



AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING ON: OCTOBER 4, 2006

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

Consent Discussion

SUBJECT: **RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

Bill No. 2006-56 Clarifies the applicability of recently updated development standards pertaining to walls and fences. Proposed by: M. Marco Wheeler, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

No Impact

Augmentation Required

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

Amount:

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Ordinance No. 5850, adopted on September 6, 2006, revised development standards pertaining to walls and fences. It has been determined that, in relocating some of the standards within the Municipal Code, the ordinance did not specify its applicability to all single family development and to development within certain districts. This bill will add the necessary clarifying language.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/4/2006 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 10/3/2006 Recommending Committee.

First Reading 9/20/2006; First Publication 9/23/2006

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2006-56

Motion made by LOIS TARKANIAN to Approve as a First Amendment

Passed For: 4; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 1; Excused: 2

LOIS TARKANIAN, LAWRENCE WEEKLY, LARRY BROWN, GARY REESE; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-STEVEN D. ROSS); (Excused-OSCAR B. GOODMAN, STEVE WOLFSON)

Minutes:

Second Reading and bill adopted as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5861. Clerk to proceed with second publication.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 4, 2006

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED commented that at the 10/3/2006 Recommending Committee meeting, he presented some additional changes. This bill, as originally introduced, was to correct an ordinance regarding walls and fences, to include a provision that was inadvertently left out. Subsequently, mistakes were found pertaining to some of the code references. Therefore, staff is suggestion adoption with a first amendment to include all the necessary changes.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, questioned the significance of correcting the subject bill. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED delineated the changes, according to the proposed First Amendment.

