: LVMPD Crime Analysis Section). There has been an increase of over 66% in the total number of gang members within the past five years.

General Information	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Gangs	395	704	292	355	454
Gang Members	5905	7042	8644	8098	9852
Gang Associates	2741	1897	1794	860	1541

Gangs are responsible for a significant portion of all violent deaths occurring within Clark County. In 2008 gang members were responsible for over 20% of all murders. Further, gang members commit a substantial portion of the robberies, home invasions and carjackings that occur in Las Vegas. Violent gang activity particularly impacts low income neighborhoods and minority populations.

LVMPD Violent Deaths	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Total
Murder/Non-Negligent Manslaughter	132	144	153	125	138	692
Gang Related Homicides	34	24	32	25	29	144
% of all Homicides	25.8 %	16.7%	20.9%	20.0%	21%	20.8%

Gang shootings are especially troublesome because they endanger innocent people who may be at the wrong place at the wrong time.

Drive-by Shootings	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Felony Arrests	91	81	85	71	67

Numbers to be Served: The Clark County District Attorney's Office delivers prosecutorial services within a geographical area of approximately 8,000 square miles to a general population nearing 2.2 million people and a tourist population that reached 37.4 million persons in 2008. Last year, more than 77,000 cases were submitted to the Criminal Division for prosecution.

Program Activities: Retain for an additional year one Gang Unit Deputy District Attorney and hire one additional Deputy District Attorney for one year. Both attorneys will be dedicated to the prosecution of gang members and gang-related crimes.

Program Objectives: To combat the growth in the number of gang-related crimes in Clark County.

- Goal 1: The primary goal of this program is to reduce the growth in the number of gang related crimes in Clark County.
  - Objective 1: Gang Unit Associate Attorneys will continue to be dedicated to the Gang Unit and increase the number of gang cases prosecuted by 2.5 %, as compared with 2008, by the end of the grant period, as measured by internal, quarterly and bi-annual reports issued by the District Attorney's Gang Unit.
  - Objective 2: The Gang Unit Associate Attorneys will continue to participate in a case review panel with the US Attorney's Office to determine the most effective jurisdiction for cases to obtain the maximum crime penalty, thereby increasing the number of felony convictions by 2%, as compared 2008, by the end of the grant period as measured by internal, quarterly and bi-annual reports issued by the District Attorney's Gang Unit.

Program Timeline or Project Plan:

Month 1: Begin recruitment for the new Deputy District Attorney
Month 3: Hire Deputy District Attorney*
Month 4: Assign gang-related cases to Deputy District Attorney
Month 12: Evaluate processes and results

*Although the grant will be used to cover salary and benefit expenses for the newly hired Deputy District Attorney, this position is not appropriate for an entry-level attorney. Therefore, the Office of the Clark County District Attorney will assign a more experienced attorney to the new Gang Unit position and use the entry-level attorney to replace the Gang Unit Deputy in his or her previous assignment.

Performance Measures Established to Ensure Grant Objectives are being Met:
No. 2 - Number of local initiatives planned;
No. 6 – Number of local initiatives implemented
No. 33 – Number of new FTEs funded with JAG funds
No. 36 - Percent of units that report increased program quality

To accomplish the objectives of this proposal, the CCDA will continue to utilize the Gang Unit Attorneys in aggressive prosecution of gang related cases and participating in the case review panel, through the direct partnership with LVMPD Gang Detectives and in conjunction with the US Attorney's Office, to increase the number of felony convictions and cases closed by the Unit.

The Deputy District Attorneys are responsible for accepting the increased number of gang cases prosecuted by performing duties to include: conducting pre-trial interviews with victims, discussion and review with law enforcement of pertinent statutes relating to the filing of complaints, preparation of cases for trial, drafting legal pleadings, conducting preliminary hearings, prosecuting cases before County and State Courts and training LVMPD officers.

The Gang Unit participates in the case review panel with the US Attorney's Office. The panel was created in coordination with the US Attorney's Office to evaluate gang crimes for prosecution in the court system to ensure maximum results and act as a deterrent to violent gang crimes being committed in Clark County. The CCDA hosts the panel's monthly meetings which focus on the evaluation of new cases, discussion of the case merits and legal obstacles, defendants' prior histories and any witness difficulties. The determination of whether a case is more appropriately prosecuted by the Gang Crimes Unit or the US Attorney's Office is predicated on the jurisdiction that can enforce the maximum penalty. If further investigation or case development is required prior to making a final decision, those tasks are assigned to the law enforcement agency.

Receipt of these JAG grant funds will enable the CCDA to continue prosecuting gang related cases, and act in tandem with law enforcement and the US Attorney's Office to provide a sound approach to resolving more cases. These efforts will increase the level of safety for Clark County citizens and visitors.

Project Evaluation: Case statistics will be maintained and monitored for the program by the attorneys in addition to tracking information concerning cases presented to the case review panel, breakdown of felony convictions and prison sentences, including an average term of incarceration for all cases. Monthly review meetings will continue and include status reports of cases being investigated (pending filing in both jurisdictions) and those actively moving through the criminal justice systems. JAG performance measures 2, 6, 33 and 36 will also be tracked and reported.

Anticipated Coordination Efforts: The Gang Unit Attorneys will continue aggressive prosecution of gang related cases through participation in the case review panel, a direct partnership with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Gang Detectives in conjunction with the US Attorney's Office, to increase the number of felony convictions and cases closed by the Unit.

