

- Appendix A – Survey Result
- Appendix B – Market Report



Las Vegas, Nevada
City Hall Project



First, We Make It Real.
Then, We Make It Happen!

New City Hall Survey

Type: Executive Summary Report

Date: 9/11/2007

Total number of responses collected: 419


QDepartment: Which department do you work for?

(Respondents could only choose a **single** response)

| Response | Chart | Frequency | Count |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------|
| Office of Administrative Service | | 2.7% | 11 |
| Office of Business Development | | 4.6% | 19 |
| City Attorney | | 8.9% | 37 |
| City Auditor's Office | | 1.2% | 5 |
| City Clerk | | 3.4% | 14 |
| City Council | | 3.1% | 13 |
| City Manager | | 3.4% | 14 |
| Office of Communications | | 2.7% | 11 |
| Field Operations | | 0.5% | 2 |
| Finance and Business Services | | 29.9% | 124 |
| Human Resources | | 9.4% | 39 |
| Information Technologies | | 17.1% | 71 |
| Mayor | | 0.5% | 2 |
| Neighborhood Services | | 11.1% | 46 |
| Public Works | | 1.7% | 7 |
| Not Answered | | | 4 |
| | | Mean | 9.224 |
| | | Valid Responses | 415 |
| | | Total Responses | 419 |


QGender: Gender

(Respondents could only choose a **single** response)

| Response | Chart | Frequency | Count |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Female |  | 60.4% | 250 |
| Male | | 39.6% | 164 |
| Not Answered | | | 5 |
| | | Mean | 1.396 |
| | | Valid Responses | 414 |
| | | Total Responses | 419 |

QYOS: Years of Service

(Respondents could only choose a **single** response)

| Response | Chart | Frequency | Count |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Less than 5 years |  | 42.0% | 174 |
| 6-10 | | 24.9% | 103 |
| 11-20 | | 26.1% | 108 |
| 21+ | | 7.0% | 29 |
| Not Answered | | | 5 |
| | | Mean | 1.981 |
| | | Valid Responses | 414 |
| | | Total Responses | 419 |

QSupport_A: How well does the current environment support the work that you do?

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Share resources (copieres, printer, fax machines) are located within a reasonable distance of my workspace | Count | 15 | 17 | 77 | 130 | 161 | 400 |
| | % by Row | 3.8% | 4.3% | 19.3% | 32.5% | 40.3% | 100.0% |
| Meeting spaces are readily available when needed | Count | 17 | 49 | 110 | 116 | 104 | 396 |
| | % by Row | 4.3% | 12.4% | 27.8% | 29.3% | 26.3% | 100.0% |
| Meeting spaces have all necessary technologies | Count | 31 | 74 | 94 | 104 | 91 | 394 |
| | % by Row | 7.9% | 18.8% | 23.9% | 26.4% | 23.1% | 100.0% |
| Beverage/snack service is available within a reasonable distance of my workspace | Count | 62 | 70 | 107 | 89 | 66 | 394 |
| | % by Row | 15.7% | 17.8% | 27.2% | 22.6% | 16.8% | 100.0% |
| Access to files/documentation is available withi na resasonable distance of my workspace | Count | 8 | 25 | 89 | 165 | 111 | 398 |
| | % by Row | 2.0% | 6.3% | 22.4% | 41.5% | 27.9% | 100.0% |
| Total | Count | 133 | 235 | 477 | 604 | 533 | 1982 |
| | % by Row | 6.7% | 11.9% | 24.1% | 30.5% | 26.9% | 100.0% |

QDegreeofcomfort_A: Degree of comfort:

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|---------------|
| The temperature and air quality are well maintained: | Count | 75 | 91 | 111 | 86 | 40 | 403 |
| | % by Row | 18.6% | 22.6% | 27.5% | 21.3% | 9.9% | 100.0% |
| I feel safe in the building that I work in: | Count | 27 | 57 | 100 | 132 | 87 | 403 |
| | % by Row | 6.7% | 14.1% | 24.8% | 32.8% | 21.6% | 100.0% |
| My desk and chair are comfortable: | Count | 25 | 64 | 99 | 136 | 81 | 405 |
| | % by Row | 6.2% | 15.8% | 24.4% | 33.6% | 20.0% | 100.0% |
| I have adequate natural light in my workspace: | Count | 183 | 48 | 48 | 59 | 66 | 404 |
| | % by Row | 45.3% | 11.9% | 11.9% | 14.6% | 16.3% | 100.0% |
| I have adequate artificial light in my workspace: | Count | 5 | 22 | 95 | 166 | 117 | 405 |
| | % by Row | 1.2% | 5.4% | 23.5% | 41.0% | 28.9% | 100.0% |
| I feel safe in the surface parking areas. | Count | 54 | 91 | 120 | 88 | 45 | 398 |
| | % by Row | 13.6% | 22.9% | 30.2% | 22.1% | 11.3% | 100.0% |
| I feel safe in the parking garage. | Count | 35 | 69 | 129 | 107 | 51 | 391 |
| | % by Row | 9.0% | 17.6% | 33.0% | 27.4% | 13.0% | 100.0% |
| I find it easy to locate individuals on other floors of the building | Count | 27 | 67 | 137 | 122 | 50 | 403 |
| | % by Row | 6.7% | 16.6% | 34.0% | 30.3% | 12.4% | 100.0% |
| It is sufficiently quiet to perform my work: | Count | 39 | 59 | 91 | 149 | 66 | 404 |
| | % by Row | 9.7% | 14.6% | 22.5% | 36.9% | 16.3% | 100.0% |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| I have adequate work surfaces in my workspace: | Count | 40 | 65 | 82 | 126 | 92 | 405 |
| | % by Row | 9.9% | 16.0% | 20.2% | 31.1% | 22.7% | 100.0% |
| I have adequate storage space in my workspace: | Count | 54 | 73 | 90 | 107 | 81 | 405 |
| | % by Row | 13.3% | 18.0% | 22.2% | 26.4% | 20.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | Count | 564 | 706 | 1102 | 1278 | 776 | 4426 |
| | % by Row | 12.7% | 16.0% | 24.9% | 28.9% | 17.5% | 100.0% |

QAmenities: Please list the top three amenities that should be included in the new city hall to improve efficiency and delivery of outstanding customer service to our public. (examples ATM, concierge service, lunch room)

| Response |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| gym/track, post office, restaurants |
| lunch room |
| N/A - My office is not in city hall. |
| concierge service snack bar/cafeteria ATM |
| Dining hall that has food to pick up a quick snack or lunch. Gym so you can work out before work, at lunch, or after work. Game room so there is a place to take a break, play a video game, or even meet with some coworkers in a relaxed environment while working. |
| ATM, Adequate Elevator service, comprehensive information area |
| Lunch Room, Cook and ATMs |
| break room/lunch room; centralized information desk; City Hall phone operator |
| 1. Lunch Room 2. Lunch Room 3. Workout area to keep us all fit and healthy! Utilized during breaks obviously. |
| lunch room, natural light, offices rather than cubicles |
| All three of those listed. |
| ATM Universal Gov Kiosk Workout room |
| CAFETERIA, WORK OUT (GYM), INDOOR TRACK FOR WALKING DURING LUNCH |
| full service cafeteria gym |

| |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bring back the Clark County Credit Union ATM with deposit service. Lunch room with fresh, hot food Dry Cleaning service |
| workout area, cafeteria, one-stop shop for customers |
| Cafeteria, ATM, covered parking for customers & employees |
| ATM as well as credit/debit card ability for areas taking payments from public; more specific directories available to the public; more definite security presence. |
| Cafeteria, Workout Facility,ATM |
| Gym, Daycare, Starbucks |
| cafeteria gym atm |
| LUNCH ROOM; ATM; CHILD CARE FACILITY |
| better security, cafeteria with food service, larger cubicles |
| A cafeteria ATM in the building More meeting/training rooms |
| Free covered parking is mandatory. Free Pepsi. (Why not, coffee is provided.) |
| Cafeteria Style Lunch Room Employee Gym ATM |
| 1. gym 2. first aid station |
| Inside Lunch Facilities Outside Lunch settings Better Vending Machine Placement |
| cafeteria like the county center. parking closer to city hall. |
| Free short term parking. A real employee cafeteria similar to what they have in the County building. Better signage and a full time information receptionist. |
| 1. Lunch room with an actual cafe of some kind 2. More effective heating and cooling 3. IT needs badge access to all departments to provide efficient service. |
| cafeteria (reasonable prices) pick up and delivery for dry cleaning ATM |
| ATM, LUNCHROOM, DROP BOX FOR PAYMENTS |
| lunch room with TV soda machine exercise room |
| ATM, more efficient information desk, closer parking. |
| Cafeteria, storage space (files, supplies, etc), "mini-mart" to purchase milk, bread, nyons, etc. |
| Centralized switchboard operator who can adequately refer calls to the proper departments. Centralized information desk, lunch room with hot food service |
| Starbucks cafeteria with readily available prepared foods |
| 1) atrium- for a refreshing break 2) cafeteria 3) more natural light for 'inner office' work areas. Maybe a circular design, so that inner and outer offices can receive proper lighting (and a view) Need larger lunch room to hold all employees. We have to take turns just to sit and eat in the breakroom. So most of us just eat in our cubicles. |
| Reading room/library, auditorium, garden |

| |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Absolutely an ATM. Concierge service would be a wonderful service to the public. Absolutely a lunchroom that provided food. |
| Lunch Room, ATM, Starbucks |
| More comfortable seats for public meetings, staff are in offices next to their boss, |
| A switchboard to direct calls to the proper dept. |
| ATM, free parking, lunch room |
| bigger mailroom, inside ATM machine, switchboard service |
| ATM Deli type place and break room |
| 1) Marshal's (not 90-year old security guards) at every public entrance to the building; 2) Information Kiosks for employee and public use; 3) Conveniences for employees (lunch room, ATM, concierge, Nurse on site, etc |
| A cafe serving hot items to give us a variety from the sandwich shop in the garage & give us the same convenience of the RJC. |
| Free bottled water, ATM, larger breakroom w/2 microwaves |
| Wells Fargo ATM Convenient parking for employees and public (such as we have here) Information Desks with full time coverage, bi-lingual and staff with ability to answer most questions |
| 1.) Updated data base of employee extensions, for internal use only (not the typical general dept. number currently listed for many individuals & possibly including a photo), 2.) ATM, 3.) Tighter security system |
| Convenient Parking Quiet work areas Cafeteria |
| ATM, lunch room, reading/TV room |
| lunch room |
| Full Service Lunch Room Work out Facility ATM |
| 1. We need a MAIN directory phone # where the public or employees can call instead of calling the 6th floor main # as if we are the telephone directory. 2. A big "plaza" just like at Clark County NV where employees can walk during break/lunch time. 3. Lunch room with hot/cold healthy food are serves, not vending machine. |
| 1. More and better conference rooms 2. More convenient customer parking (no meters) 3. Better quality restrooms for employees |
| Postal services ATM Nevada Federal Credit Union Lunch Room |
| adequate storage/file space. adequate signage/accessible public restrooms |
| Cafeteria, convenient parking (don't have to plug meters every hour) |
| ATM Cafeteria with real food - no vending machines CLEAN restrooms |
| Improved access to Parking with more change machines for parking meters for the public. Cafeteria/lunch room. Computer kiosks that would allow customers to make payments on-line instead of waiting in line. |
| Better sound control. Individuals hear confidential information. Dedicated conference rooms. Healthy food options |

| |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| lunch room, no cubicles and more office space. |
| ATM Cafeteria |
| use more natural lights |
| Lunch Room, Work Out Facility, Healthy Snacks in the vending machines |
| cafeteria, ATM, fitness room |
| 1. A human being to answer the phone and direct the customer to the appropriate department/division. 2. Prior notification, via website, or bills sent, for the customer to bring a LOT of quarters for the parking meters in the parking garage. Too many are caught off guard without enough quarters. I realize that the coin machines will provide the quarters BUT the customers are not aware to bring dollar bills to feed into the coin machines. 3. A subsidized cafeteria would benefit, not only the employees but would provide OUTSTANDING customer service by providing a comfortable area to sit, refresh yourself and eat/drink either before or after doing the city business that brought you here as a customer. |
| more bathrooms, better food service |
| 1) safe, close parking for employees and customers 2) private workspace for sensitive work areas 3) Good reception area for support staff |
| recycling bins recycling bins recycling bins |
| adequate parking cafe adequate number of elevators |
| signage, resource room with pamphlets, telephones for local calls, and computers |
| Cafeteria Nurse station Daycare |
| Free parking for the public, expanded hours (to 6:30pm or 7:00 pm) so they can come for city services after their work day ends, and closed circuit color tvs in public waiting areas with comedy programming (such as americas funniest videos) to keep the public smiling and help to pass time while they wait in line. |
| Free parking would be the first amenity. It's absolutely ridiculous to charge citizens \$1.50/hour to visit City Hall, particularly when they are usually here to pay us more money. I'm not sure what you mean by "concierge service." If that means a receptionist who can greet the public, find out what they need and direct them to the CORRECT department or person to get it, that would be the second most important amenity. An adequate number of computer kiosks with basic internet/intranet access and the ability to print would be a third major amenity that would help our customers. |
| 1) ATM inside City Hall-I feel vulnerable using existing ATMs on street.2) Lunch room with reasonably priced food service. |
| Lunch room; ATM; |
| workout/fitness facility |
| A Cafeteria that serves hot lunches on site. Adequate Restroom on all floors Adequate loading dock for deliveries |
| ATM machine inside the building; adequate employee and customer parking; cafeteria |
| LV employee store (sell shirts, hats, mugs, etc) Computer center for on-line applications, payments etc Waiting area |

| |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| lunch room, ATM (B of A), full time informational desk |
| ATM |
| on-site parking, ATM, snack/beverage area, |
| gym, gym, gym |
| Cafeteria ATM Parking |
| Lunch Room, ATM, vending machines |
| Maximum elevator capacity; Video menu and locator boards (Interactive); snack bar/restaurant open to the public as well as employees, which could include wi fi/telecommunicaitons stations capabilities |
| cafeteria additional space room to bring in satellite facilities into City Hall. For example KCLV Channel 2 |
| Lunch Room, ATM, Change Machine |
| Comfortable waiting areas (seating, scenery and literature) for high-traffic departments. |
| Full Service Lunch Room for City staff, Exercise and Shower Facilities, Full covered parking for all employees |
| Customer Service Counter (fully manned at all times) Cafeteria (open to employees and public) with a Starbucks ATM, Newspaper/Magazine Kiosk |
| An ATM that is indoor (in City Hall), a lunch room that has fruit available |
| Gym, Showers, |
| ATM, Computer kiosks, gym |
| ATM, Concierge Service, Lunch Room |
| for privacy need better insulated meeting rooms and offices and better customer directories for guest and visitors |
| atm & lunch room, day care |
| Wireless Access Free 30 minute Parking Vending Machines |
| More natural light would be nice, few now have it. Better parking, public is often confused about where to park. A more natural grouping of work groups, all Finance on one floor |
| cafeteria/lunch room, gym available to all employee for low cost, information kiosk on first and second floor for public to find departments |
| Indoor Climate control Walking area for exercising. Lunch Room providing hot meal services ATM machine from various banks. |
| Cafeteria-Kitchen-lunch room, gym-workout room with equipment, information kiosks-computer terminals |
| lunch room |
| ATM, restaurants/lunch room/shopping in surrounding area |
| ATM, postage stamps, snack/beverage machines Additional computer terminals to allow citizens access to the city website Affordable parking - either free or reduce the parking meter fees (\$1.25/hr is expensive) |

| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cafeteria Better Parking Structure Better Security |
| Convenient parking ATM for parking meters self service information for the public |
| Lunch room / Cafeteria that sells real food Starbucks Gym |
| cafeteria, atm, rest area |
| Cafeteria like the county has would be nice Free covered parking...charge an extra dollar on each permit issued More information areas with you are here points and/or a person to help direct |
| lunch room with food vendor with choices, ATM |
| ATM Lunch Room |
| 1) Abundant and nearby (less than 1 block away) safe free parking for customers and employees. It's just wrong to make citizens doing business with us pay to park their cars. They pay plenty of taxes already. (Sorry, had to get that one in, it's a pet peeve of mine.) 2) Onsite cafeteria or restaurant. Attached bathrooms with enough stalls. Inside and covered outside eating and relaxing areas would be great for the cafeteria/employee lounge. For employees: Adjacent large lounge with refrigerators, microwaves, toasters, toaster oven, plenty of small dining tables in separate eating area, couches, easy chairs, magazines, TV and internet access; vending machines with good coffee/ cold beverages/ water/ healthy snacks like fresh fruit & juices as well as candy bars & chips / frozen meals; kitchen sink, lots of windows. 3) Clean and bum-free outside park area with shade trees, grass, flowers, shrubs, some desert landscaping too; shady nooks with benches, and several small picnic areas for rest breaks and sack lunches outside. A nice small water feature such as a small low-flow fountain would be great if you can get around the water usage restrictions. Maybe a small formal rose garden or other flower garden. If you can't keep the bums out, or at least under control, then ignore this suggestion, because neither employees nor visitors will want to go there. |
| 1. Cafeteria 2. ATM 3. Dry Cleaning Service |
| Snack Bar More bathroom facilities parking that is close, free, & easy to access |
| Get away from the police department. Don't build another homeless haven. Keep the parking areas clean & well-lit |
| Parking facility, Information/Security Booth, Cafeteria |
| City of Bellevue, Washington uses an interesting concept for customer service that we might want to evaluate. All citizens come to a central reception area and the correct employee comes down to the citizen. From the citizen's standpoint there is no need to figure out where to go. |
| One central lobby with staffed information desk Clear wayfinding system, and departmental organizational system Public meeting rooms that are easily accessible |
| on-site child care; on-site cafeteria (with good food!); "triage desks" in each department that deals directly with walk-in public traffic. That desk should contain an employee who greets the public, obtains their initial request and immediately directs them to the proper technician, floor or agency. |
| Cafeteria Workout room Green space area outside to eat, relax and enjoy |
| One stop shop city hall - all departments ATM/banking services Easy parking |
| Cafeteria, ATM Wells Fargo, Workout facility. |
| concierge, atm, cafeteria or snack machine area |

| |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cafeteria, walking paths or gym, security at night |
| Clark County Credit Union ATM Workout facility and showers More windows for natural light |
| Cafeteria ATM Quiet room for breaks |
| Easy access whether it be by car (parking) or by taxi Open cafeteria Information Desk that is properly manned |
| ATM, lunch room, work-out facility |
| ATM, public restrooms, information center |
| cafeteria clearly labeled directory adequate meeting room space |
| free parking, cafeteria, public restrooms available on floors that provide customer services. |
| coffee stand, ATM, free parking |
| lunchroom, atm, dry cleaning service |
| Drive up window for payments Easy access to vending machines Easy access to rest rooms |
| Cafeteria Departments are co-located in the same building Exercise facility |
| Publi info desk, adequate signage, expanded parking |
| Covered parking, lighted parking areas, windows |
| ATM, LUNCH ROOM, PROPER VENTILATION |
| Service Desk for the public, User Friendly Signage, Accessibility to Public Parking |
| Cashiering and frequent customer requested services on lower level floors Standardized front end processes used by multiple departments staffed in one location i.e. applying for a building permit may require application for license and currently two applications have to be completed by customer at two different locations kiosks, entertainment (internet/TV/magazines), coffee/water/tea, and ample seating in high volume areas where customers typically wait |
| Lunch\break room Lots of natural lighting Lots of open spaces to take breaks in |
| Lunch room, no smoking 200 feet of entry doors, I am consumed by 2nd hand smoke every time I enter the RJC. Larger employee only bathrooms |
| Starbucks Coffee Kiosk; ATM; |
| Adequate parking that is FREE! Adequate handicapped services |
| A workout facility, cafeteria, and ATM. |
| Lunch Room, more desk space, ATMs |
| Parking, lunch room, working elevators. |
| lunch room, more training rooms, computer labs, employee lounge |
| Free front-door parking for the city's customers. All departments should be at the same location. Free covered parking for city employees. |

| |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ATM Info desk continually manned during the hours of 8am-5pm Adequate signage |
| 1. exercise facilities (stress reduction and health) 2. work space sized to the nature of the work rather than job title 3. offices and cubicles configured to enhance communication and reduce noise distractions |
| More signage/information for the public (multi-language versions) Food on site would be great |
| Lunch Deli- Serves Food Dry Cleaners Gym |
| BofA ATM |
| Sufficient waiting areas (i.e. lobby) Lunch room/break room Vending machines for the public |
| Information kiosks Adequate signage Floor-by-Floor Directory |
| Larger/sound proof cubicles for customers to conduct business w/ city staff Free parking areas Restaurant/snack area |
| SAFETY COMFORT SPACE |
| lunch room/cafeteria computer kiosks to access information |
| Concierge service; on-site lunch room or restaurant with a variety of quality, healthful hot and cold food available for purchase; adequate nearby parking even if we have to pay for it |
| ONE main entrance/information desk Improved signage Well thought out flow "common sense" approach |
| East access to chambers, lunch room, faster elevators |
| Cafeteria, Dry Cleaners, Concierge, Retail (newsstand) |
| Childcare cafeteria/lunch room Gym |
| ATM Information Center staffed with well-informed associates Encounter Areas: several small unenclosed meeting areas (perhaps near the lobby) where a few people can conduct brief, informal engagements -- provide a table, a few chairs, maybe a phone and a network connection. Why take up an entire boardroom for a 15 to 30 minute conversation between 3 or 4 people? |
| 1. cafeteria 2. ATM |
| FITNESS CENTER FOR EMPLOYEES CAFETERIA ATM |
| ATM, waiting area, and public phones. |
| ATM, LUNCH ROOM, VENDING MACHINES |
| Lunch room, Change machine, ATM |
| lunch room First floor retail valet parking |
| larger lunch room in each department better signage to locate departments and their function parking closer to office |
| atm, wifi, parking |
| I don't work at city hall |

