

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: OCTOBER 25, 2007**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: M. MARGO WHEELER

Consent Discussion

SUBJECT:

RQR-24332 - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT: LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - OLANE BARTSAL MARY 1, LLC - Request for a Required One Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0260-94) FOR A 40-FOOT TALL 14-FOOT X 48-FOOT OFF PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 3201 North Rancho Drive (A/N 138-12-801-011), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Ross)

IF APPROVED, C.C.: 11/21/07

IF DENIED, P.C.: FINAL ACTION (Unless appealed within 10 days)

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

DENIAL

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location, Aerial and Special Use Report
2. Conditions and Staff Report
3. Supporting Documentation
4. Photos
5. Submitted after final agenda protest posted

Motion made by GLENN TROWBRIDGE to Approve subject to amended conditions

A. Three-year review


Passed For: 4; Against: 1; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 2

GLENN TROWBRIDGE, STEVEN EVANS, RICHARD TRUESDELL, SAM DUNNAM; (Against-DAVID STEINMAN); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-LEO DAVENPORT, BYRON GOYNES)

Minutes:

COMMISSIONER TRUESDELL declared the Public Hearing open.

DOUG RANKIN, Planning and Development Department, stated the subject billboard is located within the billboard exclusionary zone and its continued existence could not be supported.



PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OF: OCTOBER 25, 2007

SCOTT NAFTZGER, Lamar Advertizing, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He noted the sign is in excellent condition, has all necessary permits and meets all zoning and land use regulations. He requested approval.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 16th Street, requested a picture of the sign itself.

MARGO WHEELER, Director of the Planning and Development Department, informed COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE that if the Commission were recommended approval the review, staff's recommendation would be for a five-year review since the status of the exclusionary zone would not change in that time.

In response to COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE'S question regarding typical timelines for sign reviews, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT explained that the Code had no timelines and the length of time between reviews was left to the Commissioners' discretion. During the crafting of his motion, COMMISSIONER TROWBRIDGE added a condition requiring a three-year review.

COMMISSIONER TRESDELL declared the Public Hearing closed.