Number of Collaborative Partnerships Established: The existing prosecutor and the new prosecutor will be dedicated positions in the CCDA's office to advise and assist the LVMPD Gang Crimes Bureau in the preparation of cases during the investigation stage and then pipelining those cases via vertical prosecution. The Gang Unit attorneys have assisted in numerous investigations, reviewed search warrants, assisted in the preparation of pen register applications and attended case conferences with the DEA/LVMPD/ATF task force and federal prosecutors. Additionally, the Gang Unit attorneys provide training to LVMPD gang officers regarding the legal issues attendant to these cases. As an active participant in the Southern Nevada Gang Task Force, attorney provides informational sessions to the general public regarding gang related activity and prosecution efforts of the CCDA's Office.

Total Program Cost: **$207,257.44** JAG Funding Request: **$207,257.44**

Agency: Clark County

Department: Eighth Judicial District Court **Division:** Specialty Courts
Program Title: Adult Criminal Drug Court
Program Type: New
Start Date: 9/1/2009 **End Date:** 8/31/2011
Program Purpose Area(s) Met: Drug treatment and enforcement programs.

Need for the Program: The Adult Criminal Drug Court Program was established in 1992 with the goal of providing cost effective drug rehabilitation targeted to felony offenders with a substance abuse problem. An internal recidivism study conducted on cases from 2000 and 2001 indicated a graduation rate of 52%. We believe with the addition of a clinical case manager position, the Court can be more proactive in assisting Criminal Judges in identifying defendants who would benefit from the intensive treatment program and monitoring compliance with treatment obligations, thus improving program retention and graduation rates. The substance abusing population is difficult to motivate and to keep engaged in treatment, therefore, intensive case management is needed to ensure continued participation in the program.

Numbers to be Served: 300 Drug Court participants during the 2-year program period.

Program Activities: The Clinical Case Management program will consist of a case manager conducting comprehensive substance abuse and mental health assessments, motivational interviewing and performing case management services for defendants referred to the Adult Drug Court program.

Program Objectives: To improve retention and graduation rates of Adult Drug Court participants.

Program Timeline or Project Plan:
1) 7/1/09 – begin recruitment of a Specialty Court Specialist (case manager) position;
2) 9/1/09 – begin assignment of cases to the case manager for the provision of assessment and case management services;
3) 1/15/10 – provide first quarterly report for period 10/1/09 –12/31/09;
4) provide additional quarterly reports every three months;
5) Send final report by 9/15/2011.

Performance Measures Established to Ensure Grant Objectives are being met:
No. 3 – Number of individuals receiving services;
No. 8 – Number of program participants who completed (intended) programming;
No. 10 - 10, Number of program participants who exhibit a desired change in a targeted behavior;
No. 33 – Number of new FTEs funded with JAG funds;
No. 34 – Number of overtime hours paid with JAG funds;
No. 37 – Amount of funds expended on equipment and/or supplies;
No. 38 – Types of equipment and/or supplies purchased with JAG funds.

In addition to the above, we will be measuring the length of time clients are in treatment by tracking the number of clients in the case management and non-case management groups and number of days clients are in "active" status. We will also measure the percentage of clients who are in "active" and "graduate" status by tracking the number of clients in "active" and the number of clients in "graduate" status in each group.

Anticipated Coordination Efforts: The Specialty Courts Manager for the Eighth Judicial District Court will recruit and hire the Specialty Court Specialist (Case Manager) position. The Specialty Courts Manager will supervise the case manager position, monitor the performance of job duties, and coordinate the collection and submission of data reports to the grantor.

Number of Collaborative Partnerships Established: The Specialty Courts Manager and Case Manager will both work very closely with Choices Group, the treatment provider for the Adult Drug Court Program.

Total Program Cost: **$199,053** JAG Funding Request: **$187,700**

Agency: Clark County

Department: Department of Family Services
Program Title: Triple P Parenting Project
Program Type: New
Start Date: 9/1/2009 **End Date:** 8/31/2011
Program Purpose Area(s) Met: Prevention and Education Programs

Need for the Program: Parents play a critical role in the positive development of their children. While parent education services may be relevant to all parents, very few services are available in Clark County to help parents address severe behavioural, emotional and developmental problems in children. Parents/caregivers struggling with behaviour problems in children need access to intensive parent education resources and support to increase their parenting skills and competence and reduce problematic behaviors in their children. Research has shown that parents can be taught to modify their children's behavior (Forehand, et al., 1984) and that parent education programs are effective in increasing knowledge and skill in appropriate parenting methods.

This project will provide funding to the Department of Family Services Parenting Project to offer new, more intensive services to families in the child welfare system using the Triple P Positive Parenting Program, offer a parent support hotline to encourage the maintenance of newly learned parenting skills and explore the feasibility of implementing an online registration process for parents and caregivers in the community.

Numbers to be Served: At least 144 parent/caregivers who receive parent education.

Program Activities: Project Services: In the first year of this project, a minimum of one facilitator will be trained in the Triple P parenting program. A minimum of 12 parent education programs consisting of 8 sessions each and 3-4 follow-up phone calls per family will be offered to at least 144 parents/caregivers. In addition, the feasibility of implementing an online registration process for parents and caregivers will be explored.

Program Objectives: Goals of this project include: 1) educating parents and caregivers of children with difficult to manage behaviors in effective parenting and behavior management strategies using the Group Triple P parenting program, 2) increasing parental competency providing access to skilled facilitators between sessions and after programs to encourage the maintenance of the new skills, and 3) exploring the feasibility of improving access to services by creating an online registration program so that parents and caregivers can register themselves for training programs.

Project Outcomes:
1) Implement the Triple P Positive Parenting Program and hotline/phone support system designed to increase parental competence and confidence by:

- improving parents' ability to manage their child's behavior
- reducing parents' use of coercive and punitive discipline methods,

- improving parents' ability to communicate effectively about parenting issues, and
- reducing parenting stress related to caring for children.