| |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lunch room Exercise facility Parking |
| Lunchroom, ATM, Adequate, available parking |
| Lunch Room with fresh food. Large Loading Dock Daycare for employees children for a fee. |
| easy parking, AWS, lunchroom |
| lunch room |
| Customer Information, Always able to reach a person instead of a phone system, more retail services. |
| Better meeting space technology Quieter work areas Lunch room/Starbucks kiosk |
| Lunch room that actually serves food |
| Lunch room with cafeteria serving food Gym Day Care Services |
| lunch room, ATM, windows |
| Cafeteria (similar to County) Exercise facility Spiritual quiet space |
| Comfortable, ergonomic office furniture. Adequate parking. Adequate storage space. |
| ATM Lunch room |
| Information Desk Cafeteria No Plaza for Loitering |
| ATM, lunch room, adequate restrooms |
| A real cafe with cooks etc. Coffee house ATM - Wells Fargo |
| Cheaper and closer parking, ATM, thorough Directory. |
| Lunch room with food service (hot & cold) available Better department directories A couple of ATM's located varied locations in City Hall |
| Lunch room |
| Should be noted that the City Attorneys Office- criminal division is in the Regional Justice Center, not City Hall |
| Child Care Excercise Facility More meeting rooms with overheads, PC's for workshops |
| business center - (copies, fed express) cafeteria ATM |
| fitness center business center (access to copier, fax, etc.) coffee shop |
| natural light, atm, lunch room |
| ATM - Lunch Room - copiers that work |
| 1. Coffee vendor (such as Seattle's Best, Starbuck, Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf, etc) 2. Outdoor lunch/break area. 3. Health Club |
| ATM, bottom floor retail ie coffee, sandwich vendor, |

| |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Drop box or kiosk to be able to pay bills, business license, sewer, parking, SID's, etc, possibly a drive-thru. 2. To have parking in the lower level of the building for customers & employees, for safety & convenience. 3. To be able to have more window offices/views with windows that open for fresh air when the weather is nice. |
| 1) Customer areas should be easy to get to - specifically, they should be located by the main entry and not on the third floor accessed by an elevator or stairs elevator. 2) Restrooms (with amenities for children), water, public phones, change machines, and vending machines should be there, also, with extremely easy access. The Customer area should be set up so that people who use these amenities will not lose their place in line. 3) Mayor and Council offices should not be located far from the public but should be considered Customer areas that are easy to get to. |
| LUNCH ROOM, WORKOUT ROOM, QUIET ROOM FOR STUDY |
| 1. Employee exercise facility/locker room with personal trainers 2. Better employee parking conditions 3. Pet Daycare |
| ATM, Lunch Room, Child Care |
| Lunch Room, Free parking for outside agencies meeting a City Hall, private area to interview clients |
| Cafeteria, Gym, and a employee lounge and break room. |
| cafe with food service, exercise room, more security |
| Natural Light Cafeteria/Lunch Room Larger Workspace |
| 1) Perhaps a message board that displays messages for the public 2) Perhaps a plasma or Lcd tv mounted in strategic locations to show council meetings or agendas, etc. 3) Continuous mapping showing Marriage License Bureau, Court and other public facilities on a board you push the button on and it either lights up or does a little pre-recorded tv like message; etc. |
| ATM, Computer Access, Lunch Area |
| cafeteria designated, comfortable area for customers to wait for services that may take some time. automated telephone credit card payments |
| Security presence Better parking ATM |
| Cafeteria, Fitness Center and Free Garage/Lot Parking |
| Easy-to-find parking Good signage Central Information Desk that is manned during business hours |
| ATM, easy access to payment locations, phone / pc access |
| Cafeteria Banking Safe & secure parking |
| ATM Security Natural light |
| Cafeteria, ATM, Staffed information booth |
| Delivery Dock/ lunch room |
| atm, stamp machine, parking ticket pay window |
| ATM, Information Desk |
| lunch room |

| |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Not sure... I work offsite from City Hall and cannot comment. |
| Concierge (human or electronic) Parking provided at a reasonable fee Lunch Room |
| ATM and more change machines since the ones located within the parking lot structure seem to be" out of service" all time according to our customers. |
| ATM Lunch Room |
| SIESTA AREA-COUCH |
| ATM Lunch room with food sales Free parking |
| ATM for all Banks Cafe 24 Hour Fitness |
| gym, healthy food available on site, save taxpayer \$ by allowing appropriate employees to work from home; if they get their work done, does it really mater from where its done? |
| ATM, information personnel, food service (cafeteria) |
| Some sort of Employee Dining Room that serves meals would be great...also, having choices like salads and vegetarian/vegan meals available would be a great improvement. |
| 1. Knowledgeable and visible Information Desk (to direct people to their destination). 2. Easy-to-read signs for direction. 3. Similar services/divisions grouped close together. |
| Free parking immediately adjacent to building Food court with healthy options ATM |
| lunch room, ATM, gym |
| Free covered parking is a must, since I currently have that. Free Pepsi. Why not? Coffee is provided... |
| Exercise room Lockers & Showers Employee restrooms separate from public restrooms Kitchen facilities |
| What the customers need: Information Kiosk on city services and location within city hall and at other locations Easier wayfinding within City Hall Parking attendant rather than meters requiring change What Staff Need: More natural light in work spaces. In the city hall in Indianapolis most staff, whether in a cubicle or office, had window views. It helped in terms of eye strain from looking at a computer and in terms of overall staff mood. Central project work space in the division in addition to central meeting space in the division. More color in workspaces. Everything looks drab. The desks, cubicles, walls, carpet and so on are different bland shades of beige or cream. In my opinion, the colors are not energizing or stimulating, but depressing, cheerless. |
| Cafeteria/Lunch Room Availability of other food choices (Restaurants nearby) Accessibility(by car to facility for example the 95 or 15) |
| lunch room, ATM and better handicap accessibility |
| An information booth that gives better information to the location needed. A larger lunch room for the employees. A telephone system that acknowledges that calls will be taken in the order received, thereby not having to have the calls ring at 5 different employees desks. |
| ATM Better security As much as a lunch room would be nice for employees...for better customer service? |
| ATM, Lunch Room, more public restrooms |
| lobby receptionist to help direct customers Embarg phone listing for Business Licenses, Building, I am in OBD and get several calls each day for these two depts. |
| perhaps an exercise room of offer a step, spin, or an exercise class a few days a week. |





| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ATM, lunch room w/food choices, snack shop |
| restrooms, adequate signage, information area, security |
| ATM, better security (marshals staffed at the entrances into City Hall at all times the building is open), better signage with regards to services by dept. |
| MORE NATURAL LIGHT CAFETERIA WITH FRESH, HOT FOOD GYM |
| Fitness Room cafeteria ATM |
| Handicap parking Cafeteria ATM |
| Fitness Room, Lunch room and ATM |
| ATM, Public restrooms on all floors, Cafe |
| concierge service visible security visual/virtual directories |
| cafeteria atm gym |
| ATM, Waiting area and public phones. |
| Cafeteria VENTILATED restrooms more working elevators |
| Decent lunch room (see Clark county) At least one more change machine in the garage |
| Lunch room with contracted food service perhaps from a Non-Profit Organization, an ATM Machine |
| Lunch Room Exercise/Weight Room |
| A cafeteria with hot meals that we can purchase for a certain amount or have it deducted from are paycheck like the State building has, an ATM, a Lounge room with a TV and a Gym. |
| An open courtyard with concierge service for the public A full-service lunch room Banking services for the public and employees |
| Child care facility, ATM's, cafeteria (not vending machine room) |
| cafeteria/lunch room gym |
| soda/snack machines atm coffee or deli vendor |
| On-site food vendor similar to Clark County. And please, do not give the same response of NRS and Blind Center issue. How are they able to do it and we cannot? Also, a shower area for those who may wish to workout/exercise during lunch. |
| lunch room with fast food service ATM public pay phones |
| Restrooms ATM in the City Hall lunch room |
| Lunch room Adequate parking (preferably free for employees) Adequately furnished meeting rooms |
| Concierge Service ATM Accessible public phone |
| Lunch room with a full service cafeteria. Employee only garage that will also fit larger vehicles. Break rooms with TV's, computers and couches. |

| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Central location for all public-related services (i.e., pay sewer bills, get permits, plans approval, apply for job). 2. Information Desk to direct customers 3. Easier parking with change machines on each level that actually work. |
| cafeteria, lounge, ATM |
| I have to think about this and let you know. |
| More entrance doors. Closer parking. Loading and unloading zones. |
| Lunch room, ATM, comfortable seating |
| cafe that sells food, exercise area, ATM or bank |
| Better directories both for departments and for services. Department names do not reflect customer services |
| Cafeteria Free Parking in parking garage for employees |
| ATM, LUNCH ROOM, DROP BOX FOR CERTAIN PAYMENTS. |
| ATM, Convenience Store, lunch room |
| convenient parking atm lunch room |
| Cafeteria type Lunch Room, more parking security, able to sit outside without being harassed by homeless. |
| gym/exercise/track, ATM, post office |
| concierge #1 Signage (directional & identifying) #2 furniture (seating & tables) #3 |
| Some type of cafeteria would be wonderful ATM multiple large high-tech conference rooms |
| A centrally located lunch room for employees, ATM and adequate, safe parking |
| Storage space for supplies, Delivery area for large items and a waiting area for customers |
| We need to make it easy to get in and out of the building and without having to go to more than one floor to get serviced. |
| LARGE ENOUGH LUNCH ROOM SO ITS NOT OVERLY CROWDED FREE PARKING IN A PARKING GARAGE MORE OFFICE SPACE FOR THE DEPARTMENT SO THAT ITS NOT OVERLY CROWDED |
| Lunch room, ATM and safe parking garage with working elevators |
| lunch room, security & information service, atm |
| snack bar, ATM/bank service, separate public restrooms |
| The three listed above are appropriate |
| break & lunch room for employees give good customer service classes where in employees are taught NOT to transfer people around - it seems as though a lot of employees do not want to give customer service - just transfer the call to anyone - then the person gets frustrated - this could cause a person to go ballistic with this type of treatment - makes for unsafe departments - ask people who work as a receptionist - the City seems to ask people who don't work in an area they are checking into; have a booklet available listing what each dept does; give the front line person |

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| a tour of each dept so they know what each does; have a phone listing by subject: sewer bills & their phone number; what an ordinance says (City clerk's office); our present phone book is not user friendly at all. One would have to have had worked here in order to know where to send someone. | | |
| ATM, cafe, better parking | | |
| Security at entrance Cafe/lunch area (like State Bldg!) Combine all under one roof - still get customers for DSC here at 400 Stewart! | | |
| ATM, Gym, concierge | | |
| Lunch room, parking for over sized vehicles, healthier drinks and snacks and more vending machines | | |
| canteen to buy food from, more atm machines | | |
| ATM, cafeteria | | |
| Cafeteria, Post Office Access, Open Space | | |
| | Valid Responses | 311 |
| | Total Responses | 419 |







QWorkpercentage: What percentage of your week is spent working alone [at your desk without contact with others] by comparison to working with others [in meetings, on conference calls, or otherwise]?

(Respondents could only choose a **single** response)

| Response | Chart | Frequency | Count |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Less than 25% |  | 28.9% | 116 |
| 26-50% |  | 27.6% | 111 |
| 51-75% |  | 22.9% | 92 |
| Greater than 75% |  | 20.6% | 83 |
| Not Answered | | | 5 |
| | | Mean | 2.353 |
| | | Valid Responses | 402 |
| | | Total Responses | 407 |





Qworkplaceenvironment: Of these issues that might improve the workplace environment, which is most critical for you?

(Respondents could only choose a **single** response)

| Response | Chart | Frequency | Count |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Improved sound masking to control noise |  | 33.0% | 127 |
| improved lighting |  | 13.5% | 52 |
| increased number of conference rooms |  | 13.5% | 52 |
| closer proximity to team members |  | 9.4% | 36 |
| increased number of casual meeting spaces |  | 14.5% | 56 |
| improved ability to quickly locate other people |  | 16.1% | 62 |
| Not Answered | | | 22 |
| | | Mean | 3.073 |
| | | Valid Responses | 385 |
| | | Total Responses | 407 |



Qsize: Meeting size is typically:

(Respondents could only choose a **single** response)

| Response | Chart | Frequency | Count |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Less than 8 |  | 44.4% | 175 |
| 9-16 |  | 45.4% | 179 |
| 17-24 |  | 6.6% | 26 |
| More than 25 |  | 3.6% | 14 |
| Not Answered | | | 13 |
| | | Mean | 1.693 |
| | | Valid Responses | 394 |
| | | Total Responses | 407 |

Qduration: Meeting duration is typically

(Respondents could only choose a **single** response)

| Response | Chart | Frequency | Count |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| less than 1 hour |  | 40.8% | 161 |
| 1-2 hours |  | 57.5% | 227 |
| 2-4 hours | | 1.8% | 7 |
| greater than 4 hours | | 0.0% | 0 |
| Not Answered | | | 12 |
| | | Mean | 1.610 |
| | | Valid Responses | 395 |
| | | Total Responses | 407 |

QPrioritize_A: Prioritize the following items in relation to each other, from highest to lowest priority:

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Dedicated conference rooms or proximity to peer associates. | Count | 35 | 66 | 133 | 99 | 63 | 396 |
| | % by Row | 8.8% | 16.7% | 33.6% | 25.0% | 15.9% | 100.0% |
| Natural light (windows) or enclosed office space | Count | 21 | 35 | 72 | 96 | 171 | 395 |
| | % by Row | 5.3% | 8.9% | 18.2% | 24.3% | 43.3% | 100.0% |
| Adequate break-room space or dedicated conference areas | Count | 23 | 62 | 104 | 139 | 65 | 393 |
| | % by Row | 5.9% | 15.8% | 26.5% | 35.4% | 16.5% | 100.0% |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Closer to supervisors or related associates | Count | 71 | 104 | 105 | 69 | 46 | 395 |
| | % by Row | 18.0% | 26.3% | 26.6% | 17.5% | 11.6% | 100.0% |
| Total | Count | 150 | 267 | 414 | 403 | 345 | 1579 |
| | % by Row | 9.5% | 16.9% | 26.2% | 25.5% | 21.8% | 100.0% |

QComments: Other comments:

| Response |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| It is most likely inappropriate for me to fill in this survey because although I work for Administrative Service I work at the Fire Admin Building and spend very little time in the City Hall Complex. Please take this into consideration when evaluating comments. |
| Ergonomic workspaces, access to multi-function copiers and natural lighting would greatly improve our work areas. Thanks. |
| Need to improve the safety and security mindset of the City Hall employees and senior management. Security training for all employees is essential in this post 9/11 environment |
| Bringing back the "731" folks would be my paramount interest. I don't think we can justify the expense of a new city hall to the citizenry, particularly if we're going to give tax breaks for developers to build an arena downtown - which would have to compete with the newly announced arena behind the Bally's/Paris. |
| HR needs rooms that are very sound-proof, where no one can eavesdrop. There is no place on the 2nd floor for one-on-one meetings or for guests to review applications or tests. Guests from other departments have to sit at an open table overlooking the first floor offices. |
| A gym area with showers would be really nice. This way we could work out during or before and after work and our lunch hour and not lose too much with travel time. |
| MORE STORAGE SPACE FOR OFFICES THAT HAVE TO KEEP ALOT OF FILES. BETTER DIRECTIONAL MAPS FOR DIFFERENT FLOORS. |
| An small exercise room would be a plus. I do some situps at lunch time and it wakes me up and give me energy for the rest of the afternoon. Helps my waist line too. |
| The new city hall should have shower facilities for those who compute by bicycle. |
| Covered parking is very important to me Work area with windows A gym to exercise during lunch |
| Thank you for the input opportunity. If you need any more design ideas let me know, I've got a million |
| Would like drinking vending machines to be stocked. More often than not, they are empty. Very frustrating. Would like vending machine that offers healthy choices like yogurt, fruit or vegetables. |
| I am pretty comfortable in my work space as it is. The only thing lacking is windows to the outside. We are in our cubicles all day and have no windows to the outside. It can be overwhelming. |
| I work offsite in the old HR building and I don't mind going back and forth from City Hall to my work station but it would be nice for all City Employees to be located in one building. Specifically speaking easier to attend meetings. |
| What is a dedicated conference area? Where do we go to give input on more specific items to our needs? |

| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The worst feature of all is the air quality and temperature control. On one side of our work area, people are warm - where I sit, we are freezing and I need to use a desk heater. During the week at work, I sneeze constantly - at home, I'm fine. The air quality is horrible. |
| Better and faster elevator service would be nice. |
| more available refrigerators. starbucks within walking distance |
| department conference rooms dedicated to the department, we lose our ability for meetings because other departments take our facilities. |
| I would have liked the natural light and enclosed office space to be separated. I would prefer natural light over an enclosed office space. Also, maybe clarify enclosed office space is that floor to ceiling walls and doors or just high walled cubicles. |
| caseworkers should have area to meet with clients besides the lobbies |
| We need to remember the public does not come to city hall because they want to come here. The public comes to city hall because they are required by law to comply with our procedures and policies. We should focus on making their time here as enjoyable as possible; with exception to the criminals visiting Metro. |
| The facility needs adequate, accessible, free public parking. Parking meters are the single most frequent excuse, in any city that uses them, for citizens staying out of the downtown areas. Adequate, SAFE, employee parking is a necessity. A cafeteria-style restaurant would be a plus. If you want employees or customers to use stairs, make them accessible, reasonably attractive (climate-controlled) and keep them clean. Using easily-cleaned materials and surfaces in the new building and providing adequate custodial staff to keep it clean would be another major plus. |
| None of the above are a priority to me I think we need to have adequate Restrooms and a loading dock (not a lift) in a new building, as Well As a Cafeteria with hot lunches. |
| The last question ask the user to prioritize the four items from lowest to highest. Shouldn't there only be four numbers and not five? I went backwards with my highest priority in the five column. If I started with the lowest then my highest priority would have been a four. This question needs to be thrown out of the survey as it is in error and not statistically measurable. |
| Important for the City Attorney's Office to have a pleasing, accommodating and roomy reception area for the public and other employees to wait for their appointments with office staff. Also, in many cases witnesses need to wait in the reception area until they are called to testify. This survey did not touch on this issue, but rooms of adequate size are needed to conduct arbitration hearings, settlement and negotiation sessions. |
| Again I think two major things I would like to see are a food service area. I think this would cut down on the amount of time people spend at lunch. Also KCLV should have its office and studios on site at the new City Hall. Many departments and the council and mayor frequently use these facilities. I would advocate having KCLV located adjacent to council chambers as KCLV is responsible for broadcasting the meetings. Another amenity that would be nice is if the city contracted with the Las Vegas Athletic Club or another fitness club operator to share space in a new city hall building. |
| need a weight and cardio exercise room |
| please make sure there is adequate covered/free parking. |
| free covered parking, we live in the desert and it is wearing on vehicles. possibly a daycare for some of the moms/dads that need to have a convenient place near work. |
| Adequate climate controlled building |
| Easier access to multiple freeways would be a plus. |

| |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>very little that I do relates to the IT department I work in. I work mainly on interfacing with the print shop. Better working conditions involves better lighting newer equipment and software and servicing of that equipment</p> |
| <p>I work in a cube farm. Cube walls are approximately 5 feet high. Noise flows like sewer gas through my work area. Everyone hears everyone else's business and personal conversations. Some of these conversations are conducted at the top of people's voices. Some personal cell phones are set to ring LOUD and they ring frequently enough to be disruptive, often when the owner is away from their desk. People sometimes walk around the cube farm talking into their cell phones on purely personal business. Loud conversations in the break room and hall can be heard in the work area. Nothing management has done seems to alleviate this situation very much. My work requires intense concentration or my productivity and work quality plummet. My manager bought me great noise-reducing earphones but they are not always adequate. Sometimes I just need my work space to be quiet so I can think. Since most of my work is on computers, I usually can't retreat to an unused office or conference room. Enclosed offices or team-related work areas with doors and floor-to-ceiling walls would help keep the noise down and productivity up. Many of my co-workers prefer to be in an open work area with their peers so they can swap ideas and solve problems without running around the office or scheduling a conference room; so separating some of us into enclosed function-related multi-person work areas should do well for some of us. My needs are very different, so those of us who require quiet individual work areas should be isolated from the noise that the other folks have to make in order to do their jobs.</p> |
| <p>By the time a new city hall is built, technology will allow greater collaboration to happen electronically. So while adequate meeting space is important, I believe meetings will not take place so much in a conference room but in informal gatherings around someone's PC or laptop (or whatever the device is that we're using at the time). People will be able to meet over the internet using web cams and like technology, so the need for pure conference rooms will be reduced, in my opinion. In this environment, I think it will be more important to control the noise as people "meet" around cubicles, etc.</p> |
| <p>The final question is confusing.</p> |
| <p>The copiers should be place in a room with a door or at least a partition/sound barrier instead of out in the hallways and work areas.</p> |
| <p>Please provide secure and free parking spaces for employees. Also, more routine patrolling of parking spaces would be nice.</p> |
| <p>Parking distance and location for those working after dark is a concern</p> |
| <p>Designing more natural light into a building will reduce electricity usage to run lights. Windows can be designed to reduce heat gain, again saving electricity usage for air conditioning. I worked for a bank that built a new building, and all cubicles were placed away from the exterior walls. The exterior walls became one side of the "corridors" through the office. This eliminated competition for a window in an office - all had equal access, and the entire area benefitted from the natural light. Only the department director had a hard wall office with windows.</p> |
| <p>I am located in the Regional Justice Center.</p> |
| <p>Proximity to 95 or I-15 important consideration Also need to go green and consider telecommuting and workspace sharing to reduce costs to build city hall</p> |
| <p>ENCLOSED PARKING GARAGE A GREAT PERK FITNESS CENTER WILL HELP IMPROVE HEALTH OF EMPLOYEES, REDUCE STRESS AND IMPROVE CUSTOMER SERVICE LOCATION TO FACILITIES IS HELPFUL</p> |
| <p>would like to see a more open and light work area. example more windows and wider hall ways with earth tone colors.</p> |
| <p>there remains a void between agencies when communicating and sharing information-as though one departments' efforts are of higher importance than any others</p> |



| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I have not completed the survey because we are currently under remodel. All the answers would be skewed because nothing is convenient as the office is currently divided into two sections with construction down the middle and I'm not sure how the final layout will work or where I will be located. I would be happy to do the survey after construction. |
| The proposed new City Hall building ought to centered on the best delivery of our services to the general public. Acknowledging the importance of designing the facility to assist city employees in providing the best service to the public by way of the survey is appreciated. Please ensure the facility will take into account future growth as well. |
| Amount of office square footage should also be considered. |
| Currently work in basement with no windows |
| Natural light and decent amount of workspace are needed. |
| I would recommend (i) a workout room with shower and locker facilities so employee can workout before, at lunch or after work, and (ii) larger and better ventilated bathrooms. |
| There are five priority levels and only four items... 2 would be my lowest. |
| Happy healthy employees do a better job and finding them time to get enough exercise is a challenge. Employee workout facilities could be a huge benefit for everyone. |
| It would really be a boost for the employees if the new City Hall contained a cafeteria and a gym or workout room. |
| The prospect of a new City Hall is so exciting. It would be wonderful to have the Mayor and all of my co-workers in one place. A new City Hall would be a dream come true. Thank you for wanting my opinion. :o) DTB |
| We need a Cafe or more places to get lunch in a half hour period that isn't costly and is convenient. Not just Port Of Subs. We have no variety now and the other restaurants are pricey. We need an ATM for Clark County Credit Union. Having US Bank is a great help. Employee Gym would be nice too. |
| none of these are hi priority to me; my spacial associatn w/co-wkrs is great;conference/mtg rm availability is fine; I don't need an office; I wk in the field + coming to the office on a daily basis is a waste of my time/gas as well as the taxpayer's. |
| Bringing back the "731" folks would be my paramount interest I don't think we can justify the expense of a new city hall to the citizenry, particularly if we're going to give tax breaks for developers to build an arena downtown - which would have to compete with the newly announced arena behind the Bally's/Paris. |
| Natural light lifts my mood and enables me to be productive more consistently. More color within the workplace helps too, but not as effectively. Proximity to peers and supervisors/managers is important too if it leads to more daily interaction. With those three things in place, I'd be a much happier and productive camper. |
| I think when considering the new City Hall it might be helpful to keep in mind the growing trend of telecommuting and office sharing. |
| I'm in the City Attorney's Criminal Division at the RJC. Would love to be back at City Hall. |
| n/a |
| adequate signage for our customers would be very helpful. Seldom do I walk the halls of City Hall without seeing someone trying to find a department etc. |
| I AM A FIELD PERSON, SO I SPEND APPROX 50% OF MY TIME IN THE OFFICE. I WILL BE RETIRED BY THE TIME THE NEW CITY HALL IS BUILT. THANK YOU. |
| Please consider the addition of a fitness room ~healthy employees are less expensive, less stressed, and give better customer service. Parking garage is also very important ! safe! |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Staff who spend 75-90% of their work time conversing via telephone or face to face with internal & external customers should not be working in cubicles (rat maze). | | |
| A more user friendly internal telephone directory. More general information available about each departments functions. | | |
| Office space that we can't here other co-workers conversations it gets very distracting. | | |
| Dedicated conference areas/Dedicated conference rooms same thing... why on two different lines? hard to rate this one. | | |
| Temperature control and air quality is very poor causes quite a few of us to be sick | | |
| Need better climate control. The AC control in the summer time has me using a blanket to cover my legs and wearing a long, heavy weight sweater in the middle of July/August. | | |
| 1. I don't completely understand what you are asking in the prior question. 2. Although I am in IT, my office is in the Projects trailer under the freeway. I do not feel safe in that environment. It does not allow me easy access to my coworkers or other employees in which I need to support or do business with. Most of my meetings are at City Hall, so I spend a great deal of time walking to and from buildings (wasted time). 3. A lot of my meetings require a projector, screen, and computer. The availability of conference rooms with those resources is very limited; therefore, many meetings are postponed until a room with those resources is available. | | |
| I will let you know later. | | |
| It always seems too cold in our offices - Winter and Summer | | |
| Concentrate on providing easy access and a comfortable environment for the public, our customers. | | |
| We need more storage space to hold all the files that we have. | | |
| conf room is not good for a break room as when there's a meeting the employee has no place to go to get away or have peace & quiet. | | |
| I understand the plan is to separate the dept director/admin function from the remainder of the department. this isn't beneficial, it does not promote a team concept and magnifies the 'us versus them' feeling. | | |
| Parking for employees should be no charge in the parking garage. | | |
| Easy accessibility, adequate parking (for both customers and employees), Natural Light, Eliminate cubicle environment, provide adequate technology for meeting spaces and public access... | | |
| | Valid Responses | 80 |
| | Total Responses | 419 |

Site Map

Las Vegas Downtown

September 7, 2007



PIZZUTI SOLUTIONS



| | |
|-------------------------|--------|
| 2000 Total Population | 11,670 |
| 2000 Group Quarters | 2,687 |
| 2007 Total Population | 12,442 |
| 2012 Total Population | 19,393 |
| 2007 - 2012 Annual Rate | 9.28% |



| | |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| 2000 Households | 4,802 |
| 2000 Average Household Size | 1.87 |
| 2007 Households | 5,324 |
| 2007 Average Household Size | 1.82 |
| 2012 Households | 9,276 |
| 2012 Average Household Size | 1.79 |
| 2007 - 2012 Annual Rate | 11.74% |
| 2000 Families | 1,465 |
| 2000 Average Family Size | 3.13 |
| 2007 Families | 1,459 |
| 2007 Average Family Size | 3.18 |
| 2012 Families | 2,537 |
| 2012 Average Family Size | 3.1 |
| 2007 - 2012 Annual Rate | 11.7% |



| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 2000 Housing Units | 5,599 |
| Owner Occupied Housing Units | 5.1% |
| Renter Occupied Housing Units | 81.1% |
| Vacant Housing Units | 13.8% |
| 2007 Housing Units | 6,268 |
| Owner Occupied Housing Units | 4.5% |
| Renter Occupied Housing Units | 80.5% |
| Vacant Housing Units | 15.1% |
| 2012 Housing Units | 10,730 |
| Owner Occupied Housing Units | 3.5% |
| Renter Occupied Housing Units | 82.9% |
| Vacant Housing Units | 13.6% |

Median Household Income

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2000 | \$18,152 |
| 2007 | \$20,182 |
| 2012 | \$21,423 |

Median Home Value

| | |
|------|-----------|
| 2000 | \$86,667 |
| 2007 | \$181,452 |
| 2012 | \$211,890 |

Per Capita Income

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2000 | \$17,079 |
| 2007 | \$17,819 |
| 2012 | \$19,276 |

Median Age

| | |
|------|------|
| 2000 | 37.6 |
| 2007 | 38.8 |
| 2012 | 40.7 |

Data Note: Household population includes persons not residing in group quarters. Average Household Size is the household population divided by total households. Persons in families include the householder and persons related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. Per Capita Income represents the income received by all persons aged 15 years and over divided by total population. Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



2000 Households by Income

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Household Income Base | 4,845 |
| < \$15,000 | 39.7% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 27.3% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 14.6% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 9.5% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 5.6% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 1.8% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 0.6% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.5% |
| \$200,000+ | 0.5% |
| Average Household Income | \$25,677 |

2007 Households by Income

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Household Income Base | 5,326 |
| < \$15,000 | 37.2% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 25.1% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 15.7% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 10.8% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 6.5% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 2.5% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 1.3% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.3% |
| \$200,000+ | 0.7% |
| Average Household Income | \$27,667 |

2012 Households by Income

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Household Income Base | 9,276 |
| < \$15,000 | 34.3% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 23.1% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 15.5% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 13.2% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 7.8% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 2.9% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 2.2% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.3% |
| \$200,000+ | 0.7% |
| Average Household Income | \$31,103 |

2000 Owner Occupied HUs by Value

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Total | 322 |
| <\$50,000 | 13.7% |
| \$50,000 - 99,999 | 50.9% |
| \$100,000 - 149,999 | 17.4% |
| \$150,000 - 199,999 | 6.2% |
| \$200,000 - \$299,999 | 4.0% |
| \$300,000 - 499,999 | 5.3% |
| \$500,000 - 999,999 | 0.0% |
| \$1,000,000+ | 2.5% |
| Average Home Value | \$133,639 |

2000 Specified Renter Occupied HUs by Contract Rent

| | |
|----------------|-------|
| Total | 4,474 |
| With Cash Rent | 97.5% |
| No Cash Rent | 2.5% |
| Median Rent | \$439 |
| Average Rent | \$441 |

Data Note: Income represents the preceding year, expressed in current dollars. Household income includes wage and salary earnings, interest, dividends, net rents, pensions, SSI and welfare payments, child support and alimony. Specified Renter Occupied HUs exclude houses on 10+ acres. Average Rent excludes units paying no cash rent.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



2000 Population by Age

| | |
|---------|--------|
| Total | 11,671 |
| 0 - 4 | 4.9% |
| 5 - 9 | 3.7% |
| 10 - 14 | 2.9% |
| 15 - 19 | 4.6% |
| 20 - 24 | 8.8% |
| 25 - 34 | 19.4% |
| 35 - 44 | 21.9% |
| 45 - 54 | 15.8% |
| 55 - 64 | 9.5% |
| 65 - 74 | 5.6% |
| 75 - 84 | 2.4% |
| 85+ | 0.6% |
| 18+ | 86.6% |

2007 Population by Age

| | |
|---------|--------|
| Total | 12,438 |
| 0 - 4 | 4.8% |
| 5 - 9 | 3.7% |
| 10 - 14 | 3.7% |
| 15 - 19 | 4.2% |
| 20 - 24 | 7.5% |
| 25 - 34 | 19.0% |
| 35 - 44 | 19.7% |
| 45 - 54 | 16.4% |
| 55 - 64 | 11.1% |
| 65 - 74 | 6.1% |
| 75 - 84 | 3.0% |
| 85+ | 0.8% |
| 18+ | 86.0% |

2012 Population by Age

| | |
|---------|--------|
| Total | 19,393 |
| 0 - 4 | 4.7% |
| 5 - 9 | 3.7% |
| 10 - 14 | 3.5% |
| 15 - 19 | 5.0% |
| 20 - 24 | 6.6% |
| 25 - 34 | 16.6% |
| 35 - 44 | 17.5% |
| 45 - 54 | 16.8% |
| 55 - 64 | 12.7% |
| 65 - 74 | 8.1% |
| 75 - 84 | 3.6% |
| 85+ | 1.2% |
| 18+ | 85.7% |

2000 Population by Sex

| | |
|---------|-------|
| Males | 67.5% |
| Females | 32.5% |

2007 Population by Sex

| | |
|---------|-------|
| Males | 67.1% |
| Females | 32.9% |

2012 Population by Sex

| | |
|---------|-------|
| Males | 66.2% |
| Females | 33.8% |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



2000 Population by Race/Ethnicity

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Total | 11,669 |
| White Alone | 58.9% |
| Black Alone | 15.3% |
| American Indian Alone | 1.2% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander Alone | 5.7% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 13.8% |
| Two or More Races | 5.0% |
| Hispanic Origin | 32.4% |
| Diversity Index | 79.0 |

2007 Population by Race/Ethnicity

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Total | 12,442 |
| White Alone | 54.5% |
| Black Alone | 17.1% |
| American Indian Alone | 1.1% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander Alone | 5.0% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 17.0% |
| Two or More Races | 5.3% |
| Hispanic Origin | 39.2% |
| Diversity Index | 82.8 |

2012 Population by Race/Ethnicity

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Total | 19,393 |
| White Alone | 52.8% |
| Black Alone | 15.6% |
| American Indian Alone | 1.3% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander Alone | 5.3% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 19.3% |
| Two or More Races | 5.6% |
| Hispanic Origin | 45.0% |
| Diversity Index | 84.5 |



2000 Population 3+ by School Enrollment

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Total | 11,381 |
| Enrolled in Nursery/Preschool | 0.7% |
| Enrolled in Kindergarten | 0.9% |
| Enrolled in Grade 1-8 | 5.2% |
| Enrolled in Grade 9-12 | 4.0% |
| Enrolled in College | 2.7% |
| Enrolled in Grad/Prof School | 0.3% |
| Not Enrolled in School | 86.2% |

2000 Population 25+ by Educational Attainment

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 8,987 |
| Less than 9th Grade | 16.4% |
| 9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma | 24.9% |
| High School Graduate | 30.9% |
| Some College, No Degree | 16.5% |
| Associate Degree | 4.0% |
| Bachelor's Degree | 5.1% |
| Master's/Prof/Doctorate Degree | 2.2% |

Data Note: Persons of Hispanic Origin may be of any race. The Diversity Index measures the probability that two people from the same area will be from different race/ethnic groups.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



2000 Population 15+ by Sex and Marital Status

| | |
|------------------------|--------|
| Total | 10,397 |
| Females | 30.5% |
| Never Married | 6.7% |
| Married, not Separated | 14.1% |
| Married, Separated | 1.7% |
| Widowed | 2.4% |
| Divorced | 5.6% |
| Males | 69.5% |
| Never Married | 28.6% |
| Married, not Separated | 20.0% |
| Married, Separated | 4.3% |
| Widowed | 2.6% |
| Divorced | 14.1% |



2000 Population 16+ by Employment Status

| | |
|---------------------|--------|
| Total | 10,362 |
| In Labor Force | 45.7% |
| Civilian Employed | 38.7% |
| Civilian Unemployed | 7.0% |
| In Armed Forces | 0.0% |
| Not in Labor Force | 54.3% |

2007 Civilian Population 16+ in Labor Force

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Civilian Employed | 83.7% |
| Civilian Unemployed | 16.3% |

2012 Civilian Population 16+ in Labor Force

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Civilian Employed | 84.8% |
| Civilian Unemployed | 15.2% |

2000 Females 16+ by Employment Status and Age of Children

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 3,151 |
| Own Children < 6 Only | 7.1% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 2.0% |
| Unemployed | 0.6% |
| Not in Labor Force | 4.4% |
| Own Children < 6 and 6-17 Only | 4.9% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 2.2% |
| Unemployed | 0.1% |
| Not in Labor Force | 2.6% |
| Own Children 6-17 Only | 8.0% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 3.0% |
| Unemployed | 0.8% |
| Not in Labor Force | 4.3% |
| No Own Children < 18 | 80.0% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 32.1% |
| Unemployed | 6.8% |
| Not in Labor Force | 41.2% |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007.



2007 Employed Population 16+ by Industry

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 3,948 |
| Agriculture/Mining | 0.2% |
| Construction | 13.2% |
| Manufacturing | 3.3% |
| Wholesale Trade | 1.0% |
| Retail Trade | 12.2% |
| Transportation/Utilities | 3.9% |
| Information | 1.1% |
| Finance/Insurance/Real Estate | 6.5% |
| Services | 58.1% |
| Public Administration | 0.7% |

2007 Employed Population 16+ by Occupation

| | |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 3,950 |
| White Collar | 29.7% |
| Management/Business/Financial | 5.6% |
| Professional | 3.3% |
| Sales | 10.8% |
| Administrative Support | 9.9% |
| Services | 40.6% |
| Blue Collar | 29.7% |
| Farming/Forestry/Fishing | 0.2% |
| Construction/Extraction | 13.6% |
| Installation/Maintenance/Repair | 4.1% |
| Production | 4.6% |
| Transportation/Material Moving | 7.3% |



2000 Workers 16+ by Means of Transportation to Work

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 3,918 |
| Drove Alone - Car, Truck, or Van | 32.6% |
| Carpooled - Car, Truck, or Van | 17.3% |
| Public Transportation | 27.5% |
| Walked | 15.2% |
| Other Means | 3.9% |
| Worked at Home | 3.5% |

2000 Workers 16+ by Travel Time to Work

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 3,917 |
| Did Not Work at Home | 96.5% |
| Less than 5 minutes | 3.6% |
| 5 to 9 minutes | 8.1% |
| 10 to 19 minutes | 27.5% |
| 20 to 24 minutes | 12.5% |
| 25 to 34 minutes | 22.8% |
| 35 to 44 minutes | 4.0% |
| 45 to 59 minutes | 7.0% |
| 60 to 89 minutes | 6.3% |
| 90 or more minutes | 4.5% |
| Worked at Home | 3.5% |
| Average Travel Time to Work (in min) | 28.2 |

2000 Households by Vehicles Available

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 4,798 |
| None | 54.8% |
| 1 | 39.8% |
| 2 | 4.1% |
| 3 | 0.4% |
| 4 | 0.2% |
| 5+ | 0.7% |
| Average Number of Vehicles Available | 0.5 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



2000 Households by Type

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 4,802 |
| Family Households | 30.5% |
| Married-couple Family | 18.7% |
| With Related Children | 8.3% |
| Other Family (No Spouse) | 11.8% |
| With Related Children | 7.3% |
| Nonfamily Households | 69.5% |
| Householder Living Alone | 57.8% |
| Householder Not Living Alone | 11.7% |
| Households with Related Children | 15.6% |
| Households with Persons 65+ | 17.5% |

2000 Households by Size

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| Total | 4,802 |
| 1 Person Household | 57.8% |
| 2 Person Household | 22.8% |
| 3 Person Household | 7.9% |
| 4 Person Household | 4.8% |
| 5 Person Household | 3.6% |
| 6 Person Household | 1.7% |
| 7+ Person Household | 1.4% |

2000 Households by Year Householder Moved In

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Total | 4,799 |
| Moved in 1999 to March 2000 | 46.4% |
| Moved in 1995 to 1998 | 31.4% |
| Moved in 1990 to 1994 | 11.9% |
| Moved in 1980 to 1989 | 6.3% |
| Moved in 1970 to 1979 | 1.8% |
| Moved in 1969 or Earlier | 2.2% |
| Median Year Householder Moved In | 1999 |



2000 Housing Units by Units in Structure

| | |
|-------------|-------|
| Total | 5,546 |
| 1, Detached | 8.5% |
| 1, Attached | 1.9% |
| 2 | 3.8% |
| 3 or 4 | 8.7% |
| 5 to 9 | 11.3% |
| 10 to 19 | 16.8% |
| 20+ | 48.0% |
| Mobile Home | 1.0% |
| Other | 0.0% |


2000 Housing Units by Year Structure Built

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Total | 5,574 |
| 1999 to March 2000 | 0.5% |
| 1995 to 1998 | 2.5% |
| 1990 to 1994 | 1.5% |
| 1980 to 1989 | 13.9% |
| 1970 to 1979 | 15.8% |
| 1969 or Earlier | 65.8% |
| Median Year Structure Built | 1963 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing.

Top 3 Tapestry Segments

- | | |
|----|---------------------|
| 1. | Social Security Set |
| 2. | NeWest Residents |
| 3. | Old and Newcomers |

 **2007 Consumer Spending** shows the amount spent on a variety of goods and services by households that reside in the market area. Expenditures are shown by broad budget categories that are not mutually exclusive. Consumer spending does not equal business revenue.

| | |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|
| Apparel & Services: Total \$ | \$5,444,589 |
| Average Spent | \$1,022.65 |
| Spending Potential Index | 37 |
| Computers & Accessories: Total \$ | \$517,002 |
| Average Spent | \$97.11 |
| Spending Potential Index | 39 |
| Education: Total \$ | \$2,629,630 |
| Average Spent | \$493.92 |
| Spending Potential Index | 38 |
| Entertainment/Recreation: Total \$ | \$6,357,732 |
| Average Spent | \$1,194.16 |
| Spending Potential Index | 35 |
| Food at Home: Total \$ | \$11,571,369 |
| Average Spent | \$2,173.44 |
| Spending Potential Index | 43 |
| Food Away from Home: Total \$ | \$7,340,396 |
| Average Spent | \$1,378.74 |
| Spending Potential Index | 41 |
| Health Care: Total \$ | \$7,955,969 |
| Average Spent | \$1,494.36 |
| Spending Potential Index | 38 |
| HH Furnishings & Equipment: Total \$ | \$3,934,585 |
| Average Spent | \$739.03 |
| Spending Potential Index | 33 |
| Investments: Total \$ | \$2,596,382 |
| Average Spent | \$487.68 |
| Spending Potential Index | 33 |
| Retail Goods: Total \$ | \$51,868,647 |
| Average Spent | \$9,742.42 |
| Spending Potential Index | 37 |
| Shelter: Total \$ | \$33,735,901 |
| Average Spent | \$6,336.57 |
| Spending Potential Index | 42 |
| TV/Video/Sound Equipment: Total \$ | \$2,539,702 |
| Average Spent | \$477.03 |
| Spending Potential Index | 41 |
| Travel: Total \$ | \$3,387,097 |
| Average Spent | \$636.19 |
| Spending Potential Index | 34 |
| Vehicle Maintenance & Repairs: Total \$ | \$2,193,168 |
| Average Spent | \$411.94 |
| Spending Potential Index | 39 |

Data Note: The Spending Potential Index represents the amount spent in the area relative to a national average of 100.


Source: Expenditure data are derived from the 2002, 2003 and 2004 Consumer Expenditure Surveys, Bureau of Labor Statistics. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.

