



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 20, 2008**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**  
**DIRECTOR: BEVERLY K. BRIDGES**

Consent  Discussion

**SUBJECT:**

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time.

Motion made by COUNCILMAN REESE to Hold in Abeyance Items 81, 89, 99, 106 and 107 to 3/5/2008; Items 92, 93, 98, 112 and 117 to 3/19/2008; and Withdraw Without Prejudice Items 88, 96 and 97

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0  
RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN,  
GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);  
(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

**Minutes:**

Regarding Item 118, COUNCILMAN REESE asked staff to have the applicant contact his office.

COUNCILMAN ROSS requested that Item 82 be taken off of One Motion/One Vote for discussion.

KELVIN HAYWOOD, 4300 El Como Way, asked that Items 88 and 89 be heard today. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that Item 88 has been withdrawn without prejudice by the applicant, which means they can bring it back again. Item 89 was abeyed to March 5, 2008 per staff's request. MR. HAYWOOD clarified he is the architect for the applicant and indicated they wanted to withdraw only the plans because the square footage was reduced due to the parking.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN indicated that it was going to be heard today but was noticed incorrectly. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT verified that it was noticed incorrectly because the title indicates that the applicant was going to withdraw the application. This seemed confusing to the public; therefore, the City Attorney suggested the abeyance and the renote.

Due to this inconvenience, MAYOR GOODMAN requested that both items be placed as the first public hearing items on the March 5, 2008 City Council agenda. COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN remarked she would not mind hearing from MR. HAYWOOD, but for the fact that the applicant requested the withdrawal. The Mayor was concerned about proceeding because of that same fact. MARGO WHEELER, Director of Planning and Development Department, added that she spoke directly to the applicant and informed him of the renote.

COUNCILMAN BARLOW verified that neither the applicant nor a representative for Items 106 and 107 was present.