Changes in these four areas will be assessed through the use of pre- and post-test measures. Demographic and pre/post data will be collected and entered into SPSS

(Statistical Package for Social Services) with analysis and outcomes reported at the end of the grant period. Client satisfaction data will also be collected, analyzed and reported. The Triple P program is research-based and has been evaluated through controlled studies since 1978 producing predictable decreases in problematic behaviors in children.
2) Determine the feasibility/cost of implementing an online registration process to increase access and improve services to families in a cost-efficient efficient manner.

Program Timeline or Project Plan:
1) 7/1/09 – begin recruitment of a Specialty Court Specialist (case manager) position;
2) 9/1/09 – begin assignment of cases to the case manager for the provision of assessment and case management services;
3) 1/15/10 – provide first quarterly report for period 10/1/09 –12/31/09;
4) provide additional quarterly reports every three months;
5) Send final report by 9/15/2011.

Performance Measures Established to Ensure Grant Objectives are being met:
No. 3 – Number of individuals receiving services;
No. 8 – Number of program participants who completed (intended) programming;
No. 10 - Number of program participants who exhibit a desired change in a targeted behavior;
No. 33 – Number of new FTEs funded with JAG funds;

Anticipated Coordination Efforts: The CCDFS Parenting Project has been successfully operating since 1989 with both Clark County and grant funds offering a variety of parent education services to more than 80,000 parents and caregivers and has a proven record in delivering services in a reliable and consistent manner.

Number of Collaborative Partnerships Established: A minimum of five in Year 1.

Total Program Cost: **$134,245.39** JAG Funding Request: **$37,500**

Byrne Justice Assistance Grant
CITY OF LAS VEGAS

Budget Detail Worksheet
Three-Year Budget Projections

A. PERSONNEL SALARIES

Jurisdiction/Program	Job Title	Grade or Calculation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
CLV Municipal Court	Court Compliance Unit Supervisor	Grade CS40, $31.17/hour plus 2.5% CoLA for FY2010, based upon Civil Service Collective Bargaining Agreement	$67,605.72	projected to be self-supporting by Year 2	projected to be self-supporting by Year 2	$67,605.72
CLV City Attorney	Victim Witness Advocate	AP3 @ 43,038 minimum salary at $20.69/hr ($1,655.31 biweekly)	$43,035.20	$44,111.08	$45,213.86	$132,360.14
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	Specialty Court Specialist	Salary midpoint of $70,595 per year	$70,595.00	$70,595.00	$0.00	$141,190.00
Clark County DA	Existing gang attorney	Computed at existing salary	$76,817.57	$0.00	$0.00	$76,817.57
Clark County DA	New gang attorney	Computed at entry salary	$68,130.40	$0.00	$0.00	$68,130.40
Clark County DFS	Parent Education Facilitator (PTH)	$25/hour * 1039 hours	$25,975.00	$25,975.00	$25,975.00	$77,925.00
Clark County DFS	Program Assistant (PTH)	$15/hour * 8 hours/week * 30 weeks for Year one and for 52 weeks in Years 2 & 3	$3,600.00	$6,240.00	$6,240.00	$16,080.00
Clark County DFS	Office Assistant (PTH)	$10/hour * 20 hours/week * 52 weeks	$0.00	$10,400.00	$10,400.00	$20,800.00
		Subtotal	***$355,758.89***	***$157,321.08***	***$87,828.86***	$600,908.83

B. FRINGE BENEFITS

JobTitle	% (Benefits)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
Court Compliance Unit Supervisor	61% of salaries, for medical and other group related coverage, insurance, sick leave, 401k contributions, PERS, etc.	$41,239.49	projected to be self-supporting by Year 2	projected to be self-supporting by Year 2	$41,239.49
Victim Witness Advocate	61% of salaries, for medical and other group related coverage, insurance, sick leave, 401k contributions, PERS, etc.	$26,251.47	$26,907.76	$27,580.45	$80,739.68
Specialty Court Specialist	Benefits are calculated at a total rate of 33.35% of the annual salary	$23,523.67	$23,522.25	$0.00	$47,045.92
Existing gang attorney	Computed at 42.9875% of salary	$33,021.95	$0.00	$0.00	$33,021.95
New gang attorney	Computed at 42.9875% of salary	$29,287.56	$0.00	$0.00	$29,287.56
Parent Education Facilitator (PTH)	Benefits for part-time employees are calculated at 4.55% per salary	$1,181.86	$1,181.86	$1,181.86	$3,545.59
Program Assistant (PTH)	Benefits for part-time employees are calculated at 4.55% per salary	$163.80	$283.92	$283.92	$731.64
Office Assistant (PTH)	Benefits for part-time employees are calculated at 4.55% per salary	$0.00	$473.20	$473.20	$946.40
	Subtotal	***$154,669.80***	***$52,369.00***	***$29,519.44***	$236,558.23

C. TRAVEL

Purpose/Location, etc.	Computation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
Round Trip travel plus hotel, transportation, and meals for expert witnesses	Estimated based upon airline costs, hotel, ground transportation, and meals for expert witnesses flown into Las Vegas to testify in court cases.	$4,956.00	$0.00	$0.00	$4,956.00
In-town travel for case workers to monitor and follow-up with clients	$.585 per mile * 2564 miles travelled annually by case workers, estimated by the allowed IRS mileage rate for reimbursement and historical data for miles travelled by case workers	$1,499.94	$0.00	$0.00	$1,499.94
Required trip to DC	Roundtrip from LV to Wash. DC $808 * 1 person	$808.00	$808.00	$808.00	$2,424.00
Required trip to DC	Lodging for 1 person for 3 nights ($233/night)	$699.00	$699.00	$699.00	$2,097.00
Required trip to DC	Meals and Per Diem ($64) for 1 personfor 3 days	$192.00	$192.00	$192.00	$576.00
Required trip to DC	Ground Transportation	$192.00	$192.00	$192.00	$576.00
Required trip to Regional Meeting, estimated in San Francisco, CA	Roundtrip from LV to San Francisco, CA $808 * 1 person	$808.00	$808.00	$808.00	$2,424.00
Required trip to Regional Meeting, estimated in San Francisco, CA	Lodging for 1 personfor 3 nights ($233/night)	$699.00	$699.00	$699.00	$2,097.00
Required trip to Regional Meeting, estimated in San Francisco, CA	Meals and Per Diem ($64) for 1 person for 3 days	$192.00	$192.00	$192.00	$576.00

