



City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: **103.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 6, 2009**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: M. MARGO WHEELER**

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:
GPA-3255 - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -
APPLICANT/OWNER: SMOKE RANCH DEVELOPMENT, LLC - Request to Amend a portion
of the South West Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM/O (OFFICE) TO: SC (SERVICE
COMMERCIAL) 3.3 acres adjacent to the south side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately
1,300 feet east of Las Vegas Drive (APN 118-22-102-004), Ward 4 (Steinman). Staff recommends
DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location and Aerial Maps
2. Conditions (Not Applicable) and Staff Report
3. Supporting Documentation
4. Photos
5. Justification Letter
6. Protest Postcard
7. Submitted after Final Agenda Protest Postcard/Letter for Items 103 and 104
8. Submitted after Meeting Protest Postcard for Items 103 and 104
9. Backup Referenced from the 04-09-2009 Planning Commission Meeting Item 10

Motion made by GARY REESE to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, STEVE WOLFSON, OSCAR B. GOODMAN,
GARY REESE, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None);
(Excused-DAVID W. STEINMAN)

Minutes:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open for Items 103-107.

ATTORNEY TABITHA FIDDYMENT, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appearing on behalf of
the applicant, stated that this property is oddly shaped and pointed out that Condition 6 of Item
107 requires a ten-foot landscape strip adjacent to the multi-use trail. She indicated that the site

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: MAY 6, 2009

plan submitted to staff and the Planning Commission did not include that landscape buffer, and the applicant is aware that submittal of additional land-use applications may be necessary.

MARGO WHEELER, Director of Planning and Development, noted that in order to eliminate or change the trail, a new application would be necessary. ATTORNEY FIDDYMENT stated that the intent is to modify the ten foot of landscaping adjacent to the trail and the applicant is prepared to submit a new application if necessary. MS. WHEELER suggested that the applicant submit a subsequent application requesting review of Condition 6 in order to eliminate it as there is no other alternative to remove the condition at this time.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed for Items 103-107.

