



*City of Las Vegas*

Agenda Item No.: 93.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 17, 2008**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT  
DIRECTOR: M. MARGO WHEELER

Consent  Discussion

**SUBJECT:**  
COR-2907 - REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-28619 - PUBLIC HEARING APPLICANT/OWNER: SHADOWS MALL, LLC - Required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit (030-01) FOR AUTO DEALER INVENTORY STORAGE at 5000 Shadows Lane, AVNs 139-31-111-006 and 139-31-510-019), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone. (Tarkanian). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.   
City Council Meeting

Planning Commission Mtg.   
City Council Meeting

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location and Aerial Maps
2. Conditions and Staff Report
3. Supporting Documentation
4. Photos
5. Justification Letter
6. Protest Postcard
7. Submitted after Final Agenda - Revised Landscape Plan by Staff
8. Backup Referenced from the 08-14-2008 Planning Commission Meeting Item 45

Motion made by LOIS TARKANIAN to Strike

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0  
RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN,  
GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);  
(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:  
MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

DECEMBER 17, 2008

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN stated that she spoke with the manager of the Meadows Mall and understands the reason why the applicant is withdrawing without prejudice Items 92 and 94, but could not understand why Item 93 is coming forward for a review when the cars are no longer being stored on this property. MARGO WHEELER, Director of Planning and Development, clarified that the required review was scheduled based on a previous special use permit approval. Therefore, since the review is placed on the agenda by staff, the applicant cannot withdraw the required review. She did confirm that the cars are no longer on the property.

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN stated that the special use permit still exists on the property and the required review was imposed to address any problems. MS. WHEELER stated that if the Council desired to have the use for the auto dealer inventory storage removed, then a denial of the required review would eliminate that approved use. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT responded that there would need to be a reason for the denial. He asked the Councilwoman if she wanted to remove the use. She replied that the small owners were not concerned with whether the use was removed because it has been six months since the vehicles have been stored on the property. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT advised the Councilwoman that the permit could be withdrawn because the use is no longer needed.

See Item 92 for related backup.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.