Las Vegas Downtown


Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1


Households




2007 Households by Income




2007 Population by Age




2007 Owner Occupied HUs by Value



2007 Employed 16+ by Occupation



2007 Population by Race



2007 Percent Hispanic Origin: 39.2%

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| Summary | 2000 | 2007 | 2012 |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Population | 11,670 | 12,442 | 19,393 |
| Households | 4,802 | 5,324 | 9,276 |
| Families | 1,465 | 1,459 | 2,537 |
| Average Household Size | 1.87 | 1.82 | 1.79 |
| Owner Occupied HUs | 285 | 279 | 379 |
| Renter Occupied HUs | 4,517 | 5,045 | 8,897 |
| Median Age | 37.6 | 38.8 | 40.7 |

| Trends: 2007-2012 Annual Rate | Area | State | National |
|-------------------------------|--------|-------|----------|
| Population | 9.28% | 3.7% | 1.30% |
| Households | 11.74% | 3.66% | 1.33% |
| Families | 11.7% | 3.62% | 1.08% |
| Owner HHs | 6.32% | 3.65% | 1.41% |
| Median Household Income | 1.2% | 3.2% | 3.32% |

| Households by Income | 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| < \$15,000 | 1,925 | 39.7% | 1,980 | 37.2% | 3,184 | 34.3% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 1,322 | 27.3% | 1,339 | 25.1% | 2,139 | 23.1% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 707 | 14.6% | 834 | 15.7% | 1,437 | 15.5% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 458 | 9.5% | 576 | 10.8% | 1,229 | 13.2% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 270 | 5.6% | 344 | 6.5% | 719 | 7.8% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 86 | 1.8% | 132 | 2.5% | 265 | 2.9% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 28 | 0.6% | 69 | 1.3% | 208 | 2.2% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,000 | 24 | 0.5% | 17 | 0.3% | 26 | 0.3% |
| \$200,000+ | 25 | 0.5% | 35 | 0.7% | 69 | 0.7% |
| Median Household Income | \$18,152 | | \$20,182 | | \$21,423 | |
| Average Household Income | \$25,677 | | \$27,667 | | \$31,103 | |
| Per Capita Income | \$17,079 | | \$17,819 | | \$19,276 | |

| Population by Age | 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|-------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 - 4 | 573 | 4.9% | 593 | 4.8% | 912 | 4.7% |
| 5 - 9 | 427 | 3.7% | 458 | 3.7% | 723 | 3.7% |
| 10 - 14 | 337 | 2.9% | 461 | 3.7% | 684 | 3.5% |
| 15 - 19 | 540 | 4.6% | 526 | 4.2% | 970 | 5.0% |
| 20 - 24 | 1,022 | 8.8% | 929 | 7.5% | 1,287 | 6.6% |
| 25 - 34 | 2,266 | 19.4% | 2,368 | 19.0% | 3,211 | 16.6% |
| 35 - 44 | 2,551 | 21.9% | 2,450 | 19.7% | 3,386 | 17.5% |
| 45 - 54 | 1,844 | 15.8% | 2,039 | 16.4% | 3,253 | 16.8% |
| 55 - 64 | 1,107 | 9.5% | 1,376 | 11.1% | 2,470 | 12.7% |
| 65 - 74 | 651 | 5.6% | 760 | 6.1% | 1,570 | 8.1% |
| 75 - 84 | 283 | 2.4% | 374 | 3.0% | 696 | 3.6% |
| 85+ | 70 | 0.6% | 104 | 0.8% | 231 | 1.2% |

| Race and Ethnicity | 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White Alone | 6,878 | 58.9% | 6,786 | 54.5% | 10,246 | 52.8% |
| Black Alone | 1,785 | 15.3% | 2,125 | 17.1% | 3,030 | 15.6% |
| American Indian Alone | 144 | 1.2% | 143 | 1.1% | 257 | 1.3% |
| Asian Alone | 614 | 5.3% | 563 | 4.5% | 930 | 4.8% |
| Pacific Islander Alone | 51 | 0.4% | 54 | 0.4% | 95 | 0.5% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 1,610 | 13.8% | 2,113 | 17.0% | 3,742 | 19.3% |
| Two or More Races | 587 | 5.0% | 658 | 5.3% | 1,093 | 5.6% |
| Hispanic Origin (Any Race) | 3,783 | 32.4% | 4,872 | 39.2% | 8,726 | 45.0% |

Data Note: Income is expressed in current dollars.


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.

Las Vegas Downtown


Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1


Trends 2007-2012




Population by Age



2007 Household Income



2007 Population by Race



2007 Percent Hispanic Origin: 39.2%



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|----------|
| 2000 Total Population | 11,670 | 2000 Median HH Income | \$18,152 |
| 2007 Total Population | 12,442 | 2007 Median HH Income | \$20,182 |
| 2012 Total Population | 19,393 | 2012 Median HH Income | \$21,423 |
| 2007 - 2012 Annual Rate | 9.28% | 2007 - 2012 Annual Rate | 1.2% |

Housing Units by Occupancy Status and Tenure

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------------------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total Housing Units | 5,571 | 100.0% | 6,268 | 100.0% | 10,730 | 100.0% |
| Occupied | 4,802 | 86.2% | 5,324 | 84.9% | 9,276 | 86.4% |
| Owner | 285 | 5.1% | 279 | 4.5% | 379 | 3.5% |
| Renter | 4,517 | 81.1% | 5,045 | 80.5% | 8,897 | 82.9% |
| Vacant | 769 | 13.8% | 944 | 15.1% | 1,454 | 13.6% |

Owner Occupied Housing Units by Value

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 322 | 100.0% | 278 | 100.0% | 373 | 100.0% |
| < \$10,000 | 17 | 5.3% | 9 | 3.2% | 10 | 2.7% |
| \$10,000 - \$14,999 | 8 | 2.5% | 7 | 2.5% | 7 | 1.9% |
| \$15,000 - \$19,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 1.8% | 5 | 1.3% |
| \$20,000 - \$24,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 1.8% | 5 | 1.3% |
| \$25,000 - \$29,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 6 | 2.2% | 6 | 1.6% |
| \$30,000 - \$34,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 1.4% | 5 | 1.3% |
| \$35,000 - \$39,999 | 8 | 2.5% | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 0.8% |
| \$40,000 - \$49,999 | 11 | 3.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$50,000 - \$59,999 | 3 | 0.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$60,000 - \$69,999 | 24 | 7.5% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$70,000 - \$79,999 | 50 | 15.5% | 1 | 0.4% | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$80,000 - \$89,999 | 60 | 18.6% | 9 | 3.2% | 2 | 0.5% |
| \$90,000 - \$99,999 | 27 | 8.4% | 5 | 1.8% | 15 | 4.0% |
| \$100,000 - \$124,999 | 29 | 9.0% | 8 | 2.9% | 19 | 5.1% |
| \$125,000 - \$149,999 | 27 | 8.4% | 17 | 6.1% | 15 | 4.0% |
| \$150,000 - \$174,999 | 18 | 5.6% | 47 | 16.9% | 26 | 7.0% |
| \$175,000 - \$199,999 | 2 | 0.6% | 62 | 22.3% | 49 | 13.1% |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 13 | 4.0% | 41 | 14.7% | 82 | 22.0% |
| \$250,000 - \$299,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 16 | 5.8% | 33 | 8.8% |
| \$300,000 - \$399,999 | 15 | 4.7% | 17 | 6.1% | 44 | 11.8% |
| \$400,000 - \$499,999 | 2 | 0.6% | 5 | 1.8% | 25 | 6.7% |
| \$500,000 - \$749,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 1.8% | 7 | 1.9% |
| \$750,000 - \$999,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 1.4% | 6 | 1.6% |
| \$1,000,000+ | 8 | 2.5% | 5 | 1.8% | 9 | 2.4% |
| Median Value | \$86,667 | | \$181,452 | | \$211,890 | |
| Average Value | \$133,639 | | \$212,743 | | \$254,786 | |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing, ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

Census 2000 Vacant Housing Units by Status

| | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total | 770 | 100.0% |
| For Rent | 626 | 81.3% |
| For Sale Only | 13 | 1.7% |
| Rented/Sold, Unoccupied | 14 | 1.8% |
| Seasonal/Recreational/Occasional Use | 30 | 3.9% |
| For Migrant Workers | 0 | 0.0% |
| Other Vacant | 87 | 11.3% |

Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units by Age of Householder and Home Ownership

| | Occupied Units | Owner Occupied Units | |
|---------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | Number | % of Occupied |
| Total | 4,804 | 286 | 6.0% |
| 15 - 24 | 277 | 5 | 1.8% |
| 25 - 34 | 693 | 29 | 4.2% |
| 35 - 44 | 1,124 | 52 | 4.6% |
| 45 - 54 | 1,152 | 72 | 6.3% |
| 55 - 64 | 793 | 47 | 5.9% |
| 65 - 74 | 499 | 41 | 8.2% |
| 75 - 84 | 214 | 29 | 13.6% |
| 85+ | 52 | 11 | 21.2% |

Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units by Race/Ethnicity of Householder and Home Ownership

| | Occupied Units | Owner Occupied Units | |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | Number | % of Occupied |
| Total | 4,803 | 286 | 6.0% |
| White Alone | 3,122 | 217 | 7.0% |
| Black Alone | 599 | 5 | 0.8% |
| American Indian Alone | 51 | 1 | 2.0% |
| Asian Alone | 274 | 18 | 6.6% |
| Pacific Islander Alone | 26 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 510 | 37 | 7.3% |
| Two or More Races | 221 | 8 | 3.6% |
| Hispanic Origin | 1,179 | 88 | 7.5% |

Census 2000 Housing Units by Units in Structure and Occupancy

| | Housing Units | | Occupied Units | |
|-------------|---------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 5,546 | 100.0% | 4,798 | 100.0% |
| 1, Detached | 473 | 8.5% | 430 | 9.0% |
| 1, Attached | 105 | 1.9% | 94 | 2.0% |
| 2 | 212 | 3.8% | 180 | 3.8% |
| 3 to 4 | 481 | 8.7% | 403 | 8.4% |
| 5 to 9 | 626 | 11.3% | 550 | 11.5% |
| 10 to 19 | 934 | 16.8% | 800 | 16.7% |
| 20 to 49 | 975 | 17.6% | 845 | 17.6% |
| 50 or More | 1,686 | 30.4% | 1,467 | 30.6% |
| Mobile Home | 53 | 1.0% | 28 | 0.6% |
| Other | 1 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.0% |

Data Note: Persons of Hispanic Origin may be of any race.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

Census 2000 Specified Owner Occupied Housing Units by Selected Monthly Owner Cost

| | Number | Percent |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total | 207 | 100.0% |
| With Mortgage | 145 | 70.0% |
| <\$200 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$200 - \$299 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$300 - \$399 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$400 - \$499 | 2 | 1.0% |
| \$500 - \$599 | 3 | 1.4% |
| \$600 - \$699 | 25 | 12.1% |
| \$700 - \$799 | 45 | 21.7% |
| \$800 - \$899 | 25 | 12.1% |
| \$900 - \$999 | 14 | 6.8% |
| \$1000 - \$1249 | 20 | 9.7% |
| \$1250 - \$1499 | 6 | 2.9% |
| \$1500 - \$1999 | 3 | 1.4% |
| \$2000 - \$2499 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$2500 - \$2999 | 2 | 1.0% |
| \$3000+ | 0 | 0.0% |
| With No Mortgage | 62 | 30.0% |
| Median Monthly Owner Costs for Units with Mortgage | \$794 | |
| Average Monthly Owner Costs for Units with Mortgage | \$893 | |

Census 2000 Specified Renter Occupied Housing Units by Contract Rent

| | Number | Percent |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total | 4,474 | 100.0% |
| Paying Cash Rent | 4,361 | 97.5% |
| < \$100 | 78 | 1.7% |
| \$100 - \$149 | 14 | 0.3% |
| \$150 - \$199 | 47 | 1.1% |
| \$200 - \$249 | 60 | 1.3% |
| \$250 - \$299 | 145 | 3.2% |
| \$300 - \$349 | 497 | 11.1% |
| \$350 - \$399 | 733 | 16.4% |
| \$400 - \$449 | 784 | 17.5% |
| \$450 - \$499 | 579 | 12.9% |
| \$500 - \$549 | 461 | 10.3% |
| \$550 - \$599 | 514 | 11.5% |
| \$600 - \$649 | 290 | 6.5% |
| \$650 - \$699 | 61 | 1.4% |
| \$700 - \$749 | 23 | 0.5% |
| \$750 - \$799 | 28 | 0.6% |
| \$800 - \$899 | 22 | 0.5% |
| \$900 - \$999 | 13 | 0.3% |
| \$1000 - \$1249 | 12 | 0.3% |
| \$1250 - \$1499 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$1500 - \$1999 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$2000+ | 0 | 0.0% |
| No Cash Rent | 113 | 2.5% |
| Median Rent | \$439 | |
| Average Rent | \$441 | |
| Average Gross Rent (with Utilities) | \$472 | |

Data Note: Specified Owner Occupied Housing Units exclude houses on 10+ acres, mobile homes, units in multiunit buildings, and houses with a business or medical office. Specified Renter Occupied Housing Units exclude houses on 10+ acres. Average Contract Rent and Average Gross Rent exclude units paying no cash rent.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Census 2000 | 2007 | 2012 | 2007-2012 Change | 2007-2012 Annual Rate |
|------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Population | 11,670 | 12,442 | 19,393 | 6,951 | 9.28% |
| Households | 4,802 | 5,324 | 9,276 | 3,952 | 11.74% |
| Median Age | 37.6 | 38.8 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 0.96% |

Census 2000 Households by Income and Age of Householder

| | < 25 | 25 - 34 | 35 - 44 | 45 - 54 | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| HH Income Base | 220 | 644 | 1,285 | 1,186 | 718 | 569 | 225 |
| <\$10,000 | 41 | 152 | 296 | 364 | 226 | 256 | 65 |
| \$10,000 - \$14,999 | 7 | 50 | 96 | 125 | 117 | 78 | 52 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 105 | 149 | 435 | 320 | 158 | 120 | 35 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 36 | 105 | 201 | 198 | 70 | 61 | 37 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 21 | 71 | 153 | 89 | 89 | 15 | 19 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 1 | 76 | 82 | 49 | 23 | 27 | 13 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 30 | 23 | 2 | 4 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| \$200,000+ | 0 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| Median HH Income | \$20,888 | \$22,570 | \$19,491 | \$17,997 | \$15,805 | \$11,446 | \$14,381 |
| Average HH Income | \$22,284 | \$31,734 | \$30,843 | \$21,794 | \$23,266 | \$21,489 | \$20,671 |

Percent Distribution

| | < 25 | 25 - 34 | 35 - 44 | 45 - 54 | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| HH Income Base | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| <\$10,000 | 18.6% | 23.6% | 23.0% | 30.7% | 31.5% | 45.0% | 28.9% |
| \$10,000 - \$14,999 | 3.2% | 7.8% | 7.5% | 10.5% | 16.3% | 13.7% | 23.1% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 47.7% | 23.1% | 33.9% | 27.0% | 22.0% | 21.1% | 15.6% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 16.4% | 16.3% | 15.6% | 16.7% | 9.7% | 10.7% | 16.4% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 9.5% | 11.0% | 11.9% | 7.5% | 12.4% | 2.6% | 8.4% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 0.5% | 11.8% | 6.4% | 4.1% | 3.2% | 4.7% | 5.8% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 4.1% | 2.3% | 0.4% | 2.5% | 3.2% | 0.4% | 1.8% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 0.0% | 1.1% | 0.3% | 0.7% | 1.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.0% | 2.5% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.6% | 0.4% | 0.0% |
| \$200,000+ | 0.0% | 0.5% | 1.0% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 1.1% | 0.0% |

Data Note: Census 2000 income is expressed in current (1999) dollars.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

2007 Households by Income and Age of Householder

| | < 25 | 25 - 34 | 35 - 44 | 45 - 54 | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| HH Income Base | 254 | 745 | 1,081 | 1,291 | 985 | 595 | 371 |
| <\$15,000 | 64 | 204 | 301 | 478 | 448 | 328 | 158 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 65 | 179 | 316 | 368 | 232 | 130 | 49 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 42 | 119 | 182 | 233 | 115 | 75 | 67 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 40 | 107 | 165 | 100 | 113 | 18 | 31 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 10 | 88 | 91 | 56 | 36 | 31 | 33 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 17 | 28 | 8 | 34 | 26 | 3 | 16 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| \$500,000+ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Median HH Income | \$24,521 | \$24,107 | \$21,516 | \$18,240 | \$16,219 | \$13,605 | \$19,652 |
| Average HH Income | \$44,270 | \$33,157 | \$29,182 | \$25,548 | \$23,230 | \$20,479 | \$31,845 |

Percent Distribution

| | < 25 | 25 - 34 | 35 - 44 | 45 - 54 | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| HH Income Base | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| <\$15,000 | 25.2% | 27.4% | 27.8% | 37.0% | 45.5% | 55.1% | 42.6% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 25.6% | 24.0% | 29.2% | 28.5% | 23.6% | 21.8% | 13.2% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 16.5% | 16.0% | 16.8% | 18.0% | 11.7% | 12.6% | 18.1% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 15.7% | 14.4% | 15.3% | 7.7% | 11.5% | 3.0% | 8.4% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 3.9% | 11.8% | 8.4% | 4.3% | 3.7% | 5.2% | 8.9% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 6.7% | 3.8% | 0.7% | 2.6% | 2.6% | 0.5% | 4.3% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 3.5% | 1.3% | 0.7% | 1.1% | 1.0% | 0.7% | 3.8% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.4% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.3% |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 0.4% | 0.1% | 0.7% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.0% |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 1.2% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.3% |
| \$500,000+ | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.3% |

Data Note: Income reported for July 1, 2007 represents annual income for the preceding year, expressed in current (2006) dollars, including an adjustment for inflation.

Source: ESRI forecasts for 2007.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

2012 Households by Income and Age of Householder

| | < 25 | 25 - 34 | 35 - 44 | 45 - 54 | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| HH Income Base | 468 | 1,179 | 1,696 | 2,181 | 1,798 | 1,226 | 725 |
| <\$15,000 | 111 | 290 | 407 | 764 | 744 | 561 | 306 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 110 | 268 | 426 | 519 | 398 | 285 | 132 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 96 | 203 | 274 | 370 | 207 | 164 | 122 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 81 | 197 | 302 | 238 | 254 | 84 | 72 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 22 | 133 | 193 | 143 | 99 | 84 | 44 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 20 | 57 | 35 | 65 | 47 | 20 | 23 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 19 | 21 | 41 | 52 | 32 | 22 | 23 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 1 |
| \$500,000+ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Median HH Income | \$25,941 | \$26,131 | \$25,389 | \$20,113 | \$17,804 | \$16,157 | \$18,240 |
| Average HH Income | \$41,584 | \$34,206 | \$34,285 | \$32,063 | \$27,952 | \$24,407 | \$28,222 |

Percent Distribution

| | < 25 | 25 - 34 | 35 - 44 | 45 - 54 | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| HH Income Base | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| <\$15,000 | 23.7% | 24.6% | 24.0% | 35.0% | 41.4% | 45.8% | 42.2% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 23.5% | 22.7% | 25.1% | 23.8% | 22.1% | 23.2% | 18.2% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 20.5% | 17.2% | 16.2% | 17.0% | 11.5% | 13.4% | 16.8% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 17.3% | 16.7% | 17.8% | 10.9% | 14.1% | 6.9% | 9.9% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 4.7% | 11.3% | 11.4% | 6.6% | 5.5% | 6.9% | 6.1% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 4.3% | 4.8% | 2.1% | 3.0% | 2.6% | 1.6% | 3.2% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 4.1% | 1.8% | 2.4% | 2.4% | 1.8% | 1.8% | 3.2% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.2% | 0.7% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 0.9% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.2% | 0.1% |
| \$500,000+ | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% |

Data Note: Income reported for July 1, 2012 represents annual income for the preceding year, expressed in current (2011) dollars, including an adjustment for inflation.

