



Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 52.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 6, 2008

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JEBBIC

Consent Discussion

SUBJECT:
Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Suspension: Ibrahim Z. Alsmadi, 5753 Willow Oak Circle, Las Vegas, Nevada 89142

Fiscal Impact:

No Impact Augmentation Required
 Budget Funds Available

Amount:
Funding Source:
Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

None

RECOMMENDATION:

None

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Appellant Letter of Appeal
2. City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

Motion made by GARY REESE to Hold in abeyance to 8/20/2008

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN,
GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);
(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:

BARRY REINNIK, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Special Investigations Section, gave a brief summation justifying suspension of IBRAHIM ALSMADIS work card. MAYOR GOODMAN interjected stating that the Council is aware of the allegations. DETECTIVE REINNIK reiterated that the victim of the crime is 16 years old and mentally challenged; however, when MR. ALSMADI goes to Court, she will be present to testify.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised MR. ALSMADI of his rights and that his comments are being recorded and could be used against him in another proceeding.

MOHAMMED ALSMADI, brother and translator, and IBRAHIM ALSMADI, the appellant, were present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 6, 2008

Based on the request to have the work card reinstated, MAYOR GOODMAN sternly stated that unless MR. ALSMADI could present compelling testimony, he did not feel he should be entitled to having the work card returned.

MR. ALSMADI'S brother asked the Council to consider allowing him to retain his work card until the arraignment. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that he could not support that request. Hearing the response, MR. ALSMADI replied that they would wait until the arraignment and then determine whether to appeal again.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC if and when he could appeal again. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC answered that the appellant would not be able to appeal on the work card issue, if the Council sustained Metro's finding that the work card should be revoked. His only alternative would be to take this matter to court. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC added that today's matter deals with an appeal to Metro's decision to revoke the work card. As of yesterday, he noted that the City Attorneys office received reports and is currently preparing the disciplinary complaint to suspend the business license. Additionally, the Office of Business Licenses has issued an order of temporary suspension of the business license.

For the benefit of MR. ALSMADI, CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC affirmed that if this matter were held in abeyance, it would mean that the work card would remain suspended and MR. ALSMADI would not be able to conduct any business.

