

**NOTE: THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTATION
WAS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD BEFORE
OR AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING
ON THIS ITEM WHICH IS NOW APPEARING
BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL**

December 11, 2006

City of Las Vegas Planning Commission
City Council Chambers
400 Stewart Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101

In re: **Objections to SDR-17312 and VAR-17871**

Dear City Commissioners:


I would like to tender a formal **objection** to the aforementioned matters on behalf of myself and my wife Aubree Cherney. We reside at 1720 Bannie Avenue, in the Scotch 80's Neighborhood which lies directly west of the proposed signage.

While every business is entitled to signage, the enormous size of the proposed "Little Darlings" sign is completely unacceptable.


While our neighborhood lies next to these kinds of businesses, I don't believe it's fair that a new sign, which will become an ENORMOUS BEACON to all passers-by for this Little Darlings establishment would benefit the city, its residents, or people like us who will live under the shadow of this signage.

Please compel the applicant to build a sign that conforms with normal city code.

Very Truly Yours,



Craig Cherney, esq.
1720 Bannie Ave
Las Vegas, NV 89102



Aubree Cherney, esq.
1720 Bannie Ave
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Renee Poole
Not Just Antiques Mart
1422 Western Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89102

December 15, 2006

RE: SDR-17312 AND VAR-17871 Little Darlings Déjà vu's Sign Applications

Dear Council:

I am OPPOSED to both of these plans passing. I have property adjacent to this address, which is a retail establishment. The neighborhood has long been known for its nudey bars, And many who live in Las Vegas and tourists, do not travel in this neighborhood because of the reputation that it has gotten. Western Avenue and the adjacent neighborhood is just beginning to see the arrival of new retail businesses, art galleries, and building promotions.

I think a sign of that size will mislead passer-bys; especially those on the freeway, and will discourage potential customers from seeking our retail businesses. Although, I am not in opposition to this type of business, I don't feel that the promotion of this with a 80 foot sign is necessary, and may actually hurt the small businesses that are in this area.

I am hopeful that you will vote no on this matter.

Renee Poole