



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 18, 2006

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

Consent Discussion

SUBJECT:

BEYAN ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Tavern License, Nevada Receivership, LLC dba Crazy Horse Too, 2476 Industrial Road, Michael J. Signorelli/Managing Member 100% - Ward 7 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

No Impact

Augmentation Required

Budget Funds Available

Dept/Division:

Amount:

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Tavern License.

The applicant submitted all of the required documentation and paid all applicable fees for investigation on September 20, 2006. Based on a review of the SCOPE printouts furnished to this Department by the applicant, we have determined he is preliminarily suitable to hold a temporary tavern license until the investigation is complete.

In the event the Special Investigations Section, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, should develop an area of concern with this individual, this item will be brought back before Council for appropriate action.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Submitted at meeting - Copy of the conditions read for the record by Jim DiFiore, corrections to conditions submitted by Brad Jerbic, operating Subleases labeled #5 and #6 by City Attorneys office and comments by Tom McGowan for Items 62 and 64

Motion made by GARY REESE to Approve a three-month temporary license, with a three-month review and subject to the following conditions:

A. No person associated as a defendant, including Frederick Rizzolo, in U.S. District Court Cases 2:06-CR-186-PMP-PAL, 2:06-CR-188-PNP-PAL, CR-S-05-007-KDJ, KJD (LRL), shall be permitted on the premises of the business. The defendants, including Frederick Rizzolo, are further prohibited from operating, controlling or participating in any way with the operation of the business.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 18, 2006

B. No person associated with the ownership of The Power Company, Inc., nor its management, including Annette Rizzolo Patterson, the bookkeeper, Frederick Rizzolo or Bartholomew Rizzolo will be permitted on the premises of the business. Further, Frederick Rizzolo, Bartholomew Rizzolo and Annette Rizzolo Patterson are prohibited from operating, controlling or participating in any way with the operation of the business.

C. The photographs and names of the prohibited persons in Special Condition A and B shall be made available to all individuals responsible for the operation of the business, in order that the business complies with Special Condition A.

D. Any violation of these conditions or special conditions, or any violation of any local, state or federal law shall allow the Business Services Manager or Director to administratively suspend the liquor license. The applicant understands that he has a right to appeal the suspension at the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting, subject to the Open Meeting Law.

E. The applicant shall be permitted a seven-day transition period for both Bartholomew Rizzolo and Annette Rizzolo Patterson.

F. Paragraph 23, regarding Entry and Inspection of the premises, shall be amended to include language that any designated agent or agents shall be subject to approval by the Business Services Manager or Director before being allowed on the premises, and that the Business Services Manager or Director shall be notified prior to any inspections.

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 1; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0
LOIS TARKANIAN, LAWRENCE WEEKLY, LARRY BROWN, GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Abstain-OSCAR B. GOODMAN); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he would be abstaining, on the advice of CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that he has a conflict. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC then clarified that, as previously disclosed, MAYOR GOODMAN, even though he had very little connection with his law firm, was listed as a member of the firm at the time that one of his partners was representing a co-defendant in a series of cases. During that period of time, there was also an insurance policy being paid by the firm. As a result, the argument could be made that the proceeds of the fees of the client could have been paying for the insurance policy. Therefore, this would present a conflict of interest, and the Mayor should abstain.

Minutes:

See Item 62 for combined transcript and backup.