



City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 53.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: APRIL 24, 2008**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: M. MARGO WHEELER

Consent Discussion

SUBJECT:
SDR-2729 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-27291, SUP-27292 AND MAC-27293 - PULITANO BUILDING - APPLICANT: GRAND CENTRAL SOUTH PARTNERS LLC - OWNER: UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, ET AL - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 61-STORY HOTEL DEVELOPMENT INCLUDING A 2,300-ROOM HOTEL, A 260,000 SQUARE-FOOT CONVENTION FACILITY, AND 11,100 SQUARE FEET OF COMMERCIAL USES WITH WAIVERS OF THE DOWNTOWN CENTENNIAL PLAN BUILD-TO-LINE REQUIREMENT AND STREETSCAPE STANDARDS on 12.54 acres at the northeast corner of Grand Central Parkway and Charleston Boulevard (APNs 139-33-810-003 through 006), PD (Planned Development) Zone, District 5 (Red Line)

C.C.: 05/21/08

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning Commission Mtg.	3
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:
APPROVAL

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location and Aerial Maps
2. Conditions and Staff Report
3. Supporting Documentation
4. Photos
5. Justification Letter
6. Support Postcard
7. Submitted after Final Agenda Support Postcards

Motion made by RICHARD TRUESDELL to Approve subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- A. Expunge the previous SDR-5179.

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1

SAM DUNNAM, DAVID STEINMAN, RICHARD TRUESDELL, GLENN TROWBRIDGE, STEVEN EVANS, VICKI QUINN; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None);

APRIL 24, 2008

(Excused BYRON GOYNES)

NOTE: COMMISSIONER TRUESDELL disclosed that he owns property in the Arts District which is outside the notice area, but it would not be affected by this project and he would vote on these items.

NOTE: COMMISSIONER DUNNAM disclosed that he had past financial relationships with Summer Kauffman Bonner Renshaw & Ferrarini but had no current dealings with them and nothing pertaining to the current property owners of this project and he would vote on the matter.

Minutes:
See Item 50 for recorded discussion.