Source: ESRI forecasts for 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Census 2000 | 2007 | 2012 | 2007-2012 Change | 2007-2012 Annual Rate |
|------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Population | 11,670 | 12,442 | 19,393 | 6,951 | 9.28% |
| Households | 4,802 | 5,324 | 9,276 | 3,952 | 11.74% |
| Average Household Size | 1.87 | 1.82 | 1.79 | -0.03 | -0.33% |
| Families | 1,465 | 1,459 | 2,537 | 1,078 | 11.7% |
| Average Family Size | 3.13 | 3.18 | 3.1 | -0.08 | -0.51% |

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Households by Income | | | | | | |
| HH Income Base | 4,845 | 100.0% | 5,326 | 100.0% | 9,276 | 100.0% |
| < \$10,000 | 1,400 | 28.9% | 1,416 | 26.6% | 2,152 | 23.2% |
| \$10,000 - \$14,999 | 525 | 10.8% | 564 | 10.6% | 1,032 | 11.1% |
| \$15,000 - \$19,999 | 717 | 14.8% | 651 | 12.2% | 1,112 | 12.0% |
| \$20,000 - \$24,999 | 605 | 12.5% | 688 | 12.9% | 1,027 | 11.1% |
| \$25,000 - \$29,999 | 409 | 8.4% | 437 | 8.2% | 865 | 9.3% |
| \$30,000 - \$34,999 | 298 | 6.2% | 397 | 7.5% | 572 | 6.2% |
| \$35,000 - \$39,999 | 213 | 4.4% | 250 | 4.7% | 543 | 5.9% |
| \$40,000 - \$44,999 | 137 | 2.8% | 204 | 3.8% | 387 | 4.2% |
| \$45,000 - \$49,999 | 108 | 2.2% | 122 | 2.3% | 299 | 3.2% |
| \$50,000 - \$59,999 | 142 | 2.9% | 180 | 3.4% | 362 | 3.9% |
| \$60,000 - \$74,999 | 128 | 2.6% | 164 | 3.1% | 357 | 3.8% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 86 | 1.8% | 132 | 2.5% | 265 | 2.9% |
| \$100,000 - \$124,999 | 25 | 0.5% | 58 | 1.1% | 139 | 1.5% |
| \$125,000 - \$149,999 | 3 | 0.1% | 11 | 0.2% | 69 | 0.7% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 24 | 0.5% | 17 | 0.3% | 26 | 0.3% |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 25 | 0.5% | 15 | 0.3% | 21 | 0.2% |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | N/A | | 14 | 0.3% | 32 | 0.3% |
| \$500,000+ | N/A | | 6 | 0.1% | 16 | 0.2% |
| Median Household Income | \$18,152 | | \$20,182 | | \$21,423 | |
| Average Household Income | \$25,677 | | \$27,667 | | \$31,103 | |
| Per Capita Income | \$17,079 | | \$17,819 | | \$19,276 | |

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Families by Income | | | | | | |
| Family Income Base | 1,491 | 100.0% | 1,459 | 100.0% | 2,537 | 100.0% |
| < \$10,000 | 244 | 16.4% | 227 | 15.6% | 301 | 11.9% |
| \$10,000 - \$14,999 | 158 | 10.6% | 128 | 8.8% | 227 | 8.9% |
| \$15,000 - \$19,999 | 193 | 12.9% | 139 | 9.5% | 265 | 10.4% |
| \$20,000 - \$24,999 | 208 | 14.0% | 178 | 12.2% | 225 | 8.9% |
| \$25,000 - \$29,999 | 155 | 10.4% | 185 | 12.7% | 310 | 12.2% |
| \$30,000 - \$34,999 | 99 | 6.6% | 124 | 8.5% | 250 | 9.9% |
| \$35,000 - \$39,999 | 108 | 7.2% | 81 | 5.6% | 181 | 7.1% |
| \$40,000 - \$44,999 | 55 | 3.7% | 85 | 5.8% | 101 | 4.0% |
| \$45,000 - \$49,999 | 50 | 3.4% | 49 | 3.4% | 120 | 4.7% |
| \$50,000 - \$59,999 | 84 | 5.6% | 87 | 6.0% | 147 | 5.8% |
| \$60,000 - \$74,999 | 45 | 3.0% | 76 | 5.2% | 162 | 6.4% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 39 | 2.6% | 35 | 2.4% | 125 | 4.9% |
| \$100,000 - \$124,999 | 12 | 0.8% | 18 | 1.2% | 46 | 1.8% |
| \$125,000 - \$149,999 | 4 | 0.3% | 6 | 0.4% | 23 | 0.9% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 21 | 1.4% | 27 | 1.9% | 13 | 0.5% |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 16 | 1.1% | 3 | 0.2% | 22 | 0.9% |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | N/A | | 8 | 0.5% | 12 | 0.5% |
| \$500,000+ | N/A | | 3 | 0.2% | 7 | 0.3% |
| Median Family Income | \$23,361 | | \$26,324 | | \$28,871 | |
| Average Family Income | \$37,644 | | \$37,592 | | \$41,983 | |

Data Note: Income represents the annual income for the preceding year, expressed in current dollars, including an adjustment for inflation (for 2007 and 2012). In 2000, the Census Bureau reported income to an upper interval of \$200,000+. ESRI forecasts extend income to \$500,000+. N/A means Not Available.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Census 2000 | 2007 | 2012 | 2007-2012 Change | 2007-2012 Annual Rate |
|------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Population | 11,670 | 12,442 | 19,393 | 6,951 | 9.28% |
| Households | 4,802 | 5,324 | 9,276 | 3,952 | 11.74% |
| Average Household Size | 1.87 | 1.82 | 1.79 | -0.03 | -0.33% |
| Median Age | 37.6 | 38.8 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 0.96% |
| Median Male Age | 38.3 | 39.7 | 41.9 | 2.2 | 1.08% |
| Median Female Age | 36.0 | 36.7 | 37.9 | 1.2 | 0.65% |

Total Population by Age

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 11,671 | 100.0% | 12,438 | 100.0% | 19,393 | 100.0% |
| 0 - 4 | 573 | 4.9% | 593 | 4.8% | 912 | 4.7% |
| 5 - 9 | 427 | 3.7% | 458 | 3.7% | 723 | 3.7% |
| 10 - 14 | 337 | 2.9% | 461 | 3.7% | 684 | 3.5% |
| 15 - 19 | 540 | 4.6% | 526 | 4.2% | 970 | 5.0% |
| 20 - 24 | 1,022 | 8.8% | 929 | 7.5% | 1,287 | 6.6% |
| 25 - 29 | 1,134 | 9.7% | 1,205 | 9.7% | 1,507 | 7.8% |
| 30 - 34 | 1,132 | 9.7% | 1,163 | 9.4% | 1,704 | 8.8% |
| 35 - 39 | 1,279 | 11.0% | 1,168 | 9.4% | 1,660 | 8.6% |
| 40 - 44 | 1,272 | 10.9% | 1,282 | 10.3% | 1,726 | 8.9% |
| 45 - 49 | 1,024 | 8.8% | 1,143 | 9.2% | 1,700 | 8.8% |
| 50 - 54 | 820 | 7.0% | 896 | 7.2% | 1,553 | 8.0% |
| 55 - 59 | 658 | 5.6% | 729 | 5.9% | 1,406 | 7.3% |
| 60 - 64 | 449 | 3.8% | 647 | 5.2% | 1,064 | 5.5% |
| 65 - 69 | 360 | 3.1% | 417 | 3.4% | 936 | 4.8% |
| 70 - 74 | 291 | 2.5% | 343 | 2.8% | 634 | 3.3% |
| 75 - 79 | 183 | 1.6% | 209 | 1.7% | 424 | 2.2% |
| 80 - 84 | 100 | 0.9% | 165 | 1.3% | 272 | 1.4% |
| 85+ | 70 | 0.6% | 104 | 0.8% | 231 | 1.2% |
| 18+ | 10,109 | 86.6% | 10,695 | 86.0% | 16,623 | 85.7% |
| 21+ | 9,595 | 82.2% | 10,222 | 82.2% | 15,849 | 81.7% |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

Male Population by Age

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 7,872 | 100.0% | 8,344 | 100.0% | 12,841 | 100.0% |
| 0 - 4 | 295 | 3.7% | 306 | 3.7% | 460 | 3.6% |
| 5 - 9 | 221 | 2.8% | 251 | 3.0% | 394 | 3.1% |
| 10 - 14 | 179 | 2.3% | 225 | 2.7% | 362 | 2.8% |
| 15 - 19 | 340 | 4.3% | 331 | 4.0% | 555 | 4.3% |
| 20 - 24 | 727 | 9.2% | 634 | 7.6% | 870 | 6.8% |
| 25 - 29 | 777 | 9.9% | 868 | 10.4% | 1,012 | 7.9% |
| 30 - 34 | 807 | 10.3% | 796 | 9.5% | 1,185 | 9.2% |
| 35 - 39 | 891 | 11.3% | 812 | 9.7% | 1,105 | 8.6% |
| 40 - 44 | 873 | 11.1% | 910 | 10.9% | 1,231 | 9.6% |
| 45 - 49 | 757 | 9.6% | 817 | 9.8% | 1,241 | 9.7% |
| 50 - 54 | 581 | 7.4% | 652 | 7.8% | 1,071 | 8.3% |
| 55 - 59 | 439 | 5.6% | 503 | 6.0% | 958 | 7.5% |
| 60 - 64 | 313 | 4.0% | 401 | 4.8% | 698 | 5.4% |
| 65 - 69 | 267 | 3.4% | 316 | 3.8% | 648 | 5.0% |
| 70 - 74 | 195 | 2.5% | 229 | 2.7% | 425 | 3.3% |
| 75 - 79 | 114 | 1.4% | 147 | 1.8% | 310 | 2.4% |
| 80 - 84 | 61 | 0.8% | 91 | 1.1% | 180 | 1.4% |
| 85+ | 35 | 0.4% | 55 | 0.7% | 136 | 1.1% |
| 18+ | 7,043 | 89.5% | 7,429 | 89.0% | 11,364 | 88.5% |

Female Population by Age

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 3,799 | 100.0% | 4,094 | 100.0% | 6,552 | 100.0% |
| 0 - 4 | 278 | 7.3% | 287 | 7.0% | 452 | 6.9% |
| 5 - 9 | 206 | 5.4% | 207 | 5.1% | 329 | 5.0% |
| 10 - 14 | 158 | 4.2% | 236 | 5.8% | 322 | 4.9% |
| 15 - 19 | 200 | 5.3% | 195 | 4.8% | 415 | 6.3% |
| 20 - 24 | 295 | 7.8% | 295 | 7.2% | 417 | 6.4% |
| 25 - 29 | 357 | 9.4% | 337 | 8.2% | 495 | 7.6% |
| 30 - 34 | 325 | 8.6% | 367 | 9.0% | 519 | 7.9% |
| 35 - 39 | 388 | 10.2% | 356 | 8.7% | 555 | 8.5% |
| 40 - 44 | 399 | 10.5% | 372 | 9.1% | 495 | 7.6% |
| 45 - 49 | 267 | 7.0% | 326 | 8.0% | 459 | 7.0% |
| 50 - 54 | 239 | 6.3% | 244 | 6.0% | 482 | 7.4% |
| 55 - 59 | 219 | 5.8% | 226 | 5.5% | 448 | 6.8% |
| 60 - 64 | 136 | 3.6% | 246 | 6.0% | 366 | 5.6% |
| 65 - 69 | 93 | 2.4% | 101 | 2.5% | 288 | 4.4% |
| 70 - 74 | 96 | 2.5% | 114 | 2.8% | 209 | 3.2% |
| 75 - 79 | 69 | 1.8% | 62 | 1.5% | 114 | 1.7% |
| 80 - 84 | 39 | 1.0% | 74 | 1.8% | 92 | 1.4% |
| 85+ | 35 | 0.9% | 49 | 1.2% | 95 | 1.4% |
| 18+ | 3,066 | 80.7% | 3,266 | 79.8% | 5,259 | 80.3% |


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.

Las Vegas Downtown


Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1


Census 2000 Population by Age and Sex



2007 Population by Age and Sex



2012 Population by Age and Sex



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Census 2000 | 2007 | 2012 | 2007-2012 Change | 2007-2012 Annual Rate |
|------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Population | 11,670 | 12,442 | 19,393 | 6,951 | 9.28% |
| Households | 4,802 | 5,324 | 9,276 | 3,952 | 11.74% |
| Average Household Size | 1.87 | 1.82 | 1.79 | -0.03 | -0.33% |


Total Population by Detailed Age

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|------------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 11,670 | 100.0% | 12,438 | 100.0% | 19,390 | 100.0% |
| <1 | 126 | 1.1% | 127 | 1.0% | 204 | 1.1% |
| 1 | 120 | 1.0% | 125 | 1.0% | 194 | 1.0% |
| 2 | 109 | 0.9% | 112 | 0.9% | 179 | 0.9% |
| 3 | 109 | 0.9% | 119 | 1.0% | 175 | 0.9% |
| 4 | 109 | 0.9% | 111 | 0.9% | 159 | 0.8% |
| 5 | 93 | 0.8% | 97 | 0.8% | 162 | 0.8% |
| 6 | 101 | 0.9% | 98 | 0.8% | 155 | 0.8% |
| 7 | 70 | 0.6% | 84 | 0.7% | 131 | 0.7% |
| 8 | 75 | 0.6% | 88 | 0.7% | 131 | 0.7% |
| 9 | 86 | 0.7% | 92 | 0.7% | 144 | 0.7% |
| 10 | 76 | 0.7% | 106 | 0.9% | 166 | 0.9% |
| 11 | 67 | 0.6% | 92 | 0.7% | 145 | 0.7% |
| 12 | 68 | 0.6% | 92 | 0.7% | 136 | 0.7% |
| 13 | 55 | 0.5% | 80 | 0.6% | 104 | 0.5% |
| 14 | 72 | 0.6% | 89 | 0.7% | 131 | 0.7% |
| 15 | 54 | 0.5% | 66 | 0.5% | 117 | 0.6% |
| 16 | 84 | 0.7% | 83 | 0.7% | 174 | 0.9% |
| 17 | 87 | 0.7% | 83 | 0.7% | 160 | 0.8% |
| 18 | 119 | 1.0% | 106 | 0.9% | 196 | 1.0% |
| 19 | 197 | 1.7% | 189 | 1.5% | 323 | 1.7% |
| 20 - 24 | 1,022 | 8.8% | 928 | 7.5% | 1,287 | 6.6% |
| 25 - 29 | 1,134 | 9.7% | 1,205 | 9.7% | 1,507 | 7.8% |
| 30 - 34 | 1,132 | 9.7% | 1,163 | 9.4% | 1,704 | 8.8% |
| 35 - 39 | 1,279 | 11.0% | 1,168 | 9.4% | 1,660 | 8.6% |
| 40 - 44 | 1,272 | 10.9% | 1,282 | 10.3% | 1,726 | 8.9% |
| 45 - 49 | 1,024 | 8.8% | 1,143 | 9.2% | 1,700 | 8.8% |
| 50 - 54 | 820 | 7.0% | 896 | 7.2% | 1,553 | 8.0% |
| 55 - 59 | 658 | 5.6% | 729 | 5.9% | 1,406 | 7.3% |
| 60 - 64 | 449 | 3.8% | 647 | 5.2% | 1,064 | 5.5% |
| 65 - 69 | 360 | 3.1% | 417 | 3.4% | 936 | 4.8% |
| 70 - 74 | 291 | 2.5% | 343 | 2.8% | 634 | 3.3% |
| 75 - 79 | 183 | 1.6% | 209 | 1.7% | 424 | 2.2% |
| 80 - 84 | 100 | 0.9% | 165 | 1.3% | 272 | 1.4% |
| 85+ | 70 | 0.6% | 104 | 0.8% | 231 | 1.2% |
| <18 | 1,561 | 13.4% | 1,744 | 14.0% | 2,767 | 14.3% |
| 18+ | 10,109 | 86.6% | 10,695 | 86.0% | 16,623 | 85.7% |
| 21+ | 9,595 | 82.2% | 10,222 | 82.2% | 15,849 | 81.7% |
| Median Age | 37.6 | | 38.8 | | 40.7 | |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.

Total Population by Detailed Age



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|------------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 7,872 | 100.0% | 8,345 | 100.0% | 12,839 | 100.0% |
| <1 | 68 | 0.9% | 64 | 0.8% | 109 | 0.8% |
| 1 | 62 | 0.8% | 66 | 0.8% | 96 | 0.7% |
| 2 | 51 | 0.6% | 58 | 0.7% | 88 | 0.7% |
| 3 | 56 | 0.7% | 61 | 0.7% | 89 | 0.7% |
| 4 | 58 | 0.7% | 58 | 0.7% | 78 | 0.6% |
| 5 | 49 | 0.6% | 55 | 0.7% | 88 | 0.7% |
| 6 | 54 | 0.7% | 55 | 0.7% | 86 | 0.7% |
| 7 | 36 | 0.5% | 45 | 0.5% | 69 | 0.5% |
| 8 | 41 | 0.5% | 47 | 0.6% | 72 | 0.6% |
| 9 | 40 | 0.5% | 49 | 0.6% | 78 | 0.6% |
| 10 | 35 | 0.4% | 45 | 0.5% | 75 | 0.6% |
| 11 | 39 | 0.5% | 48 | 0.6% | 83 | 0.6% |
| 12 | 35 | 0.4% | 43 | 0.5% | 68 | 0.5% |
| 13 | 27 | 0.3% | 38 | 0.5% | 55 | 0.4% |
| 14 | 43 | 0.5% | 51 | 0.6% | 80 | 0.6% |
| 15 | 27 | 0.3% | 33 | 0.4% | 49 | 0.4% |
| 16 | 47 | 0.6% | 45 | 0.5% | 96 | 0.7% |
| 17 | 61 | 0.8% | 56 | 0.7% | 116 | 0.9% |
| 18 | 75 | 1.0% | 70 | 0.8% | 111 | 0.9% |
| 19 | 131 | 1.7% | 128 | 1.5% | 183 | 1.4% |
| 20 - 24 | 727 | 9.2% | 633 | 7.6% | 870 | 6.8% |
| 25 - 29 | 777 | 9.9% | 868 | 10.4% | 1,012 | 7.9% |
| 30 - 34 | 807 | 10.3% | 796 | 9.5% | 1,185 | 9.2% |
| 35 - 39 | 891 | 11.3% | 812 | 9.7% | 1,105 | 8.6% |
| 40 - 44 | 873 | 11.1% | 910 | 10.9% | 1,231 | 9.6% |
| 45 - 49 | 757 | 9.6% | 817 | 9.8% | 1,241 | 9.7% |
| 50 - 54 | 581 | 7.4% | 652 | 7.8% | 1,071 | 8.3% |
| 55 - 59 | 439 | 5.6% | 503 | 6.0% | 958 | 7.5% |
| 60 - 64 | 313 | 4.0% | 401 | 4.8% | 698 | 5.4% |
| 65 - 69 | 267 | 3.4% | 316 | 3.8% | 648 | 5.0% |
| 70 - 74 | 195 | 2.5% | 229 | 2.7% | 425 | 3.3% |
| 75 - 79 | 114 | 1.4% | 147 | 1.8% | 310 | 2.4% |
| 80 - 84 | 61 | 0.8% | 91 | 1.1% | 180 | 1.4% |
| 85+ | 35 | 0.4% | 55 | 0.7% | 136 | 1.1% |
| <18 | 829 | 10.5% | 917 | 11.0% | 1,475 | 11.5% |
| 18+ | 7,043 | 89.5% | 7,429 | 89.0% | 11,364 | 88.5% |
| 21+ | 6,699 | 85.1% | 7,108 | 85.2% | 10,906 | 84.9% |
| Median Age | 38.3 | | 39.7 | | 41.9 | |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.

Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

Male Population by Detailed Age



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|------------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total | 3,799 | 100.0% | 4,093 | 100.0% | 6,551 | 100.0% |
| <1 | 58 | 1.5% | 63 | 1.5% | 95 | 1.5% |
| 1 | 58 | 1.5% | 59 | 1.4% | 98 | 1.5% |
| 2 | 58 | 1.5% | 54 | 1.3% | 91 | 1.4% |
| 3 | 53 | 1.4% | 58 | 1.4% | 86 | 1.3% |
| 4 | 51 | 1.3% | 53 | 1.3% | 81 | 1.2% |
| 5 | 44 | 1.2% | 42 | 1.0% | 74 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 47 | 1.2% | 43 | 1.1% | 69 | 1.1% |
| 7 | 34 | 0.9% | 39 | 1.0% | 62 | 0.9% |
| 8 | 34 | 0.9% | 41 | 1.0% | 59 | 0.9% |
| 9 | 46 | 1.2% | 43 | 1.1% | 66 | 1.0% |
| 10 | 41 | 1.1% | 61 | 1.5% | 91 | 1.4% |
| 11 | 28 | 0.7% | 44 | 1.1% | 62 | 0.9% |
| 12 | 33 | 0.9% | 49 | 1.2% | 68 | 1.0% |
| 13 | 28 | 0.7% | 42 | 1.0% | 49 | 0.7% |
| 14 | 29 | 0.8% | 38 | 0.9% | 51 | 0.8% |
| 15 | 27 | 0.7% | 33 | 0.8% | 68 | 1.0% |
| 16 | 37 | 1.0% | 38 | 0.9% | 78 | 1.2% |
| 17 | 26 | 0.7% | 27 | 0.7% | 44 | 0.7% |
| 18 | 44 | 1.2% | 36 | 0.9% | 85 | 1.3% |
| 19 | 66 | 1.7% | 61 | 1.5% | 140 | 2.1% |
| 20 - 24 | 295 | 7.8% | 295 | 7.2% | 417 | 6.4% |
| 25 - 29 | 357 | 9.4% | 337 | 8.2% | 495 | 7.6% |
| 30 - 34 | 325 | 8.6% | 367 | 9.0% | 519 | 7.9% |
| 35 - 39 | 388 | 10.2% | 356 | 8.7% | 555 | 8.5% |
| 40 - 44 | 399 | 10.5% | 372 | 9.1% | 495 | 7.6% |
| 45 - 49 | 267 | 7.0% | 326 | 8.0% | 459 | 7.0% |
| 50 - 54 | 239 | 6.3% | 244 | 6.0% | 482 | 7.4% |
| 55 - 59 | 219 | 5.8% | 226 | 5.5% | 448 | 6.8% |
| 60 - 64 | 136 | 3.6% | 246 | 6.0% | 366 | 5.6% |
| 65 - 69 | 93 | 2.4% | 101 | 2.5% | 288 | 4.4% |
| 70 - 74 | 96 | 2.5% | 114 | 2.8% | 209 | 3.2% |
| 75 - 79 | 69 | 1.8% | 62 | 1.5% | 114 | 1.7% |
| 80 - 84 | 39 | 1.0% | 74 | 1.8% | 92 | 1.4% |
| 85+ | 35 | 0.9% | 49 | 1.2% | 95 | 1.5% |
| <18 | 732 | 19.3% | 827 | 20.2% | 1,292 | 19.7% |
| 18+ | 3,067 | 80.7% | 3,266 | 79.8% | 5,259 | 80.3% |
| 21+ | 2,896 | 76.2% | 3,114 | 76.1% | 4,943 | 75.5% |
| Median Age | 36.0 | | 36.7 | | 37.9 | |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.


Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.

Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

Female Population by Detailed Age



Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Census 2000 | 2007 | 2012 | 2007-2012 Change | 2007-2012 Annual Rate |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Total Population | 11,670 | 12,442 | 19,393 | 6,951 | 9.28% |
| Population 55+ | 2,111 | 2,614 | 4,967 | 2,353 | 13.7% |
| Median Age | 37.6 | 38.8 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 0.96% |
| Households | 4,802 | 5,324 | 9,276 | 3,952 | 11.74% |
| % Householders 55+ | 32.4 | 36.7 | 40.4 | 3.7 | 1.94% |
| Owner/Renter Ratio | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -100% |

Population by Age and Sex

Male Population

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------|-------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| | Number | % of 55+ | Number | % of 55+ | Number | % of 55+ |
| Total | 1,424 | 100.0% | 1,742 | 100.0% | 3,355 | 100.0% |
| 55 - 59 | 439 | 30.8% | 503 | 28.9% | 958 | 28.6% |
| 60 - 64 | 313 | 22.0% | 401 | 23.0% | 698 | 20.8% |
| 65 - 69 | 267 | 18.8% | 316 | 18.1% | 648 | 19.3% |
| 70 - 74 | 195 | 13.7% | 229 | 13.1% | 425 | 12.7% |
| 75 - 79 | 114 | 8.0% | 147 | 8.4% | 310 | 9.2% |
| 80 - 84 | 61 | 4.3% | 91 | 5.2% | 180 | 5.4% |
| 85+ | 35 | 2.5% | 55 | 3.2% | 136 | 4.1% |

Female Population

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------|-------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| | Number | % of 55+ | Number | % of 55+ | Number | % of 55+ |
| Total | 687 | 100.0% | 872 | 100.0% | 1,612 | 100.0% |
| 55 - 59 | 219 | 31.9% | 226 | 25.9% | 448 | 27.8% |
| 60 - 64 | 136 | 19.8% | 246 | 28.2% | 366 | 22.7% |
| 65 - 69 | 93 | 13.5% | 101 | 11.6% | 288 | 17.9% |
| 70 - 74 | 96 | 14.0% | 114 | 13.1% | 209 | 13.0% |
| 75 - 79 | 69 | 10.0% | 62 | 7.1% | 114 | 7.1% |
| 80 - 84 | 39 | 5.7% | 74 | 8.5% | 92 | 5.7% |
| 85+ | 35 | 5.1% | 49 | 5.6% | 95 | 5.9% |

Total Population

| | Census 2000 | | 2007 | | 2012 | |
|---------|-------------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| | Number | % of Total Pop | Number | % of Total Pop | Number | % of Total Pop |
| Total | 2,111 | 18.1% | 2,614 | 21.0% | 4,967 | 25.6% |
| 55 - 59 | 658 | 5.6% | 729 | 5.9% | 1,406 | 7.3% |
| 60 - 64 | 449 | 3.8% | 647 | 5.2% | 1,064 | 5.5% |
| 65 - 69 | 360 | 3.1% | 417 | 3.4% | 936 | 4.8% |
| 70 - 74 | 291 | 2.5% | 343 | 2.8% | 634 | 3.3% |
| 75 - 79 | 183 | 1.6% | 209 | 1.7% | 424 | 2.2% |
| 80 - 84 | 100 | 0.9% | 165 | 1.3% | 272 | 1.4% |
| 85+ | 70 | 0.6% | 104 | 0.8% | 231 | 1.2% |
| 65+ | 1,004 | 8.6% | 1,238 | 10.0% | 2,497 | 12.9% |
| 75+ | 353 | 3.0% | 478 | 3.8% | 927 | 4.8% |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

2000 Households by Income and Age of Householder 55+

| | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ | Total |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total | 718 | 569 | 225 | 1,512 |
| < \$15,000 | 343 | 334 | 117 | 794 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 158 | 120 | 35 | 313 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 70 | 61 | 37 | 168 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 89 | 15 | 19 | 123 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 23 | 27 | 13 | 63 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 29 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| \$200,000+ | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| Median Household Income | \$15,805 | \$11,446 | \$14,381 | \$13,976 |
| Average Household Income | \$23,266 | \$21,489 | \$20,671 | \$22,211 |

2007 Households by Income and Age of Householder 55+

| | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ | Total |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total | 985 | 595 | 371 | 1,951 |
| < \$15,000 | 448 | 328 | 158 | 934 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 232 | 130 | 49 | 411 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 115 | 75 | 67 | 257 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 113 | 18 | 31 | 162 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 36 | 31 | 33 | 100 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 26 | 3 | 16 | 45 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 28 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| \$200,000+ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| Median Household Income | \$16,219 | \$13,605 | \$19,652 | \$15,629 |
| Average Household Income | \$23,230 | \$20,479 | \$31,845 | \$24,029 |

2012 Households by Income and Age of Householder 55+

| | 55 - 64 | 65 - 74 | 75+ | Total |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total | 1,798 | 1,226 | 725 | 3,749 |
| < \$15,000 | 744 | 561 | 306 | 1,611 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 398 | 285 | 132 | 815 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 207 | 164 | 122 | 493 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 254 | 84 | 72 | 410 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 99 | 84 | 44 | 227 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 47 | 20 | 23 | 90 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 32 | 22 | 23 | 77 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| \$200,000+ | 11 | 5 | 2 | 18 |
| Median Household Income | \$17,804 | \$16,157 | \$18,240 | \$17,254 |
| Average Household Income | \$27,952 | \$24,407 | \$28,222 | \$26,845 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

2007 Households by Income and Age of Householder 55+

| | 55 - 59 | 60 - 64 | 65 - 69 | 70 - 74 | 75 - 79 | 80 - 84 | 85+ |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total | 524 | 461 | 340 | 255 | 181 | 112 | 78 |
| < \$15,000 | 242 | 206 | 188 | 140 | 73 | 52 | 33 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 123 | 109 | 72 | 58 | 23 | 16 | 10 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 61 | 54 | 43 | 32 | 33 | 18 | 16 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 61 | 52 | 10 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 7 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 18 | 9 | 6 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 12 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \$500,000+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Median HH Income | \$16,017 | \$16,454 | \$13,564 | \$13,661 | \$21,870 | \$16,805 | \$20,064 |
| Average HH Income | \$22,970 | \$23,524 | \$20,986 | \$19,804 | \$36,888 | \$26,479 | \$27,846 |

Percent Distribution

| | 55 - 59 | 60 - 64 | 65 - 69 | 70 - 74 | 75 - 79 | 80 - 84 | 85+ |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| < \$15,000 | 46.2% | 44.7% | 55.3% | 54.9% | 40.3% | 46.4% | 42.3% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 23.5% | 23.6% | 21.2% | 22.7% | 12.7% | 14.3% | 12.8% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 11.6% | 11.7% | 12.6% | 12.5% | 18.2% | 16.1% | 20.5% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 11.6% | 11.3% | 2.9% | 3.1% | 8.8% | 7.1% | 9.0% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 3.2% | 4.1% | 5.3% | 5.1% | 9.9% | 8.0% | 7.7% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 2.3% | 3.0% | 0.6% | 0.4% | 4.4% | 4.5% | 3.8% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 1.0% | 1.1% | 0.9% | 0.4% | 3.9% | 3.6% | 3.8% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| \$500,000+ | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Data Note: Income reported for July 1, 2007 represents annual income for the preceding year, expressed in current (2006) dollars, including an adjustment for inflation. In 2000, the Census Bureau reported age by income data for incomes up to \$200,000+ by ten-year age groups up to 75+ years. ESRI extended age by income data to income up to \$500,000+ by five-year age groups up to 85+ years.

Source: ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

2012 Households by Income and Age of Householder 55+

| | 55 - 59 | 60 - 64 | 65 - 69 | 70 - 74 | 75 - 79 | 80 - 84 | 85+ |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total | 1,009 | 789 | 776 | 450 | 363 | 187 | 175 |
| < \$15,000 | 410 | 334 | 357 | 204 | 147 | 86 | 73 |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 220 | 178 | 177 | 108 | 66 | 34 | 32 |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 123 | 84 | 105 | 59 | 65 | 28 | 29 |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 140 | 114 | 54 | 30 | 35 | 18 | 19 |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 56 | 43 | 52 | 32 | 25 | 9 | 10 |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 27 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 6 |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 23 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \$500,000+ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Median HH Income | \$18,168 | \$17,373 | \$16,112 | \$16,231 | \$19,141 | \$16,512 | \$18,469 |
| Average HH Income | \$28,948 | \$26,679 | \$24,666 | \$23,961 | \$30,546 | \$24,926 | \$26,921 |

Percent Distribution

| | 55 - 59 | 60 - 64 | 65 - 69 | 70 - 74 | 75 - 79 | 80 - 84 | 85+ |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| < \$15,000 | 40.6% | 42.3% | 46.0% | 45.3% | 40.5% | 46.0% | 41.7% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 21.8% | 22.6% | 22.8% | 24.0% | 18.2% | 18.2% | 18.3% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 12.2% | 10.6% | 13.5% | 13.1% | 17.9% | 15.0% | 16.6% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 13.9% | 14.4% | 7.0% | 6.7% | 9.6% | 9.6% | 10.9% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 5.6% | 5.4% | 6.7% | 7.1% | 6.9% | 4.8% | 5.7% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 2.7% | 2.5% | 1.5% | 1.8% | 2.8% | 3.7% | 3.4% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 2.3% | 1.1% | 1.8% | 1.8% | 3.3% | 2.7% | 3.4% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| \$200,000 - \$249,999 | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| \$250,000 - \$499,999 | 0.4% | 0.4% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| \$500,000+ | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Data Note: Income reported for July 1, 2012 represents annual income for the preceding year, expressed in current (2011) dollars, including an adjustment for inflation.

Source: ESRI forecasts for 2007 and 2012.

Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

Census 2000 Population 55+ by Race

| | Number | Percent | % Pop |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|-------|
| Total | 2,108 | 100.0% | 18.1% |
| White Alone | 1,577 | 74.8% | 22.9% |
| Black Alone | 159 | 7.5% | 8.9% |
| American Indian Alone | 18 | 0.9% | 12.5% |
| Asian Alone | 153 | 7.3% | 24.9% |
| Pacific Islander Alone | 10 | 0.5% | 19.6% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 110 | 5.2% | 6.8% |
| Two or More Races | 81 | 3.8% | 13.8% |
| Hispanic Origin (Any Race) | 356 | 16.9% | 9.4% |

Census 2000 Group Quarters Population 65+ by Type

| | Number | Percent | % Pop |
|---------------------------|--------|---------|-------|
| Total | 43 | 100.0% | 1.6% |
| Institutionalized | 13 | 30.2% | 0.5% |
| Correctional Institutions | 13 | 30.2% | 0.5% |
| Nursing Homes | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Other Institutions | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Noninstitutionalized | 30 | 69.8% | 11.8% |

Census 2000 Total Disabilities Tallied for Population 65+

| | Number | Percent |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total | 977 | 100.0% |
| Sensory Disability | 196 | 20.1% |
| Physical Disability | 340 | 34.8% |
| Mental Disability | 124 | 12.7% |
| Self-care Disability | 97 | 9.9% |
| Go-Outside-Home Disability | 220 | 22.5% |

Census 2000 Population 65+ by Household Type and Relationship to Householder

| | Number | Percent | % Pop |
|-------------------------|--------|---------|-------|
| Total | 1,003 | 100.0% | 8.6% |
| In Family Households | 279 | 27.8% | 5.7% |
| Householder | 144 | 14.4% | 9.7% |
| Spouse | 72 | 7.2% | 7.9% |
| Parent | 29 | 2.9% | 30.2% |
| Other Relatives | 21 | 2.1% | 1.0% |
| Nonrelatives | 13 | 1.3% | 4.0% |
| In Nonfamily Households | 681 | 67.9% | 16.7% |
| Male Householder | 456 | 45.5% | 17.6% |
| Living Alone | 416 | 41.5% | 19.1% |
| Not Living Alone | 40 | 4.0% | 9.9% |
| Female Householder | 168 | 16.7% | 23.1% |
| Living Alone | 147 | 14.7% | 25.9% |
| Not Living Alone | 21 | 2.1% | 13.3% |
| Nonrelatives | 57 | 5.7% | 7.5% |
| In Group Quarters | 43 | 4.3% | 1.6% |
| Institutionalized | 13 | 1.3% | 0.5% |
| Noninstitutionalized | 30 | 3.0% | 11.8% |

Data Note: The base for "% Pop" is specific to the row.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

Census 2000 Households with Population 60+ by Size and Type

| | Number | Percent | % Total HHs |
|----------------------|--------|---------|-------------|
| Total | 1,199 | 100.0% | 25.0% |
| 1 Person Households | 798 | 66.6% | 16.6% |
| 2+ Person Households | 401 | 33.4% | 8.4% |
| Family | 294 | 24.5% | 6.1% |
| Nonfamily | 107 | 8.9% | 2.2% |

Census 2000 Households with Population 65+ by Size and Type

| | Number | Percent | % Total HHs |
|----------------------|--------|---------|-------------|
| Total | 842 | 100.0% | 17.5% |
| 1 Person Households | 566 | 67.2% | 11.8% |
| 2+ Person Households | 276 | 32.8% | 5.7% |
| Family | 196 | 23.3% | 4.1% |
| Nonfamily | 80 | 9.5% | 1.7% |

Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units by Age of Householder 55+

| | Number | Percent | % Total HHs |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|
| Total | 1,558 | 100.0% | 32.4% |
| Owner Occupied HUs / Householder 55+ | 128 | 8.2% | 2.7% |
| Householder Age 55-64 | 47 | 3.0% | 1.0% |
| Householder Age 65-74 | 41 | 2.6% | 0.9% |
| Householder Age 75-84 | 29 | 1.9% | 0.6% |
| Householder Age 85+ | 11 | 0.7% | 0.2% |
| Renter Occupied HUs / Householder 55+ | 1,430 | 91.8% | 29.8% |
| Householder Age 55-64 | 746 | 47.9% | 15.5% |
| Householder Age 65-74 | 458 | 29.4% | 9.5% |
| Householder Age 75-84 | 185 | 11.9% | 3.9% |
| Householder Age 85+ | 41 | 2.6% | 0.9% |

Census 2000 Households by Poverty Status and Age of Householder

| | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total | 4,845 | 100.0% |
| Below Poverty | 1,475 | 30.4% |
| Households with Income Below Poverty Level / Householder <65 | 1,283 | 26.5% |
| Households with Income Below Poverty Level / Householder 65+ | 192 | 4.0% |
| Above Poverty | 3,370 | 69.6% |
| Households with Income At or Above Poverty Level / Householder <65 | 2,767 | 57.1% |
| Households with Income At or Above Poverty Level / Householder 65+ | 603 | 12.4% |

Census 2000 Average Value of Specified Owner Occupied Housing Units by Householder 55+

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Average Value of Specified Owner Occupied HUs / Householder 55-64 | \$121,206 |
| Average Value of Specified Owner Occupied HUs / Householder 65-74 | \$85,806 |
| Average Value of Specified Owner Occupied HUs / Householder 75+ | \$71,791 |

Data Note: Specified Owner-occupied Housing Units exclude houses on 10+ acres, mobile homes, units in multiunit buildings, and houses with a business or medical office.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | 1990 | | Census 2000 | | 1990-2000 |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Annual Rate |
| Total Population | 11,737 | - | 11,670 | - | -0.06% |
| Total Households | 5,477 | 100.0% | 4,802 | 100.0% | -1.31% |
| Average Household Size | 1.85 | - | 1.87 | - | 0.11% |
| Total Families | 1,827 | 33.4% | 1,465 | 30.5% | -2.18% |
| Average Family Size | 2.98 | - | 3.13 | - | 0.49% |
| Per Capita Income | \$8,569 | - | \$17,079 | - | 7.14% |
| Total Housing Units | 6,151 | - | 5,599 | - | -0.94% |
| Population by Sex | | | | | |
| Male | 7,570 | 64.5% | 7,872 | 67.5% | 0.39% |
| Female | 4,164 | 35.5% | 3,798 | 32.5% | -0.92% |
| Population by Age | | | | | |
| Total | 11,738 | 100.0% | 11,671 | 100.0% | -0.06% |
| Age 0 - 4 | 660 | 5.6% | 573 | 4.9% | -1.40% |
| Age 5 - 9 | 455 | 3.9% | 426 | 3.7% | -0.66% |
| Age 10 - 14 | 337 | 2.9% | 337 | 2.9% | 0.00% |
| Age 15 - 19 | 479 | 4.1% | 540 | 4.6% | 1.21% |
| Age 20 - 24 | 1,152 | 9.8% | 1,021 | 8.7% | -1.20% |
| Age 25 - 29 | 1,441 | 12.3% | 1,134 | 9.7% | -2.37% |
| Age 30 - 34 | 1,310 | 11.2% | 1,133 | 9.7% | -1.44% |
| Age 35 - 39 | 1,069 | 9.1% | 1,279 | 11.0% | 1.81% |
| Age 40 - 44 | 873 | 7.4% | 1,272 | 10.9% | 3.84% |
| Age 45 - 49 | 829 | 7.1% | 1,024 | 8.8% | 2.13% |
| Age 50 - 54 | 649 | 5.5% | 820 | 7.0% | 2.37% |
| Age 55 - 59 | 609 | 5.2% | 659 | 5.6% | 0.79% |
| Age 60 - 64 | 581 | 4.9% | 450 | 3.9% | -2.52% |
| Age 65 - 69 | 493 | 4.2% | 359 | 3.1% | -3.12% |
| Age 70 - 74 | 369 | 3.1% | 291 | 2.5% | -2.35% |
| Age 75 - 79 | 225 | 1.9% | 183 | 1.6% | -2.04% |
| Age 80 - 84 | 114 | 1.0% | 100 | 0.9% | -1.30% |
| Age 85+ | 93 | 0.8% | 70 | 0.6% | -2.80% |
| Median Age | 35.2 | | 37.6 | | 0.66% |
| Age 18+ | 10,075 | 85.8% | 10,109 | 86.6% | 0.03% |
| Age 65+ | 1,294 | 11.0% | 1,003 | 8.6% | -2.52% |
| Households by Household Income | | | | | |
| Household Income Base | 5,448 | 100.0% | 4,845 | 100.0% | -1.17% |
| <\$15,000 | 2,763 | 50.7% | 1,925 | 39.7% | -3.55% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 1,570 | 28.8% | 1,322 | 27.3% | -1.70% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 684 | 12.6% | 707 | 14.6% | 0.33% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 348 | 6.4% | 458 | 9.5% | 2.78% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 56 | 1.0% | 270 | 5.6% | 17.04% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 15 | 0.3% | 86 | 1.8% | 19.08% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 11 | 0.2% | 28 | 0.6% | 9.79% |
| \$150,000+ | 1 | 0.0% | 49 | 1.0% | 47.58% |
| Median Household Income | \$14,713 | | \$18,152 | | 2.12% |
| Average Household Income | \$16,765 | | \$25,677 | | 4.35% |

