



City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 113.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 15, 2006**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: M. MARGO WHEELER

Consent Discussion

SUBJECT:
MAJOR MODIFICATION

MOD-12919 PUBLIC HEARING APPLICANT: DORSAL DEVELOPMENT, LLC -
OWNER: FULSHEIMER, 1998 TRILLI AND JEFF SELINGER - Request for a Major
Modification to the Las Vegas Medical District Plan TO AMEND THE LAND USE
DESIGNATION FROM MD-2 (MAJOR MEDICAL) TO: MD-1 (MEDICAL SUPPORT) on
0.74 acres at 7280 Desert Lane (APN 19-33-402-021), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning
Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning Commission Mtg.	0
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location and Aerial Maps
2. Conditions and Staff Report
3. Supporting Documentation
4. Justification Letter

Motion made by LAWRENCE WEEKLY to Hold in Abeyance to 12/06/2006

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
LAWRENCE WEEKLY, LARRY BROWN, LOIS TARKANIAN, STEVE WOLFSON,
OSCAR B. GOODMAN, GARY REESE, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);
(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:

This item was originally held in abeyance to 12/06/2006 but appeared on the 12/20/2006 agenda.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing open.

Since the applicant was not present, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated he would prefer holding the items in abeyance. There were some concerns with the site plan and a discussion would need to take place prior to his decision.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: November 15, 2006

DANIEL DEEGAN, 1801 Granite Avenue, expressed that the Rancho Manor residents favor residential and mixed-use developments in the medical district that created more variety and vitality. However, he expressed concern for entitlements, in which this project would be located directly in the path of a major arterial. MAYOR GOODMAN responded that the City Attorney's office has advised Council that the decision has to be based upon the project itself and not future plans for a highway. MR. DEEGAN questioned if it was the right time to make a major modification or an amendment to the General Plan when there are infrastructure issues that have not been resolved. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT added that Council can choose to shorten the length of time an applicant has to begin development.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.