



Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 5.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**AUDIT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 24, 2008**

DEPARTMENT: CITY AUDITOR'S OFFICE  
DIRECTOR: RADFORD SNELDING

Consent  Discussion

SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action on the audit of Metropolitan Police - Funding

**Fiscal Impact**

No Impact  Augmentation Required  
 Budget Funds Available

Amount:  
Funding Source:  
Dept./Division:

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To discuss the possibility of performing a joint audit with Clark County on the funding of the Metropolitan Police Department.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Continue to pursue an audit

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

Motion made by PAUL WORKMAN to Approve to accept the report

Passed For: 3; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 2

LARRY BROWN, STEVE WOLFSON, PAUL WORKMAN; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused- JOSE TRONCOSO, MICHAEL W. KERN)

**Minutes:**

City Auditor Rad Snelding, reported that Jerry Carroll of the Clark County Auditor's Office, informed his office that Clark County had chosen not to participate in the audit of the Metropolitan Police Funding. City Auditor Snelding explained that his office would contact the Sheriffs Department for a determination on whether to proceed if Clark County is not represented. Based on the response, the appropriate action will be recommended.

Member Workman asked whether Mr. Carroll had given an explanation as to why the County elected not to participate. City Auditor Snelding replied that it was due to funding.

Member Wolfson asked for clarification as to the purpose of conducting the Audit of the Metropolitan Police Funding. City Auditor Snelding replied that this is the first time the City has requested this type of audit for the main purpose of determining how the data is gathered and calculated. He also noted that the Sheriffs Office indicated that since funding is received from

## AUDIT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEETING OF: APRIL 24, 2008

both the City of Las Vegas and Clark County, they requested both parties participate in the audit process. City Auditor Snelding indicated that the City had considered releasing a Request For Proposal (RFP) to hire an outside third party to do the audit, and the costs would be split between the City and Clark County.

Member Wolfson questioned whether it would be practicable to proceed if either the Sheriffs Office or the County chose not to participate. City Auditor Snelding replied that if the Sheriffs Office desires to have both funding sources, he would suggest inviting the County to be involved, without having to pay, so they would be able to see the results of the work as well as review them.

Vice-Chair Brown stated that the audit is an important issue especially with the City of Las Vegas and Clark County independently looking at various funding mechanisms, as it related to Metro's budget. He recommended that City Auditor Snelding speak with the Sheriff.

