
**NOTE: THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTATION
WAS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD BEFORE
OR AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING
ON THIS ITEM WHICH IS NOW APPEARING
BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL**

LAW OFFICE OF L. EARL HAWLEY

916 CASINO CENTER BOULEVARD

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

(702) 382-8910

FAX (702) 382-6675

July 03, 2006

City of Las Vegas
Planning and Development Dept.
Current Planning Division
Development Services Center
731 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Re: SUP 13494, 134954, 13372, 13362, 13490
SDR 13820

To Whom It May Concern:

This is to advise you on behalf of the entity I represent, that objection to above permit applications is hereby made. Traffic in the area is a problem now and proposed development in the immediate area is only going to increase financial costs to the public, as well as create hazards that could result in accidents.

Very truly yours,


L. Earl Hawley, Esq.

LEH/sv

RECEIVED
JUL 10 3 01 PM '06
CITY OF LAS VEGAS

Patricia Ames
1201 Shadow Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89102
Email: patriciaames@hotmail.com

Planning and Development Department
Current Planning Division
Development Services Center
731 South Fourth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101

July 10, 2006

RE: SUP-13372, 13490, 13491, 13494, 13495

Dear Current Planning Division:

I would like to voice my strong objection to changing the zoning of what now is the First Presbyterian Church to Service Commercial. This is a lovely residential area, and right next to an historic Las Vegas neighborhood. While modern office buildings have in my opinion improved the area around Charleston, changing the zoning to a more commercial application could affect the area negatively and interrupt the residential properties adjacent. In addition, if the new zoning would at all increase traffic flow along Ellis Street, this could have a very negative impact on the residences along Ellis. It is a small side street in a residential area and does not appear to be meant for the heavier traffic associated with commercial activities.

The church has been a nice buffer between the busy C-1 activities on Charleston and the local neighborhood. Any zoning change will definitely impact the local community. A change from R-E to C-1 is quite dramatic. A much easier transition for the people that actually live adjacent would be from R-E to P-R, as is done on Shadow Lane. P-R tends to be quieter with much less traffic.

Also, raising 3 additional billboards in this area is extreme. There is already a proliferation of billboards here (Wendy's, MacDonald's, Carls Jr), and more would really ruin any residential character the area has left. Since I am not sure whether the billboards are only temporary in nature, and what the billboards would say, it is difficult to elaborate further. But, I strongly oppose any permanent billboards on any of the sites listed. There are residences right behind the church and billboards are very unsightly to have in a residential area.

Thank you for taking the time to gather our input!

Regards,



25

Kimberly Reid

From: Judy Norman [dept14ea@co.clark.nv.us]
Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2006 9:30 AM
To: Lois Tarkanian
Subject: SUP-13362 & SUP-13490

Dear Councilwoman Tarkanian,

I would like to register my opposition to SUP-13362 and SUP-13490. (the Presbyterian Church's application for two billboards) The members of my neighborhood association to which I have spoken agree with me that this is merely an attempt on the part of the applicant to generate a great deal of income at the expense of the residential nature of the area. It seems that here in Las Vegas there is virtually no end to the number of billboards that "spring up".

I would ask for denial of this application. We appreciate the work you are doing for our district.

Sincerely,

Donald Mosley

Submitted at Planning Commission

Date 11/16/06 Item #25