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Census 2000 medians are computed from reported data distributions. The "1990-2000 Annual Rate" is an annual compound rate.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI converted 1990 Census data into 2000 geography.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | 1990 | | Census 2000 | | 1990-2000 Annual Rate |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Families by Family Income | | | | | |
| Family Income Base | 1,836 | 100.0% | 1,491 | 100.0% | -2.06% |
| <\$15,000 | 692 | 37.7% | 402 | 27.0% | -5.29% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 538 | 29.3% | 401 | 26.9% | -2.90% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 342 | 18.6% | 254 | 17.0% | -2.93% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 240 | 13.1% | 213 | 14.3% | -1.19% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 17 | 0.9% | 129 | 8.7% | 22.47% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 2 | 0.1% | 39 | 2.6% | 34.59% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 4 | 0.2% | 16 | 1.1% | 14.87% |
| \$150,000+ | 1 | 0.1% | 37 | 2.5% | 43.49% |
| Median Family Income | \$17,912 | | \$23,361 | | 2.69% |
| Average Family Income | \$20,899 | | \$37,644 | | 6.06% |
| Households by Poverty Status and Household Type | | | | | |
| Total | 5,449 | 100.0% | 4,846 | 100.0% | -1.17% |
| Below Poverty Level | 1,262 | 23.2% | 1,475 | 30.4% | 1.57% |
| Married-couple Family | 175 | 3.2% | 235 | 4.8% | 2.99% |
| Other Family - Male Householder, No Wife | 33 | 0.6% | 68 | 1.4% | 7.50% |
| Other Family - Female Householder, No Husband | 160 | 2.9% | 83 | 1.7% | -6.35% |
| Nonfamily Households | 894 | 16.4% | 1,089 | 22.5% | 1.99% |
| At or Above Poverty Level | 4,187 | 76.8% | 3,371 | 69.6% | -2.14% |
| Married-couple Family | 1,151 | 21.1% | 722 | 14.9% | -4.56% |
| Other Family - Male Householder, No Wife | 204 | 3.7% | 245 | 5.1% | 1.85% |
| Other Family - Female Householder, No Husband | 134 | 2.5% | 138 | 2.8% | 0.29% |
| Nonfamily Households | 2,698 | 49.5% | 2,266 | 46.8% | -1.73% |
| Households by Type | | | | | |
| Total | 5,478 | 100.0% | 4,802 | 100.0% | -1.31% |
| Family Households | 1,827 | 33.4% | 1,465 | 30.5% | -2.18% |
| Married-couple Families | 1,154 | 21.1% | 899 | 18.7% | -2.47% |
| With Related Children | 498 | 9.1% | 398 | 8.3% | -2.22% |
| Other Family (No Spouse Present) | 673 | 12.3% | 566 | 11.8% | -1.72% |
| With Related Children | 346 | 6.3% | 350 | 7.3% | 0.12% |
| Nonfamily Households | 3,651 | 66.6% | 3,337 | 69.5% | -0.90% |
| Householder Living Alone | 2,985 | 54.5% | 2,776 | 57.8% | -0.72% |
| Householder not Living Alone | 666 | 12.2% | 561 | 11.7% | -1.70% |
| Households with Related Children | 844 | 15.4% | 748 | 15.6% | -1.20% |
| Households by Vehicles Available | | | | | |
| Total | 5,508 | 100.0% | 4,798 | 100.0% | -1.37% |
| None | 2,560 | 46.5% | 2,628 | 54.8% | 0.26% |
| 1 | 2,344 | 42.6% | 1,908 | 39.8% | -2.04% |
| 2 | 500 | 9.1% | 199 | 4.1% | -8.80% |
| 3 | 82 | 1.5% | 19 | 0.4% | -13.60% |
| 4 | 18 | 0.3% | 10 | 0.2% | -5.71% |
| 5+ | 4 | 0.1% | 34 | 0.7% | 23.86% |
| Average Number of Vehicles Available | 0.7 | | 0.5 | | -3.31% |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI converted 1990 Census data into 2000 geography.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | 1990 | | Census 2000 | | 1990-2000 Annual Rate |
|----------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Housing Units by Occupancy | | | | | |
| Total | 6,125 | 100.0% | 5,572 | 100.0% | -0.94% |
| Occupied Housing Units | 5,477 | 89.4% | 4,802 | 86.2% | -1.31% |
| Owner Occupied Housing Units | 339 | 5.5% | 285 | 5.1% | -1.72% |
| Renter Occupied Housing Units | 5,138 | 83.9% | 4,517 | 81.1% | -1.28% |
| Vacant Housing Units | 648 | 10.6% | 770 | 13.8% | 1.74% |
| For Rent | 439 | 7.2% | 626 | 11.2% | 3.61% |
| For Sale Only | 16 | 0.3% | 13 | 0.2% | -2.05% |
| Rented or Sold, not Occupied | 36 | 0.6% | 14 | 0.3% | -9.01% |
| For Seasonal/Recreational/Occasional Use | 28 | 0.5% | 30 | 0.5% | 0.69% |
| For Migrant Workers | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.00% |
| Other Vacant | 129 | 2.1% | 87 | 1.6% | -3.86% |
| Housing Units by Units in Structure | | | | | |
| Total | 6,152 | 100.0% | 5,546 | 100.0% | -1.03% |
| 1, Detached | 566 | 9.2% | 473 | 8.5% | -1.78% |
| 1, Attached | 117 | 1.9% | 105 | 1.9% | -1.08% |
| 2 | 222 | 3.6% | 212 | 3.8% | -0.46% |
| 3 or 4 | 513 | 8.3% | 481 | 8.7% | -0.64% |
| 5 to 9 | 971 | 15.8% | 626 | 11.3% | -4.29% |
| 10 to 19 | 1,184 | 19.2% | 934 | 16.8% | -2.34% |
| 20+ | 2,477 | 40.3% | 2,661 | 48.0% | 0.72% |
| Mobile Home | 19 | 0.3% | 53 | 1.0% | 10.80% |
| Other | 83 | 1.3% | 1 | 0.0% | -35.72% |
| Specified Owner Occupied HUs by Value | | | | | |
| Total | 248 | 100.0% | 208 | 100.0% | -1.74% |
| <\$50,000 | 27 | 10.9% | 9 | 4.3% | -10.40% |
| \$50,000 - \$99,999 | 184 | 74.2% | 151 | 72.6% | -1.96% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 24 | 9.7% | 34 | 16.3% | 3.54% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 8 | 3.2% | 7 | 3.4% | -1.33% |
| \$200,000 - \$299,999 | 5 | 2.0% | 1 | 0.5% | -14.87% |
| \$300,000 - \$499,999 | 0 | 0.0% | 6 | 2.9% | 0.00% |
| \$500,000+ | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.00% |
| Median Home Value | \$67,931 | | \$83,878 | | 2.13% |
| Average Home Value | \$76,701 | | \$95,164 | | 2.18% |
| Specified Renter Occupied HUs by Rent | | | | | |
| Total | 5,129 | 100.0% | 4,474 | 100.0% | -1.36% |
| With Cash Rent | 5,047 | 98.4% | 4,361 | 97.5% | -1.45% |
| <\$200 | 479 | 9.3% | 139 | 3.1% | -11.64% |
| \$200 - \$499 | 4,064 | 79.2% | 2,798 | 62.5% | -3.66% |
| \$500 - \$749 | 497 | 9.7% | 1,349 | 30.2% | 10.50% |
| \$750 - \$999 | 6 | 0.1% | 63 | 1.4% | 26.51% |
| \$1,000+ | 1 | 0.0% | 12 | 0.3% | 28.21% |
| No Cash Rent | 82 | 1.6% | 113 | 2.5% | 3.26% |
| Median Rent | \$327 | | \$439 | | 2.99% |
| Average Rent | \$334 | | \$441 | | 2.82% |

Data Note: Specified owner occupied HUs include only single family units on less than 10 acres, with no business or medical office on site. Specified renter occupied HUs exclude single family units on 10+ acres. Average Rent excludes units paying no cash rent. Rent, Home Value, and Units in Structure data are complete counts in 1990 and sample counts in 2000, so changes in enumeration can affect comparability.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI converted 1990 Census data into 2000 geography.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | 1990 | | Census 2000 | | 1990-2000 Annual Rate |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Population 16+ by Employment Status | | | | | |
| Total | 10,199 | 100.0% | 10,362 | 100.0% | 0.16% |
| In Labor Force | 6,006 | 58.9% | 4,733 | 45.7% | -2.35% |
| Civilian Employed | 5,132 | 50.3% | 4,005 | 38.7% | -2.45% |
| Civilian Unemployed | 874 | 8.6% | 724 | 7.0% | -1.87% |
| In Armed Forces | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 0.0% | 0.00% |
| Not in Labor Force | 4,193 | 41.1% | 5,629 | 54.3% | 2.99% |
| Workers 16+ by Place of Work | | | | | |
| Total | 4,971 | 100.0% | 3,918 | 100.0% | -2.35% |
| Worked in State of Residence | 4,951 | 99.6% | 3,895 | 99.4% | -2.37% |
| Worked in County of Residence | 4,951 | 99.6% | 3,884 | 99.1% | -2.40% |
| Worked outside County of Residence | 0 | 0.0% | 11 | 0.3% | 0.00% |
| Worked outside State of Residence | 20 | 0.4% | 23 | 0.6% | 1.41% |
| Workers 16+ by Transportation to Work | | | | | |
| Total | 4,971 | 100.0% | 3,918 | 100.0% | -2.35% |
| Drove Alone - Car, Truck, or Van | 1,784 | 35.9% | 1,277 | 32.6% | -3.29% |
| Carpooled - Car, Truck, or Van | 1,053 | 21.2% | 678 | 17.3% | -4.31% |
| Public Transportation | 577 | 11.6% | 1,079 | 27.5% | 6.46% |
| Walked | 1,163 | 23.4% | 594 | 15.2% | -6.50% |
| Other Means | 202 | 4.1% | 152 | 3.9% | -2.80% |
| Worked at Home | 192 | 3.9% | 138 | 3.5% | -3.25% |
| Workers 16+ by Travel Time to Work | | | | | |
| Total | 4,971 | 100.0% | 3,917 | 100.0% | -2.35% |
| Did not Work at Home | 4,779 | 96.1% | 3,779 | 96.5% | -2.32% |
| Less than 5 minutes | 126 | 2.5% | 142 | 3.6% | 1.20% |
| 5 to 9 minutes | 674 | 13.6% | 317 | 8.1% | -7.27% |
| 10 to 19 minutes | 2,011 | 40.5% | 1,078 | 27.5% | -6.04% |
| 20 to 24 minutes | 888 | 17.9% | 491 | 12.5% | -5.75% |
| 25 to 34 minutes | 721 | 14.5% | 895 | 22.8% | 2.19% |
| 35 to 44 minutes | 103 | 2.1% | 158 | 4.0% | 4.37% |
| 45 to 59 minutes | 87 | 1.8% | 276 | 7.0% | 12.24% |
| 60 to 89 minutes | 112 | 2.3% | 247 | 6.3% | 8.23% |
| 90 or more minutes | 57 | 1.1% | 175 | 4.5% | 11.87% |
| Worked at Home | 192 | 3.9% | 138 | 3.5% | -3.25% |
| Average Travel Time to Work (in minutes) | 18.6 | | 28.2 | | 4.25% |
| Population 15+ by Sex and Marital Status | | | | | |
| Total | 10,285 | 100.0% | 10,397 | 100.0% | 0.11% |
| Females | 3,452 | 33.6% | 3,172 | 30.5% | -0.84% |
| Never Married | 795 | 7.7% | 695 | 6.7% | -1.34% |
| Married, not Separated | 1,336 | 13.0% | 1,467 | 14.1% | 0.94% |
| Married, Separated | 237 | 2.3% | 175 | 1.7% | -2.99% |
| Widowed | 384 | 3.7% | 253 | 2.4% | -4.09% |
| Divorced | 700 | 6.8% | 582 | 5.6% | -1.83% |
| Males | 6,833 | 66.4% | 7,225 | 69.5% | 0.56% |
| Never Married | 2,703 | 26.3% | 2,971 | 28.6% | 0.95% |
| Married, not Separated | 1,773 | 17.2% | 2,081 | 20.0% | 1.61% |
| Married, Separated | 449 | 4.4% | 443 | 4.3% | -0.13% |
| Widowed | 276 | 2.7% | 268 | 2.6% | -0.29% |
| Divorced | 1,632 | 15.9% | 1,462 | 14.1% | -1.09% |

Data Note: Marital status data are complete counts in 1990 and sample counts in Census 2000, so changes in enumeration can affect comparability.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI converted 1990 Census data into 2000 geography.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | 1990 | | Census 2000 | | 1990-2000 Annual Rate |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | |
| Population by Race | | | | | |
| Total | 11,737 | 100.0% | 11,669 | 100.0% | -0.06% |
| White Alone | 7,376 | 62.8% | 6,878 | 58.9% | -0.70% |
| Black or African American Alone | 1,468 | 12.5% | 1,785 | 15.3% | 1.97% |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native Alone | 145 | 1.2% | 144 | 1.2% | -0.07% |
| Asian Alone | 586 | 5.0% | 614 | 5.3% | 0.47% |
| Pacific Islander Alone | 22 | 0.2% | 51 | 0.4% | 8.77% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 1,922 | 16.4% | 1,610 | 13.8% | -1.76% |
| Two or More Races | 218 | 1.9% | 587 | 5.0% | 10.41% |
| Diversity Index | 75.7 | | 79.0 | | 0.43% |
| Hispanic Population by Race | | | | | |
| Total | 3,421 | 100.0% | 3,782 | 100.0% | 1.01% |
| White Alone | 1,321 | 38.6% | 1,783 | 47.1% | 3.04% |
| Black or African American Alone | 61 | 1.8% | 45 | 1.2% | -3.00% |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native Alone | 34 | 1.0% | 45 | 1.2% | 2.84% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander Alone | 66 | 1.9% | 21 | 0.6% | -10.82% |
| Some Other Race Alone | 1,889 | 55.2% | 1,595 | 42.2% | -1.68% |
| Two or More Races | 50 | 1.5% | 293 | 7.7% | 19.34% |
| Population 3+ by School Enrollment | | | | | |
| Total | 11,344 | 100.0% | 11,381 | 100.0% | 0.03% |
| Enrolled in Public Preschool/Kindergarten | 11 | 0.1% | 163 | 1.4% | 30.94% |
| Enrolled in Private Preschool/Kindergarten | 18 | 0.2% | 21 | 0.2% | 1.55% |
| Enrolled in Public Elementary/High School | 958 | 8.4% | 979 | 8.6% | 0.22% |
| Enrolled in Private Elementary/High School | 30 | 0.3% | 68 | 0.6% | 8.53% |
| Enrolled in Public College | 217 | 1.9% | 264 | 2.3% | 1.98% |
| Enrolled in Private College | 57 | 0.5% | 77 | 0.7% | 3.05% |
| Not Enrolled in School | 10,053 | 88.6% | 9,809 | 86.2% | -0.25% |
| Population 25+ by Educational Attainment | | | | | |
| Total | 8,924 | 100.0% | 8,987 | 100.0% | 0.07% |
| Less than 9th Grade | 1,396 | 15.6% | 1,472 | 16.4% | 0.53% |
| 9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma | 2,379 | 26.7% | 2,242 | 24.9% | -0.59% |
| High School Graduate | 2,472 | 27.7% | 2,773 | 30.9% | 1.16% |
| Some College, No Degree | 1,849 | 20.7% | 1,485 | 16.5% | -2.17% |
| Associate Degree | 279 | 3.1% | 362 | 4.0% | 2.64% |
| Bachelor's Degree | 395 | 4.4% | 455 | 5.1% | 1.42% |
| Master's/Professional/Doctorate Degree | 154 | 1.7% | 198 | 2.2% | 2.54% |

Data Note: The 1990 Census reported population by single races only. ESRI estimates the multiracial population from 1990 Census data for the total population. In the 1990 Census, "Asian" and "Pacific Islander" were not reported separately for the Hispanic Origin population. To compare the data, "Asian" and "Pacific Islander" are combined in 2000. The Diversity Index summarizes racial and ethnic diversity. The index shows the likelihood that two persons, chosen at random from the same area, belong to different race or ethnic groups.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census of Population and Housing. ESRI converted 1990 Census data into 2000 geography.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total Population | 11,670 | 100.0% |
| Population Reporting One Race | 11,082 | 95.0% |
| White | 6,878 | 58.9% |
| Black or African American | 1,785 | 15.3% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 144 | 1.2% |
| Asian | 614 | 5.3% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 51 | 0.4% |
| Some Other Race | 1,610 | 13.8% |
| Population Reporting Two or More Races | 587 | 5.0% |
| Population Reporting Two Races | | |
| White and Black | 26 | 0.2% |
| White and American Indian | 52 | 0.4% |
| White and Asian | 39 | 0.3% |
| White and Pacific Islander | 9 | 0.1% |
| White and Other Race | 252 | 2.2% |
| Black and American Indian | 8 | 0.1% |
| Black and Asian | 7 | 0.1% |
| Black and Pacific Islander | 3 | 0.0% |
| Black and Other Race | 21 | 0.2% |
| American Indian and Asian | 8 | 0.1% |
| American Indian and Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.0% |
| American Indian and Other Race | 21 | 0.2% |
| Asian and Pacific Islander | 8 | 0.1% |
| Asian and Other Race | 58 | 0.5% |
| Pacific Islander and Other Race | 7 | 0.1% |
| Population Reporting Race in Any Combination | | |
| Sum | 1,245 | 10.7% |
| White in Any Combination | 441 | 3.8% |
| Black in Any Combination | 81 | 0.7% |
| American Indian in Any Combination | 147 | 1.3% |
| Asian in Any Combination | 130 | 1.1% |
| Pacific Islander in Any Combination | 37 | 0.3% |
| Some Other Race in Any Combination | 409 | 3.5% |
| Population Reporting Race Alone or in Any Combination | | |
| Sum | 12,327 | 105.6% |
| White | 7,319 | 62.7% |
| Black or African American | 1,866 | 16.0% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 291 | 2.5% |
| Asian | 744 | 6.4% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 88 | 0.8% |
| Some Other Race | 2,019 | 17.3% |

Data Note: Population Reporting Two or More Races includes unique counts of the population who reported at least two races. Population Reporting Race in Any Combination counts people who reported multiple races in each race group. For example, a person reporting "White" and "Black" is added to each group here. The Population Reporting Race Alone or in Any Combination is the sum of the Population Reporting One Race and the Population Reporting Race in Any Combination. The sum is greater than the Total Population. Percents are calculated using Total Population as the base. Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171).



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total Hispanic Population | 3,783 | 32.4% |
| Hispanic Population Reporting One Race | 3,489 | 92.3% |
| White | 1,783 | 47.1% |
| Black or African American | 45 | 1.2% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 45 | 1.2% |
| Asian | 16 | 0.4% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 5 | 0.1% |
| Some Other Race | 1,595 | 42.2% |
| Hispanic Population Reporting Two or More Races | 293 | 7.7% |
| Hispanic Population Reporting Two Races | | |
| White and Black | 2 | 0.1% |
| White and American Indian | 5 | 0.1% |
| White and Asian | 3 | 0.1% |
| White and Pacific Islander | 3 | 0.1% |
| White and Other Race | 188 | 5.0% |
| Black and American Indian | 0 | 0.0% |
| Black and Asian | 1 | 0.0% |
| Black and Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% |
| Black and Other Race | 12 | 0.3% |
| American Indian and Asian | 2 | 0.1% |
| American Indian and Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% |
| American Indian and Other Race | 19 | 0.5% |
| Asian and Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% |
| Asian and Other Race | 3 | 0.1% |
| Pacific Islander and Other Race | 5 | 0.1% |
| Hispanic Population Reporting Race in Any Combination | | |
| Sum: Hispanic Race | 638 | 16.9% |
| White in Any Combination | 248 | 6.6% |
| Black in Any Combination | 22 | 0.6% |
| American Indian in Any Combination | 72 | 1.9% |
| Asian in Any Combination | 12 | 0.3% |
| Pacific Islander in Any Combination | 11 | 0.3% |
| Some Other Race in Any Combination | 273 | 7.2% |
| Hispanic Population Reporting Race Alone or in Any Combination | | |
| Sum: Hispanic Race | 4,127 | 109.1% |
| White | 2,031 | 53.7% |
| Black or African American | 67 | 1.8% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 117 | 3.1% |
| Asian | 28 | 0.7% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 16 | 0.4% |
| Some Other Race | 1,868 | 49.4% |

Data Note: Hispanic population can be of any race. Hispanic Population Reporting Two or More Races includes unique counts of the Hispanic population who reported at least two races. Hispanic Population Reporting Race in Any Combination counts Hispanic multiple race reporters in every race group reported. Hispanic Population Reporting Race Alone or in Any Combination is the sum of the Hispanic Population Reporting One Race and Hispanic Population Reporting Race in Any Combination. The sum is greater than the Hispanic population. Percents are calculated using Total Hispanic Population as the base, except for the Total Hispanic Population percent, which uses Total Population as the base.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171).



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Total Population 18 Years and Over | 10,109 | 86.6% |
| Population 18+ of One Race | 9,648 | 95.4% |
| White | 6,175 | 61.1% |
| Black or African American | 1,616 | 16.0% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 120 | 1.2% |
| Asian | 534 | 5.3% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 45 | 0.4% |
| Some Other Race | 1,158 | 11.5% |
| Population 18+ of Two or More Races | 461 | 4.6% |
| | | |
| Total Hispanic Population 18 Years and Over | 2,803 | 74.1% |
| Hispanic Population 18+ of One Race | 2,574 | 91.9% |
| White | 1,343 | 47.9% |
| Black or African American | 36 | 1.3% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 34 | 1.2% |
| Asian | 10 | 0.4% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 5 | 0.2% |
| Some Other Race | 1,146 | 40.9% |
| Hispanic Population 18+ of Two or More Races | 228 | 8.1% |

Data Note: Hispanic population can be of any race. Population 18+ of Two or More Races includes unique counts of the population 18+ who reported at least two races. Hispanic Population 18+ of Two or More Races includes unique counts of the Hispanic Population 18+ who reported at least two races. For the Population 18+ percent, the base is Total Population and for the Hispanic Population 18+ percent, the base is Hispanic Population. Percents for Population 18+ of One Race and Population 18+ of Two or More Races are calculated using Population 18+ as the base. Percents for Hispanic Population 18+ of One Race and Hispanic Population 18+ of Two or More Races are calculated using Hispanic Population 18+ as the base.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171).