CLV OAS	Required trip to Regional Meeting, estimated in San Francisco, CA	Ground Transportation, if needed	$192.00	$192.00	$192.00	$576.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	NADCP Conference in Boston, MA	Round trip airfare (LV to Boston) at $500 + Lodging for 3 nights at $200/night + Meals at $55/day for 4 days + ground transportation of $50/day for 4 days	$1,520.00	$1,520.00	$1,520.00	$4,560.00
Clark County DFS	Triple P Facilitator Training in Sacramento, CA	Round-trip airfare (LV to Sacramento) at $280 + Transportation at $70 + Lodging at $350 + Per Diem at $150	$850.00	$850.00	$850.00	$2,550.00
		Subtotal	***$12,607.94***	***$6,152.00***	***$6,152.00***	$24,911.94

	D. EQUIPMENT	Computation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
CLV City Attorney	Dell 17" Monitor w/ Ultra Small Factor	City of Las Vegas IT standard equipment price list	$1,050.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,050.00
CLV City Attorney	HP P3005 Laser printers (3)	One printer for the new Victim Witness Advocate and the additional two printers to replace printers that are in need of capital replacement for two existing Victim Witness Advocates. Each printer, per CLV IT Standard Equipment pricing, is $518	$1,554.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,554.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	Dell PC 760 SFF w/monitor	Standard costs from purchasing	$940.00	$0.00	$0.00	$940.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	Standard software package	Standard costs from purchasing	$484.00	$0.00	$0.00	$484.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	HP LaserJet 5200dtn Printer	Standard costs from purchasing	$2,553.00			$2,553.00
		Subtotal	***$6,581.00***	***$0.00***	***$0.00***	$6,581.00

	E. SUPPLIES	Computation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
CLV City Attorney	Educational materials, outreach pamplets, copier expenses, etc.	Average of $903.11 per year for educational pamplets; will purchase in year one to get quantity discount	$2,709.33	$0.00	$0.00	$2,709.33
CLV Neighborhood Services	Office Supplies, postage, paper, copying, etc.	$1,500 annual ly	$1,500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,500.00
CLV Neighborhood Services	Client Work Cards	estimated $68.75 per person for various required work cards	$5,500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$5,500.00
CLV Neighborhood Services	Client Bus Passes	$4,000 annually	$4,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$4,000.00
CLV Neighborhood Services	Client Work Clothing/ Uniforms	$8,000 annually	$8,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$8,000.00
CLV Neighborhood Services	Client Tools	$8,000 annually	$8,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$8,000.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	General office supplies	$500 per year * 2 years	$500.00	$500.00	$0.00	$1,000.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	Computer Software Upgrades	$500 per year * 2 years	$500.00	$500.00	$0.00	$1,000.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	Books, manuals, and publications	$250 per year * 2 years	$250.00	$250.00	$0.00	$500.00
Clark County DFS	Office supplies, printing, and educational materials	Annual costs include: Office Supplies at $729 , printing at $.06/copy * 5,130 copies), and publications from Triple P (DVDs, Facilitator manuals, pre- and post-test materials, and educational/ experiential learning material) at $3,000	$4,529.00	$4,529.00	$4,529.00	$13,587.00
		Subtotal	***$35,488.33***	***$5,779.00***	***$4,529.00***	$45,796.33

	F. CONSTRUCTION	Not Applicable	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
N/A	N/A	N/A	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
		Subtotal	***$0.00***	***$0.00***	***$0.00***	$0.00

	G. CONTRACTUAL	Computation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
CLV City Attorney	Consultation Fees for expert witnesses	Estimated $250/hour time 24 hours for one high profile case	$12,500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$12,500.00
CLV Neighborhood Services	Employment development, placement, and retention services for ex-offiender clients	RFP will bid out for up to $80,000 for services for Year 1.	$80,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$80,000.00
Clark County IT	SCOPE/WVS Replacement Project	The top three bidders are currently under review. Final presentations are scheduled for the week of July 20th, then the Best and Final Offer process begins.	$808,377.67	$0.00	$0.00	$808,377.67
		Subtotal	***$900,877.67***	***$0.00***	***$0.00***	$900,877.67

	H. OTHER COSTS	Computation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 2	TOTAL

CLV Neighborhood Services	Data System Maintenance	$1,500 annual ly	$1,500.00	$0.00	$0.00	$1,500.00
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	NADCP Conference in Boston, MA	Registration costs at $600 per year	$600.00	$600.00	$600.00	$1,800.00
Clark County DFS	Triple P Facilitator Training in Sacramento, CA	Registration costs at $1,200 per year	$1,200.00	$1,200.00	$1,200.00	$3,600.00
		Subtotal	*$3,300.00*	*$1,800.00*	*$1,800.00*	$6,900.00

	I. ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS	Computation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL
N/A	N/A	N/A	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
		Subtotal	*$0.00*	*$0.00*	*$0.00*	$0.00
		TOTAL:	$1,469,283.63	$223,421.08	$129,829.29	$1,822,534.00

