

**BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT
BILL NO. 2007-10**

(Repeals Chapters 10.44 and 11.68 of the Municipal Code, relating to solicitation and to the City's Pedestrian Mall, respectively, and redesignates Chapters 10.44A and 11.68A as Chapters 10.44 and 11.68, respectively)

This business impact statement was prepared pursuant to NRS 237.090 to address the impact of a proposed ordinance, Bill No. 2007-10, that will repeal Chapters 10.44 and 11.68 of the Municipal Code, relating to solicitation and to the City's Pedestrian Mall, respectively, and redesignate Chapters 10.44A and 11.68A as Chapters 10.44 and 11.68, respectively.

1. The following constitutes a description of the number of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their responses and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Not applicable

2. The estimated economic effect of the proposed rule on businesses, including, without limitation, both adverse and beneficial effects, and both direct and indirect effects:

Adverse effects:

None

Beneficial effects:

None

Direct effects:

None

Indirect effects:

None

3. The following constitutes a description of the methods the local government considered to reduce the impact of the proposed rule on businesses and a statement regarding whether any, and if so which, of these methods were used:

Not applicable

4. The governing body estimates the annual cost to the local government for enforcement of the proposed rule is:

No additional cost

5. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount expected to be collected is:

Not applicable

6. If the proposed rule provides for a new fee or increases an existing fee, the money generated by the new fee or increase in existing fee will be used by the local government to:

Not applicable

7. If the proposed rule includes provisions that duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, the following explains when such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary:

Not applicable

Date: February 21, 2007