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| Summary | 1990 | Census 2000 | 1990-2000 Annual Rate |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Total Population | 11,737 | 11,670 | -0.06% |
| Total Households | 5,477 | 4,802 | -1.31% |
| Total Families | 1,827 | 1,465 | -2.18% |
| Total Housing Units | 6,151 | 5,599 | -0.94% |
| Average Household Size | 1.85 | 1.87 | 0.11% |
| Average Family Size | 2.98 | 3.13 | 0.49% |
| Median Household Income | \$14,713 | \$18,152 | 2.12% |
| Average Household Income | \$16,765 | \$25,677 | 4.35% |
| Per Capita Income | \$8,569 | \$17,079 | 7.14% |

| | Number | Percent |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Population by Race | | |
| Total | 11,669 | 100.0% |
| Population Reporting One Race | 11,082 | 95.0% |
| White | 6,878 | 58.9% |
| Black or African American | 1,785 | 15.3% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 144 | 1.2% |
| Asian | 614 | 5.3% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 51 | 0.4% |
| Some Other Race | 1,610 | 13.8% |
| Population Reporting Two or More Races | 587 | 5.0% |
| Total Hispanic Population | 3,783 | 32.4% |
| Population by Sex | | |
| Male | 7,872 | 67.5% |
| Female | 3,798 | 32.5% |
| Population by Age | | |
| Total | 11,671 | 100.0% |
| Age 0 - 4 | 573 | 4.9% |
| Age 5 - 9 | 427 | 3.7% |
| Age 10 - 14 | 337 | 2.9% |
| Age 15 - 19 | 540 | 4.6% |
| Age 20 - 24 | 1,022 | 8.8% |
| Age 25 - 29 | 1,134 | 9.7% |
| Age 30 - 34 | 1,132 | 9.7% |
| Age 35 - 39 | 1,279 | 11.0% |
| Age 40 - 44 | 1,272 | 10.9% |
| Age 45 - 49 | 1,024 | 8.8% |
| Age 50 - 54 | 820 | 7.0% |
| Age 55 - 59 | 658 | 5.6% |
| Age 60 - 64 | 449 | 3.8% |
| Age 65 - 69 | 360 | 3.1% |
| Age 70 - 74 | 291 | 2.5% |
| Age 75 - 79 | 183 | 1.6% |
| Age 80 - 84 | 100 | 0.9% |
| Age 85+ | 70 | 0.6% |
| Median Age | 37.6 | |
| Age 18+ | 10,109 | 86.6% |
| Age 65+ | 1,004 | 8.6% |

Data Note: Population Reporting Two of More Races includes unique counts of the population who reported at least two races. Hispanic population can be of any race. Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Census 2000 medians are computed from reported data distributions. The "1990-2000 Annual Rate" is an annual compound rate.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1 and 3. ESRI converted 1990 Census data into 2000 geography.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Population by Relationship and HH Type | | |
| Total | 11,671 | 100.0% |
| In Households | 8,983 | 77.0% |
| In Family Households | 4,916 | 42.1% |
| Householder | 1,482 | 12.7% |
| Spouse | 910 | 7.8% |
| Child | 1,585 | 13.6% |
| Other Relatives | 614 | 5.3% |
| Nonrelatives | 325 | 2.8% |
| In Nonfamily Households | 4,067 | 34.8% |
| In Group Quarters | 2,688 | 23.0% |
| Institutionalized Population | 2,433 | 20.8% |
| Noninstitutionalized Population | 255 | 2.2% |
| Population by Place of Birth and Citizenship Status | | |
| Total | 11,696 | 100.0% |
| Native | 8,342 | 71.3% |
| Born in United States | 8,263 | 70.6% |
| Born outside United States | 79 | 0.7% |
| Foreign Born | 3,354 | 28.7% |
| Naturalized Citizen | 798 | 6.8% |
| Not a Citizen | 2,556 | 21.9% |
| Population 15+ by Sex and Marital Status | | |
| Total | 10,397 | 100.0% |
| Females | 3,172 | 30.5% |
| Never Married | 695 | 6.7% |
| Married, not Separated | 1,467 | 14.1% |
| Married, Separated | 175 | 1.7% |
| Widowed | 253 | 2.4% |
| Divorced | 582 | 5.6% |
| Males | 7,225 | 69.5% |
| Never Married | 2,971 | 28.6% |
| Married, not Separated | 2,081 | 20.0% |
| Married, Separated | 443 | 4.3% |
| Widowed | 268 | 2.6% |
| Divorced | 1,462 | 14.1% |
| Population 3+ by School Enrollment | | |
| Total | 11,381 | 100.0% |
| Enrolled in Nursery/Preschool: Public School | 62 | 0.5% |
| Enrolled in Nursery/Preschool: Private School | 20 | 0.2% |
| Enrolled in Kindergarten: Public School | 101 | 0.9% |
| Enrolled in Kindergarten: Private School | 1 | 0.0% |
| Enrolled in Grade 1-8: Public School | 569 | 5.0% |
| Enrolled in Grade 1-8: Private School | 22 | 0.2% |
| Enrolled in Grade 9-12: Public School | 410 | 3.6% |
| Enrolled in Grade 9-12: Private School | 46 | 0.4% |
| Enrolled in College: Public School | 255 | 2.2% |
| Enrolled in College: Private School | 47 | 0.4% |
| Enrolled in Grad/Professional School: Public | 9 | 0.1% |
| Enrolled in Grad/Professional School: Private | 30 | 0.3% |
| Not Enrolled in School | 9,809 | 86.2% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1 and 3.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Population 25+ by Educational Attainment | | |
| Total | 8,987 | 100.0% |
| Less than 9th Grade | 1,472 | 16.4% |
| 9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma | 2,242 | 24.9% |
| High School Graduate | 2,773 | 30.9% |
| Some College, No Degree | 1,485 | 16.5% |
| Associate Degree | 362 | 4.0% |
| Bachelor's Degree | 455 | 5.1% |
| Master's/Professional/Doctorate Degree | 198 | 2.2% |
| Population 16+ by Sex and Employment Status | | |
| Total | 10,362 | 100.0% |
| Females in Labor Force | 1,498 | 14.5% |
| Civilian Employed | 1,236 | 11.9% |
| Civilian Unemployed | 262 | 2.5% |
| In Armed Forces | 0 | 0.0% |
| Females not in Labor Force | 1,654 | 16.0% |
| Males in Labor Force | 3,235 | 31.2% |
| Civilian Employed | 2,769 | 26.7% |
| Civilian Unemployed | 462 | 4.5% |
| In Armed Forces | 4 | 0.0% |
| Males not in Labor Force | 3,975 | 38.4% |
| Population 16+ by Sex and Work Status in 1999 | | |
| Total | 10,361 | 100.0% |
| Females | 3,152 | 30.4% |
| Worked Full-time | 754 | 7.3% |
| Worked Part-time | 1,030 | 9.9% |
| Did Not Work | 1,368 | 13.2% |
| Males | 7,209 | 69.6% |
| Worked Full-time | 2,107 | 20.3% |
| Worked Part-time | 2,800 | 27.0% |
| Did Not Work | 2,302 | 22.2% |
| Females 16+ by Employment Status and Age of Children | | |
| Total | 3,151 | 100.0% |
| Own Children <6 Only | 223 | 7.1% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 64 | 2.0% |
| Unemployed | 20 | 0.6% |
| Not in Labor Force | 139 | 4.4% |
| Own Children 6-17 Only | 252 | 8.0% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 93 | 3.0% |
| Unemployed | 25 | 0.8% |
| Not in Labor Force | 134 | 4.3% |
| Own Children <6 and 6-17 | 154 | 4.9% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 69 | 2.2% |
| Unemployed | 2 | 0.1% |
| Not in Labor Force | 83 | 2.6% |
| No Own Children <18 | 2,522 | 80.0% |
| Employed/in Armed Forces | 1,010 | 32.1% |
| Unemployed | 214 | 6.8% |
| Not in Labor Force | 1,298 | 41.2% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 3.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Civilian Employed Population 16+ by Occupation | | |
| Total | 4,007 | 100.0% |
| Management/Professional | 348 | 8.7% |
| Service | 1,688 | 42.1% |
| Sales/Office and Admin Support | 835 | 20.8% |
| Farming/Fishing/Forestry | 10 | 0.2% |
| Construction/Extraction/Maintenance | 608 | 15.2% |
| Production/Transportation/Material Moving | 518 | 12.9% |
| Civilian Employed Population 16+ by Industry | | |
| Total | 4,004 | 100.0% |
| Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing/Hunting/Mining | 12 | 0.3% |
| Construction | 444 | 11.1% |
| Manufacturing | 154 | 3.8% |
| Wholesale Trade | 32 | 0.8% |
| Retail Trade | 486 | 12.1% |
| Transportation/Warehousing/Utilities | 180 | 4.5% |
| Information | 48 | 1.2% |
| Finance/Insurance/Real Estate/Rental/Leasing | 237 | 5.9% |
| Professional/Scientific/Mgmt/Admin/Waste Mgmt Services | 434 | 10.8% |
| Educational/Health/Social Services | 99 | 2.5% |
| Arts/Entertainment/Recreation/Accommodation/Food Services | 1,711 | 42.7% |
| Other Services | 141 | 3.5% |
| Public Administration | 26 | 0.6% |
| Workers 16+ by Place of Work | | |
| Total | 3,918 | 100.0% |
| Worked in State of Residence | 3,895 | 99.4% |
| Worked in County of Residence | 3,884 | 99.1% |
| Worked outside County of Residence | 11 | 0.3% |
| Worked outside State of Residence | 23 | 0.6% |
| Workers 16+ by Means of Transportation to Work | | |
| Total | 3,918 | 100.0% |
| Drove Alone - Car, Truck, or Van | 1,277 | 32.6% |
| Carpooled - Car, Truck, or Van | 678 | 17.3% |
| Public Transportation | 1,079 | 27.5% |
| Walked | 594 | 15.2% |
| Other Means | 152 | 3.9% |
| Worked at Home | 138 | 3.5% |
| Workers 16+ by Travel Time to Work | | |
| Total | 3,917 | 100.0% |
| Did not Work at Home | 3,779 | 96.5% |
| Less than 5 minutes | 142 | 3.6% |
| 5 to 9 minutes | 317 | 8.1% |
| 10 to 19 minutes | 1,078 | 27.5% |
| 20 to 24 minutes | 491 | 12.5% |
| 25 to 34 minutes | 895 | 22.8% |
| 35 to 44 minutes | 158 | 4.0% |
| 45 to 59 minutes | 276 | 7.0% |
| 60 to 89 minutes | 247 | 6.3% |
| 90 or more minutes | 175 | 4.5% |
| Worked at Home | 138 | 3.5% |
| Average Travel Time to Work (in minutes) | 28.2 | |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 3.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Households by Type | | |
| Total | 4,802 | 100.0% |
| Family Households | 1,465 | 30.5% |
| Married-couple Families | 899 | 18.7% |
| With Related Children | 398 | 8.3% |
| Other Family (No Spouse Present) | 566 | 11.8% |
| With Related Children | 350 | 7.3% |
| Nonfamily Households | 3,337 | 69.5% |
| Householder Living Alone | 2,776 | 57.8% |
| Householder Not Living Alone | 561 | 11.7% |
| Households with Related Children | 748 | 15.6% |
| Households by Age of Householder | | |
| Total | 4,802 | 100.0% |
| Householder Age 15 - 24 | 276 | 5.7% |
| Householder Age 25 - 34 | 692 | 14.4% |
| Householder Age 35 - 44 | 1,124 | 23.4% |
| Householder Age 45 - 54 | 1,152 | 24.0% |
| Householder Age 55 - 64 | 793 | 16.5% |
| Householder Age 65 - 74 | 499 | 10.4% |
| Householder Age 75 - 84 | 214 | 4.5% |
| Householder Age 85+ | 52 | 1.1% |
| Households by Size | | |
| Total | 4,802 | 100.0% |
| 1 Person Household | 2,776 | 57.8% |
| 2 Person Household | 1,094 | 22.8% |
| 3 Person Household | 378 | 7.9% |
| 4 Person Household | 230 | 4.8% |
| 5 Person Household | 173 | 3.6% |
| 6 Person Household | 83 | 1.7% |
| 7+ Person Household | 68 | 1.4% |
| Households by Poverty Status in 1999 and HH Type | | |
| Total | 4,846 | 100.0% |
| Below Poverty Level | 1,475 | 30.4% |
| Married-couple Family | 235 | 4.8% |
| Other Family - Male Householder, No Wife Present | 68 | 1.4% |
| Other Family - Female Householder, No Husband Present | 83 | 1.7% |
| Nonfamily Households | 1,089 | 22.5% |
| At or Above Poverty Level | 3,371 | 69.6% |
| Married-couple Family | 722 | 14.9% |
| Other Family - Male Householder, No Wife Present | 245 | 5.1% |
| Other Family - Female Householder, No Husband Present | 138 | 2.8% |
| Nonfamily Households | 2,266 | 46.8% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1 and 3.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Households by Household Income in 1999 | | |
| Household Income Base | 4,845 | 100.0% |
| < \$15,000 | 1,925 | 39.7% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 1,322 | 27.3% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 707 | 14.6% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 458 | 9.5% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 270 | 5.6% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 86 | 1.8% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 28 | 0.6% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 24 | 0.5% |
| \$200,000 + | 25 | 0.5% |
| Median Household Income | \$18,152 | - |
| Average Household Income | \$25,677 | - |
| Families by Family Income in 1999 | | |
| Family Income Base | 1,491 | 100.0% |
| < \$15,000 | 402 | 27.0% |
| \$15,000 - \$24,999 | 401 | 26.9% |
| \$25,000 - \$34,999 | 254 | 17.0% |
| \$35,000 - \$49,999 | 213 | 14.3% |
| \$50,000 - \$74,999 | 129 | 8.7% |
| \$75,000 - \$99,999 | 39 | 2.6% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 16 | 1.1% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 21 | 1.4% |
| \$200,000+ | 16 | 1.1% |
| Median Family Income | \$23,361 | - |
| Average Family Income | \$37,644 | - |
| Owner Occupied HUs by Value | | |
| Total | 322 | 100.0% |
| < \$50,000 | 44 | 13.7% |
| \$50,000 - \$99,999 | 164 | 50.9% |
| \$100,000 - \$149,999 | 56 | 17.4% |
| \$150,000 - \$199,999 | 20 | 6.2% |
| \$200,000 - \$299,999 | 13 | 4.0% |
| \$300,000 - \$499,999 | 17 | 5.3% |
| \$500,000 - \$999,999 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$1,000,000+ | 8 | 2.5% |
| Median Home Value | \$86,667 | - |
| Average Home Value | \$133,639 | - |
| Specified Renter Occupied HUs by Contract Rent | | |
| Total | 4,474 | 100.0% |
| With Cash Rent | 4,361 | 97.5% |
| < \$200 | 139 | 3.1% |
| \$200 - \$499 | 2,798 | 62.5% |
| \$500 - \$749 | 1,349 | 30.2% |
| \$750 - \$999 | 63 | 1.4% |
| \$1,000 - \$1,499 | 12 | 0.3% |
| \$1,500 - \$1,999 | 0 | 0.0% |
| \$2000+ | 0 | 0.0% |
| No Cash Rent | 113 | 2.5% |
| Median Rent | \$439 | - |
| Average Rent | \$441 | - |

Data Note: Specified Renter Occupied HUs exclude houses on 10+ acres. Average Rent excludes units paying no cash rent. Census 2000 medians are computed from reported data distributions.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 3.



Las Vegas Downtown

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Shape: 1

| | Number | Percent |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Housing Units by Occupancy | | |
| Total | 5,572 | 100.0% |
| Occupied Housing Units | 4,802 | 86.2% |
| Owner Occupied Housing Units | 285 | 5.1% |
| Average Household Size | 2.86 | - |
| Renter Occupied Housing Units | 4,517 | 81.1% |
| Average Household Size | 1.81 | - |
| Vacant Housing Units | 770 | 13.8% |
| For Rent | 626 | 11.2% |
| For Sale Only | 13 | 0.2% |
| Rented or Sold, not Occupied | 14 | 0.3% |
| For Seasonal/Recreational/Occasional Use | 30 | 0.5% |
| For Migrant Workers | 0 | 0.0% |
| Other Vacant | 87 | 1.6% |
| Housing Units by Units in Structure | | |
| Total | 5,546 | 100.0% |
| 1 Detached | 473 | 8.5% |
| 1 Attached | 105 | 1.9% |
| 2 | 212 | 3.8% |
| 3 or 4 | 481 | 8.7% |
| 5 to 9 | 626 | 11.3% |
| 10 to 19 | 934 | 16.8% |
| 20+ | 2,661 | 48.0% |
| Mobile Home | 53 | 1.0% |
| Other | 1 | 0.0% |
| Housing Units by Year Structure Built | | |
| Total | 5,574 | 100.0% |
| 1999 to March 2000 | 27 | 0.5% |
| 1995 to 1998 | 138 | 2.5% |
| 1990 to 1994 | 86 | 1.5% |
| 1980 to 1989 | 774 | 13.9% |
| 1970 to 1979 | 879 | 15.8% |
| 1969 or Earlier | 3,670 | 65.8% |
| Median Year Structure Built | 1963 | - |
| Households by Year Householder Moved In | | |
| Total | 4,799 | 100.0% |
| Moved in 1999 to March 2000 | 2,227 | 46.4% |
| Moved in 1995 to 1998 | 1,509 | 31.4% |
| Moved in 1990 to 1994 | 570 | 11.9% |
| Moved in 1980 to 1989 | 303 | 6.3% |
| Moved in 1970 to 1979 | 84 | 1.8% |
| Moved in 1969 or Earlier | 106 | 2.2% |
| Median Year Householder Moved In | 1999 | - |
| Households by Vehicles Available | | |
| Total | 4,798 | 100.0% |
| None | 2,628 | 54.8% |
| 1 | 1,908 | 39.8% |
| 2 | 199 | 4.1% |
| 3 | 19 | 0.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 0.2% |
| 5+ | 34 | 0.7% |
| Average Number of Vehicles Available | 0.5 | - |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1 and 3.



Business Summary by SIC Codes

| | | Las Vegas Downtown Shape: 1 | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Study Area: Custom Shapes | | | | | |
| Total Businesses: | | 1,882 | | | |
| Total Employees: | | 40,467 | | | |
| Total Residential Population: | | 12,442 | | | |
| Daytime/Nighttime Population Ratio: | | 3.25 | | | |
| | | Businesses | | Employees | |
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Agriculture & Mining | | 7 | 0.4% | 121 | 0.3% |
| Construction | | 62 | 3.3% | 2,060 | 5.1% |
| Manufacturing | | 57 | 3.0% | 1,352 | 3.3% |
| Transportation | | 34 | 1.8% | 2,675 | 6.6% |
| Communication | | 10 | 0.5% | 34 | 0.1% |
| Electric, Gas, Water, Sanitary Services | | 3 | 0.2% | 1 | 0.0% |
| Wholesale Trade | | 71 | 3.8% | 688 | 1.7% |
| Retail Trade Summary | | 493 | 26.3% | 5,455 | 13.5% |
| Home Improvement | | 13 | 0.7% | 61 | 0.2% |
| General Merchandise Stores | | 10 | 0.5% | 52 | 0.1% |
| Food Stores | | 33 | 1.8% | 189 | 0.5% |
| Auto Dealers, Gas Stations, Auto Aftermarket | | 25 | 1.3% | 96 | 0.2% |
| Apparel & Accessory Stores | | 78 | 4.2% | 642 | 1.6% |
| Furniture & Home Furnishings | | 97 | 5.2% | 379 | 0.9% |
| Eating & Drinking Places | | 106 | 5.6% | 3,537 | 8.7% |
| Miscellaneous Retail | | 131 | 7.0% | 499 | 1.2% |
| Finance, Insurance, Real Estate Summary | | 190 | 10.1% | 3,185 | 7.9% |
| Banks, Savings & Lending Institutions | | 31 | 1.7% | 2,692 | 6.7% |
| Securities Brokers | | 10 | 0.5% | 47 | 0.1% |
| Insurance Carriers & Agents | | 68 | 3.6% | 176 | 0.4% |
| Real Estate, Holding, Other Investment Offices | | 81 | 4.3% | 270 | 0.7% |
| Services Summary | | 700 | 37.3% | 16,694 | 41.3% |
| Hotels & Lodging | | 55 | 2.9% | 11,039 | 27.3% |
| Automotive Services | | 67 | 3.6% | 211 | 0.5% |
| Motion Pictures & Amusements | | 26 | 1.4% | 1,284 | 3.2% |
| Health Services | | 19 | 1.0% | 161 | 0.4% |
| Legal Services | | 229 | 12.2% | 1,525 | 3.8% |
| Education Institutions & Libraries | | 7 | 0.4% | 71 | 0.2% |
| Other Services | | 297 | 15.8% | 2,403 | 5.9% |
| Government | | 170 | 9.1% | 8,176 | 20.2% |
| Other | | 81 | 4.3% | 27 | 0.1% |
| Totals | | 1,878 | 100.0% | 40,468 | 100.0% |

Source: Business data provided by InfoUSA, Omaha NE Copyright 2007, all rights reserved. ESRI forecasts for 2007.

Study Area: Custom Shapes

Las Vegas Downtown
Shape: 1

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Total Businesses: | 1,882 |
| Total Employees: | 40,467 |
| Total Residential Population: | 12,442 |
| Daytime/Nighttime Population Ratio: | 3.25 |

| | Businesses | | Employees | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Hunting | 2 | 0.1% | 4 | 0.0% |
| Mining | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Utilities | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Construction | 74 | 3.9% | 2,184 | 5.4% |
| Manufacturing | 51 | 2.7% | 603 | 1.5% |
| Wholesale Trade | 69 | 3.7% | 687 | 1.7% |
| Retail Trade | 375 | 19.9% | 1,851 | 4.6% |
| Motor Vehicle & Parts Dealers | 24 | 1.3% | 92 | 0.2% |
| Furniture & Home Furnishings Stores | 80 | 4.2% | 278 | 0.7% |
| Electronics & Appliance Stores | 15 | 0.8% | 61 | 0.2% |
| Bldg Material & Garden Equipment & Supplies Dealers | 11 | 0.6% | 60 | 0.1% |
| Food & Beverage Stores | 30 | 1.6% | 171 | 0.4% |
| Health & Personal Care Stores | 17 | 0.9% | 110 | 0.3% |
| Gasoline Stations | 1 | 0.1% | 4 | 0.0% |
| Clothing & Clothing Accessories Stores | 101 | 5.4% | 707 | 1.7% |
| Sport Goods, Hobby, Book, & Music Stores | 10 | 0.5% | 58 | 0.1% |
| General Merchandise Stores | 10 | 0.5% | 52 | 0.1% |
| Miscellaneous Store Retailers | 73 | 3.9% | 256 | 0.6% |
| Nonstore Retailers | 4 | 0.2% | 1 | 0.0% |
| Transportation & Warehousing | 26 | 1.4% | 2,645 | 6.5% |
| Information | 38 | 2.0% | 1,142 | 2.8% |
| Finance & Insurance | 116 | 6.2% | 2,957 | 7.3% |
| Central Bank/Credit Intermediation & Related Activities | 37 | 2.0% | 2,734 | 6.8% |
| Securities, Commodity Contracts & Other Financial Investments & Other Related Activities | 10 | 0.5% | 47 | 0.1% |
| Insurance Carriers & Related Activities; Funds, Trusts & Other Financial Vehicles | 68 | 3.6% | 176 | 0.4% |
| Real Estate, Rental & Leasing | 99 | 5.3% | 287 | 0.7% |
| Professional, Scientific & Tech Services | 311 | 16.5% | 2,074 | 5.1% |
| Legal Services | 233 | 12.4% | 1,527 | 3.8% |
| Management of Companies & Enterprises | 2 | 0.1% | 35 | 0.1% |
| Administrative & Support & Waste Management & Remediation Services | 75 | 4.0% | 500 | 1.2% |
| Educational Services | 6 | 0.3% | 56 | 0.1% |
| Health Care & Social Assistance | 29 | 1.5% | 212 | 0.5% |
| Arts, Entertainment & Recreation | 21 | 1.1% | 1,274 | 3.1% |
| Accommodation & Food Services | 165 | 8.8% | 14,595 | 36.1% |
| Accommodation | 55 | 2.9% | 11,039 | 27.3% |
| Food Services & Drinking Places | 109 | 5.8% | 3,556 | 8.8% |
| Other Services (except Public Administration) | 172 | 9.1% | 930 | 2.3% |
| Automotive Repair & Maintenance | 54 | 2.9% | 198 | 0.5% |
| Public Administration | 172 | 9.1% | 8,404 | 20.8% |
| Unclassified Establishments | 80 | 4.2% | 27 | 0.1% |
| Total | 1,882 | 99.9% | 40,467 | 100.0% |

Source: Business data provided by InfoUSA, Omaha NE Copyright 2007, all rights reserved. ESRI forecasts for 2007.