Las Vegas Municipal Court
Edward Byrne Memorial Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program
Prosecution and Courts Program Purpose Area
CFDA # 16.738
BUDGET NARRATIVE

A. Personnel

Five full-time positions are requested through this grant, as follows:

1. City of Las Vegas Municipal Court – Court Compliance Unit Supervisor (one year only)
   Grade CS40, calculated at $31.71 per hour plus the 2.5% COLA for FY10 times 2080 hours for $67,605.72
2. City of Las Vegas City Attorney – Victim Witness Advocate (three years)
   Grade AP3, calculated at starting salary of $43,038 ($20.69/hr) times 2080 hours/year plus 2.5% COLA for year two ($44,111.08) and year three ($45,213.86) for a total of $132,360.14
3. Clark County 8th Judicial District – Specialty Court Specialist (two years)
   Calculated at a midpoint salary range of $70,595 for both years one and two for a total of $141,190.00
4. Clark County DA – Gang Attorney, existing (one year)
   Calculated at the current salary of $76,817.57
5. Clark County DA – Gang Attorney, new (one year)
   Calculated at the entry level salary of $68,130.40

Three part-time positions are requested through this grant, as follows:

1. Clark County Department of Family Services – Parent Education Facilitator (three years)
   Calculated at $25/hour for 1039 hours per year for an annual cost of $25,975 and a three year total of $77,925.00
2. Clark County Department of Family Services – Program Assistant (three years)
   Calculated at $15/hour for 8 hours/week for 30 weeks in year one ($3,600.00) and for 52 weeks for year two ($6,240.00) and year three ($6,240.00) for a three year total of $16,080.00
3. Clark County Department of Family Services – Office Assistant (two years)
   Calculated at $10/hour for 20 hours/week for 52 weeks per year ($10,400.00) for years two and three for a total of $20,800.00

TOTAL PERSONNEL COSTS: $600,908.83

B. Fringe Benefits

Benefits for the five full-time positions requested through this grant, are as follows:

1. City of Las Vegas Municipal Court – Court Compliance Unit Supervisor (one year only)
   61% of salaries for medical and other group related coverage, insurance, sick leave, 401K contributions (if applicable), retirement, etc. for a total of $41,239.49
2. City of Las Vegas City Attorney – Victim Witness Advocate (three years)
   61% of salaries for medical and other group related coverage, insurance, sick leave, 401K contributions (if applicable), retirement, etc. for a total of $26,251.47 in year one, $26,907.76 for year two, and $27,580.45 for year three for a total of $80,739.68
3. Clark County 8th Judicial District – Specialty Court Specialist (two years)
   33.35% of salaries for $23,523.67 each for years one and two for a total of $47,045.92

4. Clark County DA – Gang Attorney, existing (one year)
   42.9875% of salaries for a total of $33,021.95
5. Clark County DA – Gang Attorney, new (one year)
   42.9875% of salaries for a total of $29,287.56

Benefits for the three part-time positions requested through this grant, are as follows:

1. Clark County Department of Family Services – Parent Education Facilitator (three years)
   4.55% benefits for an annual cost of $1,181.86 and a three year total of $3,545.59
2. Clark County Department of Family Services – Program Assistant (three years)
   4.55% benefits for year one are $163.80; years two and three are $1,181.86 each for a three year total of $731.64
3. Clark County Department of Family Services – Office Assistant (two years)
   4.55% benefits for an annual cost of $473.20 for years two and three for a total of $946.40

TOTAL FRINGE BENEFIT COSTS: $236,558.23

C. Travel

Travel costs are requested as follows:

1. City of Las Vegas – Office of Administrative Services: Included is mandatory travel to DOJ meetings for this grant, both in Washington, D.C. and at a regional meeting for one person that consists of airfare, lodging, ground transportation and per diems at an estimated $3,782 per year for a three year total of $11,346.00
2. City of Las Vegas - City Attorney: Costs for travel to bring expert witness(es) to Las Vegas to testify in court are estimated at $4,956 for three years; if the total costs are not used for this or the consultant fees for year one, they will be used in years two and three
3. City of Las Vegas – Neighborhood Services: Mileage for caseworkers to follow up on clients, including verification of attendance in court mandated programs, employment, and residence checks are estimated at $.585 per mile for 2,564 miles travelled for a one year cost of $1,499.94
4. Clark County 9th Judicial District Court has requested travel funds to attend the NADCP Conference in Boston, Massachusetts, with round-trip airfare ($500), lodging for three nights at $200 per night ($600), per diems of $55 per day for four days ($220), and ground transportation at $50 per day for four days for an annual total of $1,520 and a three year total of $4,560.00
5. Clark County Department of Family Services has requested travel funds to attend Triple P Parenting Training in Sacramento, California with round-trip airfare at $280, lodging of $350, per diems of $150, and ground transportation at $70 for an annual total of $850 and a three year total of $2,550.00

TOTAL TRAVEL COSTS: $24,911.94

D. Equipment

Equipment costs are requested as follows:

1. City of Las Vegas – City Attorney requests for the Victim Witness Advocate funded through this grant: one computer and monitor, based upon the standard make and model provided through the I.T. department at the rate of $1,050 and a standard printer at $518; two additional printers are requested for two existing Victim Witness Advocates to replace obsolete printers with more energy and cost efficient models at $518 each; total equipment request for the City Attorney is $2,604.00
2. Clark County – 8th Judicial District Court requests one standard computer and monitor, one printer, and one software package for the new Gang Attorney funded by this grant for a total of $3,977.00

