



Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 48.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 1, 2006**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**  
**DIRECTOR: CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI**

Consent  Discussion

**SUBJECT:** Public hearing and possible action regarding the continuation of the Traffic Signal Impact Fee program and an update to the Capital Improvements Plan map - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact:**

No Impact  Augmentation Required  
 Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:

Amount:  
Funding Source:

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

According to NRS 278B.290, the Traffic Signal Impact Fee Program needs to be reviewed every three years. The existing program was adopted by the City Council on August 20, 2003. Since that time, 38 signals have been constructed. Staff is recommending all current land use categories and associated impact fee values stay as is and only the Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) map be updated by adding 27 additional new traffic signals. This update has previously been presented to the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee on September 28, 2006 at a Public Hearing. No citizen input was received at that meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve continuation of the Traffic Signal Impact Fee Program with the CIP map being amended to show current city boundaries and adding 27 new signal locations.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. CIP Traffic Signal Master Plan Map
2. Proposed updates to CIP Traffic Signal Master Plan Map

Motion made by GARY REESE to Approve

Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0  
LOIS TARKANIAN, LAWRENCE WEEKLY, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN,  
GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);  
(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

**Minutes:**

CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI, Director of Public Works, explained that by State law the City is required to re-examine the Traffic Impact Fee Program (TIFP) and consider continuing or modifying the program. He recommended the City continue the program, modify the city limits to reflect what they are today and add 27 new signals to the system.

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 1, 2006**

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, commented about construction vehicles parking in a bus stop area and asked who is responsible for its enforcement. In addition, sidewalk signs on curbs impede traffic.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked whether once an intersection is identified as necessitating a traffic signal, particularly in Wards 4 or 6, adjacent with unincorporated Clark County, if it can be amended before the three-year period. MR. KAIKOWSKI replied that the projection of traffic signal locations is in the means of trying to calculate how much money needs to be acquired and come up with the impact fee. Any warranted signals can be included before the three-year period.

