



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 4, 2008

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

Consent Discussion

SUBJECT: **RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING**

ABEYANCA ITEM: BILL No. 2008-51 Eliminates the requirement that a general business-related gaming establishment licensed to sell alcoholic beverages obtain a separate special use permit for the gaming use. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

- No Impact Augmentation Required
 Budget Funds Available

Amount:
Funding Source:
Dept./Division:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will eliminate the requirement that a general business-related gaming establishment licensed to sell alcoholic beverages obtain a separate special use permit for the gaming use. Such uses (supper clubs and urban lounges) must obtain a special use permit for alcoholic beverage service, and typically include up to the maximum 5 slot machines allowed. The requirement for two separate special use permits has been deemed an unnecessary duplication of process. A single special use permit process should be sufficient to consider both the alcohol- and gaming-related aspects of the use.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to Full Council at 5/21/2008 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 5/6/2008 Recommending Committee.

First Reading 4/16/2008; First Publication 5/9/2008

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2008-25
2. Proposed First Amendment
4. Submitted after Final Agenda - Written protest comments by June Ingram for Charleston Neighborhood Preservation

Motion made by LOIS TARKANIAN to Approve as a First Amendment

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN,
GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);
(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 4, 2008

Minutes:

Second reading and bill adopted as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5987.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED indicated that a First Amendment was necessary to clarify language so that the Council could retain the right to limit the number of slots for supper club and urban lounge uses.

