

**AGENDA MEMO**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE: FEBRUARY 21, 2007**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**ITEM DESCRIPTION: VAR-17253 - APPLICANT/OWNER: TOUSA HOMES, INC.**

---

**\*\* CONDITIONS \*\***

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to:

**Planning and Development**

1. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (ZON-17253) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-17254), if approved.
2. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless a certificate of occupancy has been issued or upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.

**\*\* STAFF REPORT \*\***

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposed development is located on 3.58 gross acres. Per Title 19.06.040 a Residential Planned Development shall be located on a minimum of five acres. The proposed location is only 72 percent of the required area. This is a 28 percent deviation from Title 19.06.040 standards. The proposed deviation is considered a self-imposed hardship as it is the applicants choice to rezone the property; therefore, denial of this request is recommended.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

<b><i>Related Relevant City Actions by P&amp;D, Fire, Bldg., etc.</i></b>	
12/21/06	This item and companion items for a Rezoning (ZON-17250) and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-17254) were held in abeyance at the request of the applicant.
01/25/07	The Planning Commission recommended approval of companion items ZON-17250 and SDR-17254 concurrently with this application.  The Planning Commission voted 7-0 to recommend APPROVAL (PC Agenda Item #28/ng).
<b><i>Related Building Permits/Business Licenses</i></b>	
There are no permits or licenses related to this development.	
<b><i>Pre-Application Meeting</i></b>	
09/15/06	A pre-application meeting was held. It was noted that the parcel ending in 006 is located in the Rural Preservation Overlay District Buffer. Submittal requirements were discussed.
<b><i>Neighborhood Meeting</i></b>	
A neighborhood meeting is not required, nor was one held.	

<b><i>Details of Application Request</i></b>	
<b><i>Site Area</i></b>	
Gross Acres	3.58
Net Acres	2.84

<b>Surrounding Property</b>	<b>Existing Land Use</b>	<b>Planned Land Use</b>	<b>Existing Zoning</b>
Subject Property	Undeveloped	DR (Desert Rural Density Residential)	R-E (Residence Estates)
North	Single-family Residential	DR (Desert Rural Density Residential)	R-E (Residence Estates)
South	Single-family Residential	DR (Desert Rural Density Residential)	R-E (Residence Estates)
East	Single-family Residential	R (Rural Density Residential)	R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development 3 Units Per Acre)
West			

	Single-family Residential	DR (Desert Rural Density Residential)	R-E (Residence Estates)
--	---------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------

<i>Special Districts/Zones</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Compliance</i>
<b>Special Area Plan</b>		X	
<i>Special Districts/Zones</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Compliance</i>
<b>Special Purpose and Overlay Districts</b>			
R-PD (Residential Planned Development) District	X		N
<b>Trails</b>		X	Y
<b>Rural Preservation Overlay District</b>	X		Y
<b>Development Impact Notification Assessment</b>		X	Y
<b>Project of Regional Significance</b>		X	Y

**DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS**

*Per Title 19.06 the following Development Standards apply:*

<i>Standard</i>	<i>Provided</i>
Min. Lot Size	18,004 SF
Min. Lot Width	73.43 Feet
Min. Setbacks (standard-lot) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Front</li> <li>• Side</li> <li>• Corner</li> <li>• Rear</li> </ul>	25 Feet 5 Feet 10 Feet 30 Feet
Min. Setbacks (cul-de-sac) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Front</li> <li>• Side</li> <li>• Corner</li> <li>• Rear</li> </ul>	20 Feet 5 Feet 10 Feet 30 Feet
Min. Distance Between Buildings	10 Feet
Max. Building Height	29.5 Feet

<b><i>Residential Adjacency Standards</i></b>
Residential Adjacency requirements do not apply to the proposed development.

<i>Existing Zoning</i>	<i>Permitted Density</i>	<i>Units Allowed</i>	<i>Proposed Zoning</i>	<i>Permitted Density</i>	<i>General Plan</i>	<i>Permitted Density</i>
R-E (Residence Estates)	2 Units Per Acre	Eight  (Six units are proposed)	R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development t 2 Units Per Acre)	2.49 Units Per Acre	DR (Desert Rural Density Residential)	2.49 Units Per Acre

***Open Space***

Residential Planned Developments with less than 12 lots are not required to provide open space. The proposed development will have a total of six lots and is not required to provide open space.

*Pursuant to Title 19.10, the following parking standards apply:*

***Parking Requirement***

The project is required to provide a minimum of two parking spaces per unit. Each unit is proposed to have a minimum of a two car garage, while most units include a three car garage. This meets Title 19.10 parking requirements.

**ANALYSIS**

The proposed development is located on 3.58 gross acres. Per Title 19.06.040 a Residential Planned Development shall be located on a minimum of five acres. The proposed location is only 72 percent of the required area. This is a 28 percent deviation from Title 19.06.040 standards.

Per Title 19.06.040 for the R-PD (Residential Planned Development) District the minimum site area that is eligible for rezoning to the R-PD (Residential Planned Development) zoning district is five acres. Any additional tract which contains less than the minimum site area, but which is contiguous to property previously zoned R-PD (Residential Planned Development), may also be zoned R-PD (Residential Planned Development) by the City Council if it otherwise qualifies for the R-PD (Residential Planned Development) zoning designation. Both such properties must be owned by or be under the control of the same property owner. This particular development is an infill parcel. The adjacent parcels are zoned R-E (Residence Estates). The site does not meet the intent of the R-PD (Residential Planned Development) District as defined in Title 19.06.040. The proposed deviation is considered a self-imposed hardship as it is the applicants choice to rezone the property; therefore, denial of this request is recommended.

**FINDINGS**

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;
3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature.