TOTAL EQUIPMENT COSTS: $6,581.00

E. Supplies

Costs for supplies are requested as follows:

1. City of Las Vegas – City Attorney: educational pamphlets and brochures for victims and witnesses of domestic violence, DUI, etc., estimated at an annual cost of $903.11, but purchased entirely in Year 1 to obtain a quantity discount and get more materials, for a total cost of $2,709.33
2. City of Las Vegas – Neighborhood Services: Office supplies at $1,500; Client work and identification cards at an estimated $68.75 per client for 80 clients ($5,500); Client bus passes at $4,000; Client work clothing/uniform allowance at $8,000; Client tool allowances at $8,000 for a total of $27,000.00
3. Clark County – 8th Judicial District Court: general office supplies at $500 per year for two years; and books, manuals, and professional publications at $250 per year for two years for a total of $1,000
4. Clark County – Department of Family Services: office supplies at $729 per year, printing costs ($.06 per copy * 5,130 copies), and educational materials for the parenting project ($3,000) per year for a three year total of $13,587.00

TOTAL SUPPLIES COSTS: $45,796.33

F. Construction – Not Applicable

G. Contractual

Costs for consultants and contracts are as follows:

1. City of Las Vegas – City Attorney: consultation fees for expert witnesses – pilot project to determine whether or not this service will improve successful outcomes of trials for which the City Attorney is a part, at $12,500 for the first year; any monies not spent in year one will be used in years two and three

2. City of Las Vegas – Neighborhood Services: Contract for a vendor to provide employment development, placement, and retention services for ex-offender clients. An RFP will competitively bid out the process for up to $80,000 from this request
3. Clark County – Information Technologies: SCOPE/WVS Replacement Vendor. The competitive RFP process in currently half-way complete, with the top three bidders selected through a panel of the regional partners. Presentations of the top three bidders are scheduled for the week of July 20, 2009 will result in the final candidate, after which the Best and Final Offer (BOFA) phase will begin. $808,377.67 has been allocated by the disparate entities in this disparate jurisdiction to Clark County IT to help fund this project, which is estimated at up to $11,000,000, depending on the vendor selected. The average vendor bid of the top three vendor proposals is approximately $5,900,000.

TOTAL CONTRACTUAL COSTS: $900,877.67

H. Other Costs

Other costs requested that do not fall into any of the above categories include the following:

1. City of Las Vegas – Neighborhood Services: $1,500 for annual data system maintenance for the database that maintains all information on clients in this program
2. Clark County – 8th Judicial District Court: conference registration fees for the NADCP Conference at $600 per year for a three year total of $1,800
3. Clark County – Department of Family Services: training conference registration fees for the Triple P Facilitator training at $1,200 per year for a three year total of $3,600

TOTAL OTHER COSTS: $6,900.00

I. Administrative Costs – Not Requested

TOTAL FUNDING REQUESTED: $1,822,534.00

Total Requested by Jurisdiction and Department per Year

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Clark County 8th Judicial District Court	$101,465.67	$97,487.25	$2,120.00	$201,072.92
Clark County DA	$207,257.48	$0.00	$0.00	$207,257.48
Clark County DFS	$37,499.66	$51,132.98	$51,132.98	$139,765.63
Clark County IT	$808,377.67	$0.00	$0.00	$808,377.67
CLV City Attorney	$82,042.67	$78,670.84	$80,446.31	$241,159.82
CLV Municipal Court	$108,845.21	$0.00	$0.00	$108,845.21
CLV Neighborhood Services	$109,999.94	$0.00	$0.00	$109,999.94
CLV OAS	$3,782.00	$3,782.00	$3,782.00	$11,346.00
	$1,459,270.30	$231,073.08	$137,481.29	$1,827,824.67

Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Local Solicitation
Disparate Jurisdiction Application
Fiscal Agent: City of Las Vegas, Nevada
CFDA # 16.738, 2009-H2827-NV-DJ

REVIEW NARRATIVE

The City of Las Vegas, acting as Fiscal Agent for the disparate jurisdiction consisting of Clark County and the cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, and Henderson, is applying for the Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Local Solicitation funds in the amount of $1,822,534. As such, a Memorandum of Understanding has been accepted by the jurisdictions and is currently up for review and approval by elected officials at Council and Commission meetings during the month of July (see MOU, attached). A formal, signed copy will be provided as soon as all of the jurisdictions submit their original, signed copies.

The City of Las Vegas, as fiscal agent, made the grant application available for review by its governing body (or to the organization designated by that governing body) not fewer than 30 days before the application is submitted to BJA during City Council Briefings the week of June 30th through July 3rd and at the City Council Meeting July 15, 2009 (see Agenda Summary Page, attached).

The City of Las Vegas, as fiscal agent, made the application was made public and that, to the extent of applicable law or established procedure, an opportunity to comment was provided to citizens and neighborhood or community organizations. Request for public comments notices were posted at all disparate jurisdiction entity government buildings from June 17, 2009 until July 1, 2009, with opportunities to comment via telephone and e-mail. No comments were received (See Request for Public Comment Notice, attached).

The City of Las Vegas, as fiscal agent, agrees to collect data, both fiscal and programmatic, for reports that will be submitted by the 10th of the month following the quarter for which the report is being submitted. A prototype of Recipient Reporting Template, based on the screenshots from the ARRA Workflow document has been created for use and is attached as Attachment 5.

The City of Las Vegas, as fiscal agent, attests that federal funds will be used to supplement existing state and local funds for program activities and will not replace those funds that have been appropriated for the same purpose. Each governmental entity within the disparate jurisdiction has attested to the same and will agree to audits verifying such as part of each interlocal agreement that shall be signed prior to receipt of funds.

