



Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 36.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 1, 2009

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES
DIRECTOR: STEPHEN KUHARSIN

☐ Consent ☒ Discussion

SUBJECT:
BEYANT ITEM - Hearing to consider appeal regarding the Ten (10) Day Vacate Nuisance Notice and Order to Comply located at 824 Langtry Drive. PROPERTY OWNERS: NOLEA J. W. LASCOFF Ward 5 (Barlow)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

☐ Augmentation Required

☐

Budget Funds Available

Amount:

Funding Source:

Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The subject property was determined to be a public nuisance as defined in Las Vegas Municipal Code, Title 9.04.020, dealing with public nuisance and Section 202 of the Uniform Housing Codes. A Ten (10) Day Vacate Nuisance Notice and Order to Comply was mailed to the property owner to correct the public nuisance as defined in Las Vegas Municipal Code, Title 9.04.020, dealing with public nuisance and Section 202 of the Uniform Housing Codes. A copy of the notice was also posted on the property. Today's hearing is to consider the Appeal to the Ten (10) Day Vacate

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Ten (10) Day Vacate Nuisance Notice and Order to Comply.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Maps
3. Ten (10) Day Vacate Nuisance Notice and Order to Comply
4. Appeal Letter from Appellant
5. Notice of Appeal
6. Rescheduled Hearing Notification

Motion made by RICKI Y. BARLOW to Approve the appeal subject to the property remaining vacant until the remaining violations have been addressed

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, STEVE WOLFSON, OSCAR B. GOODMAN,
GARY REESE, STEVEN D. ROSS, DAVID W. STEINMAN; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);
(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 1, 2009

Minutes:

DEVIN SMITH, Manager of Neighborhood Response, read the Purpose/Background and Recommendation sections.

The property owner was not present.

MR. SMITH noted the appellant has been in contact with his office but had not wished to withdraw the appeal or appear before the Council. He stated the property was vacant and staff would like it to remain vacant until the remaining violations have been addressed.