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AMONG THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, THE COUNTY OF CLARK, THE CITY OF HENDERSON, AND THE CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS REGARDING THE DESIGNATION OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS AS THE APPLICANT/FISCAL AGENT PURSUANT TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE BYRNE JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG) PROGRAM AWARD

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is made and executed by and among The City of Las Vegas ("City"), the County of Clark ("County"), the City of Henderson ("Henderson"), and the City of North Las Vegas ("NLV"). The City, County, Henderson, and North Las Vegas may hereinafter be referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."

RECITALS

1. The City, County, Henderson, and North Las Vegas are each lawful political subdivisions of the State of Nevada.

2. There are grant funds available to the Parties under the United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Formula Program ("JAG Program") Local Solicitation in the combined amount of $1,822,534 ("Grant Funds") for "the support of all components of the criminal justice system, from multi-jurisdictional drug and task forces to crime prevention and domestic violence programs, courts, corrections, treatment and justice information sharing initiatives. JAG funded projects may address crime through the provision of services directly to individuals and/or communities and by improving the effectiveness and efficiency of criminal justice systems, processes and procedures." (*quoting*, Overview of Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant).

3. The Parties have communicated and expressed a collective desire to apply for the Grant Funds through the JAG Program.

4. The Parties were certified by the Director of the Bureau of Justice Assistance as a JAG Disparate Jurisdiction, and because of this certification, the Parties must identify an applicant/fiscal agent that will submit a joint application to the Department of Justice, Office of Justice Program's Bureau of Justice Assistance ("BJA") for joint funds.

5. The Parties intend for this MOU to identify the applicant/fiscal agent, as well as identify a timetable for the Parties to negotiate and execute an interlocal agreement to determine the proper administration for the Grant Funds, the procedure for distribution of the Grant Funds, the monitoring of the Grants Funds, including rules regarding the permitted and prohibited use of Grant Funds pursuant to the JAG Program, the submission of reports pursuant to the rules of the JAG Program, and oversight of the Grant Funds.

AGREEMENT

A. Identification of the Applicant/Fiscal Agent for the Grant Funds.

The Parties hereby agree that the **City of Las Vegas** is the Applicant/Fiscal Agent of the Grant Funds for purposes of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Formula Program.

B. Interlocal Agreement Between the Parties Required.

The Parties hereby acknowledge and agree that no later than August 9, 2009, the Parties will execute an interlocal agreement among one another that will provide the rules and procedures for the administration of the JAG Program and the distribution of the Grant Funds, in accordance with the United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Formula Program:

Local Solicitation (attached hereto at Exhibit 1) and any other rules and regulations promulgated by the Department of Justice.

. . .

C. Deadline for Application.

For the Parties to qualify for the disbursement of the Grant Funds, this MOU must be executed by each of the Parties prior to the July 9, 2009 application deadline. Failure by any Party to so execute will preclude the City of Las Vegas from submitting the application for the Grant Funds on behalf of the Parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have set their hands the day and year last written below.

CITY OF LAS VEGAS

By: _____
Oscar B. Goodman, Mayor Date

ATTEST:

_____
Beverly K. Bridges, CMC, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____
James B. Lewis, Deputy City Attorney

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have set their hands the day and year last written below.

CLARK COUNTY

By: _____
Rory Ried, Commission Chair Date

ATTEST:

_____
Shirley B. Parraguirre, County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have set their hands the day and year last written below.

CITY OF HENDERSON

By: _____
James B. Gibson, Mayor Date

By: _____
Jutta G. Chambers, Chief of Police Date

ATTEST:

_____
Monica Martinez Simmons, MMC, City Clerk Date

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____
Shauna M. Hughes, City Attorney Date

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have set their hands the day and year last written below.

CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS

By: _____
Michael L. Montandon, Mayor Date

ATTEST:

_____
Karen L. Storms, CMC, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____

City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.:

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 15 , 2009

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER
DIRECTOR: ELIZABETH (BETSY) FRETWELL

[X] CONSENT [ ] DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the City of Las Vegas to act as fiscal agent for the Department of Justice/Bureau of Justice Assistance Byrne Justice Assistance Grant Local Solicitation between the City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, City of Henderson, and Clark County – All Wards

Fiscal Impact: Unknown

[X] No Impact
[ ] Budget Funds Available
[ ] Augmentation Required

Amount:
Dept./Division: City Manager's Office
Funding Source: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Local Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas is currently working on a regional, four-year grant for a total of $1,822,534 to fund justice related programs. No matching funds are required. The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is a document required by the Department of Justice to confirm regional collaboration. The four jurisdictions have been identified as a disparate jurisdiction and must apply through a single proposal with one governmental entity designated as fiscal agent. As a requirement of the grant, the MOU must be made available for review to the City Council not fewer than thirty (30) days prior to the grant deadline of July 9, 2009; discussion at the Council Briefings prior to approval at the Council meeting is considered acceptable.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Memorandum of Understanding

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Memorandum of Understanding

Byrne Justice Assistance Grant Local Solicitation

JAG Request Priority Matrix Final Breakdown

CITY OF LAS VEGAS

PUBLIC NOTICE – REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE
EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT (JAG)
FORMULA PROGRAM: LOCAL SOLICITATION

As a "disparate jurisdiction" (largest population distribution in the state and highest in crime statistics), the grant is a regional application process that must have agreement between the city of Las Vegas, the city of North Las Vegas, the city of Henderson, and Clark County.

Funds from this Byrne JAG grant may be used for local initiatives, technical assistance, training, personnel, equipment, supplies, contractual support, information systems for criminal justice, and criminal justice-related research and evaluation activities. The jurisdictions involved

Byrne Justice Assistance Grant Breakdown

City of Las Vegas	$469,156.33	25.74%
SCOPE/WVS Project* allocated to Clark County IT	**$808,377.67**	44.35%
Clark Co. projects w/o SCOPE	$545,000.00	29.90%
	$1,822,534.00	100.00%

The Byrne Justice Assistance Local Solicitation RFP is available for review and comment at the following locations, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m.:

City Hall – City Manager's Office
400 Stewart Ave., 8th Floor
Clark County Government Building
500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Henderson City Hall
240 Water St.
North Las Vegas City Hall
2200 Civic Center Drive

Comments on the Byrne Grant are solicited from the Public from
June 17, 2009 until July 1, 2009

To provide comment, please contact Becky Jo Spray, Office of Administrative Services,
400 Stewart Ave., 8th Floor, Las Vegas, NV 89101, 229-4172
Or
Submit online at ByrneGrantPublicComments@lasvegasnevada.gov
If you have a disability and need special accommodations, please call: (702) 229-6501.

Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Local Solicitation
Disparate Jurisdiction Application
Fiscal Agent: City of Las Vegas, Nevada
CFDA # 16.738, 2009-H2827-NV-DJ

ABSTRACT

The City of Las Vegas, acting as Fiscal Agent for the disparate jurisdiction consisting of Clark County and the cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, and Henderson, is applying for the Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Local Solicitation funds in the amount of $1,822,534.

Through funding from this grant it is proposed that the following projects will serve the community:

- SCOPE/WVS (Shared Computer Operations for Protection and Enforcement/Wanted Vehicles System). Hosted by Clark County IT, it is the primary database system used by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, North Las Vegas Police Department, Henderson Police Department, and City of Las Vegas departments of Detention & Enforcement, City Attorney, and Municipal Court.
- Clark County District Attorney Gang Attorney (one existing position and one new position)
- Clark County Eighth Judicial District Adult Criminal Drug Court Program
- Clark County Department of Family Services Triple P Parenting Project
- City of Las Vegas City Attorney Victim Witness Advocate Program expansion (restoration of a position)
- City of Las Vegas Municipal Court Compliance Officer (new position)
- City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Inmate Discharge Planning Inititiave

Coordination of services will be provided through quarterly regional meetings, program reviews and audits by the fiscal agent and consistent communication through telephone, e-mail, and personal contact.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES OUTSOMES/RESULTS

Prime Recipient

Funding Agency Name	0	Project Grant Period	0
Program Source (TAPS)	0	Reporting Period End Date	0
Grant Award Number	0	Final Report	0
FBO/CFDA Number	0	Report Frequency	0
Project Name	0	Award Date (Date Signed)	0
Transaction Type	0	Award Description	0
Recipient DUNS No.	0	Amount of Award (Obligation)	0
Recipient Account Number	0	Federal Expenditure:	0
Recipient Area of Benefit	0	Project Description (NAICS)	0
		Project Status	0
Primary Place of Performance - Location Name	0	Jobs Created	#DIV/0!
Primary Place of Performance - City	0	Total Infrastructure Expenditure	0
Primary Place of Performance - State	0	Total Federal ARRA Expenditure	0
Primary Place of Performance - County	0	Total Federal non-ARRA Expenditure	0
Primary Place of Performance - Congressional District	0	Total non-Federal Share of Expenditure	0
		Jobs Retained	#DIV/0!

Related JAG Performance Measures Met (enter the appropriate JAG Performance Measures below)

Department Name:		Department Project Name:	
Description of Department Project or Activities		Department Project Area of Benefit:	
Number of Individuals Receiving Essential Services:		Percent of Department Project or Activity Complete:	
			If measure is not applicable, please enter N/A below.
Performance Measure 1	Number of local initiatives planned	Performance Measure 1 Results	
Performance Measure 2	Number of individuals or individuals and families served (receiving services)	Performance Measure 2 Results	
Performance Measure 3	Number of communities and/or organizations served (receiving services)	Performance Measure 3 Results	
Performance Measure 4	Number of programs/ program slots offered through initiatives	Performance Measure 4 Results	
Performance Measure 4a	4a. Number of programs or program slots available at the start of the reporting period	Performance Measure 4a Results	
Performance Measure 4b	4b. Number of programs/program slots created (added) during the reporting period using grant funds	Performance Measure 4b Results	
Performance Measure 4c	4c. Percent Created/Added (b/a)	Performance Measure 4c Results	#DIV/0!
Performance Measure 5	Number of hours of programming provided	Performance Measure 5 Results	
Performance Measure 6	Number of (task force) partners that are sharing resources/ information and strategies	Performance Measure 6 Results	

PERFORMANCE MEASURES OUTSOMES/RESULTS

Prime Recipient

0	Project Grant Period	
0	Reporting Period End Date	
0	Final Report	
0	Report Frequency	
0	Award Date (Date Signed)	
0	Award Description	
0	Amount of Award (Obligation)	
0	Federal Expenditure:	
0	Project Description (NAICS)	
	Project Status	
# Cumulative Hours Worked for Reporting Period	# Cumulative Hours Worked on a FTE Schedule for Reporting Period	# Full Time Employee Equivalents
		#DIV/0!
		#DIV/0!

OP/OC = Output/ Outcome; LT-OC = Long-Term Outcome	DS/SI = Direct Service/ System Improvement	BJA Purpose Areas = (1) Law Enforcement; (2) Prosecution and Court; (3) Prevention and Education; (4) Corrections and Community Corrections; (5) Drug Treatment and Enforcement; (6) Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement; (7) Crime Victim and Witness
OP	SI	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
OP	DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
OP	DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
OP	DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
OP	DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
OP	DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
OC	DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
OP	DS	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
OP	SI	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7